

New rock: nicki minaj

BIN BUNG BHO, North University, Hell

MARKUS "GOD'S BIGGEST VICTIM" DE MEDEIROS, New Jersey City University, USA

A SLICE OF CAKE, Jork University, USA

BHAKTI SHAH, PragerU, USA

We present a new rock, that has never been discovered before, in the north eastern region of the united states of america. it is similar to basalt in every aspect, except for the fact that it is bright pink in colour. i can confirm that this is an important inherent trait of the rock and not pink paint that i put on it so i could publish this paper. we're going to call it nicki minaj.

ACM Reference Format:

Bin Bung Bho, Markus "god's biggest victim" de Medeiros, A Slice of Cake, and Bhakti Shah. 2025. New rock: nicki minaj. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 9, OOPSLA1, Article 135 (April 2025), 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3720500>

1 In response to the dishonourable Dr. Shah

Dr. G.B.V. de Medeiros:

The rock presented in "New rock: nicki minaj: is not in fact a new form of rock. We have reason to believe that it is in fact not even a rock at all. Our reasons are as follows.

- (1) We are rock experts, and we are in fact personally in touch with every rock.
- (2) The northeastern region of the united states has been unexplored since the early 2000's due to increasing hostility of boston townies to geologists.
- (3) The colour pink has never been observed in nature.

We strongly urge the editors of "Rocks Rock" reconsider their publication of this paper and the geological community to publicly shame Dr. Shah.

2 Response to Dr. Victim" de Medeiros

-bin bung

I do believe the color pink has been observed in nature. My fingernails are pink. Therefore I believe that the rock of Shah is legit.

3 in response to god's biggest victim

- bhakti We do not thank dr medeiros for their horrendous feedback, but we'll address all their concerns here.

- (1) actually, according to the book "Rock Experts of All Time", you are Not a rock expert. in fact you have been banned from the society of "Rocks Rock", the biggest rock society of all time, for pretending to be a rock expert. Further, we just spoke to ms nicki minaj (the newly discovered rock uses she/her pronouns), and she said she has never spoken to you before.

Authors' Contact Information: [Bin Bung Bho](#), North University, Boston, Hell; [Markus "god's biggest victim" de Medeiros](#), New Jersey City University, Jersey City, USA; [A Slice of Cake](#), Jork University, Jorktown, USA; [Bhakti Shah](#), PragerU, Honolulu, USA.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM 2475-1421/2025/4-ART135

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3720500>

- (2) this is a valid concern, however we assure the readers that dr. medeiros failed to consider the intersection of boston townies and geologists, and our on-site engineer was in fact a part of this small but existent community.
- (3) according to this very legitimate journal for european science teachers, pink does in fact exist in nature. See (<https://www.scienceinschool.org/article/2022/nature-pink/>). idiot.

4 Rocks are not real

Dr. Slice Of Cake:

Latest discoveries in the Journal of Rocks Rock has published highly-contested, but nonetheless factual studies by [3], refuting the existence of rocks. Recent arguments published by Dr. Shah and subsequent responses [1] [3] further gives this theory more ground, that rocks are nonsensical. We as a community should focus on more practical matters such as renaming Rocks to Coqs, as suggested by Dr. Cho [5].

5 Proposal to rename Rocks to Coqs

DR. BIN BUNG BHO

In my mother tongue the name "rock" is pronounced identically to the word for a really shitty theorem prover, which makes me uncomfortable. I propose the name "Coq", which in my mother tongue is a reference to the great geologist Thierry Coquand.

6 In response to "A Proposal to rename Rocks to Coqs"

Dr. G.B.V. de Medeiros: We urge Dr. Bho to reconsider his stance on the renaming of Rocks. For one, the word "pebble" also has sexual connotations in my mother tongue yet I have put up with this for years. In addition, a new foundations for geology (stream 4) will soon obviate the need to talk about Rocks at all.

7 In response to "In response to "A Proposal to rename Rocks to Coqs""

DR. BIN BUNG BHO

My dearest colleague Dr. Victim,

What is your mother tongue anyway? I bet no one speaks your language. And what would you know about sex anyway, I have never seen you with any men nor women in your vicinity; only rocks. And by rocks I mean coqs.

The new foundations of rocks à la Cake in Section 4 do not refute the coq as a field of study. Indeed, consider pure mathematics, of which many papers do not aim to solve questions pertaining to application to the world but of our mind's own creation. Indeed, coqs are like the first countable ordinal—very long and hard to think about.

8 I know every Rock

Dr. G.B.V. de Medeiros:

Dear Dr. Shah and the traitorous authors of the "Rock Experts of All Time".

I don't care what any book says or what and fancy words on any page has to say about my rock expertise I am a rock genius and a literary behemoth. However, since your critique hinges upon an appeal to credentials, I will provide the following information. My absence from "Rock Experts of all time" was a printing error, anyone active in the rock community would know that a reprinting of "Rock expets of all time" is underway.

Furthermore, I have included a sample of rocks in figure 1. Note the absence of pink in this selection of some of the finest New Jersey Rocks taken straight from the Jersey Shore. In exchange



Fig. 1. Rocks from the Jersey Shore

for this scientific information, I expect to be cited in your next work. Whenever you do some real science, anyways.

Please keep your communication more professional in the future. Stony regards, Dr. GBV. de Medeiros.

9 Response to "i know every rock"

- representative of "Rocks Rock", Dr. shah

thank you for your delightful use of adjectives. i have a few points.

- (1) as the sponsor of "Rock Experts of All Time", the "Rocks Rock" society can assure you that there is no reprinting in progress. Perhaps the book "Rock expets of all time" is something you made up?
- (2) everyone knows new jersey isn't a real place. try harder.

i hope you find peace, dr. shah

10 Truth-seeking sans credentials: in response to Dr. Victim and Dr. Shah

Dr. Slice of Cake: Recent literature has over-relied on credentials as the basis of an argument. This methodology is flawed and unproductive, as touched upon by Dr. Victim in [8] about the specific instance of "Rock Experts of All Time" being known to include a large list of erratas for every edition. A better methodology is by simply mechanizing a formalization of any desired Coqs (previously known as Rocks) in a theorem prover. The author recognize that mechanization may incur additional labor costs, and may not be relevant or needed either how, but it allows you to argue that you are correct. We implore the Coqs Coq (previously known as Rocks Rock) community to consider this approach.

11 Announcement of Alternative to Rocks

DR. BIN BUNG BHO

Dear colleagues,

I am pleased to announce that after continued discussion with people on the esteemed "Rock Experts of All Time" list, my team and I have created a new tool to verify whether or not certain rocks are indeed rocks regardless of color. We call it Leen. The organization around it is the Leen

For Rocks Organization (Leen FRO). I hope to see continued interest in our new tool to help settle disputes like the one between Dr. Victim" de Medeiros and Dr. Shah.

**12 In response to "In response to "In response to "A Proposal to rename Rocks to Coqs""
Dr. GBV. de Medeiros**

Dear Dr. Bho. While I appreciate your concern about my understanding of sex, I am concerned that your response does not follow a double blind testing methodology. I will have you know that I followed this methodology twice last night with your mother.

Addressing your concerns about coqs, formerly known as rocks in relation rocks á la Cake. I am concerned that this reasoning is unsound. Please consider rephrasing your argument in terms I understand, for example by making use of the Leen system so I believe you.

Received 2024-10-15