


JSF 2.0: Introduction and Overview

Originals of Slides and Source Code for Examples: http://www.coreservlets.com/JSF-Tutorial/jsf2/

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/

Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android.

Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.



© 2012 Marty Hall

For live training on JSF 2.x, please see courses at http://courses.coreservlets.com/.

Taught by the author of *Core Servlets and JSP*, *More Servlets and JSP*, and this tutorial. Available at public venues, or customized versions can be held on-site at your organization.

- Courses developed and taught by Marty Hall
 - JSF 2, PrimeFaces, servlets/JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Android development, Java 6 or 7 programming, custom mix of topics
 - Ajax courses can concentrate on 1 library (jQuery, Prototype/Scriptaculous, Ext-JS, Dojo, etc.) or survey several
- Courses developed and taught by coreservlets.com experts (edited by Marty)
 - Spring, Hibernate/JPA, EJB3, GWT, Hadoop, SOAP-based and RESTful Web Services
 Contact hall@coreservlets.com for details

Topics in This Section

- Different views of JSF
- Pros and cons of JSF
 - Vs. standard servlet and JSP technology
 - Vs. Apache Struts
 - Vs. other Ajax approaches
- New features in JSF 2.0
 - Vs. JSF 1.x

4

© 2012 Marty Hall



Overview

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/

Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android. Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.

Why Web Apps?

- Downsides to browser-based apps
 - GUI is poor
 - HTML is OK for static documents, but lousy for programs
 - Communication is inefficient
 - HTTP is poor protocol for the way we now use Web apps





Why Web Apps? (Continued)

- So why does everyone want Web apps?
 - Universal access
 - Everyone already has a browser installed
 - Any computer on the network can access content
 - Automatic "updates"
 - Content comes from server, so is never out of date





What is JSF?

A set of Web-based GUI controls and handlers?

 JSF provides many prebuilt HTML-oriented GUI controls, along with code to handle their events.

A device-independent GUI control framework?

 JSF can be used to generate graphics in formats other than HTML, using protocols other than HTTP.

An MVC-based Web app framework?

 Like Apache Struts, JSF can be viewed as an MVC framework for building HTML forms, validating their values, invoking business logic, and displaying results.

An Ajax library?

JSF 2.0 provides very easy-to-use Ajax support. So, JSF 2.0 can be viewed as an alternative to jQuery or GWT.

But which is the <u>proper</u> way to view JSF?

 The answer depends on what you are going to use it for, but 1 & 3 are the most common ways of looking at JSF.

8

Issues

Alternatives: traditional Web apps

- Servlets/JSP (with MVC)
- Struts 2.0
- JSF 2.0

Alternatives: Ajax-based Web apps

- Add jQuery (or Ext/JS, etc) to existing app
- Use the Google Web Toolkit and write everything in Java, following a Swing-like style
- Use JSF 2.0 and add Ajax tags to page

JSF 2.0 vs. JSF 1.x

- How does version 2 compare to version 1?



JSF vs. Servlets/JSP

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/

Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android.

Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.

The MVC Architecture


Bad JSP design

- Many books or articles on Java-based Web frameworks start with this example:
 - <h1>Tiny bit of HTML</h1>
 - <% Java
 - Java
 - Java
 - More Java %>
 - <h1>Tiny bit of HTML</h1>
- Then, they redo the example using the framework and comment on how much better it is.
 - · This proves nothing

MVC

 A fair comparison is to look at the use of the framework vs. the use of MVC with servlets and JSP

A Quick Review of MVC



Applying MVC: Bank Account Balances

- Bean
 - BankCustomer
- Business Logic
 - BankCustomerLookup
- Servlet that populates bean and forwards to appropriate JSP page
 - Reads customer ID, calls BankCustomerLookup's data-access code to obtain BankCustomer
 - Uses current balance to decide on appropriate result page
- JSP pages to display results
 - Negative balance: warning page
 - Regular balance: standard page
 - High balance: page with advertisements added
 - Unknown customer ID: error page

Bank Account Balances: Servlet Code

```
public class ShowBalance extends HttpServlet {
  public void doGet(HttpServletRequest request,
                    HttpServletResponse response)
      throws ServletException, IOException {
    BankCustomer currentCustomer =
      BankCustomerLookup.getCustomer
                         (request.getParameter("id"));
    request.setAttribute("customer", currentCustomer);
    String address;
    if (currentCustomer == null) {
      address =
        "/WEB-INF/bank-account/UnknownCustomer.jsp";
    } else if (currentCustomer.getBalance() < 0) {</pre>
      address =
        "/WEB-INF/bank-account/NegativeBalance.jsp";
    RequestDispatcher dispatcher =
      request.getRequestDispatcher(address);
    dispatcher.forward(request, response);
```

Bank Account Balances: Bean

15

Bank Account Balances: Business Logic

```
public class BankCustomerLookup {
  private static Map<String,BankCustomer> customers;

  static {
    // Populate Map with some sample customers
  }
  ...

public static BankCustomer getCustomer(String id) {
    return(customers.get(id));
  }
}
```

Bank Account Balances: Input Form


```
<fieldset>
  <legend>Bank Account Balance</legend>
  <form action="./show-balance">
     Customer ID: <input type="text" name="id"><br>
     <input type="submit" value="Show Balance">
   </form>
</fieldset>
                             For the servlet, use the address http://host/appName/show-balance that is set via url-pattern in web.xml
          MVC Examples - Mozilla Firefox
           File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help
           | C × ↑ | Martin | C × Google | C × Google
             Bank Account Balance
                                                              H
             Customer ID:
             Show Balance
                                                        * 0
```

Bank Account Balances: JSP Code (Negative Balance)

18

Bank Account Balances: web.xml

Bank Account Balances: Results



Advantages of JSF (vs. MVC Using RequestDispatcher)

Custom GUI controls

- JSF provides a set of APIs and associated custom tags to create HTML forms that have complex interfaces
 - · There are many extra-rich third-party JSF libraries

Event handling

 JSF makes it easy to designate Java code that is invoked when forms are submitted. The code can respond to particular buttons, changes in particular values, certain user selections, and so on.

Managed beans

In JSP, you can use property="*" with jsp:setProperty to automatically populate a bean based on request parameters. JSF extends this capability and adds in several utilities, all of which serve to greatly simplify request param processing.

Integrated Ajax support

You can use jQuery, Dojo, or Ext-JS with servlets and JSP. However, JSF lets you use Ajax without explicit JavaScript programming and with very simple tags. Also, the Ajax calls know about the server-side business logic.

Advantages of JSF (vs. Standard MVC), Continued

Form field conversion and validation

 JSF has builtin capabilities for checking that form values are in the required format and for converting from strings to various other data types. If values are missing or in an improper format, the form can be automatically redisplayed with error messages and with the previously entered values maintained.

Page templating

 Although JSP has jsp:include for reuse of content, JSF has a full-fledged page templating system that lets you build pages that share layout or content

Centralized file-based configuration

Rather then hard-coding information into Java programs, many JSF values are represented in XML or property files. This loose coupling means that many changes can be made without modifying or recompiling Java code, and that wholesale changes can be made by editing a single file. This approach also lets Java and Web developers focus on their specific tasks without needing to know about the overall system layout.

Consistent approach

JSF encourages consistent use of MVC throughout your application.

22

Disadvantages of JSF (vs. MVC with RequestDispatcher)

Bigger learning curve

- To use MVC with the standard RequestDispatcher, you need to be comfortable with the standard JSP and servlet APIs. To use MVC with JSF, you have to be comfortable with the servlet API and a large and elaborate framework that is almost equal in size to the core system.
- Similarly, if you have an existing app and want to add in some small amounts of Ajax functionality, it is moderately easy with jQuery (quite easy if you know JavaScript already). Switching your app to JSF 2.0 is a big investment.

Worse documentation

 Compared to the standard servlet and JSP APIs, JSF has fewer online resources, and many first-time users find the online JSF documentation confusing and poorly organized. True for both Mojarra and MyFaces.

Disadvantages of JSF (vs. Standard MVC), Continued

Less transparent

- With JSF applications, there is a lot more going on behind the scenes than with normal Java-based Web applications. As a result, JSF applications are:
 - Harder to understand
 - Harder to benchmark and optimize

Rigid approach

 The flip side of the benefit that JSF encourages a consistent approach to MVC is that JSF makes it difficult to use other approaches.

24

© 2012 Marty Hall



JSF 2 vs. Struts 2

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/

Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android.

Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.

Struts is a Serious Alternative

Situation

You decide that for your application, the benefits (power) of using a Web application framework [vs. servlets/JSP with MVC] outweigh the disadvantages (complexity)

Problem

- JSF is not the only game in town

Alternatives

- Struts is the most popular alternative to JSF
- But there are many more
 - Spring MVC
 - Apache Wicket
 - Apache Tapestry
 - jMaki
 - ...

Advantages of JSF (vs. Struts)

Custom components

- JSF makes it relatively easy to combine complex GUIs into a single manageable component; Struts does not
- There are many existing third-party component libraries for JSF, virtually none for Struts
 - PrimeFaces, JBoss RichFaces, Oracle ADF, IceFaces, Apache Tomahawk, Woodstock, Web Galileo, ...

Support for other display technologies

- JSF is not limited to HTML and HTTP; Struts is


Access to beans by name

 JSF lets you assign names to beans, then you refer to them by name in the forms. Struts has a complex process with several levels of indirection.

Official part of Java EE

JSF is part of the Java EE spec, and all servers that support Java EE include JSF (JSF 2.0 is part of Java EE 6)

JSF Custom Components: Examples



Advantages of JSF (vs. Struts), Continued

Expression language

- The JSF expression language is more concise and powerful than the Struts bean:write tag.
 - This is less advantageous vs. Struts 2.0

Simpler controller and bean definitions

 JSF does not require your controller and bean classes to extend any particular parent class (e.g., Action) or use any particular method (e.g., execute). Struts does.

Simpler config file and overall structure

 The faces-config.xml file is much easier to use than is the struts-config.xml file. In general, JSF is simpler.

Better tool support


- As of mid-2011, both Eclipse and NetBeans have moderately good integrated support for JSF 2
 - But still not as good as the JSF 1 support in the now-extinct Sun Java Studio Creator

Disadvantages of JSF (vs. Struts) • Established base Job Trends from Indeed.com — struts — jsf 0.2 0.3 0.1 0.1

0

Jan '06

Jan '07



Jan'08


Jan '09

Jan '10

Jan '11

Counter-Arguments re Established Base

Google Trends vs. Indeed.com job listings



Disadvantages of JSF (vs. Struts), Continued

Support for other display technologies

- JSF is not limited to HTML and HTTP; Struts is
 - Hey! Didn't I say this was an advantage of JSF?

Confusion vs. file names

- The actual pages used in JSF end in .xhtml. But the URLs used end in .faces or .jsf. This causes many problems.
 - You cannot browse directories and click on links
 - It is hard to protect raw XHTML pages from access
 - It is hard to refer to non-faces pages in faces-config.xml

Self-submit approach

 With Struts, the form (blah.jsp) and the handler (blah.do)have different URLs; with JSF they are the same (blah.jsf).

Disadvantages of JSF (vs. Struts), Continued

Much weaker automatic validation

- Struts comes with form-field validators for email address, credit card numbers, regular expressions, and more. JSF only comes with validators for missing values, length of input, and numbers in a given range.
 - You can use third-party validation libraries with JSF (e.g., MyFaces/Tomahawk built on the Struts/Commons validation library), but still not as powerful as Struts

Lack of client-side validation

- Struts supports JavaScript-based form-field validation;
 JSF does not
 - You can use Ajax-based validation in JSF, but still not as good as the true client-side validation in Struts

34

© 2012 Marty Hall



JSF 2.0 vs. Other Ajax Approaches

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/

Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android. Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.

Three Main Options

Traditional JavaScript library

- Add JavaScript code to your existing Web app to add richer GUIs and to support asynchronous, incremental updates to your page
 - E.g., jQuery, Dojo, Ext-JS, YUI, Prototype/Scriptaculous, Google Closure, Mootools

GWT

 Write everything in Java. Use RPC mechanism to talk to server. Client-side code gets compiled to JavaScript.

JSF 2.0 (or another framework with integrated Ajax support)

 Use simple tags to perform Ajax requests, without explicit JavaScript programming

36

Using a Traditional JavaScript Library

Scenario

- You already built a Web app (using Java, .NET, PHP, Ruby on Rails, or whatever)
- You want to upgrade it by adding richer GUI elements and Ajax-enabled features
- You do not want to start over and reimplement the existing functionality

Best approach

- Use JavaScript library with rich widgets and Ajax support
 - jQuery, Ext-JS, Dojo, YUI, Prototype/Scriptaculous, Google Closure, Mootools
- Which library is best is another hard question
 - Different libraries have different strengths. I have an entire talk on this question.

Example: jQuery for Bank Customer Info

Functionality

- Enter a customer ID
- Show the first name, last name, and bank account balance of customer with that ID
- Show error message if ID does not exist

Goals

- Do not reload the page
- Use existing server-side logic

Approach

- Use jQuery to pass ID to server via Ajax request and to insert result into page
- Extend server to format customer as list

38

Bank Example: HTML


39

Bank Example: JavaScript

40

Bank Example: Java

Bank Example: Results



Using GWT

Scenario

- You are starting a new Web app
- You want a large pure-Ajax app
 - · Looks like a desktop application
 - Has complex client-server communication
- For client-side code, you want strong typing, many data structures, and few runtime errors
 - · You want Java, not JavaScript for the client!

Best approach

- Use the Google Web Toolkit
 - · Client-side Java code gets compiled to JavaScript
 - · Powerful RPC mechanism for talking to server

Example: GWT for Bank Customer Info

Functionality

- Enter a customer ID
- Show the first name, last name, and bank account balance of customer with that ID
- Show error message if ID does not exist

Goals

- Do not reload the page
- Use straightforward server-side logic (no HTTP methods)
- Return Customer object, not just String, to client

Approach

- Use GWT
- Use Customer class on both client and server

14

Bank Example: Java (Customer Class)

Bank Example: Java (Core Client Code – Part 1)

```
private class ButtonHandler implements ClickHandler {
  public void onClick(ClickEvent event) {
    String id = idBox.getText();
    serviceProxy.findCustomer(id, new ButtonCallback());
  }
}
```

46

Bank Example: Java (Core Client Code – Part 2)


Bank Example: Java (Core Server Code)

48

Bank Example: JavaScript

This page deliberately left blank

Bank Example: Results



Using JSF 2.0

Scenario

- You are starting a new Web app
- You want a hybrid application
 - Regular form submission and page navigation
 - Ajax-enabled content
 - Richer controls
- You don't want to write a lot of JavaScript by hand

Best approach

- Use a Web app framework that includes rich controls and integrated Ajax support
 - Java developers: JSF 2.0, Struts 2.0, or Spring MVC 3.0
 - · .NET developers: ASP.NET Ajax

Example: JSF 2.0 for Bank Customer Info

Functionality

- Enter a customer ID
- Show the first name, last name, and bank account balance of customer with that ID
- Show error message if ID does not exist

Goals

- Do not reload the page
- Use existing server-side logic and bean names
- Use familiar JSF tags, not explicit JavaScript

Approach

- Use JSF 2.0 <f:ajax> tag
- Leave server code unchanged

52

Bank Example: JSF (Facelets)

Bank Example: JavaScript

This page deliberately left blank

54

Bank Example: Java

```
@ManagedBean
public class BankingBeanAjax extends BankingBeanBase {
  private String message = "";


  public String getMessage() {
    return(message);
  }

  public void setMessage(String message) {
    this.message = message;
  }
```

Bank Example: Java (Continued)

```
public String showBalance() {
  if (!password.equals("secret")) {
    message = "Incorrect password";
  } else {
    CustomerLookupService service =
      new CustomerSimpleMap();
    customer = service.findCustomer(customerId);
    if (customer == null) {
      message = "Unknown customer";
    } else {
      message =
        String.format("Balance for %s %s is $%,.2f",
                      customer.getFirstName(),
                      customer.getLastName(),
                      customer.getBalance());
    }
  return(null);
```

Bank Example: Results



Bottom Line

Use traditional JavaScript library when:

- You have existing app already built
- You want to incrementally add rich GUIs/Ajax to it

Use GWT when:

- You are starting a new Web app
- You want it to look like a desktop app (no page nav)
- You have complex client-server comms

Use JSF 2.0 when:

- You are starting a new Web app
- It will be a combination of traditional form submissions and page navigation plus rich GUIs/Ajax content

58

© 2012 Marty Hall



JSF 2.0 vs. JSF 1.x

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/

Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android. Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.

Overview of JSF 2.0

New features vs. JSF 1.x

- Smart defaults
- Annotations to replace many faces-config.xml entries
- Ajax support
- Integrated support for facelets
- Simpler custom components
- More components and validators
- Support for Groovy
- Ability to bookmark results pages
- Lots more

Downside

- Simple installation and testing instructions hard to find
 - Rectifying this is the main point of next section
 - Next section gives a whirlwind tour of main new JSF 2 features
 - Later sections give detailed tutorial on JSF 2.0

ഒവ

Main JSF 2.0 Implementations

Sun/Oracle Mojarra

- Main page: http://javaserverfaces.java.net/
- Runs in any server supporting servlets 2.5 or later
- Also integrated into Glassfish 3

Apache MyFaces

- Main page: http://myfaces.apache.org/core20/
- Runs in any server supporting servlets 2.5 or later
- Also integrated into Apache Geronimo 3

Any Java EE 6 server

- JSF 2.0 is an official part of Java EE 6
 - JBoss 6, Glassfish 3, WebLogic 11, WebSphere 8, Geronimo 3, etc.



Wrap-Up

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/

Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android.

Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.

Summary

General Web apps

- JSF 2 usually better than servlets/JSP with MVC
 - · Higher-level, more builtin features
- JSF 2 probably better than Struts 2
 - Technical arguments about even, but JSF 2 has 3rd party component libraries, industry momentum, and is official part of Java EE 6
- Other Java-based frameworks: No
 - For mainstream corporate projects, other frameworks (except possibly Spring MVC) have too small of a market share to be taken seriously, regardless of technical features.

Ajax-enabled Web apps

- Updating existing project to add Ajax capabilities
 - Use jQuery, Ext-JS, Dojo, or another JavaScript library
- New hybrid (page navigation plus Ajax) project
 - Use JSF 2 because of integrated Ajax support
- New pure-Ajax (no page navigation) project
 - Use GWT

JSF 2 vs. JSF 1

- Version 2 is both more powerful and simpler. Better in every way.
 Very big improvement over JSF version 1.x.
 - Only clear-cut answer in lecture!

© 2012 Marty Hall



Questions?

Customized Java EE Training: http://courses.coreservlets.com/ Java, JSF 2, PrimeFaces, Servlets, JSP, Ajax, jQuery, Spring, Hibernate, RESTful Web Services, Hadoop, Android. Developed and taught by well-known author and developer. At public venues or onsite at *your* location.