

Remark: minimization $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \nabla f(x^*) = 0 \rightarrow \text{Newton (Hessian required)} \\ \text{descent method} \\ \text{trust region method} \end{array} \right.$

Gradient descent method

1. search direction \vec{d}_n s.t. $\nabla f \cdot d < 0$
eg. $d_n := -\nabla f$ (steepest)
2. step length λ_n s.t. $f(x_n + \lambda_n d_n) < f(x_n)$
eg. $\lambda := \arg\min_t f(x_n + t \cdot d_n)$

Grad. desc. for $f \in \mathcal{P}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f(x) = \gamma + c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x, \quad Q \dots \text{SPD}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \arg\min_t f(x + t d) = - \frac{f(x) \cdot d}{d^T Q d}$$

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \lambda d_n$$

Lemma (conditioning)

$$f(x_{n+1}) - f(x^*) \leq \left(\frac{K-1}{K+1} \right)^2 \cdot [f(x_n) - f(x^*)]$$

$$K := \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}} \dots \text{cond.}(Q)$$

No proof.

Mitigate by choosing $d := -H \nabla f \Rightarrow f(x_{n+1}) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(H^{-1}Q) - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \cdot \Delta f$

\Rightarrow if $H = Q$: convergence in 1 step!

(equivalent to Newton method for ∇f)

Trust region method: realize, that quadratic approx of f is only accurate close to x^* .

One tries to minimize $q_k(x)$ under constraint $\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \leq \Delta_k$

(q_k - quadratic model of f)

model is good? check: $\rho_k := \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta g}$ (good: $\rho_k \approx 1$)

7, Eigenvalue problems

find eval and evec of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

Power method

1. init guess \vec{x}_0

$$2. \vec{x}_0 := \frac{\vec{x}_0}{\|\vec{x}_0\|}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_0 := x_0^H A x_0$$

$$3. x_{k+1} := \frac{A x_k}{\|A x_k\|}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{k+1} := x_{k+1}^H A x_{k+1}$$

Does not converge if $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ but $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2|$, slow conv if $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2$

Theorem (conv. of power meth.) to eval $\begin{cases} A \text{ diagonalizable} \\ |\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq \\ x_0 \text{ has component } \neq 0 \text{ in direct. of } \vec{v}_1 \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow x_e$ is well defined and $|\tilde{\lambda}_e - \lambda_1| \leq C \cdot \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^e$

Proof: $x_0 = \sum \alpha_i \vec{v}_i \Rightarrow A^k x_0 = \sum \alpha_i \lambda_i^k \vec{v}_i$

$$\Rightarrow x_e = C e \alpha_1 \lambda_1^e \cdot \underbrace{\left[\vec{v}_1 + \sum \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^e \vec{v}_i \right]}_{=: \vec{e}_e}, \quad C_e := \frac{1}{\|A^e x_0\|}$$

$$\varepsilon_e \leq C \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^e \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_e = x_e^H A x_e = \dots = \lambda_1 + O(\|\varepsilon\|)$$

Def $S := \text{span}\{x\}$, $T := \text{span}\{y\}$: distance $d(S, T) := |\sin \varphi|$
with $\cos \varphi := \frac{x \cdot y}{\|x\| \|y\|}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x \parallel y \Leftrightarrow d = 0 \\ x \perp y \Leftrightarrow d = 1 \end{cases}$$

Theorem (conv. of pow. meth. to evec) $d(\text{span } \vec{v}_1, \text{span } x_e) \leq \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^e \cdot \varepsilon$

Proof: $\text{span}\{x_e\} = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1 + \varepsilon \vec{e}\} \Rightarrow d(\dots) \leq C \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^e$

Inverse Iteration

1. init guess x_0
2. solve $A x_{e+1} = x_e$
3. $x_{e+1} := \frac{x_{e+1}}{\|x_{e+1}\|}$, $\lambda_{e+1} = \dots$

converges to largest value of $\frac{1}{|\lambda_i|}$

Inverse with shift

1. init guess
2. solve $(A - \lambda) x_{e+1} = x_e$
3. normalize x , find λ_{e+1}

converges to eval closest to shift parameter λ

forster conv, if λ is close to an eval \leadsto use the new λ_{e+1} as shift parameter

Rayleigh quotient iteration

1. init guess
2. $\lambda_e := x_e^H A x_e$
3. solve $(A - \lambda_e) x_{e+1} = x_e$, normalize x

Thm. Rayleigh quot. iter. converg.

$$d(\text{span } x, \text{span } v) \leq C \varepsilon^3$$

$$\left| \frac{x_0^H A x_0}{\|x_0\|^2} - \lambda \right| \leq C \varepsilon^2$$

No proof

(Error estimates & stopping crit.: we need Bauer-Fike)

Theorem (Bauer-Fike) relation of $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(A + \Delta A)$?

A ... diagonalizable: $A = T D T^{-1}$

$$\forall \mu \in \sigma(A + \Delta A) \Rightarrow \min_i |\mu - \lambda_i| \leq \text{cond}(T) \|\Delta A\|$$

Proof: ~~some~~ wlog: $\mu \in \sigma(A + \Delta A) \setminus \sigma(A)$... csw. □

Remark: 1. $\text{cond}(T)$ large if evecs of A close to lin. dependent

2. A self adjoint $\Rightarrow A = Q D Q$ with Q orthog.: $\text{cond}(Q) = 1$

Now:

Stopping crit.

$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\lambda})$... eigenpair if $Ax - \tilde{\lambda}x = 0$

Hope: residual $Ax - \tilde{\lambda}x =: r$ is good measure for deviation from eigenpair

Theorem

A ... diagon.: $A = T D T^{-1}$, $\|x\| = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 1. \min_{\lambda} |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq \text{cond}(T) \cdot \|r\| \\ 2. \text{ if } \tilde{\lambda} = x^H A x, A \text{ self adj.}, \tilde{\lambda} \text{ close to eval of } A: \\ \min_{\lambda} |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq C \|r\|^2 \end{cases}$$

Proof: 1. \square set $A + \Delta A := A - r x^H$ and use Bauer Fike □

2. literature

We now want to find all evals!

\leadsto construct sequence of $A_k \rightarrow$ upper triangular

Instead of diagonal, we use Schur-Form

Theorem (Schur-representation) $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, Q orthogonal, R upper triangle st.

$$A = Q R Q^H$$

Proof: for $n=1$ trivial, $A\tilde{v} = \lambda\tilde{v}$
Let $V' \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ st $V := (\tilde{v}, V')$ is unitary

$$(\text{Now because } A\tilde{v} = \lambda\tilde{v} \Rightarrow V^H A \tilde{v} = V^H \lambda \tilde{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{v}^H \\ V'^H \end{pmatrix} \lambda \tilde{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

$$\Rightarrow V^H A V = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & w^T \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

By induction, C can also be written in upper triangl. form □

Jacobi method find upper tri. matrix by Givens rotations

(only symmetric A considered here) $A := G(i,j,\theta)^T A G(i,j,\theta)$

choose i,j,θ st. A is diagonalized

off-diag entries

Lemma $B := G^T A G$ st. $B_{ij} = B_{ji} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{off}(B)^2 = \text{off}(A)^2 - 2|A_{ij}|^2$

Proof: $\text{off}(B)^2 = \|B\|_F^2 - \sum_k |B_{kk}|^2 \stackrel{\text{Bauer-Fike}}{=} \|A\|_F^2 - \sum_{k \in \{i,j\}} |B_{kk}|^2 - |B_{ii}|^2 - |B_{jj}|^2$
 $= \dots = \text{off}(A)^2 - 2|A_{ij}|^2$

\Rightarrow should choose (i,j) st. $|A_{ij}|$ is as large as possible. Then:

Lemma $\text{off}(A)^2 \leq \frac{n}{2} \cdot 2|A_{ij}|^2 = n|A_{ij}|^2$

and $\Rightarrow \text{off}(B)^2 = \text{off}(A)^2 - 2|A_{ij}|^2 \leq (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \text{off}(A)^2$

Converg: linear, but faster for A close to diagonal!

Jacobi touches less entries of A than QR

Orthog. iteration

recall: power iter generates sequence $\{A^e \text{span } \vec{x}_0\}$ to eigenspace of largest eval

idea: sequence $(A^e X_0)$ of k -dim space to k -dominant eval instead of normalizing \vec{x}_0 , make $A^e X_0$ orthonormal basis

$$\begin{cases} 1. \text{ init } X_0 = Q_0 R_0, & Q_0 \text{ orthog.}, R \text{ upper tri.} \\ 2. X_{k+1} := A Q_k \\ 3. Q_{k+1} R_{k+1} := X_{k+1} & (\text{QR-fact.}) \end{cases}$$

Remark: without QR-fact, one gets only 1 eval

Theorem (conv.) the k evals $\{\tilde{\lambda}_{i,e}\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$ of $Q_e^H A Q_e$ satisfy

$$\min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\tilde{\lambda}_{i,e} - \lambda| \leq C \cdot \underbrace{\left| \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} \right|}_<1^e$$

No proof.

QR-Algorithm (viewed as orth. iter)
$$\begin{cases} 1. X_0 := I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ and } X_0 := Q_0 R_0 \\ 2. X_{k+1} := A Q_k \\ 3. Q_{k+1} R_{k+1} := X_{k+1} & (\text{QR-fact.}) \end{cases}$$

it performs n orth. iter simultaneously

QR- Algo (basic form)

1. $A_0 := A$
2. $A_{\ell} := Q_{\ell} R_{\ell} Q_{\ell}^T$ (QR-decomp.)
3. $A_{\ell+1} := R_{\ell} Q_{\ell}$ (\rightarrow conv to upper tri. form)

~~efficiency~~ efficiency:

$O(n^3)$ for each ℓ

so $O(n^4)$ in total \rightarrow combine w. shift parameter to make more efficient

QR- Algo (~~basic~~ Hessenberg form)

if A has Hessenb. form

(A)

\Rightarrow cost of QR: $O(n^2)$

\Rightarrow cost of multiplication with RQ : $O(n^2)$

QR- Algo (Deflation)

if $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & \dots & x \\ x & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mu$, $x \neq 0$, $\mu \dots \text{eval}(A)$

(B)

now one can look for λ_i on the submatrix

QR- Algo (with shift)

(tries to accelerate
Deflation)

(C)

1. init $A_0 := A$
2. choose $\mu^{(2)}$
3. $A_{\ell} - \mu^{(2)} := Q \cdot R$
4. $A_{\ell+1} := R \cdot Q + \mu^{(2)}$

$\mu^{(2)}$ can be chosen as $A_{\ell}(n,n)$.

The QR- Algo does implicitly an inverse iter. for a certain starting value.
A good choice for μ is therefore a Rayleigh-quotient $\rightarrow A_{\ell}(n,n)$

QR- Algo (combining (A)(B)(C))

1. make A Hessenberg, $\mu^{(1)} := A_{\ell}(n,n)$
 2. $A_{\ell} - \mu^{(1)} := Q \cdot R$
 3. $A_{\ell+1} = R \cdot Q + \mu^{(1)}$
 4. recursion on submatrix $A(1:n-1, 1:n-1)$
- } until $A(n, n-1)$ is small

8) Conjugate Gradient Method

$$Ax^* = b \Leftrightarrow Ae_0 = r_0$$

goal: approx x^* by $x_{\ell} = x_0 + e_{\ell}$

\Rightarrow find $x_{\ell} \in x_0 + K_{\ell}$ st. $\|x^* - x_{\ell}\|_A \leq \|x^* - x\|_A \quad \forall x$

iterative sol for $Ax^* = b$, $A \dots$ SPD

rule: don't compute A^{-1} , only use $x \mapsto A \cdot x$

Def.: $\begin{cases} (x, y)_A := x^T A y \\ K_{\ell} := \{r_0, A r_0, \dots, A^{\ell-1} r_0\} \end{cases}$