

# Numerical Computations Summary

## Polynomial Interpolation

goal: given  $\{(x_i, f_i)\}$ , find  $p \in P_n$  st.  $p(x_i) = f_i$  (1)

application: extra-interpol, int, diff

Theorem (Lagrange Interpol)  $x_i \dots$  distinct  $\Rightarrow \exists p \in P_n$  st.

$$p(x) = \sum_i f_i \cdot l_i(x), \quad l_i(x) = \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}} \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j}$$

Proof:

1.  $l_i \in P_n$
2.  $l_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$
3. 1, 2  $\Rightarrow p$  is a solution to the interp. problem (1)
4.  $p_1, p_2 \dots$  solutions  $\Rightarrow p := p_1 - p_2 \in P_n$ ,  $p$  has at least  $n+1$  zeros, fund. thm. algebra  $\Rightarrow p_1 = p_2$   $\blacksquare$

More efficient evaluation of  $p(x)$ : Neville - scheme

Theorem (Neville - scheme) given  $\{(x_i, f_i)\}$ , denote by  $p_{j,m} \in P_m$ :  
find  $p \in P_m$  st.  $p(x_k) = f_k$  for  $k \in \{j, \dots, j+m\}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} p_{j,0} = f_j \\ p_{j,m} = \frac{(x - x_j) p_{j+1,m-1} - (x - x_{j+m}) p_{j,m-1}}{x_{j+m} - x_j} \end{cases} =: \pi, \quad m \geq 1$$

Proof:

- $\pi \in P_m$
- $\pi(x_j) = p_{j,m-1}(x_j) = f_j$
- $\pi(x_{j+m}) = p_{j+1,m-1}(x_{j+m}) = f_{j+m}$
- $i \in \{j+1, \dots, j+m-1\}$
- $\pi(x_i) = \dots = f_i$

$\Rightarrow \pi = p_{j,m}$   $\blacksquare$

Cost:  $O(n^2)$  bzw.  $O(\frac{n^2}{2})$

More efficient evaluation in  $O(n)$ :

Definition (divided Diff):

$$f[x_i] := f_i$$

$$f[x_0, \dots, x_k] := \frac{f[x_1, \dots, x_k] - f[x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}]}{x_k - x_0}$$

Definition (Neville Polyn.):

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_j w_j,$$

$$w_j := \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (x - x_i) \quad (2)$$

Theorem (Neville Polyn.):  $x_i$  ... distinct:

$$\Rightarrow d_i \equiv f[x_0, \dots, x_i]$$

Proof: Neville - Theorem  $\Rightarrow$  leading coeff of  $p_{j,k} := c(p_{j,k}) = f[x_j, \dots, x_{j+k}]$   
then do induction on  $k$

Remark:

$$f[x_0, \dots, x_n] \approx \frac{1}{n!} p^{(n)}(x_0)$$

Definition: (Horner scheme)

rearrange (2) gives  $O(n)$  evaluation:

$$p(x) = d_0 + d_1(x - x_0) + d_2(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \dots$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p(x) = d_0 + (x - x_0) [d_1 + (x - x_1) [d_2 + \dots]]$$

Evaluation:  $O(n)$ , find coeffs: still  $O(n^2)$

Remark:

Use Neville scheme for extrapolation, e.g.  $u(x) = e^x$

$$\text{Define } D(h) := \begin{cases} u'(0) & h=0 \\ \frac{u(0+h) - u(0)}{h} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Do a Neville scheme for  $h_j = 2^{-j}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 10\}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & m_0 & m_{01} & m_{02} & \dots \\ h_0 & D(h_0) = D_{00} & D_{01} & D_{02} & \dots \\ h_1 & D_{10} & & & \\ \vdots & D_{20} & & & \\ & \vdots & & & \end{array}$$

Theorem (Interp. error)  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_i \in [a, b]$  distinct,  $f \in C^{(n+1)}([a, b]) \Rightarrow \exists \xi \in [a, b]$

$$f(x) - p(x) = w_{n+1}(x) \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}, \quad w_{n+1}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^n (x - x_i)$$

- Proof:
1.  $g \in C^1, \quad g(a) = g(b) \Rightarrow \exists \xi \in [a, b] : g'(\xi) = 0$
  2. for  $x \in \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \Rightarrow w_{n+1}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow p - f = 0$
  3. for  $x \notin \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ .

Define  $g(t) = f(t) - p(t) - K w_{n+1}(t), \quad K := \frac{f(x) - p(x)}{w_{n+1}(x)}$

$g$  has  $n+2$  zeros:  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  and  $x$

$g^{(n+1)}$  has 1 zero,  $p^{(n+1)} = 0, \quad w_{n+1}^{(n+1)} = (n+1)!$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = g^{(n+1)}(\xi) = p^{(n+1)}(\xi) - \underbrace{p^{(n+1)}(\xi)}_{=0} - K \underbrace{w_{n+1}^{(n+1)}}_{=(n+1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow K = \frac{p^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}$$

Theorem (Interpol. err)  $f \in C^{n+1}([a, b]), \quad h_i := \eta^i, \quad x_0 \in [a, b]$

$P_{i,m} \in P_m$  interpolates  $f$  at  $x_0 + h_{i+j}, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, m\} \Rightarrow \exists C > 0$  s.t.

$$|f(x_0) - P_{i,m}(x_0)| \leq C \cdot h_i^{m+1}$$

Proof: use previous theorem  $\Rightarrow \exists \xi$  s.t.

$$|f(x_0) - P_{i,m}(x_0)| \leq \frac{1}{(m+1)!} |f^{(m+1)}(\xi)| \cdot \underbrace{\left| \prod_{j=0}^m (x_0 - (x_0 - h_{i+j})) \right|}_{= w_{n+1}(x_0)} \leq C h_i^{m+1}$$

Extrapolation of func. with add. structure

$$D_{\text{sym}} := \frac{u(0+h) - u(0-h)}{2h} \stackrel{\text{Taylor}}{=} u'(0) + \frac{1}{3!} u^{(3)}(0) h^2 + \frac{1}{5!} u^{(5)}(0) h^4 + \dots$$

$\Rightarrow D_{\text{sym}}$  is a function of  $h^2$

we interpolate  $(h_i^2, D_{\text{sym}}(h_i)), \quad i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

$\Rightarrow$  because  $D_{\text{sym}}$  is symmetric  $\Rightarrow (-h_{i+j}, D_{\text{sym}}(-h_{i+j})) = (-h_{i+j}, D_{\text{sym}}(h_{i+j}))$

$\Rightarrow$  error bound  $C h_i^{2m}$

Theorem (Chebyshev points)

choosing  $x_i = \frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{b-a}{2} \cdot \cos\left(\pi \frac{2i+1}{2n+2}\right)$

yields  $\Rightarrow \|w_{n+1}^{\text{Cheb}}\|_{\infty} \leq \|w_{n+1}\|_{\infty}$

## Theorem (Chebyshev error bound)

Define Lebesgue const.

$$\Lambda_n^{\text{leb}} := \max_x \sum_i |l_i^{\text{leb}}(x)|$$

Lagrange polynomial.

$I_n^{\text{leb}}$  interpolation polynomial of  $f$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{(i)} \|I_n^{\text{leb}} f\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda_n \|f\|_{\infty} \\ \text{(ii)} \|f - I_n^{\text{leb}} f\|_{\infty} \leq (1 + \Lambda_n) \min_{q \in P_n} \|f - q\|_{\infty} \\ \text{(iii)} \Lambda_n \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \ln(n+1) + 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \|I_n f\|_{\infty} &= \max_x |(I_n f)(x)| = \max_x \left| \sum_i f(x_i) \cdot l_i(x) \right| \\ &\leq \max_i |f(x_i)| \cdot \max_x \sum_i |l_i| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \cdot \Lambda_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad \|f - I_n f\|_{\infty} &= \|f - I_n f - \underbrace{(q - I_n q)}_{\equiv 0, q \in P_n}\|_{\infty} = \|f - q - I_n(f - q)\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \|f - q\|_{\infty} + \|I_n(f - q)\|_{\infty} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(i)}}{\leq} \|f - q\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_n \|f - q\|_{\infty} = (1 + \Lambda_n) \|f - q\|_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Literature

Remark •  $\Lambda_n$  is "how much worse Chebyshev interpol in comparison to best possible interpolation" in  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  norm

•  $\Lambda_n$  grows with  $\log(n)$

• perturbations in  $f(x_i^{\text{leb}})$  have small impact on error

## Splines: Definition

partition  $\Delta = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b\}$  on  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$

step widths  $h_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$

spline space  $S^{p,r}(\Delta) := \{u \in C^r([a, b]), |u|_{I_i} \in P_p \forall i\}$

element  $I_i := (x_i, x_{i+1})$

$s \in S^r(\Delta)$  is a spline if  $s(x_i) = f_i \quad \forall i$

Def: Lin. splines

$p=1, r=0$ :  $S \in S^{1,0}$  has unique solution  $s(x) = \sum_i f_i \varphi_i(x)$   
with  $\varphi_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$



Lemma (Spline error):  $\|f - s\|_{\infty} \leq Ch^2 \|f''\|_{\infty}$

Def. Cubic Spline

$$p=3, r=2$$

$$s \in S^{3,2}(\Delta) \text{ st. } s(x_i) = f_i, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$$

These give  $n+1$  equations

Lemma (Dim of  $S^{p,r}(\Delta)$ )  $\dim(P_p) = p+1$

$\Rightarrow$  space of discont. polynomials:  $\dim = n(p+1)$

$s \in C^r$  ~~and~~  $n-1$  interior points  $\Rightarrow (n-1)(r+1)$  conditions

$$\Rightarrow \dim(S^{p,r}(\Delta)) = n(p+1) - (n-1)(r+1)$$

for cubic spline:  $\dim(S) = n+3 \Rightarrow$  need 2 more cond.

Def. Cubic splines

1. <sup>complete</sup> clamped spline:  $s'(x_0) = f'_0, \quad s'(x_n) = f'_n$
2. periodic spline:  $s'(x_0) = s'(x_n), \quad s''(x_0) = s''(x_n)$
3. natural spline:  $s''(x_0) = s''(x_n) = 0$
4. "not-a-knot": no jump at  $s'''(x_0)$  or  $s'''(x_n)$

Theorem (Cubic Spline error)

- if either (i)  $s$  is <sup>complete</sup> clamped ~~and~~  
 (ii)  $s$  is periodic and  $f_0 = f_n$   
 (iii)  $s$  is "not-a-knot"

$\Rightarrow s$  is unique and  $\|f - s\|_{\infty} \leq Ch^4 \|f^{(4)}\|_{\infty}$   
 $\|f' - s'\|_{\infty} \leq Ch^3 \|f^{(4)}\|_{\infty}$

Theorem (energy minimization)

(i) complete  $s \in S^{3,2}: \|s''\|_{L^2} \leq \|y''\|_{L^2} \quad \forall y \in C_{\text{compl.}}$

$$C_{\text{compl.}} = \{y \in C^2 \mid y(x_i) = f_i, \quad y'(x_0) = f'_0, \quad y'(x_n) = f'_n\}$$

(ii) natural  $s \in S^{3,2}: \|s''\|_{L^2} \leq \|y''\|_{L^2} \quad \forall y \in C_{\text{nat}}$

$$C_{\text{nat}} = \{y \in C^2 \mid y''_0 = y''_n = 0\}$$

(iii) periodic  $s \in S^{3,2}: \|s''\|_{L^2} \leq \|y''\|_{L^2} \quad \forall y \in C_{\text{per.}}$

$$C_{\text{per.}} = \{y \in C^2 \mid y'_0 = y'_n, \quad y''_0 = y''_n\}$$

Remark: in Elasticity theory: deflection of spline is such that the energy  $\frac{1}{2} \|y''\|_{L^2}^2$  is minimized  
 the prev. theorem shows splines that minimize this energy

Remark:  $r \geq p \Rightarrow S^{Pr}(\Delta) \equiv P_p$

Hermite interpol:

Def. Trigonometric interpol. polyn. of f

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=-m}^m c_j e^{ijx} \quad \text{and} \quad p(x_k) \stackrel{!}{=} y_k \quad (3)$$

Def. Fourier series of f

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j e^{ijx} \quad \text{with} \quad f_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-ijx} dx$$

To approximate  $f(x)$ , use  $c_j$  instead of  $f_j$

Modified Problem

$$(3) \Rightarrow e^{imx} p(x) = e^{imx} \sum_{j=-m}^m c_j e^{ijx} = \sum_{j=0}^{2m} c_{j-m} e^{ijx} =: \tilde{p}(x)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \tilde{p}(x_k) \stackrel{!}{=} \tilde{y}_k := y_k e^{imx_k}$$

or, with a new notation (!):

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j e^{ijx}, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad p(x_j) = y_j$$

Theorem: the problem has a unique sol.

Proof:  $z_j := e^{ix_j}, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad \text{Ansatz } p(x) = \sum_j c_j z_j^j(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} z_0^0 & z_0^1 & \dots & z_0^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ z_{n-1}^0 & z_{n-1}^1 & \dots & z_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{=: V} \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(V) = \prod_{j \neq k} (z_n - z_j) \neq 0 \quad (\text{as } z_k \text{ are distinct})$$

## Theorem: Solution - Re DFT (Matrix)

From now on: uniform last distr.:  $x_j = \frac{2\pi j}{n}$ ,  $e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{n}} =: W_n$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{~~the~~ } (W_n^j = e^{-ix_j}) \\ p(x) = \sum_j c_j e^{ijx}, \quad V_n := (W_n^{j \cdot k})_{j,k=0, \dots, n-1} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \frac{1}{n} V_n \vec{y} = \vec{c} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} V_n \dots \text{sym and unitary (i.e. } A^{-1} = \overline{A^T}) \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \overline{V_n} = (W_n^{-j \cdot k})_{j,k=0, \dots, n-1} \end{array}$$

Proof: (iii) obvious

$$\text{(ii)} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \end{pmatrix} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} V_n$$

$$\bullet \vec{V}_k^H \vec{V}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_j W_n^{-jk} W_n^{jk} = 1 \quad \text{geom. sequence}$$

$$\bullet k \neq \ell: \vec{V}_k^H \vec{V}_\ell = \frac{1}{n} \sum_j W_n^{-jk} W_n^{\ell j} = 0$$

(i)

## Definition DFT

$$F_n: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$\vec{y} \mapsto V_n \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{c} \quad \text{is called DFT}$$

$$\text{cost: } O(n^2)$$

## Lemma FFT

$$n = 2m, \quad W = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}, \quad \xi^2 := W^2, \quad \ell \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_k = \sum_j y_j W^{kj} \quad \text{can be split into}$$

$$\begin{cases} a_{2\ell} = \sum_j g_j \xi^{j\ell}, & g_j = y_j + y_{j+m} \\ a_{2\ell+1} = \sum_j h_j \xi^{j\ell}, & h_j = (y_j - y_{j+m}) W^j \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Proof: } a_{2\ell} = \sum_j y_j W^{2\ell j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} y_j W^{2\ell j} + y_{j+\frac{n}{2}} W^{2\ell(j+\frac{n}{2})} = \dots = \sum_j W^{2\ell j} (y_j + y_{j+\frac{n}{2}})$$

$$a_{2\ell+1} = \sum_j y_j W^{(2\ell+1)j} = \dots = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (y_j - y_{j+m}) W^j W^{2\ell j}$$

This slows, computing  $F_n(\vec{y})$  can be reduced to computing  $F_{\frac{n}{2}}(\vec{g})$  and  $F_{\frac{n}{2}}(\vec{h})$  !! Each of these have  $O((\frac{n}{2})^2)$  !!  
 FFT: do that ~~rec~~ recursively !!

Lemur: Cost of FFT is  $O(n \log(n))$

Proof:  $A(n)$  .. cost of DFT of  $n$  data points,  $n = 2^p$   
 $A(n) \leq 2A(\frac{n}{2}) + C \cdot n$  ← cost of computing  $g, h$

$$\begin{aligned} A(n) &\leq 2A(\frac{n}{2}) + C \cdot n \\ &\leq 2A(2^{p-1}) + C \cdot 2^p \\ &\leq 2[2A(2^{p-2}) + C \cdot 2^{p-1}] + C \cdot 2^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\dots \leq 2^p A(2^0) + pC \cdot 2^p \leq n \log_2 n + C'$$

Def. Convolution  $*$   $(f * g)_k = \sum_j f_{k-j} g_j$   
 $\uparrow \quad \uparrow$   
 $n$ -periodic sequences

Convolution Theorem  $F(f * g) = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}$  ... point-wise product of Fourier coeffs.

(No proof)  
Fast convolution

naive conv.:  $O(n^2)$   
 using theorem before:  $O(n \log n)$

Example: Product of large numbers

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= \sum_{j=0}^n x_j b^j \\ y &:= \sum_{j=0}^n y_j b^j \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \cdot y = \sum_j z_j b^j$$

$$\text{with } z_j = \sum_k x_{j-k} y_k$$

convolution!

Example: circulant matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_{n-1} & c_{n-2} & \dots & c_1 \\ c_1 & c_0 & c_{n-1} & & c_2 \\ \vdots & c_1 & c_0 & & \vdots \\ c_{n-1} & \vdots & c_1 & & c_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{c} := (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})^T \Rightarrow \vec{y} = C \vec{x}$$

can be rewritten as  $y_j = \sum_k \vec{c}_{j-k} x_k$