


Gaussian Processes

Mark van der Wilk

Department of Computing
Imperial College London

@markvanderwilk
m.vdwilk@imperial.ac.uk

January 27, 2023

Recap: Model

- Specify prior on weights $p(\mathbf{w})$

Recap: Model

- ▶ Specify prior on weights $p(\mathbf{w})$
- ▶ Defines distribution on functions through $f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w}$

Recap: Model

- ▶ Specify prior on weights $p(\mathbf{w})$
- ▶ Defines distribution on functions through $f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w}$
- ▶ Observe data through likelihood
$$p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(y_n; f(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2)$$

Recap: Inference

- ▶ Find posterior on weights $p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y})$

Recap: Inference

- ▶ Find posterior on weights $p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y})$
- ▶ Combine this with $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{w})$ to find $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{y})$:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_*|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \left[\mathbf{I}_M + \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X}) \right]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \mathbf{y} \right. \\ \left. \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \left[\mathbf{I}_M + \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X}) \right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right)$$

Recap: Inference

- ▶ Find posterior on weights $p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y})$
- ▶ Combine this with $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{w})$ to find $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{y})$:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_*|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \left[\mathbf{I}_M + \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X}) \right]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \mathbf{y} \right. \\ \left. \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \left[\mathbf{I}_M + \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X}) \right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right)$$

- ▶ Apply Woodbury to go from $O(NM^2 + M^3) \rightarrow O(N^3)$:

$$p(y_*|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(y_*; \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \left[\Phi(\mathbf{X}) \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right]^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \right. \\ \left. \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*) + \sigma^2 \right. \\ \left. - \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \left[\Phi(\mathbf{X}) \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right]^{-1} \Phi(\mathbf{X}) \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*) \right)$$

Recap: Inference

- ▶ Find posterior on weights $p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y})$
- ▶ Combine this with $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{w})$ to find $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{y})$:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_*|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \left[\mathbf{I}_M + \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X}) \right]^{-1} \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \mathbf{y} \right. \\ \left. \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*)^\top \left[\mathbf{I}_M + \sigma^{-2} \Phi(\mathbf{X})^\top \Phi(\mathbf{X}) \right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_*) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right)$$

- ▶ Apply Woodbury to go from $O(NM^2 + M^3) \rightarrow O(N^3)$:
- ▶ Apply Kernel trick $\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}') = k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$

$$p(y_*|\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(y_*; \quad k(\mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{X}) \left[k(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right]^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \right. \\ \left. k(\mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{x}_*) + \sigma^2 - k(\mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{X}) \left[k(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right]^{-1} k(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}_*) \right)$$

Today

- ▶ Develop interpretation of the maths we got from Woodbury

Today

- ▶ Develop interpretation of the maths we got from Woodbury
- ▶ This is a way of specifying distributions on functions

Today

- ▶ Develop interpretation of the maths we got from Woodbury
- ▶ This is a way of specifying distributions on functions
- ▶ But without parameters!

How do we get rid of parameters?

Model:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; 0, \mathbf{I}_M) \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w} \quad (2)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(y_n; f(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2) \quad (3)$$

How do we get rid of parameters?

Model:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; 0, \mathbf{I}_M) \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w} \quad (2)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(y_n; f(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2) \quad (3)$$

Observation: Likelihood only depends on function value

How do we get rid of parameters?

Model:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; 0, \mathbf{I}_M) \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w} \quad (2)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(y_n; f(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2) \quad (3)$$

Observation: Likelihood only depends on function value

\implies Can we ignore the distribution on weights, and work directly with function values?

How do we get rid of parameters?

Model:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; 0, \mathbf{I}_M) \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w} \quad (2)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(y_n; f(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2) \quad (3)$$

Observation: Likelihood only depends on function value

\implies Can we ignore the distribution on weights, and work directly with function values?

All we are **really** interested in, is:

How do we get rid of parameters?

Model:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; 0, \mathbf{I}_M) \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w} \quad (2)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(y_n; f(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2) \quad (3)$$

Observation: Likelihood only depends on function value

⇒ Can we ignore the distribution on weights, and work directly with function values?

All we are **really** interested in, is:

- Predicting data $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{y})$

How do we get rid of parameters?

Model:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; 0, \mathbf{I}_M) \quad (1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{w} \quad (2)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(y_n; f(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2) \quad (3)$$

Observation: Likelihood only depends on function value

\implies Can we ignore the distribution on weights, and work directly with function values?

All we are **really** interested in, is:

- ▶ Predicting data $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{y})$
- ▶ Predicting function values $p(f(X^*))|\mathbf{y})$

Distribution on Function Values

Let's start by analysing distribution on function values $p(f(X))$ (board)

Distribution on Function Values

Let's start by analysing distribution on function values $p(f(X))$ (board)

- ▶ Function values are linear transformation of Gaussian RV
For arbitrary N inputs arranged in a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$:

$$f(X) = \Phi(X)\mathbf{w} \quad (4)$$

Distribution on Function Values

Let's start by analysing distribution on function values $p(f(X))$ (board)

- ▶ Function values are linear transformation of Gaussian RV
For arbitrary N inputs arranged in a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$:

$$f(X) = \Phi(X)\mathbf{w} \quad (4)$$

- ▶ As usual \implies Gaussian distributed, and can find mean+var

Distribution on Function Values

Let's start by analysing distribution on function values $p(f(X))$ (board)

- ▶ Function values are linear transformation of Gaussian RV
For arbitrary N inputs arranged in a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$:

$$f(X) = \Phi(X)\mathbf{w} \quad (4)$$

- ▶ As usual \implies Gaussian distributed, and can find mean+var

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\Phi(X)\mathbf{w}] = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\Phi(X)\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T\Phi(X)^T] = \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^T \quad (6)$$

$$\implies p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^T) \quad (7)$$

Distribution on Function Values

Let's start by analysing distribution on function values $p(f(X))$ (board)

- ▶ Function values are linear transformation of Gaussian RV
For arbitrary N inputs arranged in a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$:

$$f(X) = \Phi(X)\mathbf{w} \quad (4)$$

- ▶ As usual \implies Gaussian distributed, and can find mean+var

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\Phi(X)\mathbf{w}] = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\Phi(X)\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T\Phi(X)^T] = \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^T \quad (6)$$

$$\implies p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^T) \quad (7)$$

- ▶ All function values are correlated

Distribution on Function Values

Let's start by analysing distribution on function values $p(f(X))$ (board)

- ▶ Function values are linear transformation of Gaussian RV
For arbitrary N inputs arranged in a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$:

$$f(X) = \Phi(X)\mathbf{w} \quad (4)$$

- ▶ As usual \implies Gaussian distributed, and can find mean+var

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\Phi(X)\mathbf{w}] = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[\Phi(X)\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T\Phi(X)^T] = \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^T \quad (6)$$

$$\implies p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^T) \quad (7)$$

- ▶ All function values are correlated
- ▶ Kernel trick applies! $[\Phi(X)\Phi(X)^T]_{ij} = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i)^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_j) = k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$

Predicting

Let's focus on $p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y})$.

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y}) &\stackrel{\text{AT}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}, f(X), f(X^*)) \, df(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \\ &\stackrel{\text{MA}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) p(f(X), f(X^*)) \, df(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \end{aligned}$$

Predicting

Let's focus on $p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y})$.

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y}) &\stackrel{\text{AT}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}, f(X), f(X^*)) \, \mathrm{d}f(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \\ &\stackrel{\text{MA}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) p(f(X), f(X^*)) \, \mathrm{d}f(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \end{aligned}$$

$$p(f(X), f(X^*)) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(X) \\ f(X^*) \end{bmatrix}; 0, \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^\top & \Phi(X)\Phi(X^*)^\top \\ \Phi(X^*)\Phi(X)^\top & \Phi(X^*)\Phi(X^*)^\top \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Predicting

Let's focus on $p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y})$.

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y}) &\stackrel{\text{AT}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}, f(X), f(X^*)) \, \mathrm{d}f(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \\ &\stackrel{\text{MA}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) p(f(X), f(X^*)) \, \mathrm{d}f(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \end{aligned}$$

$$p(f(X), f(X^*)) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(X) \\ f(X^*) \end{bmatrix}; 0, \begin{bmatrix} k(X, X) & k(X, X^*) \\ k(X^*, X) & k(X^*, X^*) \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Predicting

Let's focus on $p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y})$.

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y}) &\stackrel{\text{AT}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}, f(X), f(X^*)) \, df(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \\ &\stackrel{\text{MA}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) p(f(X), f(X^*)) \, df(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \end{aligned}$$

$$p(f(X), f(X^*)) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(X) \\ f(X^*) \end{bmatrix}; 0, \begin{bmatrix} k(X, X) & k(X, X^*) \\ k(X^*, X) & k(X^*, X^*) \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Easiest way: Find joint, Gaussian conditioning (board)

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(X^*) \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}; 0, \begin{bmatrix} k(X^*, X^*) & k(X^*, X) \\ k(X, X^*) & k(X, X) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (8)$$

Predicting

Let's focus on $p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y})$.

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y}) &\stackrel{\text{AT}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}, f(X), f(X^*)) \, df(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \\ &\stackrel{\text{MA}}{=} \frac{\int p(\mathbf{y}|f(X)) p(f(X), f(X^*)) \, df(X)}{p(\mathbf{y})} \end{aligned}$$

$$p(f(X), f(X^*)) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(X) \\ f(X^*) \end{bmatrix}; 0, \begin{bmatrix} k(X, X) & k(X, X^*) \\ k(X^*, X) & k(X^*, X^*) \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Easiest way: Find joint, Gaussian conditioning (board)

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} f(X^*) \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}; 0, \begin{bmatrix} k(X^*, X^*) & k(X^*, X) \\ k(X, X^*) & k(X, X) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(f(X^*)|\mathbf{y}) &= \mathcal{N}\left(f(X^*); \quad k(X^*, X)[k(X, X) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N]^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. k(X^*, X^*) - k(X^*, X)[k(X, X) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N]^{-1} k(X, X^*)\right) \end{aligned}$$

What is a Gaussian Process?

- ▶ Same as what we get from BLR + Woodbury + kernel trick!

What is a Gaussian Process?

- ▶ Same as what we get from BLR + Woodbury + kernel trick!
- ▶ No need for parameters, only kernel k !

What is a Gaussian Process?

- ▶ Same as what we get from BLR + Woodbury + kernel trick!
- ▶ No need for parameters, only kernel k !

Who needs parameters?

What is a Gaussian Process?

- ▶ Same as what we get from BLR + Woodbury + kernel trick!
- ▶ No need for parameters, only kernel k !

Who needs parameters?

\implies Can answer any prediction question
using only distribution on function *values*.

What is a Gaussian Process?

A (possibly infinite) collection of Random Variables

What is a Gaussian Process?

A (possibly infinite) collection of Random Variables such that each finite collection has a Gaussian distribution.

What is a Gaussian Process?

A (possibly infinite) collection of Random Variables such that each finite collection has a Gaussian distribution.

Properties

- ▶ I will index this collection with x .
- ▶ For this to be a valid collection of RVs, sum rule must hold:

What is a Gaussian Process?

A (possibly infinite) collection of Random Variables such that each finite collection has a Gaussian distribution.

Properties

- ▶ I will index this collection with x .
- ▶ For this to be a valid collection of RVs, sum rule must hold:

$$p(f(x_1), f(x_2)) = \int p(f(x_1), f(x_2), f(x_3)) \mathrm{d}f(x_3) \quad (9)$$

Specifying Gaussian Processes

Can specify the function value densities $p(f(X))$ using:

- ▶ Mean function $\mu : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Covariance function $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Specifying Gaussian Processes

Can specify the function value densities $p(f(X))$ using:

- ▶ Mean function $\mu : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Covariance function $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); \mu(X), k(X, X))$$

$$\mu(X) \in \mathbb{R}^N \qquad k(X, X) \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$$

$$[\mu(X)]_i = \mu(\mathbf{x}_i) \qquad [k(X, X)]_{ij} = k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

Specifying Gaussian Processes

Can specify the function value densities $p(f(X))$ using:

- ▶ Mean function $\mu : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Covariance function $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); \mu(X), k(X, X))$$

$$\mu(X) \in \mathbb{R}^N \qquad k(X, X) \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$$

$$[\mu(X)]_i = \mu(\mathbf{x}_i) \qquad [k(X, X)]_{ij} = k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

Covariance function $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ must be a positive definite function.
I.e. $k(X, X)$ is PSD for any choice of X .

BLR Specifies a GP

- ▶ BLR specifies a density of a collection of RVs

BLR Specifies a GP

- ▶ BLR specifies a density of a collection of RVs
- ▶ Collection of random variables is **function values** at all locations

$$p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^\top) \quad (10)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, k(X, X)) \quad (11)$$

BLR Specifies a GP

- ▶ BLR specifies a density of a collection of RVs
- ▶ Collection of random variables is **function values** at all locations

$$p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^\top) \quad (10)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, k(X, X)) \quad (11)$$

- ▶ \implies BLR specifies a GP and a kernel

BLR Specifies a GP

- ▶ BLR specifies a density of a collection of RVs
- ▶ Collection of random variables is **function values** at all locations

$$p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^\top) \quad (10)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, k(X, X)) \quad (11)$$

- ▶ \implies BLR specifies a GP and a kernel
- ▶ Directly specifying a kernel, also specifies a GP

BLR Specifies a GP

- ▶ BLR specifies a density of a collection of RVs
- ▶ Collection of random variables is **function values** at all locations

$$p(f(X)) = \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, \Phi(X)\Phi(X)^\top) \quad (10)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(f(X); 0, k(X, X)) \quad (11)$$

- ▶ \implies BLR specifies a GP and a kernel
- ▶ Directly specifying a kernel, also specifies a GP
- ▶ We viewed BLR as specifying a distribution on functions

GPs as distributions on functions

Can we view a GP as a distribution on functions?

GPs as distributions on functions

Can we view a GP as a distribution on functions?

▶▶ Yes! Kolmogorov Extension Theorem (not examined).

GPs as distributions on functions

Can we view a GP as a distribution on functions?

▶▶ Yes! Kolmogorov Extension Theorem (not examined).

Conclusion

- ▶ Covariance functions / kernels specify GPs

Conclusion

- ▶ Covariance functions / kernels specify GPs
- ▶ GPs specify distributions on function values directly

Conclusion

- ▶ Covariance functions / kernels specify GPs
- ▶ GPs specify distributions on function values directly
- ▶ To make predictions, we only need distributions on function values

Conclusion

- ▶ Covariance functions / kernels specify GPs
- ▶ GPs specify distributions on function values directly
- ▶ To make predictions, we only need distributions on function values
- ▶ So who needs parameters?

Conclusion

- ▶ Covariance functions / kernels specify GPs
- ▶ GPs specify distributions on function values directly
- ▶ To make predictions, we only need distributions on function values
- ▶ So who needs parameters?
- ▶ BLR specifies a GP

Recommended reading

- ▶ Rasmussen and Williams (2006) §2.1 + §2.2

References I

Rasmussen, C. E. and Williams, C. K. (2006). *Gaussian processes for machine learning*. MIT press, Cambridge, MA, USA.