

# **Generalized linear models**

**Regression Models** 

Brian Caffo, Jeff Leek, Roger Peng Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

#### Linear models

- · Linear models are the most useful applied statistical technique. However, they are not without their limitations.
  - Additive response models don't make much sense if the response is discrete, or stricly positive.
  - Additive error models often don't make sense, for example if the outcome has to be positive.
  - Transformations are often hard to interpret.
  - There's value in modeling the data on the scale that it was collected.
  - Particularly interpetable transformations, natural logarithms in specific, aren't applicable for negative or zero values.

#### Generalized linear models

- · Introduced in a 1972 RSSB paper by Nelder and Wedderburn.
- · Involves three components
  - An *exponential family* model for the response.
  - A systematic component via a linear predictor.
  - A link function that connects the means of the response to the linear predictor.

### Example, linear models

- · Assume that  $Y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$  (the Gaussian distribution is an exponential family distribution.)
- · Define the linear predictor to be  $\eta_i = \sum_{k=1}^p \, X_{ik} \, \beta_k$  .
- The link function as g so that  $g(\mu) = \eta$ .
  - For linear models  $g(\mu) = \mu$  so that  $\mu_i = \eta_i$
- · This yields the same likelihood model as our additive error Gaussian linear model

$$Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^p X_{ik} \beta_k + \epsilon_i$$

where  $\epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ 

## Example, logistic regression

- · Assume that  $Y_i \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(\mu_i)$  so that  $E[Y_i] = \mu_i$  where  $0 \le \mu_i \le 1$ .
- Linear predictor  $\eta_i = \sum_{k=1}^p \, X_{ik} \, \beta_k$
- · Link function  $g(\mu) = \eta = log(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})$  g is the (natural) log odds, referred to as the **logit**.
- · Note then we can invert the logit function as

$$\mu_{i} = \frac{\exp(\eta_{i})}{1 + \exp(\eta_{i})}$$
 and  $1 - \mu_{i} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\eta_{i})}$ 

Thus the likelihood is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^{y_i} (1 - \mu_i)^{1 - y_i} = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \eta_i\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \eta_i)^{-1}$$

## Example, Poisson regression

- · Assume that  $Y_i \sim Poisson(\mu_i)$  so that  $E[Y_i] = \mu_i$  where  $0 \le \mu_i$
- Linear predictor  $\eta_i = \sum_{k=1}^p \, X_{ik} \, \beta_k$
- · Link function  $g(\mu) = \eta = log(\mu)$
- · Recall that  $e^x$  is the inverse of log(x) so that

$$\mu_i = e^{\eta_i}$$

Thus, the likelihood is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (y_i!)^{-1} \mu_i^{y_i} e^{-\mu_i} \propto \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \eta_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i\right)$$

# Some things to note

· In each case, the only way in which the likelihood depends on the data is through

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \eta_i \, = \, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \, \sum_{k=1}^p \, X_{ik} \, \beta_k \, = \, \sum_{k=1}^p \, \beta_k \, \, \sum_{i=1}^n \, X_{ik} \, y_i$$

Thus if we don't need the full data, only  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ik} y_i$ . This simplification is a consequence of chosing so-called 'canonical' link functions.

 (This has to be derived). All models acheive their maximum at the root of the so called normal equations

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_i - \mu_i)}{Var(Y_i)} W_i$$

where  $W_i$  are the derivative of the inverse of the link function.

#### **About variances**

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_i - \mu_i)}{Var(Y_i)} W_i$$

- · For the linear model  $Var(Y_i) = \sigma^2$  is constant.
- For Bernoulli case  $Var(Y_i)$  =  $\mu_i(1 \mu_i)$
- · For the Poisson case  $Var(Y_i) = \mu_i$ .
- · In the latter cases, it is often relevant to have a more flexible variance model, even if it doesn't correspond to an actual likelihood

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_i - \mu_i)}{\varphi \mu_i (1 - \mu_i)} W_i \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_i - \mu_i)}{\varphi \mu_i} W_i$$

· These are called 'quasi-likelihood' normal equations

#### Odds and ends

- · The normal equations have to be solved iteratively. Resulting in  $\hat{\beta}_k$  and, if included,  $\hat{\phi}$ .
- · Predicted linear predictor responses can be obtained as  $\hat{\eta} = \sum_{k=1}^p \, X_k \, \hat{\beta}_k$
- · Predicted mean responses as  $\hat{\mu} = g^{-1}(\hat{\eta})$
- · Coefficients are interpretted as

$$g(E[Y|X_k = x_k + 1, X_{\sim k} = x_{\sim k}]) - g(E[Y|X_k = x_k, X_{\sim k} = x_{\sim k}]) = \beta_k$$

or the change in the link function of the expected response per unit change in  $X_k$  holding other regressors constant.

- · Variations on Newon/Raphson's algorithm are used to do it.
- · Asymptotics are used for inference usually.
- · Many of the ideas from linear models can be brought over to GLMs.