**Exercise 1.** The concept of *change of measure* in terms of a Radon-Nikodym derivative can be summarized as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \left(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P}\right) & \xrightarrow{X(\omega)} & f_X(x) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\right) & \xrightarrow{X(\omega)} & \tilde{f}_X(x) \end{array}$$

- (a) Assuming that in the diagram, both probability density functions  $f_X(x)$  and  $\tilde{f}_X(x)$  for a random variable  $X(\omega)$  are given. Find the RND  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}}(\omega)$  in terms of the  $X(\omega)$ .
- (b) In the diagram below, for a given random variable  $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  and a smooth function  $g(x): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , let us assume random variable  $Y(\omega) = h^{-1}(X(\omega))$  under the new measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  has a probability density function

$$\tilde{f}_Y(x) = f_X(x).$$

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \xrightarrow{X(\omega)} f_X(x)$$

$$\stackrel{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{\mathbb{d}\mathbb{P}}(\omega) = g[X(\omega)] | Y(\omega)$$

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}) \xrightarrow{X(\omega)} \tilde{f}_X(x)$$

Find the function h(y).

(c) Now consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and  $X(\omega) = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)(\omega)$  is a *n*-dimensional random variables, whose sccessive differences  $X_j - X_{j-1}$  are all conditionally, normally distributed independent random variables:

$$X_{j+1} - X_j \sim \mathcal{N}\Big(\mu_{j+1}(X_j), \sigma_{j+1}^2(X_j)\Big).$$

Find the change of measures  $Z(\omega) = \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega)$  such that under the new measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ ,

$$X_{j+1} - X_j \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{j+1}^2(X_j)\right).$$

What is the conditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[Z|X_1,\cdots,X_k]$$

for k < n?

(d) Applying the result from (c), show the following expression

$$Z_T = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{b^2(X_s)}{A^2(X_s)}\right) ds - \int_0^T \left(\frac{b(X_s)}{A(X_s)}\right) dW(t)\right\}$$

represents a change of measure, from  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . The Ito process on [0,T],

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + A(X_t)dW(t),$$

under measure  $\mathbb{P}$  then becomes

$$dX_t = A(X_t)d\widetilde{W}(t)$$

under measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ .

**Solution 1.** (a) Just to be clear I'm assuming that  $\tilde{f}_X$  and  $f_X$  are densities with respect to Lebesgue which I just write as dx, so that we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A) = \int_{A} f_X(x) dx$$
$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(X \in A) = \int_{A} \tilde{f}_X(\omega) dx.$$

We then have that if  $\frac{d\hat{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}}$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(X \in A) = \int_{A} \tilde{f}_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{A} d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$$

$$= \int_{A} \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} d\mathbb{P}$$

$$= \int_{A} \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} f_{X}(x) dx,$$

where we've written  $d\mathbb{P} = f_X(x)dx$  and  $d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \tilde{f}_X(x)dx$ . The above equalities hold when

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}}(x) = \frac{\tilde{f}_X(x)}{f_X(x)}.$$

This appears to be just the likelihood ratio of the densities.

(b) By the definition  $Y = h^{-1}(X)$ , we have that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(Y \in h^{-1}(A)) = \int_{h^{-1}(A)} \tilde{f}_Y(y) dy 
= \int_{h^{-1}(A)} \tilde{f}_X(h(y)) \left| \frac{dh}{dy}(y) \right| dy 
= \int_A \tilde{f}_X(u) du = \int_A d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$$

using change of variables and a change of measure from  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  to  $\mathbb{P}$  in the last line using (a). Additionally, we have that  $\tilde{f}_Y(x) = f_X(x)$ , so that

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in h^{-1}(A)) = \int_{h^{-1}(A)} d\mathbb{P} = \int_{A} d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(X \in A)$$

Therefore, for any y, h satisfies

$$f_X(y) = \tilde{f}_X(h(y)).$$

(c) Using a bit of intuition from (a), we see that

$$\tilde{P}(A) = \int_A Z_{j+1}(\omega) d\mathbb{P}$$

$$= \int_A Z_{j+1} C_{j+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x - \mu_{j+1}(X_j)}{\sigma_{j+1}(X_j)}\right)^2\right) dx,$$

where  $C_{j+1}$  is the normalization constant for the distribution of  $X_{j+1} - X_j$  under  $\mathbb{P}$ . Our desired mean zero normal distribution satisfies

$$\tilde{P}(A) = \int_{A} C_{j+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{j+1}(X_j)}\right)^2\right) dx,$$

where  $C_{j+1}$  is the same normalization constant as before. Therefore, we can write Z as

$$Z_{j+1} = \exp\left(-\frac{x\mu_{j+1}(X_j)}{\sigma_{j+1}^2(X_j)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mu_{j+1}^2(X_j)}{\sigma_{j+1}^2(X_j)}\right),\,$$

replacing  $Z_{j+1}$  with the above will give us the desired integral. For each change of measure  $Z_{j+1}$ , we can now compute the conditional expectation as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Z_{j+1} \mid X_1, X_2, \dots X_j] = \int_{\Omega} Z_{j+1}(\omega) d\mathbb{P}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} C_{j+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{j+1}(X_j)}\right)^2\right) dx$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Omega) = 1,$$

which makes sense as Z is the Radon Nikodym derivative  $d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}/d\mathbb{P}$ .

(d) Using Girsanov theorem (Thm 8.6.1 in MLN) allows us to define a change of measure  $d\tilde{W} = \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{A(X_t)}\right) dt + dW_t$ . Re-arranging this, allows us to write

$$dW_t = d\tilde{W}_t - \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{A(X_t)}\right) dt$$

We can then apply this change of measure to X to show that

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + A(X_t)dW_t$$

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + A(X_t)\left(d\tilde{W}_t - \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{A(X_t)}\right)dt\right)$$

$$= A(X_t)d\tilde{W}_t,$$

under the new measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ .

Exercise 2. The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, defined by linear SDE

$$dX(t) = -\mu X(t)dt + \sigma dW(t), \ X(0) = x_0,$$

in which  $\sigma$  and  $\mu > 0$  are two constants, has its Kolmogorov forward equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Gamma(x_0;t,x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Gamma(x_0;t,x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\mu x \Gamma(x_0;t,x)\Big),\tag{1}$$

with the initial condition  $\Gamma(x_0; 0, x) = \delta(x - x_0)$ .

- (a) Show that the solution to the linear PDE (1) has a Gaussian form and find the solution.
- (b) What is the limit of

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\Gamma(x_0;t,x)?$$

- (c) Find  $\mathbb{E}[X(t)]$  and  $\mathbb{V}[X(t)]$ .
- (d) You note that  $\mathbb{E}[X(t)]$  is the same as the solution to the ODE  $\frac{dx}{dt} = -\mu x$ , which is obtained when  $\sigma = 0$ . Is this result true for a nonlinear SDE?

Solution 2. (a) We begin by writing

$$X_t = x_0 e^{-\mu t} + \sigma \int_0^t \exp(-k(t-s)) dW_s.$$

This follows from Example 8.3.6. (MLN), but can be derived by using Ito's formula on  $Z_t = e^{\mu t} X_t$ . This can be written as a normal distribution as it is a constant plus an Ito integral. We can write this normal distribution using its expectation and variance so that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = x_0 e^{-\mu t} + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma \int_0^t \exp(-k(t-s)) dW_s\right]$$

$$= x_0 e^{-\mu t}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}[X_t] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\sigma \int_0^t \exp(-\mu(t-s)) dW_s\right]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu} \left(1 - \exp(-2\mu t)\right).$$

We can then write the transition density as a Gausian

$$\Gamma(x_0; t, x) \sim \text{Normal}\left(x_0 e^{-\mu t}, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu} (1 - \exp(-2\mu t))\right)$$

$$\Gamma(x_0; t, x) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\pi \sigma^2 (1 - \exp(-2\mu t))}} \exp\left(-\mu \frac{(x - x_0 e^{-\mu t})^2}{\sigma^2 (1 - \exp(-2\mu t))}\right).$$

(b) Taking  $t \to \infty$ , we see that this distribution approaches

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \Gamma(x_0, t, x) \sim \text{Normal}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu}\right)$$
$$\Gamma(x_0; t, x) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\pi \sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\mu \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}\right).$$

- (c) This was solved above.
- (d) Is what result clear? That the expectation of an SDE corresponds to the ODE that represents its drift? I believe this property can failure in cases where the martingale property fails for the  $\mathrm{d}W_t$  integral. Basically, any SDE of the form  $\mathrm{d}X_t = a(X_t,t)\mathrm{d}t + b(X_t,t)\mathrm{d}W_t$  with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t b(X_s, s) dW_s\right] \neq 0$$

will not have the desired result.

**Exercise 3.** The time-independent solution to a Kolmogorov forward equation gives a stationary probability density function for the Ito process  $dX_t = \mu(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW(t)$ :

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\mu(x)f(x)\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Big(\sigma^2(x)f(x)\Big) = 0.$$

This is a linear, second-order ODE. We assume that both  $\mu(x)$  and  $\sigma(x)$  satisfy the conditions required to have a solution f(x) on the entire  $\mathbb{R}$ . Find the expression for the general solution. There are two constants of integration, which should be determined according to appropriate probabilistic reasoning.

**Solution 3.** We write the ODE as

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Big(\sigma^2(x)f(x)\Big) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\mu(x)f(x)\Big).$$

Integrating, we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\sigma^2(x)f(x)) = 2\mu(x)f(x) + C$$

Using the product rule on the right side, we have that

$$f'(x)\sigma^2(x) = (2\mu(x) - 2\sigma(x)\sigma'(x))f(x) + C$$

We then have that

$$f'(x) = \left(\frac{2\mu(x) - 2\sigma(x)\sigma'(x)}{\sigma^2(x)}\right)f(x) + \frac{C}{\sigma^2(x)}$$

To ensure that f'(x) approach zero in its tails, we set C = 0. Integrating once more from  $-\infty$  to x, we see that

$$f(x) = C_2 \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{2\mu(\xi) - 2\sigma(\xi)\sigma'(\xi)}{\sigma^2(\xi)} d\xi\right)$$

$$= C_2 \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{2\mu(\xi)}{\sigma^2(\xi)} d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{2\sigma'(\xi)}{\sigma(\xi)} d\xi\right)$$

$$= C_2 \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{2\mu(\xi)}{\sigma^2(\xi)} d\xi - \log(\sigma^2(x)) + \log(\sigma^2(-\infty))\right)$$

$$= \frac{C_2}{\sigma^2(x)} \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{2\mu(\xi)}{\sigma^2(\xi)} d\xi\right),$$

where we've wrapped  $\sigma^2(-\infty)$  into the constant  $C_2$ . Here,  $C_2$  will serve as a normalizing constant so that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx = 1$  i.e.

$$C_2^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(x)} \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{2\mu(\xi)}{\sigma^2(\xi)} d\xi\right) dx.$$

**Exercise 4.** Let X be a solution to the following SDE

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \delta\sqrt{X_t}dW_t.$$

Define

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_t^t X_s ds\right) \mid X_t = x_T\right]$$

Derive a PDE for the function u. To solve the PDE for u, try a solution of the form

$$u(t,x) = \exp(-xA(t) - B(t)),$$

where A and B are determinisite functions of t, Show that A and B must satisfy a coupled pairs of ODEs with appropriate terminal conditions at time T, Bonus question: solve the ODEs it may be helpful to note that one of the ODEs is a Riccati equation).

**Solution 4.** We use theorem 9.2.1 (MLN) with  $\mu(t, X_t) = \kappa(\theta - X_t)$  and  $\sigma(t, X_t) = \delta \sqrt{X_t}$ . W This gives a PDE

$$\partial_t u = -\kappa(\theta - x)\partial_x u - \frac{\delta^2}{2}x\partial_{xx}u.$$

Supposing that our solution is of the form above, we can write out its derivative as

$$\partial_t u = (-xA'(t) - B'(t))u$$
$$\partial_x u = -A(t)u$$
$$\partial_{xx} u = A(t)^2 u$$

Putting these together with the derived PDE, we have that

$$(-xA'(t) - B'(t))u = A(t)\kappa(\theta - x)u - A(t)^2 \frac{\delta^2}{2}u.$$

This gives ODES

$$-xA'(t) - A(t)\kappa(\theta - x) + \frac{\delta^2}{2}A(t)^2 = B'(t)$$
$$u(T, x) = x_T$$

**Exercise 5.** For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ , let  $X^{(i)}$  satisfy

$$dX_t^{(i)} = -\frac{b}{2}X_t^{(i)}dt + \frac{1}{2}\sigma dW_t^{(i)},$$

where the  $(W^{(i)})_{i=1}^d$  are independent Brownian motions. Define

$$R_t = \sum_{i=1}^d (X_t^{(i)})^2, \quad B_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_s}} X_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(i)}.$$

Show that B is a Brownian motion. Derive an SDE for R that involves only dt and dB<sub>t</sub> term i.e. no dW<sub>t</sub><sup>(i)</sup> should appear.

**Solution 5.** We'll use Levy's characterization of the Brownian motion to show that B is a Brownian motion. We begin by noting that  $B_0 = 0$  since

$$B_0 = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_s}} X_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(i)} = 0.$$

We write  $B_t$  in differential form

$$dB_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_t}} X_t^{(i)} dW_t^{(i)}.$$

Next, we compute the quadratic variation as

$$d[B, B]_t = \frac{1}{R_t} \sum_{i=1}^d (X_t^{(i)})^2 dt,$$

where we've used that  $dW_{t^{(i)}}$  are independent to cancel the cross terms. Using the definition of  $R_t$ , we have that

$$d[B, B] = dt \implies [B, B]_t = t.$$

What remains is to show that  $B_t$  is a martingale. We begin by considering the integrability condition

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \frac{1}{R_s} (X_s^{(i)})^2 dW_s^{(i)} < \infty,$$

which is satisfied for all i = 1, ..., d. Therefore,  $B_t$  is an Ito integral and a martingale. From thos, we see  $B_t$  satisfies Levy's characterization of a Brownian motion. To derive an SDE

for R, we begin by differentiating  $R_t$  so that

$$dR_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left[ 2X_{t} dX_{t}^{(i)} + (dX_{t}^{(i)})^{2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left( -b(X_{t}^{(i)})^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^{2} \right) dt + \sigma X_{t} dW_{t}^{(i)}$$

$$= \left( \frac{d}{4}\sigma^{2} - b\sum_{i=1}^{d} (X_{t}^{(i)})^{2} \right) dt + \sigma \sum_{i=1}^{d} X_{t}^{(i)} dW_{t}^{(i)}$$

$$= \frac{d}{4}\sigma^{2} - bR_{t} dt + \sigma \sqrt{R_{t}} dB_{t}$$