# 7. NOW AND THEN AGAIN- SOME MORE PAST AND PRESENT TENSES

We've already taken a look at the first present, the perfect past tense and the first future, but there are other types of past and future tenses which are used to give different meanings. In this chapter, we'll take a look at the past imperfect tense and at a rather common construction called circumstantial conversion.

# 7.1. Dealing with imperfection- the imperfect tense

The imperfect tense is another type of past tense. We spoke about the difference between it and the past perfect in **(5.2)**, but to highlight another example, consider the difference between "the man was walking" and "the man walked."

In the first case, the action isn't quite complete, for all you know, the man could still be walking now. In the second case however, it's clear that the man walked and is not walking any longer. Because the verb in the first sentence hasn't quite been completed, it's an example of what is called the *imperfect* tense.

Coptic also has an imperfect tense, which takes the following form:

uri_	I was
n&q-	He was
urc_	she was
nak-	you were
urbe_	you were (f)
nan-	we were
пъретеп-	you were (plural)
nar-	they were
nape, ne	pre noun as subject form

The appropriate form in terms of number and gender is attached before the infinitive.

E. g. naipimi

"I was crying"

#### 15H3Phy

"he was sitting"

#### เพื่อหนฐม

"we were walking"

As you can see in the table above, there is also a presubject form for the imperfect, so to say "the man was walking", you could say:

## ιφοπραμ ιπωαιμ

#### or nape nipoui uomi

An optional  $\pi \varepsilon$  may be attached to the end of the sentence, so the above becomes:

#### итье шівти поті це

Sometimes  $\mathbf{N}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is used as the presubject form instead of  $\mathbf{N}\mathbf{\lambda}\mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , as in the first sentence of the Gospel of John.

## Бен отархи не псахі пе (John 1:1)

"in (a) beginning was the word"

The imperfect may also be used with the qualitative (5.1.ii).

## E.g. na qobi

"he was thirsty"

## паре течсент кар тахрнотт пе гіхен тпетра (Matthew 7:25)

"for his foundation was firm upon the rock"

Verbs aren't the only part of speech to which the imperfect can attach, as it can also attach to simple prepositions.

## E.g. naiden † modic

"I was in the city"

Vocab			
ерфффирі	to be amazed, to wonder	тахро тахре-	to make firm, strong (v.t)
	(v.i)	тахро/ тахрнотт	(v.t)
Ιωππн	Joppa (prop.noun)	тшшт	to be surprised, amazed (v.i)

noani	well, spring (f)	անու անաև աննա√	to seek, ask (v.t)
ùuar	there (adverb) (9.1)	è	to visit
nmox	water (m)	à nca	to inquire for, seek after
паравохн	parable (Gk,f)	ក្ខាណព	to be sick, weak (v.i)
cen†	foundation (f)	ฐเตเ ฐอตเ	to tire, suffer (v.i)
cwßı	to laugh, deride, mock (v.t)	бісі бас- бас/ босі	to exalt (v.t)

#### Exercise 7.1

- а) начсахі нешшот йганшнш бен ганпараводн (Matthew 13:3)
- b) narmini duoq nx $\epsilon$  nequaohthc è $\dagger$ napabo $\lambda$ h (Mark 7:17)
- c) narcwbi unoq πε (Matthew 9:24)
- d) патеруфнрі йхє пімну (Matthew 9:33)
- e) nach ae duar nae oruorui duwor nte iakwb (John 4:6)
- f) narcworn  $\Delta \varepsilon$  have  $\Sigma \varepsilon$  narch new ihr  $\pi \varepsilon$  (Acts 4:13)
- g) nations  $\Delta \varepsilon$  thpor  $\pi \varepsilon$  (Acts 2:12)
- h) anok naiden iwnth  $\dagger$  nolic (Acts 11:5)

#### Practice text 9

Letter to Philemon verses 10-11

One of the most moving Pauline epistles is the letter to Philemon. Here is a short section which highlights some of the different grammar we have learnt up till now.

††20 èрок єввє пашнрі фаі èтаіхфор лєп паспату опнсімос. Фаі єтє парої натшат пак нотснот.  $\dagger$ пот  $\Delta$ є рої нішат пні пемак. Фаі єтаїоторпр гарок

Vocab			
атшат	worthless (adj.)	гарок	to you (preposition)
потснот	for a time (adv)	<b>χ</b> φο <b>χ</b> φε- <b>χ</b> φο//	to beget, bring forth
ονωρπ ονερπ-	to send (v.t)	mgr	of value (adj.)
οτορπ//			
ouncimoc	Onesimus (prop.noun)	+nor	now <b>(9.1)</b>
Srans	bond (m)		

# 7.1.i. More than perfect -the pluperfect

Take a look at the sentence:

"They had been walking in the park when it rained"

You can see here that two events are being described, the first being "walking in the park" and the second "rained."

The second event "rained" is in the perfect tense since it was already completed. The first event 'walking in the park' had occurred even before "it rained", hence it is even "more perfect" than the second event since it had already been completed before it.

Grammatically, "had been walking in the park" is in the *plu*perfect tense, being derived from the Latin *plus quam perfectum* meaning "more than perfect."

If the above is a little too complicated to understand, you can simply consider the plu perfect to be the equivalent of the English word *had*.

In Coptic, the pluperfect is formed by combining both the perfect and the imperfect past tenses together. This is basically done by wrapping the  $\kappa \epsilon .... \pi \epsilon$  combination of the imperfect (7.1) around the perfect tense construction (5.2). To clarify, take a look at the example below:

πιρωμι **λαμοω**ι- "the man walked"

πιρωμι νε αγμοωι πε- "the man had walked"

Another example is shown below:

отинш де евох бен niiordai ne arì пе га паріа neu uapoa еове потсон (John 11:19)

"and a multitude from the Jews had come to Mary and Martha for the sake of their brother"

Here you can see the  $\mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{\hat{v}} \mathbf{\hat{i}}$  which means 'they came'; around the  $\mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{\hat{v}} \mathbf{\hat{i}}$  are the  $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{\epsilon}$  and  $\mathbf{\pi} \mathbf{\epsilon}$ , so the combination takes the meaning of "they had come."

Vocab			
еидоун	commandment (Gk,f)	сөерчер	trembling(m)
<b>К</b> Х <b>&amp;Т2</b> 10С	Claudius (prop. noun)	√гио& -гиэ& тиш&	to approach,
		тиэб	(v.i)
orageagni	to lay a command (v.t)	៣៥រ	feast (m)
πλοχλ	Passover (prop.noun)		

#### Exercise 7.2

- a) не  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{\uparrow}$  енто $\mathbf{h}$  не  $\mathbf{h}\mathbf{x}$ е ніврхієрето нем ніфарісеос (John 11:57)
- b) отог аті èвох атфют èвох га пійгат не а отсоєртєр уар тагмот пє (Mark 16:8)
- c) ης αφοταεςαεμί πε μπε κλατδίος (Acts 18:2)
- d) ne aq $\delta$ wnt  $\Delta$ e ne ne necka hwai hte niio $\gamma$  $\Delta$ ai (John 6:4)

# 7.1.ii. The relative imperfect

We first talked about the relative in section (5.1.v), and revisited it when talking about the past tense in (5.4) and the future tense in (5.9.ii). The relative is also used with the imperfect tense. Here the relative converter is  $\hat{\epsilon}$  which is attached to the beginning of the imperfect form, to also give the meaning of 'who', 'which' or 'when.'

E.g. Φαι ἐκαφοι μβελλε (John 9:24)

<sup>&</sup>quot;this who was blind"

Vocab		
ертасіа	work, business (Gk,f)	

#### Exercise 7.3

- а) піма є̀начшоп йбнтч йхє пєтрос (Acts 1:13)
- b) oai ènact normhw nepracia (Acts 16:16)

# 7.2. A matter of circumstance- the circumstantial tense

In the sentence "He saw the man while he was crying", you'll notice that there are two verbs, the first being 'saw' and the second 'crying.'

A closer look will reveal that there are actually two clauses **(6.1)** to the sentence, which are "He saw the man" and "while he was crying." The first clause here is called the *main clause* because it would make sense if it were read on its own. The second is called the *dependent* or *subordinate* clause, because it would not make sense on its own, so it actually *depends* on the main clause to have meaning.

In this case, the dependent clause can be thought of as describing the *circumstance* in which the main clause occurred. This is where we come to the concept of *circumstantial conversion*. Coptic has a special form which converts the verb of a dependent clause to make it clear that it is describing the circumstance in which an associated main clause occurs. Most of the Coptic tenses can be converted in this way.

The table below shows the circumstantial conversion of the verb pixi in the present tense. You can see that the forms we end up with are only a little different to what we would have got if we had simply attached an  $\hat{\epsilon}$  to the normal first present

tense form. This circumstantial conversion of the present tense is also called the *third* present.

I , crying	eibini
you, crying (m)	екрімі
you, crying (plural)	еьеымі
he, crying	edbiri
she crying	echini
we, crying	endivi
you, crying (plural)	ереченымі
they, crying	Exbiri
pre subject form	єрє

So, if you were just to say the "he is crying" it would be aprai

But if you were to say 'I saw the man crying', 'crying' now becomes a dependent clause describing the main clause 'I saw the man.'

## This immaliate anim

The circumstantial also has a presubject form which goes before the noun which is  $\epsilon p \epsilon$  (which you may remember is identical to the  $\epsilon p \epsilon$  of the relative converter (5.1.v)). As for the perfect tense, the pre subject form of the circumstantial converter goes before the subject with the infinitive following the subject.

So for the example above you could alternatively have said:

## анач ере піршиі рімі

A particular verb which should be introduced here is **KHN**, which requires a dependent clause to be used in the circumstantial when it itself is used in a main clause. It has two particular meanings.

The first use is to mean "to cease from," here it comes before another verb which is in the circumstantial form to say that that particular action has ceased.

## E.g. aukhn eqcaxi.

<sup>&</sup>quot;he ceased speaking"

In the second case it has the meaning of 'already', where it has a similar construction .E.g. **ΣΥΚΗΝ** ενδι ὑπονβεχε (Matthew 6:5)

"they have already received their reward."

#### Confusion Corner

Relative versus Circumstantial

The circumstantial bears more than a passing resemblance to the  $\epsilon^-$  form of the relative converter introduced in (5.1.v); we said that the relative converters of the male, female and plural indefinite antecedent forms were  $\epsilon q$ ,  $\epsilon c$  and  $\epsilon r$  respectively, which are identical to the respective forms of the circumstantial. The presubject form of the circumstantial  $\epsilon p \epsilon$  is also one of the pre subject forms of the relative.

One clue you can use to tell which conversion is called for is remembering that the circumstantial occurs in the same sentence as another verb which will be in a different tense. Also, the  $\epsilon^-$  form of the relative converter is usually only used with an indefinite antecedent, so if there is an indefinite antecedent, it's likely that the  $\epsilon^-$  is actually referring to the relative. Another trick which may help is to substitute the words, 'who', 'which' or 'while' for the  $\epsilon^-$  and to see which makes the most sense. If translating 'who' or 'which', makes more sense, then it's the relative, if 'while' makes more sense, then it's the circumstantial.

Vocab			
PbX1eberc	High priest (m)	ршэй	behind him (5.5)
ватос	bush (Gk,m)	orwu	to eat (v.t)
BeXe	reward (m)	രമതത	wish, desire, will (m)
ер <b>просет</b> хес <del>о</del> е	to pray (v.t)	ċκετος	vessel (m)
ерфаліп	to chant psalms (v.t)	стикушун	synagogue (f)
exxxxeyion	gospel (m)	тшшт	amazement, trance (m)
κω† έ	to surround, seek, visit (v.t)	Sybod	to him (prep. pronoun form)
марттрос	martyr (m)	ຣາທາສັ	to preach (v.i)
MIONATEM	repentance (f)	Sousen	to command (v.t)
метохро	kingdom (f)	Sobana	vision (Gk,m)
Son	to fill, burn (v.t)	$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{\omega}$	to speak (v.i)
<b>ў</b> фри <b>†</b>	like (adv)	4m1	village (m)
иенфол	with them (prep. pronoun form) (5.5)	†èBw	To teach (v.i)
иотос	law (m)	4mnc	to baptise (v.t)

## Exercise 7.4

- a) arì gapoq eq†èbw hae miapxieperc hte milaoc (Matthew 21:23)
- b) πιβατος έτα Uωτchc nar èpoq εβολ ει πωας èpe πιχρωμ μοε κατη (Theotokia of the fifth day)
- c) aqnar èorpoui eqeeuci (Matthew 9:9)

- d) armowi howy has believe  $\overline{B}$  erww èbol (Matthew 9:27)
- e) отог пачкю те йхе lhc ènibaki тнрот пем пітмі ечтово йгрні беп потстпачючн отог ечгішіш йпієтаччеліон йте тметотро (Matthew 9:35)
- f) oroz egorwu neuwor agzonzen nwor (Acts 1:4)
- g) а паішт кни есбаі шпекран (АтНугр2)
- h) ànok наі $\delta$ ен іоппн  $\dagger$ по $\lambda$ іс єїєр $\dagger$ просет $\chi$ єс $\Theta$ є ото $\chi$ е аїнат  $\delta$ ен оттимт ѐот $\chi$ орама єчннот ѐпєснт й $\chi$ е отскетос (Aas 11:5)
- i)  $\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda$  èpe πεσοτωμ μωπι  $\delta$ en  $\delta$ nouoc  $\lambda \Pi \overline{\delta c}$  (Psalm 1:2 1:2)

#### Practice text 10

I uke 8:1-3

It's now the time to have a passage from the Gospels. This particular section gives the chance to practice both the circumstantial and the relative.

Uapia ομ έτονμονή έρος xε ήμας λαλιημ. ομ έτα qει  $πι\overline{z}$  ήλεμων έβολ ειωτς.

Ием южина тогом йхотга пієпітропос йтє нрюдно нем сотсанна нем ганкехшотні етощ нн енатшемщі ймог евох бен нотгтархонта.

Vocab			
<b>ерфа</b> <i>б</i> рі	to heal (v.t)	%T1S -1S irois	to throw, strike (v.t)
єпітропос	steward (m)	Swor	to be evil (v.i)
йотснот	for a time (adv.) ( <b>9.1)</b>	агпохапть	possessions, property (Gk,m)
Xorza	Chuza (prop. noun)	+nor	now (adv.) ( <b>9.1)</b>
როи	sickness, disease (m)		

## 7.2.i. Circumstantial conversion of the past perfect

The circumstantial is not only used with the present tense, but is in fact with many of the other tenses. The next tense we'll look at converting here is the past perfect. The conversion is achieved by adding the prefix  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$  before the relevant form of the past perfect (5.2) where it gives the meaning of 'when', 'as' and sometimes 'if.'

E.g èaqanaeı Де МПетрос нем Ішанинс аффит еаршоч йже підаостиру (Acts 3:11)

You can see that there are two separate verbs here using the past tense, the first being **&!!** "to hold, grasp" and the second Φωτ "to run". **&!!&!** is converted with the circumstantial by adding an **è** to let you know that it is occurring at the same time as the second verb. So the verse is translated:

"And as he held Peter and John all the people ran before them."

## 7.2.ii. Circumstantial conversion of the future tense

Not to be left out, the first future tense (5.9) is also converted in much the same way. Once again, the  $\grave{\epsilon}$  is added to the normal first future construction. This new future tense is also known as the third future. When used in this way, the expression has the meaning of 'about to.' For example:

ετηλχωκ δε έβολ ήχε πιζ ήεδοος (Acts 21:27)

<sup>&</sup>quot;And when the 7 days were about to finish"

Vocab			
¥2.10C	saint (m)	отши, отни (q)	to open/ to be opened (q)
ерфеі	altar (m)	паремвохн	castle (f)
θωκεμ	to draw out (v.t)	Pedabes 8	guard (m)
катапетасна	veil (Gk,m)	po	mouth(m)
λγλλα	Lydda (prop. noun)	pwor	mouths (pl)
ua	place (m)	сна	sword (f)
₩4	middle (f)	cons	to bind (v.t)
neai	to think, suppose (v.i)	ພັ <b>ຯ</b> ພິນເ	to win, gain (v.t)
MOTHK	to cease, perish (v.i)	<b>Δωτεβ Δατεβ- Δοοβ</b> //	to kill (v.t)
ueScı	to awaken (v.i)	Sousen	to command (v.t)

#### Exercise 7.5

- а) ечнамотик Де йже пірн а пікатапетасма йте пієрфеі фш В Вен течмн (Luke 23:45)
- b)  $\pi_{11}\overline{B}$  ayorop $\pi_{0}$  necessary in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation of the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation of the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation  $h_{0}$  is a horostation  $h_{0}$  in the horostation
- c) ernaèny δε èδorn è†παρεμβολμ (Acts 21:37)
- d) Дешшпі де ере петрос насіні евох гітен нісннот тнрот аці ща ніатіос бен хтдда (Acts 9:32)

- e) еачшашиі Де èотиш† йнаі йте Ф† ачшшпі йхрнстіанос (S.Pachomii vita pg.1)
- f) етачиегсі де йже піречарег йте піма йсшиг отог етачих епіршот йте піштеко етотни ачошкем йтечсичі ечиа боовеч ечметі же атфшт йже инетсшиг (Acts 16:27)

So You want to Learn Coptic?

## 8. VERBS WITH THEIR OWN RULES

## 8.1. Some unusual verbs

Every language has rules, or laws guiding grammar. Whereas most verbs are law abiding, some verbs have poked their tongue out at convention and chosen their own rules of grammar. These verbs are reasonably common, so they can't be ignored. We'll just have to go along and learn them.

The first of these verbs we'll look at is:

#### xw (to say)

which has the following forms:

infinitive	prenominal	pronominal	qualitative
xω	xe−	X0// X0T//	_

## The infinitive

So far so normal, so how does this verb differ? The first way it differs is that the infinitive is always followed by the word **\(\text{uuc.}\)** This word has rather unflatteringly been called a "dummy word", meaning that it always has to follow  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{w}$ , but though it could be literally translated as 'it' is usually left untranslated.

## Е.д. Тхш имос иштен

"I say (it) to you"

So in the above sentence, the direct object is represented by **insert**, and the indirect object by **nwten**.

#### Pronominal form

You'll note that there are two different pronominal forms for  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{w}$ . The first form  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{o}$  is used to quote text. It always takes  $\mathbf{c}$  as its pronominal suffix (5.3.i), so that in doing so it literally has the meaning of 'said *it*.' Note that the pronominal form in itself doesn't tell you who is being spoken to, as the pronoun  $\mathbf{c}$  only ever refers to what is being said, not who it's being said to.

#### E.g. & 420C

"he said (it)"

Now, with both forms of this verb, the conjunction  $\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  (6.1.ii) always comes before whatever is being said:

So, finishing of our two examples:

## $+\infty$ duoc noten se dai he $\Pi \overline{\chi} \overline{c}$

"I say to you that "this is the Christ"

## AUXOC XE $\Phi$ AI $\Pi \in \Pi \times \overline{C}$

"He said this is the Christ"

The second form **207** / is always used with the suffix **07**. It is not used to quote text, but to indirectly refer to what was said:

# E.g. на $\Delta \varepsilon$ тнрот а $\overline{lhc}$ хотот інімн $\psi$ $\delta \varepsilon n$ $\varepsilon n$ $\varepsilon$

"and all these things Jesus said to the multitude in parables"

(Note that the **XOTO**? here refers to the **N&I** which is the plural demonstrative pronoun meaning 'these' (2.2.ii).)

#### The construct form

The construct form is just  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{\varepsilon}$  on its own. As with  $\mathbf{xotor}$ , it's used to refer indirectly to speech rather than to quote it:

## Е.g. ачже кепараводи ишот

"He said another parable to them" (Luke 6:39)

Note that the actual words of the parable weren't quoted, but that reference was only made to a parable having been said.

#### Past infinitive

You'll notice that as with other verbs, the infinitive was combined with the  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{q}$  to produce the past tense. There is however also a special form for  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{w}$  which is used exclusively for the past tense called the *past infinitive* which is  $\mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ 

Now, this infinitive only ever comes before the subject, unlike most other verbs where the subject comes before the infinitive:

"Jesus said to them"

Once again, this verb has a special pronominal form for the past tense. Even though the pronoun is attached to the end of the verb, it indicates the subject, *not* the object. That is it tells you who the speaker is, rather than what the speaker said.

πεхнι	I said
πεχλκ	you said (m)
πεχε	you said (f)
πεχλη	he said
πεχδς	she said
пехуи	we said
пехштен	you said (plural)
πεχωοτ	they said

This form also uses the indirect object (5.7) to indicate who was spoken to. If speech is to be quoted, our friend  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{\varepsilon}$  is again used to precede the quote:

# E.g. $\pi \in \mathbf{x}$ and the $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ a

"He said to me "this is the Christ""

## `∂N€

The next irregular verb we'll look at is **Ene**, which means "it is pleasing to", "to be willing" or "to be content", "agree."

This has two main uses. In the first case, it is used with another verb, often being translated as an adverb to say that the first verb was performed willingly. In these cases, Ene is conjugated in the following way after the verb.

егині	I willingly	
егичк	you willingly (m)	
eSue	you willingly (f)	
psns	he willingly	
eSugc	she willingly	
nansə	we willingly	
нэтшпез	you willingly (pl)	
esumor	they willingly	

Е.g. апрі йфаі єгині

In the second case, it is used with the relative converter  $\epsilon \tau$  (5.1.v) which comes after one of the forms from the table above, so  $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda n \epsilon I$  means "which I wish."

# E.g. 2008 niben eternwor

"all that they wish"

As with the other tenses we've come across, the relative converter may be combined with the article  $\pi$  to form the relative substantial  $\pi \varepsilon \tau$  to convert the verb to a noun. So for example,

πετένακ means "that which is pleasing to you" or "your will."

# pane- pan/

This particular verb has the meaning "to please." It's special because it doesn't actually have an infinitive form; so it can only be used with the construct or pronominal forms.

Example:

иненочав тироч етачранак (Commemoration of the Saints, Liturgy of St. Basil)

"all the saints who have pleased you"

<sup>&</sup>quot;I did willingly"

#### ore T-

This verb, which means "to be distinct, different" is like pan because it doesn't have an infinitive form. However, unlike pan over doesn't have a pronominal form either; in fact it only has a construct form:

E.g. alla ovet capz men nte nipomi ovet capz nte nitebnooti (1 Corinthians 15:39)

"but indeed the flesh of the men is different, the flesh of the beasts is different"

Vocab			
àΦε	head (f)	bed4mnc	Baptist (m)
<b>да</b> доф <b>к</b> у <b>а</b> кіои	treasury (Gk,m)	сшит сеит- соит//	to create (v.t)
НρωΣнс	Herod (prop. noun)	Siui Seu- Seu/	to move self forward, backward
ibi eb– ¥i% oi	to do, make (v.t)	Syox	sweetness (m)
AIONATEL	repentance (Gk,f)	aωs	thing (m)
<b>м</b> фри†	like, as (adv.)	وοcπεc	to dance (v.i)
пілатос	Pilate(prop.noun)	twac	to baptize (v.t)
плотал плотал	each one		

#### Exercise 8.1

- a)  $\pi \in \mathbf{X} \in \Pi_1 \lambda \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{M}$  (Matthew 27:17)
- b) aqxe zwb niben nhi etalaitor (John 4:39)
- c) ифрит етегині апок ап алла ифрит етегиак йоок (Matthew 26:39)
- d) aupanau 如中寸 (Hebrews 11:5)
- e)  $\pi \epsilon \mathbf{x} \epsilon$  natanah $\lambda$  nat (John 1:48)

- f) nakeiwiy ekxw didoc xe ànok den †wdc nwten sen ordwor didetanoia ènxw èbox hte ninobi (Doxology for Paramoun of feast of Epiphany)
- g) ηδισάχι δηχοτον δεη πιγαζοφγλακιοή (John 8:20)
- h) auxe tainapabohh nwor euxw uuoc (Luke 15:3)
- i) аксшит йгшв иівєй отог петегиак ачшшпі отог атсшит (Revelation 4:11)
- j) ἡθος Δε πεχάς χε τάφε ἡιωαννής πιρεςτωμε (Mark 6:24)
- k) οτος οτετ πέλος μπιοται πιοται (hom vat ii pg.207)
- l) arke φαι πε Εμμανοτηλ (Doxology for Palm Sunday)
- m) †xw имос имтен xe zen өниот евох za пагршиг (Acts 5:38)
- n) асбосхес йхе туері йнродіас бен дянф отог асрапач йнродне (Matthew 14:6)
- o) aripi nay nawb niben eternwor (Matthew 17:12)

## 8.2. The impersonal verbs

The term 'impersonal' doesn't sound very friendly; but no disrespect is intended to the verb it describes. It simply means that the verb isn't being performed by a person, hence the term 'impersonal'.

There are two main groups of impersonal verbs in Coptic. Those where the subject of the sentence is 'it', which is represented by the third person singular feminine form, and those which are purely impersonal, in that they do not use any form of the subject at all.

## 8.2.i. Subject is 'it'

νεώωμι	it happened	
င်ယ္ဆ	it is appropriate, fitting	

it is befitting to, it is proper to
-------------------------------------

Let's take **&cwwn**: as our first example. This form uses the feminine singular form of the verb, as indicated by the **c** so it would literally be translated as 'she happened', but because it is being used as an impersonal verb here, it is translated as 'it happened.' Now **wwn**: isn't always used as impersonal verb, for example **&qwwn**: means 'he became,' and **&cwwn**: can mean "she became", but in the use of the impersonal expression it means "it happened."

# E.g. acywn1 in in orniwt in of exphi exem tekkhhcia through (Acts 5:11)

Likewise,  $\grave{c}$  we would literally mean 'she is appropriate' but as with the previous example, it is translated to mean 'it is appropriate.' It is used before another verb to tell you that that verb is "appropriate to do." Unlike  $\upmu\pi \imath$ ,  $\grave{c}$  is only ever used as an impersonal expression.

Also unlike  $\&cyw\pi i$ ,  $\grave{c}y\varepsilon$  needs to be followed by an  $\grave{\epsilon}$ .

E.g cwe nan ètewt unorpo (Luke 20:22)

"It is appropriate for us to pay tribute to the king"

Alternatively, it may be followed by the subjunctive **(6.2)**:

E.g. піца етсше нтот зап ероі (Acts 25:10)

"the place where it is appropriate for me to be judged"

To use the negative form, we simply use our old friend **&N** (239).

E.g. cwe nak an eoi τceiui uπekcon (Mark 6:18)

"it is not appropriate for you to take the wife of your brother"

As with **ywn**, **exiys** is not always an impersonal verb, but it can be used as one when preceded by **c**. It likes to be followed by **n**.

<sup>&</sup>quot;a great fear came (happened) down upon the whole Church""

## семпуа истем иса ф† (Acts 5:29)

"it is appropriate to obey God"

# 8.2.ii. No subject at all

As mentioned above, these impersonal verbs have no subject indicated at all. Some examples are shown below.

oron	there is
nom	there is no
sw†	it is necessary

**Oron** is referred to as the *existential*, because it has the important role of telling us that something exists, and its negative form **REON** is referred to as the negative existential which conversely tells us if it doesn't exist. The noun following the existential is always preceded by an indefinite article, and that following the negative existential has no article at all.

## E.g. oron orpwui

"there is a man"

## grou bori

"there is no man"

Only the imperfect is used to make the past tense of this verb (7.1), which is made by taking the presubject form **NE** and putting before the **OYON**.

#### E.g. ne oron orpwui

"There was a man"

As with other verbs used in the imperfect, an optional  $\pi \varepsilon$  can be placed at the end of the sentence to give the same meaning:

## не отон отроми пе

In fact, this particular construction is used many times in the Bible, including John 1:1

## Бен тархи не йсахі пе

"In the beginning was the word"

## £w4

As was mentioned in (6.2), the impersonal verb **2**\omega\dagget, which means "it is necessary" is used with the subjunctive.

When a noun is the subject of the sentence, the pre subject form  $\mathfrak{NTE}$  is used.

# E.g. $2\omega$ † hte hwhpi uppoul of hormhw haici (Mark 8:31)

"it is necessary for the son of man to receive many sufferings"

When a pronoun is being used as the subject, the conjugated form of the subjunctive is used.

## E.g. 2w† htanar ètkepwuh (Acts 19:21)

"it is necessary that I also see Rome"

Sometimes,  $\pi \varepsilon$  is placed in between  $\varepsilon \omega \uparrow$  and the subjunctive.

## E.g. **2ω**† πε ήτοτωωπι (Mark 13:7)

"it is necessary that they happen"

Vocab			
каес	custom, habit (f)	Ршин	Rome (prop.noun)
οωοτή οοτεπ-	to assemble, gather,	ωωπ ωεπ-	to receive,
тнтое угштое	congregate (v.i)	шоп∥ шнп	accept(v.t)
мерос	part, share (m)	xwbi	strong, bold (adj.)

#### Exercise 8.2

- a) ewt he he nithori word èpwor (Acts 3:21)
- b) ICXE DE OTON OTAL DEN OHNOT (James 1:5)
- c) οτος σεειωιώ μαμ μενικέκας μαι έπεσώε μαμ αμ έπουο (Acts 16:21)
- d) шион отину йсаве ката сард шион отину йхюрі (1 Corinthians 1:26)

- e) etaqèui de nac nardoc de oron oruepoc (Acts 23:6)
- f) сेше сар итечнаг† (Hebrews 11:6)
- g) NE OTON OTHHW DE ETOOTHT (Acts 1:15)
- h) NH ÈTE CWE NAITOY AN (Leviticus 4:2)
- i) icke oron orewb eqewor sen maipwui (Acts 25:5)
- j) duon orceiui xwpic pwui orde pwui xwpic ceiui sen $\Pi \overline{\sigma c}$  (1 Corinthians 11:11)

Practice text 11 Acts 9:10				
ие отои отмантис де беи дамаское ѐпечраи пе ананіас. пехе $\Pi \overline{\sigma c}$ де нач беи отдорама хе ананіас йноч де пехач хе диппе анок $\Pi \overline{\sigma c}$				
Vocab				
εнππε	behold (interj) (14.2.i)	Soberie	dream	
	(17.2.1)			

# 8.3. Not quite a verb, not quite an adjective- the adjective verbs

There are certain words in Coptic that are classified somewhere in between adjectives and verbs. Like verbs, they take a subject, and have both pronominal and construct forms, however, they are also like adjectives because they express a quality of the subject. Because they have the properties of both, they are called the adjective verbs, or *verboids*.

One such verboid is NANE-, NANE which means "to be good."

The word order used with these words is a little different from what you might expect. You see, the adjective verbs come before the noun they're describing. The other difference is that the adjective verb has a 'built in' 'is.' So in the following example:

#### тоштошиэтэп энли

Means your "your boasting is good", not "good your boasting."

The adjective verb can also be used to precede a verb,

E.g. nane orwnz ebox  $u \Pi \overline{oc}$  (Psalm 91:1 92:1)

"It is good to give thanks to the Lord"

The pronoun form uses similar subject endings as for the verbs (5.3.i), as shown in the table below:

ижині	I am good
nanek	you are good (m)
nyue	you are good (f)
рэпан	he is good
nwnec	she is good
nanan	we are good
пэтэпл	you are good (plural)
naner	they are good
nane	pre subject form

What if you wanted to say something like "the good man"? You couldn't write **NANE TIPURI** because that would mean "the man is good." So what do you do? You use our good friend the relative pronoun (5.1.v). You'll recall that the prefix  $\mathbf{ET}$  / $\mathbf{EO}$  has the meaning of "which" or "who." It can be attached to the adjective-verb, so if you add it to **NANEQ** you'll get  $\mathbf{EONANEQ}$ . (Note the choice of  $\mathbf{EO}$  being a vilminor letter (2.1.i)).

Then add **\pipwai** to the front and you get: **\pipwai \epsilon naneq** which literally means "the man who is good" or "the good man."

What if what you wanted to say "a good man"? You will recall that when there is an indefinite article (i.e. "a"), the relative converter is  $\epsilon$ — so you get:

# pananá immaro

Some other adjective verbs are shown below:

naa//	to be great
necw/	to be beautiful
แชพิฒุ	to be numerous
WTAIAN VTAINTOW	to be blessed

Vocab			
Wici	birth (m)	фаунос	Psalm (Gk,m)
тнап	compassionate person (m)	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{y}_1$ $\mathbf{\epsilon}\mathbf{y}$ $\mathbf{o}\mathbf{y}$ $\mathbf{o}\mathbf{y}$ (d)	to take, hold (v.t)
потв	gold, money (m)	<b>ў</b> ййни	tree (m)
bed4mmc	Baptist (m)		

#### Exercise 8.3

- a) cuor è $\Pi \overline{\sigma c}$  se hane ortharmoc (Psalm 146:1 147:1)
- b) auth  $\pm \infty$  duoc noten ae d $\pi$ e oron  $\pm \infty$ n niuici àte nizioui ènaaq èiwannhc  $\pi$ ipeq $\pm \infty$ uc (Matthew 11:11)
- c) nanec an èeλ πωικ nniwhpi (Mark 7:27)
- d) Πιηογβ Δε ήτε πικαξι έτε μμαν ηαμες (Genesis 2:12)
- e) worniator inninant (Matthew 5:7)
- f) отог аспат йхе †сели хе папе піффни (Genesis 3:6)
- g) χερε πε Uapia † σρομπι εθπεςως (response preceding the reading of the Acts, Divine Liturgy)

## 9. ADVERBS

Perhaps one of the first things you'd notice when looking at the word 'adverb' is that it contains the word 'verb', so you would think that the word 'adverb' would have something to do with verbs. You may even remember back to primary school, when you learnt that adverbs describe verbs. Indeed some adverbs do in fact describe verbs, but that's not all they're limited to. In fact, they are also used to describe time, place and manner, and it is these three categories which we shall look at first:

# 9.1. Adverbs of time and place:

These adverbs are used to describe the time a particular event occurred, or the position of something relative to another. If you take a look at the table below, you will notice quite a few of the Coptic adverbs here start with either an  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$  or an  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$ . This is because many Coptic adverbs are formed by adding either the  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$  or the  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$  (depending on the rules in (2.4.i)) to a noun.

For example, TAILLA means "this place"

ùπλιμλ means "of this place" or 'here.'

Most of the other Coptic adverbs in the following table are formed in a similar way.

(ѐтє) ішьт	there	nowen	today
ıс≈єи	since	пуун (он)	again, once more (Gk)
умниі	every day, daily	уфжн4	in the midst
Lang	here	ѝκεсоπ	again
шпаша	of this place, here	йрас†	tomorrow
ùπεù00	before, in front of, facing	ntornor	immediately, at once
roossinú	by day	zen tornor	immediately, at once
хπісноς	at that time	on	also
<b>ε</b> γωχίπ <i>ι</i> ί	in the evening	†nor	now, at this time

# 9.1.i. Telling the time

As mentioned above, adverbs are also used to describe the time, giving us the opportunity to look at the way in which the Copts used to talk about the time.

#### The hour

Think back to Biblical times, and try to work out how people could tell what hour they were in. Remember, there were of course no clocks back then. During the day, they would have judged the hour by the position of the sun in the sky, which could be more accurately measured with the sundial (which incidentally was invented in Egypt). Thus the daylight hours were divided into the hours of the day, corresponding to the hours of sunlight (from 6:00am to 6:00pm), and the hours of the night. Some of these hours were allocated to the time of prayer.

The first hour corresponded to 6:00am, the third hour to 9:00am, the sixth to 12:00pm, the ninth to 3:00pm, and the twelfth to 6:00pm.

In Coptic, you would say it was the sixth hour by literally saying "the time of the hour six".

The word for hour is  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Pi}$  and the word for time is  $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}$ .

So the combination is ψnar haxπ ε

Similarly, the "third hour" is ψnar nam ς

Now to say that something occurred in the sixth hour, you can either use the **u**/

 $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$  construction (2.4.i) or the preposition  $\mathbf{\Delta} \in \mathbf{N}$ :

# Е.д. W фн етачхенти ифиот бен тсард ифнат нахп

**\P1** (Troparion of the Ninth Hour, Liturgy of the Hours)

"O who tasted death in the flesh in the ninth hour"

**Δεη ψηλη κλωπ coor** (Troparion of the sixth hour, Liturgy of the Hours)

"in the sixth hour"

The same rules also apply for more general times of the day:

## Periods of the day

When you're not sure about the exact hour, you can use the more general descriptions of the periods from the table below:

Webi	midday	йрас†	tomorrow
<b>110007</b>	today	йсхч	yesterday

isroqánas /isroq	evening	ယ္တယ္စာက	morning (m)
------------------	---------	----------	-------------

# E.g. ротгі нем шюрп нем мері †пасахі (Psalm 54:15 55:17)

Placing events at these more general times also uses either the **u/n** construction or **DEN** with the adverb.

So for example:

**Δεη πιέξουν μπες coor** (Troparion for 6th hour, Liturgy of the hours)

"in the sixth day"

ификт протел (Zechariah 14:7)

"in the time of the evening"

## Time for the present

Much of the Coptic we've learnt here is based on what was found in writings by the Copts in the first Millennium. This sometimes leads to difficulties when we can't find the exact expressions for things we'd like to say now which weren't recorded in these writings. For these expressions, we often have to rely on families who have continued to speak the language, or to make an educated guess as to how the Copts would have said them. Asking the time seems to be a good example. Given that most Coptic texts are either Biblical scriptures, homilies or martyrologies, you won't find the question 'what is the time' too many times. However, modern day Coptic grammar books printed in Egypt have four different questions for asking the time. These questions below borrow in advance from constructions which we'll meet later in (13.3).

очнь де 48хи	what is the time?	
†ахп отнр		
ον τε †αχπ		
†ахп отнр †иот	what is the time now?	

Now in giving the answer, we have to consider that the way we talk about the time now is different to the way the Copts talked about it, and that whether they spoke about minutes or seconds is a matter for debate. In any case, modern day Arabic Coptic grammar books have derived ways of talking about minutes and seconds, as well as expressions for dividing up the time which are similar to what we'd use today. This means that using the numbers from **(4)**, the adverbs above, and the vocab below, you

<sup>&</sup>quot;evening and morning and midday I will speak"

can tell somebody the time in Coptic in a similar way to what you would say in Arabic or English:

Vocab					
&xπ/ ornor	hour (m)	corcor	very short time, minute (m)		
ре-дало <b>л</b>	quarter (m)	φaωι/ xoc	half (m)		
рікі швал	blink of an eye, moment, second(m)	мэтеи	except (prep)		

Here are some example combinations. Note that some of the expressions don't need a copula or a preposition.

taxn Te ori / taxn ori

"it is one o'clock."

тахп от неи отфаці инері

"the first hour and a half (one thirty) in the afternoon"

тооти тоотоой тни изтяш тиош пхаф

"the time is ten to three in the morning" (literally: the third hour except for ten minutes in the morning)

chort new may ycorcor

"10 past 2"

C001 0120C

"6:30"

## The day

Realising what day it is is one of the first things that comes into your mind when waking up in the morning. Isn't it funny how this affects your mood? Without the structure of the days of the week we'd really be quite lost. The Copts also saw the value of dividing the week into days, and they also had seven days in their week.

The English days are in part named after Scandinavian gods. The Copts had a much more pragmatic approach, simply naming the days according to their place in the week.

Hence Sunday is "the first", i.e. **πιοται**, Monday is "the second", **πιὰκατ**, and so on all the way to Saturday (**πιωαωq**).