Practice text 14

Psalm 6:2-4 6:1 -3

As we shall see in the following passage, David also made used of the negative imperative in his prayers and petitions to the Lord.

 $\Pi \overline{oc}$ иперсогі имої бен пекхинт от $\Delta \varepsilon$ йгрні бен пекивон. Ипер \dagger сви нні. наі нні $\Pi \overline{oc}$ х ε йнок отасфенне. Маталбої $\Pi \overline{oc}$ х ε накас атйфортер отог йтафтун йфортер емащи

Vocab				
У С⊕€ИНС	weak, feeble, (Gk,m)	ўөортєр	to be disturbed, troubled	
MBON	wrath (m)	χωητ	anger (m)	
081	to reprove, correct, admonish (v.t)	tèβω	to teach, reprove	

10.4.iv. A Milder imperative- The 'Optative'

There is a milder, more gentle way of giving instructions. This way is more of an encouragement rather than an order. Think of it as the difference between saying 'let us go to the beach' or 'let us pray', as opposed to 'go the beach!' or 'pray!.'

This form is called the *Optative*. It's unique amongst the tenses because it doesn't actually have a second person form. It is formed around the stem $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{P}$ and is conjugated as in the following table:

маре	pre subject form
My bin	may I
Mared/	may he
Marec/	may she
uapor//	may they
Madeu/	may we

So You want to Learn Coptic?

The optative can then be attached to either the infinitive or the pronominal form of the verb. E.g.

ewc "to praise"

uapenewe "let us praise"

Vocab			
nosen — nosen mosen	to save, deliver (v.t)	тотво	to be, become pure, to purify
1108677			(v.t)
orയയ oreയ− oraയ∥	to desire, to love (v.t)	Swc	to praise (v.t)
сюдей соөп//	to hear, listen (v.t)	κ ωρ έβολ	to disperse, scatter (v.t)

Exercise 10.9

- a) uapenzwe è $\Pi \overline{\sigma c}$ (Exodus 15:21)
- b) wapeqtorbo nxe nekpan (Matthew 6:9)
- c) uapeci nice teknetorpo (Matthew 6:10)
- d) марі сштем епекнаі (Psalm 142:8 143:8)
- e) uaporxwp èbod nxe nekxaxi thpor (Numbers 10:35)
- f) uapeqnaeueq †nor 1cxe qorawq (Matthew 27:43)
- g) нөштен гштен фн етаретенсоөмец ісжен гн маречшшті бен өннө \mathbf{r}^{****} (1 John 2:24)

-

^{******} Confused about the эен оннот? Refer to (5.5) for a reminder

10.5. When or if- The conditional

Sometimes, things we want have to wait till other things get done. For example, in the sentence "I will buy a car, when I get lots of money", buying the car depends on getting money first. The key word here is 'when', which implies that a certain event has to take place before the next event can occur. In other words, the second event is conditional on the first event taking place first, hence the name of the conditional tense. The conditional is whole tense which carries the meaning of 'when' or 'if'. Superficially, this tense actually looks like the past perfect tense (5.2), except that it has a YAN stuck in between the pronoun and the verb as well:

aimau_	when I, if I
akwan-	when you, if you (m)
apewan-	when you, if you (f)
admau_	when he, if he
acwan-	when she, if she
anwan-	when we
аретеншан-	when you (plural)
arwan-	when they
vbemvu_	pre subject form

In Coptic, this tense is used for both 'when' and 'if', which clearly don't always mean the same thing, so it's up to you to look at the context to decide which meaning is the most appropriate.

E.g. **api πa μετὶ Πασ akwanì δεη τεκμετοτρο** (Hymn for service of Great Friday) "remember me my Lord when you come into your kingdom"

K&N is a special word which is often used with the conditional which gives the meaning of 'even if.'

E.g. Kan aiwandi neu neqèbwc †nanozeu (Mark 5:28) "If I even touch his garments I shall be saved" The word $\grave{\epsilon} \& \omega \pi$ which also means 'if or when' is often used with the conditional. In these cases, it's left untranslated as the rest of the conditional construction is enough to give the meaning of the conditional to the clause. On the other hand, $\grave{\epsilon} \& \omega \pi$ can also be used without the rest of the conditional construction, in which case it is translated;

E.g. $\epsilon \omega \omega \pi$ $\Delta \epsilon$ adward has hwhpi lippowil sen redwor new negatively through the sequence of the redwor (Matthew 25:31)

"and when the son of man comes in his glory and all his angels with Him then he will sit on the throne of His Glory"

ещи течениег имог течениаврег енаентолн (John 14:15)

Vocab			
àpez	to guard, to keep, to study (v.t)	тэхэшгл	bridegroom (m)
еринстетіп	to fast (v.i)	севі	circumcision (m)
ер ѐкап Д аЛі Z єс о є	to stumble (v.i)	TOTHOC TOTHOC- TOTHOC//	to raise, arouse (v.t)
ò ponoc	throne (m)	S нољ	profit, gain (m)
иєтотро	kingdom (f)	бі нем//	to touch (v.t)
иотос	law (m)		

Exercise 10.10

a) петрос $\Delta \varepsilon$ пехач нач $\mathbf{x}\varepsilon$ кан атумперскан Δ а λ 1 $\mathbf{z}\varepsilon$ С $\mathbf{e}\varepsilon$ тнрот а $\lambda\lambda$ а ànok an (Mark 14:29)

- b) сеннот Δ е йхе ганегоот ещип атшаних! \dot{u} піпатшехет евох гариот тоте етеєринстетін (Matthew 9:15)
- с) атшансютем шатшеп пісамі єршот бен отращі (Luke 8:13)
- d) еретенебі нотхом ершп арешан піппа евотав і еврні ехен вниот (Acts 1:8)
- e) Liwandi Δε norchor thaorwpπ ncwk (Acts 24:25)
- f) отоп гнот тар шпісєві ещшп акщапірі шпіпомос (Romans 2:25)
- g) EYETOTHOCY HAE $\Pi \overline{OC}$ kan èwwh ayipi heanhobi eyexay hay ebox (James 5:15)

10.5.i. Negative of the conditional

If there is a chance that something will happen, there is also a chance that it will not happen, hence the reason for the negative conditional tense, used to express the meaning of 'if not.' The construction for the negative conditional will actually be a little familiar (at least it should be familiar, depending on your memory); as back in (6.2.ii) we saw that the negative of the subjunctive is formed by placing an wten between the subjunctive and the verb. WTEN is also used when forming the negative of the conditional. Here the WTEN is swapped for the WAN of the affirmative conditional as in the table below:

-иэтщіл	if I do not
акутен-	if you do not (m)
аре <u>ш</u> тем-	if you do not(f)
rdmen_	if he does not
veñlen_	if she does not
-иэтщил	if we do not

-мэтшиэтэць	if you do not(plural)	
тутеш-	if they do not	
аре <u>ш</u> тем-	pre subject form	

Е.д. †пот Де хреченщчем очищ ммос сепагі өнпот е́дрні ѐ†гри мхрим (hom vatt ii pg.81)

[&]quot;and now if you do not worship it you will be thrown down into the furnace of fire"

Vocab				
www.c	lawless (adj Gk)	ермеденони	to repent (Gk, v.i)	
Васілітне	Basil (prop.noun)	ושו ואר ואו	to wash (v.t)	
\(\Delta\) 10K\(\Delta\)HTI&NOC	Diocletian (prop noun)	фши евох	to flow, pour out (v.i)	
еркататишски	to condemn (Gk)	<u></u> <u> </u>	bed (m)	

Exercise 10.11

- а) наменра темент еркататимский мион (1 John 3:21)
- b) ещип тар аретен утемпар \dagger же апок пе тетеннамот идрні бен нетеннові (John~8:24)
- с) †хw ймос имтеи хе аретейутемотим йтсард ййшнрі йфримі (John 6:53)
- d) піфарісєює тар пем піютдаї тнрот мпатотом атютеміатотот йотмию йсоп (Mark 7:3)
- е) †патніс є̀пблох пец пн єтатєришк пецас є̀отпішт прохов є̀шшт асштецерцетаної (Revelation 2:22)

f) пехач й потро й хиомос Дюклтій пос хе фонд й хе Па \overline{oc} Інс Пхс ак ϕ темерой дарой до й текоторп т е дрни ехний й тот ϕ от пас дос ден пила е ден и и ден и

Practice text 15

Matthew 18:15-17

Ещип де арещан пексон ернові ерок идше нак отог саги оттик неиац йнататк ещип ацшанситей йсшк екехейгног йпексон. Ещип де ацштейситей йсшк бі йкеотаї іе кев нейак гіна евох бен риц ймеөре в іе \overline{y} йте сахі нівен огі ератот. Ещип де ацштейситей йсшот ахос йтеккуніса. Ещип де ацштейситей йса теккунсіа ецещипі йтотк йфрнт йотефнікос ней оттехинно

Vocab				
€ 0 И1КОС	foreigner, Gentile, heathen (Gk,m)	թազ	(his) mouth 15.4	
йтотк	to you (Appendix 3)	coS1 c8Sm//	to blame, rebuke, correct, admonish (v.t)	
0ΥT6 0ΥTW//	between, among	тєуминс	tax collector (Gk, m)	
osi ebau/	to stand (vi, reflex)	хепчнол	to profit, gain	

So You want to Learn Coptic?

11. MORE ON THE INFINITIVE

Up till now, we've focussed mainly on the different forms and tenses derived from the infinitive. In this chapter though, we'll concentrate more on the grammatical structures which involve the infinitive itself as a unit.

11.1. Making things happen- the causative

The prefix $(\grave{\epsilon}) \grave{\Theta} p(\epsilon) / \ell$ first adds a letter to the stem depending on the person and number of the subject. The new combination is then placed before the infinitive, as in the examples below:

(e)opiewc	so that I praise
(ε) θρεκ εως	so that you praise (m)
၁ဃ၄ခရမ(ခ)	so that you praise (f)
()မောင်ကေနက်	so that he praise
(e)epecewc	so that she praise
(e)openswc	so that we praise
эмгэтэтөф(э) эмгиэтэдө(э́)	so that you praise (plural)
(e)eporewc	so that they praise

Е.д.мабонтен Де ѐпетентало шперал шпемоо йніршил еоротнат ерштен (Matthew 6:1)

"And Give heed to your gift, do not make it before men that they see you"

 $\grave{\Theta}$ pe# can also be used as a verb in its own right with the meaning of 'to cause.' The construction then takes the following form:

èp€ // + optional object marker + object + infinitive

Note that the infinitive stands at the end of the construction without having any letters attached to it, that is the 'to' in 'cause to' is inferred in the translation but doesn't actually have an equivalent in the Coptic.

E.g. **&qòpe \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{\pi}\widetilde{w}n\) \(\hat{**

Vocab			
сайпеннанеч	beneficient, good	тошьтэпил	evil doer, sinner

Exercise 11.1

- a) себрот поваки шФф отпоч (Psalm 45:4 46:4)
- b) хе ечеро ипечрн ща ехен нісайпетгшог ней пісайпеенанет (Matthew 5:45)
- c) ειεθρε πεκραν ερνιωτ (Genesis 12:2)
- d) атореческий сапшин имиот (Matthew 21:7)
- е) †пабре петепсшиа еротшіпі йфрн† йфрн (hom vatt ü pg.87)

Practice text 16

Panéric des trois enfants de Babylone, Homélies Coptes de Vaticaine pg.87

ТЕККУНСІЎ пдоший тиебант изчиэтэи DEN 31 11 1C1 pouú wiwistogéant **BEN** пікосмос тирч. έωωπ inawia тапаротсій ерохшии 4naèpe TH9EM DEN иетенсшиа ιчнфώй 5000 èөре μπεμοο нафи ιπωωηετετ гоизтэчэ поднт зопезий

Vocab			
07T€ 07TW∥	between, among (prep.)	ρω <mark></mark> ဗ	(his) mouth (15.4)
180	to stand (vi,reflex)	съм пета съти	to write (v.t)
€рат∥		с дно т (q)	(v.t)
паротсіа	appearance (Gk,f)		

11.1.i.Negative of the causative

The negative of the causative is really very much like the negative imperative because it also carries the meaning of ordering something to not be done. It is formed by placing $\mathfrak{U}\pi\epsilon N$ which is the equivalent of the $\mathfrak{U}\pi\epsilon p$ of the negative imperative (10.4.iii) before $\mathfrak{d}p\epsilon$.

E.g. `шпенфре петенгнт ўфортер наг èфt oroz наг èpoi го (John 14:1)

[&]quot;Do not cause your heart to be disturbed believe in God and believe in me also"

KAKIA	evil, malice(Gk,f)	ယ္တယπ	to buy, accept (v.t)
атоф ілтоф	each one	Şyı	thing, person, nothing, anyone
xwpa	district,country (Gk,f)	xenuon4	to find comfort (v.i)

Exercise 11.2

- a) $\Pi \overline{ {
 m oc}}$ ипенерентако енве тухн не пагрим (Jonah 1:14)
- b) Дүі йже піснот отог гнппе іс піёгоот ачэшит ёготи йпенфре фнетшшп раші (Ezekiel 7:12)
- с) Отог фотал фотал имитен ипенфрециеті еоткакла бен петенгнт (Zechariah 8:17)
- d) ben michor de eteùnar eqèxoc nxe $\Pi \overline{OC}$ n $\overline{I} \overline{N} \overline{H} \overline{U}$ xexenou \overline{I} Ciwn unendporbwh èboh nxe nexix (Zephaniah 3:16)
- e) отог ин єтбен ніхшра імпенфротще еботи ерос (Luke 21:21)
- f) ипендре йднт ипотро шфортер (hom vatt ü pg. 95)

11.2. Verbal substantive- making nouns from verbs

Throughout the course of this book, you may have noticed that some infinitives are identical to their corresponding nouns, E.g.

pays "to rejoice" (v.i)

թեայ "joy" (m)

Sometimes, the prefix **XIN** is used before the verb to convert it to a noun which represents the 'way,' or the 'manner' in which the verb is done. E.g.

xpee 'to guard, keep, study' (v.t)

xinàpee 'act of guarding' (m)

68.110 'to create' (v.t)

XINO&UIO 'the act of creating' (m)

There are also verbs where the infinitive may be converted to a noun with or without the XIN. The two nouns formed in this way carry somewhat different meanings:

E.g.

wn& 'to live'

wn& 'life' (m)

xinwn& 'way, manner of life'

The form resulting from the conversion of the verb to the noun is called the *verbal substantive* as the substantive is understood grammatically to refer to "acting to or like a noun." The verbal substantive may be expanded to form an *adverbial phrase*. Here the verbal substantive is converted to form a phrase which adds meaning to another clause in the sentence.

The first step to making the conversion to the adverbial phrase is to add one of the four constructions in the table below to the infinitive. We'll use the infinitive $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\omega}\lambda$ as an example to demonstrate what the four different end product look like in the table below:

	A	В
1	παιητε βωλ	λωβ√τνιαπ
2	йхин э ре В w Л	παιηθρε/ βωλ

If you look at row 2, you'll notice that the substantives in that row have a $\grave{\theta} p \varepsilon$, so you would think that those forms would somehow be related to the causative (11.1) in their meaning. Unfortunately, this is one those circumstances where logic takes a back seat, because there is actually *no* difference in meaning between the forms in rows 1 and 2.

Now the forms in column A come before a noun, whereas those in B come before a pronoun. In other words, column A has the construct form (5.3.ii), and B has the pronominal form (5.3.i).

The endings used to give the subject for the form in cell B2 are the same as those which were used with $\grave{\Theta}p\epsilon \mathscr{N}$ in (11.1). The endings which follow the $\grave{\pi}xin\tau \mathscr{N}$ in B1 are given below:

	singular	plural
first person	ьτηιαπ	иэτиιχή
second person (m)	παιητέκ	иэгэгиιχή
second person (f)	эτиιхπ	
third person (m)	рэτηιχή	тогиіхй
third person (f)	παιητές	
prenominal form	эτηιχή	

The next step to forming the adverbial phrase is to add either the preposition $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ or $\mathbf{\mathcal{S}}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{N}$ to any of the four constructions above. Adding $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ gives the meaning of "in order to", and adding $\mathbf{\mathcal{S}}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{N}$ gives the meaning of 'when.'

Something very important to note here (which will save you a lot confusion) is that when the verbal substantive is used in the adverbial phrase, it no longer carries the same meaning as when it was just a verbal substantive. This point is illustrated in the example below:

E.g. cwteu Ф† è тапросетхн бен пхитативг (Psalm 63:263:1) "hear O God my prayer when I pray"

in **π** zin τ a τωβε on its own would mean "manner of my prayer", but when it has the **δεn** before it, it no longer means "manner of my prayer", but in combination with **δεn** means 'when I pray' (note that we've used the first person singular form with verbal substantive in this case.)

In the next example, the preposition used before the verbal substantive is $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$, which means 'in order to.'

Е.д. еөве фаі ай епікосмос епхінтаєрмеоре й менні (John 18:37)

"for the sake of this I came to the world, to witness to the truth"

Vocab			
ерпірацін	to tempt (v.t)	ωχι εχ- οχ σχ,ηχ	to hold, take (v.t)
exnyvany	captivity (Gk, f)	бінміл ұғ	to lead (v.i)
Філістін	Philistine (prop.noun)		

Exercise 11.3

- а) бен йхіндречсштем йхе йотро ѐйбршот йнімнш ачершфнрі (hom vatt ii pg.83)
- b) Sen fixin doe $\Pi \overline{OC}$ tacdo htexualweil hte Ciwn anywhi lident heanoron farthout nwor (Psalm 125:1 126:1)
- c) начх Де йотпараводн нюот епхінтот ерпросетхесоє йснот нівен (Luke 18:1)
- d) Бен панаос же ифоот ешшп аретеншансштей етечемн (Hebrews 3:15)
- е) Бен йхін бре фарай хи йпілаос евол йпечбіцшіт Бахиот йхе Ф† ефциіт йФтлістін (Exodus 13:17)
- f) α πιπηα ολα επωααε επαιητε πιδιάβολος ερπιραζιη

 λιμος (Matthew 4:1)

11.3. Being able- the potential infinitive

Having the potential to do something means that you are able to do it, and it is this meaning that the potential infinitive carries, i.e. of "being able." This form of the infinitive is constructed by taking an **\overline{\ove**

E.g. wcari "to be able to speak"

The $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ is often combined with the noun \mathbf{xou} 'power' to make $\dot{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{xou}$. The combination $\dot{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{xou}$ is then combined with the impersonal verb \mathbf{oron} "there is" (8.2.ii). So \mathbf{oron} $\dot{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{xou}$ means "there is power." The original English meaning for 'power' is actually 'ability.' So to say "there is power" actually means "there is ability", or "it is possible." To this we finally add the object marker $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ ($\dot{\mathbf{N}}$) (5.1.iv).

Е.g. отоп ухом ипетрос

"It is possible for Peter"

Naturally, the pronoun form for $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ ($\dot{\mathbf{n}}$) which we saw in (8.2.ii) can also be used. So **oronimals** means "there is power to me" or "I am able."

When we need to know exactly what one is able to do, we connect the preposition $\hat{\pmb{\epsilon}}$ with the relevant verb, so for example:

oron wxou duot èww means "I am able to read."

An alternative construction which can be used is made by sneaking in a **xeu** between **\overline{**

The dependent personal pronoun (5.1.i) is then attached before the new construction.

E.g. qwxeuxou.

"He is able to find power" or "He is able."

This time the N/ construction is then used on the verb that follows:

dönzenzon ycszi

"He is able to speak"

Vocab	
вωх вех- вох∥ внх (ѐвох)	to loosen, undo, collapse (v.t)
точво точве- точво√ точвночт	to clean, purify (v.t)
4yozoc	give account (v.i)

Exercise 11.4

- a) на ете отон yхом yхом
- b) $\Pi a \overline{\sigma c}$ akwanorwy oron żewa żewa čtorboi (Matthew 8:2)
- c) a φαι xoc xe oron wxou duoi èbeλ πιερφει ήτε Φ† èboλ (Matthew 26:61)
- d) еөве фаі а ііі ухенхон йергал йнос ката фотицій песент (hom vat ii pg.114)
- e) од ете отоп ухом имоп è†догос еовнтс зд піщоортер (Acts 19:40)

11.3.i. Not being able- the negative potential

Unfortunately, we can't always be positive that we can do everything we want, and we have to admit that there are some things which just can't be done. Without being too negative, the Copts had devised is a special tense for expressing that things are impossible.

The construction used for this tense is similar to that for the potential infinitive. You'll recall that in the section on impersonal verbs (8.2.ii), oron meant 'there is', whereas **QQON** meant 'there isn't.' Likewise, as **Oron** was used for the affirmative potential infinitive, so **QQON** is used for the negative potential.

Now **LUON** is attached before the **LUXOU**, with the combination being used in the same way that **OTON LUXOU** was used in the section above.

E.g. имонухом икснот ènoeen ипагрн (Daniel 3:29) "it is not possible for another god to save in this way"

notice that the pre subject form of the past perfect **(5.2)** can be used to convert the construction to the past tense

Sometimes you'll want to talk about something that could not have been done in the past. In these cases, the negative form of the past perfect (5.2.i) is combined with the wxou without any need for the xxou.

E.g. ùποτ ὼχου καμοπι ὰμος (Third verse Doxology of Resurrection) "They could not hold him"

Vocab			
inous	to hold, seize, detain (v.t)	cω	to drink (v.t)
excegioc	Eusebius (prop.noun)	χω λ έβολ	to deny (v.i)
πολεμος	battle (Gk,m)		

Exercise 11.5

- a) uuon wxou uuoq enar (John 3:3)
- b) uuon wxou uuoq enazueq (Matthew 27:42)
- с) имон ўхом тар нёлі ёвр наімніні втекірі имоот ареўтем Ф† ушпі немац (John 3:2)
- d) сесworn йхе ин тнрог етyon \mathcal{S} en $1\overline{\lambda}$ Нy ймои yхои y
- e) имон ухом имотен èсю євох ${\mathcal S}$ ен підфот йтє $\Pi \overline{{\mathbf O} {\mathbf C}}$ нем підфот йтє нідемон (1 Corinthians 10:21)
- f) имон ухом имог ѐєрфаг ухтєці йхє єтсєвгос паунрг $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ во λ $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ єн піпо λ ємос (АтНуг p2)

_

^{****} You may need to refer to (10.5.i) to remind yourself of this construction

11.4. Not yet

If you've been wading your way through this book, and found the going a bit tough, you may be starting to ask "am I near the end yet?" To this the reply must be 'not yet'. In fact, there's a special construction in Coptic completely devoted to giving the meaning of 'not yet.' It is formed with either **\underline{u}πaτ** as the pronoun form, or \underline{u}πaτε as the construct form. An example of its usage is shown below using the verb naτ 'to see.'

шпатнат	I have not yet seen
шпатекнат	You have not yet seen (m)
У ПАТЕМАТ	You have not yet seen (f)
шпатечнат	He has not yet seen
шпатеснат	She has not yet seen
УБИНЗТАПЦ	We have not yet seen
ТАНИЗТЭТАПЦ	You have not yet seen (pl)
УБИТОТЬЯ	They have not yet seen
Этат є	Pre subject form

Now when you say "he has not seen", you're really talking in the present tense. However, if you were to look further back in time, you would want to say something 'had not been done' as opposed to 'it has not been done'. For this case, you would need to borrow the NE from the plu perfect (7.1.i) to give the meaning of 'had', where it happily sits in front of the LAT! construction.

E.g. ne unateci nxe teqornor (John 8:20)

As with the past imperfect (7.1), you take an optional $\pi \varepsilon$ to put after the not yet construction.

E.g. ne una течі тар пе йхе lнс едрні епфи (John 11:30) "for Jesus had not yet come up to the village"

[&]quot;his hour had not yet come"

The **ùπλτ** construction is also commonly used to give the meaning of 'before.' The construction is identical to that used to give the 'has not', so you really need to look at the context to determine which meaning is intended.

шпатє фрн гштп (SinArch pg.8)

[&]quot;before the sun sets"

Vocab			
еих т 1	thing, possession (m)	χωκ χεκ- χοκ //	to complete, fulfill (v.t)
		ж нк (èвох)	
ebXbig	to need (v.i)	χ ωκ	completion, end (m)
√ѕаот ѕашт	to entreat, to ask (v.t)		

Exercise 11.6

- а) фсшоти удр йхє пєтєнішт йнн ётєтєнєрхрід ймшот йпатєтєнтовгр є ввитот (Matthew 6:8)
- b) oroz acwwni unatxek naicaxi èboh (Genesis 24:45)
- c) αλλα μπατεμί ήχε πιχωκ (Mark 13:7)
- d) we unate nachor anok wwk èbox (John 7:8)
- e) не ипатеці тар ехен отон иншот (Acts 8:16)
- f) †nor Де шпатеннаг еенхаг нівен (Hebrews 2:8)

11.5. When one verb leads to another

Sometimes there'll be two verbs in the sentence where the first verb is directly related to the other, as in this example:

"You know how to interpret"

Here the first verb is 'know' and the second is 'interpret.'

As you can see, the second is directly related to the first. The conjunctions we looked at in (6.1) wouldn't be useful to link them. In these cases, Coptic uses the preposition \mathfrak{h}

or $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ to link the verbs. As to which is used in a particular guide, there are two rough guides:

The first is that verbs which express a wish, allowing, ordering, promising, swearing, intending, and being able take $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ whereas verbs of willing, desiring, beginning, anticipating, understanding, loving, fearing take $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$.

E.g. nowor De natorwy èini norxix èzphi èxwq èzoobeq (Acts 9:29)

"but they desired to bring their hands down upon him to kill Him"

oroz aqepente ntèbw nwor (Mark 8:31)

The second guide is that if the second verb immediately comes after the first, it takes $\grave{\mathbf{n}}$, but if another word comes in between, then the second verb takes $\grave{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$.

We've actually already seen a bit of this with some of the verbs we've come across; the verb which immediately followed the potential infinitive $\mathbf{\hat{w}xex}$ \mathbf{xox} took an $\mathbf{\hat{n}}$ before it, but took $\mathbf{\hat{e}}$ if the direct object pronoun $\mathbf{\hat{u}xo}$ came in between (11.3). We also saw this with the impersonal verb $\mathbf{\hat{c}we}$ "it is befitting", here $\mathbf{\hat{c}we}$ took $\mathbf{\hat{n}}$ if the next verb immediately followed it, and again $\mathbf{\hat{e}}$ if another word came in between (8.2.i).

Vocab			
ерДокішатін	to test, try, examine (v.t)	споден	to be easy (v.i)
(ii) inous	to overcome, seize (v.t)	ebsy	to deceive (v.t)

Exercise 11.7

- a) тетепсшоти пердокішатіп (Luke 12:56)
- b) ayeuci ètebw nwor (John 8:2)
- c) tepeenic sap enar epwten (2 John 1:12)
- d) APITEN HELLTYA HEOC (introduction to Lord's prayer)

[&]quot;and he began to teach them"

e) cuoten hepera unoc (hom vat 214)

12. ANYONE FOR SECONDS? - THE SECOND TENSE

Perhaps the most difficult concept to grasp in Coptic is what is called the 'second tense.'

We've already looked at the 'first present tense' (5.1), the 'first perfect tense' (5.2). and the 'first future tense' (5.9), so why do we need a second tense then? The second tense has two key roles. The first is easy enough to understand, which is it's use in questions. The second which shall be discussed is a role that is unique to Coptic, and hence is difficult to find the equivalent of in English. But basically, it takes the emphasis in a sentence away from the verb and moves it to another part of the sentence. Let me explain further:

In an English sentence, the emphasis can be controlled by the order of the words in the sentence. For example, compare the following sentences:

"I came for this"

and

"For this I came"

Both of these sentences have similar meanings, but by changing the word order for the second sentence the emphasis shifts from the verb 'came' to 'for this.'

In Coptic sentences, the emphasis can also be switched from the verb. However, unlike English, the word order does not change. Instead, a special tense of the verb is used, which is called the second tense. There is a second tense for the present, future and past tenses.

12.1. Second present

Now, to make things a bit more confusing, the second *present* tense looks almost exactly like the first *perfect* tense! So the prefixes to the verb are as follows:

exactly like the first prifer tense; so the prefixes to the verb are as follows.		
1 st person singular	¥1-	
2 nd person singular masculine	ak-	
2 nd person singular feminine	ape-	
3 rd person singular masculine	aq-	
3 rd person singular feminine	ac-	
1 st person plural	an-	
2 nd person plural	аретен-	

3 rd person plural	2√2 -
pre subject form	ape

You will recall that we said that the second present looks *almost* exactly like the past *perfect*. In what way does it differ?

Remember the presubject form of the past perfect? That's where the & is split from the infinitive and goes in front of the subject, as in the following example:

г шівопі побі

"The man walked"

The presubject form for the second present however is **&PE**.

As mentioned above, when verbs are written with the second tense, the emphasis of the sentence is diverted from the verb of the sentence to another part of the sentence.

Consider this example:

тетенх ω имос же аре піманот ω ω т бен і $\overline{\lambda}$ ни піма ете с ω е нот ω ω т имоч (John 4:20)

"You say the place in Jerusalem is the place which is appropriate to worship in"

It is clear here that the emphasis in the sentence is not on the verb 'worship', but on the phrase "Jerusalem is the place", hence the second tense is used to shift emphasis away from the verb to this phrase. Unfortunately, the second tense doesn't tell you which part of the sentence the emphasis has switched to, only that it has switched away from the verb.

Now in the example above, it was clear that this example used the second tense because the pre subject form of the second tense was used.

So how do you tell them apart for the forms where the presubject form isn't used? Well, here you have to look carefully at the context of the sentence and see which one makes the most sense. Let's take an example:

The verb in this sentence is **210 V1**- "to cast." Now we notice the **&Q** in front, which means that the verb could either be in the first past perfect tense or the second present tense. If the verb was in the first past perfect tense, it would mean "he cast out."

However, since there is no reason to think that Christ no longer casts out demons, it doesn't really make sense to translate it in the past tense.

The likely tense therefore is the second present tense. In this case the emphasis is switching from the verb to another part of the sentence. As we said, the second tense does not actually tell us exactly which part of the sentence the emphasis is transferred to; just that it is away from the verb. In this case, it is quite probable that the emphasis is intended to be on the "through the chief of demons."

Hence to reflect this emphasis of the sentence, an appropriate translation would be:

Another example is:

Definan unequous new πεφάνος ετταιμόντ όνος ανώνδ ωα ènes (πιωικ ντε πωνδ, Hymn during Holy Communion)

Let's focus our attention on **ENWN**. Once again, the tense of this verb is either the first past perfect or the second present. Here it doesn't really make sense to say "we *lived* forever", so the second present tense applies here, and the translation is therefore "we live *forever*" with the emphasis on 'forever'. So, the final translation is: "He gave us His body and His honoured blood and we live forever"

12.2. The second future tense

There is also a second future tense, which has the same purpose of expressing a different part of the sentence than the verb. Fortunately, the second future is quite easy to recognise because it is unique in its form in that it looks like a combined past tense with the future.

1 st person singular	aina-
2 nd person singular masculine	aχna-
2 nd person singular feminine	apena-
3 rd person singular masculine	aqna-
3 rd person singular feminine	acna-
1 st person plural	anna-
2 nd person plural	аретенна-

[&]quot;It is through the chief of Demons that He casts out demons"

3 rd person plural	arna-
pre subject form	ape

E.g. πιθυμι ασηαωή έβολ δεη Φηαξή (Galatians 3:11)

[&]quot;the righteous will live through faith"

Vocab			
&7&00C	good, righteous one (Gk, m)	παςχα	Passover (Gk,m)
катаволн	foundation, establishment (Gk,f)	b ω ι	my mouth (poss.noun) (15.4)
μετχωβ	weakness (f)	ത്രൂത്രം	to boast, be proud (v.t)
йкот	to rest (v.i)	∆атотк	at, beside, under (comp. prep) (Appendix 3)
йтотк	to you (comp. prep) (Appendix 3)	Sou \ Sни Smu Seu-	to hide (v.t)
nwro	to open (v.t)		

Exercise 12.1

- a) ICXE ČEUNUA NTAWOYWOY LUOI AINAWOYWOY LUOI DEN
 NAUETXWB (2 Corinthians 11:30)
- b) Diepetin htotk w πιαγαθός αριογηαι ηθωμι κατα πεκηιωτ hnai (9th hour commentary of Monday Morning of the Holy Pascha)
- c) бен піші ѐтетеннаші іммоч ахнаші нютен іммоч (Matthew 7:2)
- d) аінаотши йрші бен данпараводн отод йтасахі йнн етенп ісхен ткатаводн йпікосцос (Matthew 13:35)

- e) axoc naq xe π exe π ipeq†è θ w xe a π achor aq θ wnt ainaipi θ ma π acxa θ atotk new nawa θ hthe (Matthew 26:18)
- f) ùπεcuor μαε ταλος αλλα αςμκοτ (Mark 5:39)

12.3. The second past tense

As with the present and future tenses, there is also a second past tense. Once again, this tense looks identical to another more common tense, which in this case is the past tense converted by the relative pronoun (5.4)

1 st person singular	-17L3
2 nd person singular masculine	€Т&К⁻
2 nd person singular feminine	етаре-
3 rd person singular masculine	етач-
3 rd person singular feminine	€Т&С−
1 st person plural	етан-
2 nd person plural	етаретен-
3 rd person plural	€Т&Т-
pre subject form	єта

So as with the second present, a careful study of the context is required to determine which of the tenses is intended. It's actually easier in many regards to pick out the second past tense than it is for the second present, because the alternative translation which you'd get if you used the past relative usually doesn't make any sense at all, not just contextually but also grammatically.

E.g. TENEMI XE ETAKÌ EBON SITEN \$\Psi\$ (John 3:2)

If you were to translate the **ET&K**i as if it were in the past relative, the translation would be "we know that which you came from God." The 'which' from the relative would be clearly out of place. Hence this is your clue that this word isn't in the

So You want to Learn Coptic?

past relative tense and the second past tense translation would be more appropriate, hence the correct translation would be:

"We know that you came from God"

Vocab				
тако таке-	to destroy (v.t)	гитотк	through you (comp. prep) (Appendix 3)	
тако∥ такнотт			prep (Appendix 3)	
тачин	truth (m)	гчкши	image, icon, likeness (f)	
тышфат	truly (adv)			

Exercise 12.2

- a) ачерото йхе $\overline{\text{lhc}}$ отог пехач хе етасують ан еөвнт йхе такин а $\lambda\lambda$ а еөве өннот (John 12:30)
- b) रुद्धा प्रक्रिमा प्रह ह्रेन्यां ह्रिष्ठि शावास (John 17:8)
- c) хе етанацио ипироци sen отгтком ите $\Phi \dagger$ (Genesis 9:6)
- d) ετακί έμηαι έτακοη μπατε πεηςμον ωωπι (Matthew 8:29)
- e) anok etalî zina îte orwn $\mathfrak z$ wwn nwor (John 10:10)

13. ASKING QUESTIONS- THE INTERROGATIVE

Have you ever heard of an interrogation? An interrogation implies asking questions in an aggressive manner, often with the goal of acquiring a particular answer. The word 'interrogative' may therefore have an intimidating feel about it, but this is unfair, because the 'interrogative' represents something much more innocent. It simply refers to the part of speech which involves asking questions. Asking questions is fundamental to communication and to language in general, and Coptic is no exception. There are three basic constructions for the interrogative which will be discussed in this chapter.

13.1. Change of intonation

Intonation is the change in pitch one makes when speaking. Very often, a sentence written on paper could be read as either a statement or a question depending on the intonation. In English, a question mark will tell you the difference, but Coptic doesn't use question marks. Whereas other types of sentences in Coptic have ways of indicating that the sentence is a question, the sentences in this category don't have internal clues, and you have to rely on the context to determine that a question is really being asked.

"and Pilate asked him saying 'are you the king of the Jews'?"

If we were to read NOOK TE NIIOTALI on its own, we would translate it as "you are the king of the Jews." However, because it is preceded by Aquenq "he asked", we have the clue we need to realise that the phrase is actually a question and is translated as such.

13.2. Using the interrogative particle

As indicated above, some sentences have built in clues to let you know that there is a question. We'll look at two of these particles **&N** and **WH**.

An comes at the beginning of a sentence and turns it into a question. It could be roughly translated as the 'is' in 'is it?'. (It is not to be confused with the **&n** of negation which we first met in **(5.1.i)**.)

E.g. an Φ ai π e π eten ψ hpi Φ h eteten ω $\dot{\psi}$ uoc ω e anuacq equi $\dot{\psi}$ Be λ \lambdae (John 9:19)

"is this your son, this who you say that 'we gave birth to him blind'?"

QH also starts the sentence to turn it into a question and is left untranslated. It often has a somewhat rhetorical sense, in that the speaker isn't really waiting for an answer.

E.g. uh oronwxou norbwk è+www uneq $\overline{\mathbf{oc}}$ (AmHyv p31)

The question will often be in one of the negative tenses, so that it takes the form of a negative statement, but the answer to the question is expected to be 'yes', and it doesn't really need to be answered E.g. "is not the sky blue?"

E.g. MH anok orpembe an MH anok oranoctoroc an MH $\overline{1}$ HC $\overline{\pi}$ $\overline{\chi}$ C hendoic minar èpoq MH howten an he habwb sen $\overline{\Pi}$ OC (1 Corinthians 9:1)

"am I not a free person? Am I not an Apostle? Jesus Christ our Lord, did I not see Him? Are you not my work in the Lord"

Vocab			
еротш	to answer, reply (v.i)	cope cape-	to sweep (v.t)
котр	slap, blow (m)	พิเนเ พิยน− พิยน\	to seek, ask (v.t)
ense	free person (m)	∌нВс	lamp (m)
рн4	manner, condition (m)	хшілі, халнотт	to dwell, visit, to be dwelling, visiting (q)
cω cε- co // ca γ (p.c)	to drink (v.t)	берно т т	to light up, burn, kindle (v.t)

[&]quot;is it possible for a servant to despise his lord?"