Е.д. Ішсну отганше пе

"Joseph is a carpenter"

We introduced the demonstrative pronoun in **(2.2.ii).** Demonstrative pronouns can also be used to form nominal sentences. Those sentences always contain the copula. Once again, if the predicate is preceded by a definite article, then the copula comes in between the demonstrative pronoun and the predicate:

If on the other hand the predicate is preceded by an indefinite article, then the copula goes to the end of the sentence.

E.g. ψαι οτωμρι πε "this is a son"

3.3. Pronoun + copula

This type is a little more tricky. It only uses a predicate and the copula. What happens to the subject? In these cases, the subject is only implied without actually being written.

So for example, **ANOK** $\pi \varepsilon$ on its own does not mean 'I am' but actually means 'It is I.' The subject here isn't actually written in the Coptic, but is implied to be 'it.' The predicate, which is telling you something about the subject 'it' is **ANOK**, telling you that 'it' is I.'

This particular construction comes up now and then. When Christ came to the apostles walking on water, He reassured them saying $\mathbf{\&NOK}$ $\mathbf{\pi}\mathbf{\varepsilon}$, and in the Divine Liturgy, the expression translated as 'It is You' or 'You are He' (around whom the angels stand) is $\mathbf{\grave{NOK}}$ $\mathbf{\pi}\mathbf{\varepsilon}$.

Confusion Corner

You would have noticed that the copulas $\pi \varepsilon$, $\tau \varepsilon$ and $n \varepsilon$ are identical to the possessive articles used for 'you' when speaking to a female (2.1.iii).

How do you tell which is which? One easy way is to remember that the copula will always be separate from the noun, whereas the possessive article will always be attached to it. However, this explanation is a bit artificial since separating words in Coptic is a relatively new invention. The Copts didn't seem to believe in conventions like having spaces between words. Maybe it was to save paper,(or should I say to save 'papyri'). In any case all their words were linked together without spaces.

The best way to recognise the difference is to have a good understanding of the grammar. Basically, if there is a copula before a noun in the sentence, the noun would already have to have an article between it and the copula. The copula cannot come directly before a noun which doesn't already have an article. To clarify, think of this example:

ічнщэп эп роөй

Notice that the $\pi \varepsilon$ occurs twice. The first $\pi \varepsilon$ is the copula, and the second is the article which comes between the copula and the noun \mathfrak{QHPI} .

Vocab			
пароєнос	Virgin (Gk,f)	ерфеі	sanctuary, temple (m)
хрнстімпос	Christian (m)	žpoz	seed (m)
гал	judgment (m)	CYIH	beautiful person (f)
WHI	truth, justice, righteousness (f)	CYXI	word (m)
λac	tongue (m)	loraaı	Jew (m, prop.noun)
καω	pen (m)	ca.3	teacher, scribe (m)
SYNDE	Carpenter (m)	о єотокос	Mother of God (Gk,f)

Exercise 3.1

- a) Φαι πε παςωμα (Luke 22:19)
- b) anon zanżphctianoc (AmBal pg.1)
- c) πιάροα πε πισααι ήτε φησή (Luke 8:11)
- d) **ANOK** $\pi \in \pi_1 \overline{\chi} \overline{c}$ (Matthew 24:5)
- e) $\hbar \Theta O K \quad \pi \in \Pi \overline{\chi} \overline{c}$ (Mark 8:29)
- f) anon zaniordai (Galatians 2:15)
- g) nencwua zanephei hte Pt unich ne (hom vatt ii pg.75)
- h) Nizan йте Пбоіс zanzaп шині не (Psalm 18:10 19:9)
- i) παλας ογκαψ πε ήτε ογς δ (Psalm 44:245:1)
- j) **ΝθΟΚ ΠΕ ΠΑΝΟΥ**† (Psalm 117:28 118:28)
- k) noo orceiul ncaih (Gnesis 12:11)
- l) anon ganwhpi hte teπasselia (Galatians 4:28)
- т) ноштен пе фотшіні шпікосщос (Matthew 5:14)
- n) nowten he hemor unikael (Matthew 5:13)
- o) Φαι πε ποτρο ήτε niioτλαι (Matthew 27:37)

Practice text 1

CAXI NEWAN pg.64

w тепшат тахіа Паріа Іпароєнос анон не нешнрі отог йоо те тепшат. Дион не нішнрі шпешнрі шлешнрі шлепріт. Ноод пе Пен \overline{c} отог анон не недевілік. Ноод пе пенішт отог анон не недшнрі

Vocab			
asia	saint (f, Gk)	пароєнос	virgin (f, Gk)
пеиыл	beloved (adj.)	Soro	and (conj)

4. LEARNING TO COUNT- NUMBERS IN COPTIC

4.1. Numbers 1-10

You can't have a language without having numbers, and Coptic is no exception. Coptic differs from English in that the characters used to represent the numbers are actually derived from the same characters used to give the alphabet. In fact, the numerical sequence largely follows that of the alphabet. However, the characters are then given a different name from the original letter, and some are given both a masculine and feminine form.

T	et's	first take a	look at t	he numbers	one to ten.
П.	CL S	THISL LAKE A	поок агт	ne numbers	One to ten.

		masculine	female
one	<u>~</u>	1570	0.11
two	<u>B</u>	čnav	ènor†
three	<u>~</u>	Моп д	mon4
four	Σ	ġтo r	ġтο r ὲ
five	€	301 元	†è
Six	ਵ	coor	СО
seven	-	തെഴന്റർ	ത്മതിപ
eight	H	ини	іинящ
nine	0	ψιτ	
ten	1	NHJ	мнф

So you'll notice in the table above that numbers 1-10 are simply represented as the first 10 letters of the alphabet with strokes over their heads. This also explains the mysterious $\overline{\epsilon}$, which hasn't been used in any of the words up till now even though it appears in the alphabet.

4.1.i. How many?

You may be wondering why the numbers have both masculine and feminine forms. This is because Coptic numbers can be used with the attributive construction

(2.4.i) to describe how many of something in particular there are. Now, the gender of the noun at issue determines which gender of the number will be used. To see if you really understand, let's ask how would you write 'three sons.'

First, the word for son is **\www.hpi**, which is a masculine word. So you would use **\www.hpi** as opposed to **\www.hpi** for 'three'. You would then write **\www.hpi** using the attributive construction. On the other hand, if you were to say 'three daughters', you would use **\www.hpi** as daughter' is a feminine word to give **\www.hpi**.

Now, to say 'the three sons', you would still use the singular definite article, which in this case is the masculine definite article. In fact, the plural definite article is never used with numbers using the attributive construction.

ічншй тиошіп

Likewise, to say 'the three daughters', you would use the feminine definite article:

twoat ymebi

This pattern continues for most of the other numbers.

Е.g. пічтот йшнрі

"the four brothers"

шашч йсоп

"seven times"

This pattern works well for numbers 3 and up, but there is a separate way for numbers 1 and 2. When saying "one of something", the attributive construction is still used, but this time the noun comes before the number, and instead of **OTAI** or **OTI** as you'd expect, the noun is followed instead by **OTWT**. So, "one man" is written as **OTPWHI** NOTWT and "one people" as **OTYENOC** NOTWT (Genesis 34:16)

If you were to say "two of something", you would also place the noun first, but this time you wouldn't use the attributive construction at all.

```
Examples: pwui char

"two men"

ceiui chorf (Genesis 4:19)

"two wives"

Aenton char (Luke 21:2)

"two mites"
```

4.1.ii. Finding your place

Let's pretend you have just attended a race, and the time has come to list the finishing places of the contestants. Let's start with the winner.

There is a special word in Coptic for 'first' used for describing nouns which is ' $\mathfrak{Wop}\pi$ '. It is also used with the attributive construction.

Е.д. пішорп ішарттрос

"The first martyr"

ωορπ can also be used as a noun, as in 'the first'- π 1**ωορπ**.

An alternative to saying 'the first' is **2071T** which may be used both as a noun and as an adjective. As a noun, **\pi_2071T** is used for masculine words and **\parallel{2071T}** for feminine words. The plural form also has a different form and is **\pi_2072T**

However, for other places apart from 'the first', there is a special generic construction which uses the word use (which itself means 'place'). The construction takes the following form:

πιμαε+ number

E.g. So, "the third"

τιιος μουτ

As in abba wenort duaz wout

"Pope Shenouda the third"

Lucky last is written as **TIDAE** "the last" when referring to singular masculine, and **NIDAE** "the last" when referring to nouns in the plural.

4.2. 10-100

Whereas English makes all its numbers out of a combination of only ten digits, Coptic continues to move down the alphabet as it gets letters for the higher numbers. You'll notice in the following table that now only the numbers 'ten' and 'twenty' have feminine and masculine forms.

ten	1	инт	мнф
twenty	K	XOTT	x w†
thirty	$\overline{\Sigma}$	муш	
forty	u	şne	
fifty	<u>u</u>	тєві	
sixty	Z	c€	
seventy	<u>-</u>	စွဲရွိဖွဲ့	
eighty	$\overline{\pi}$	Same	
ninety	<u> </u>	пістач	
100	<u>p</u>	ယ္က€	

These are combined with the 1-9 digits to create the numbers in between. The masculine and feminine forms continue only for the numbers 10 and 20 and numbers between 10 and 20 which end in 1,2, 8 or 9.

Е.д. пімнт спат пильнтно

4.3. Numbers 100-900

In the table below you can see that $\mathfrak{D}\varepsilon$ is used for the number 100 and that it is also used with other multiples of 100:

100	P	က်ဧ
200	<u>c</u>	дат ше
300	<u> </u>	mont me
400	<u>~</u>	ου ποτή
500	⊕	μιο ε ώε

[&]quot;the 12 disciples"

600	$\frac{x}{}$	c00x me
700	$\overline{\Psi}$	ന്ഴന്റ ന്€
800	$\overline{\mathbf{\omega}}$	этни т е
900	စ္တ	ψιτ ڜε

The numbers coming in between the numbers of 100 are formed by combing them with the numbers made from multiples of 10 from the previous table. The attributive construction is sometimes used to link the two sets together: Examples:

4.4. 1000 and beyond

Coptic finally begins to recycle the characters used for its numbers after 1000. The old & returns, this time with two strokes above it, to represent the number 1000.

Two strokes above the \mathbf{B} gives the number 2000, and two strokes above a \mathbf{v} gives 3000, and so on for all the other numbers. Note that apart from the first stroke which all numbers have, each subsequent stroke adds an other three zeros to the number, so three strokes with an \mathbf{k} gives 1,000,000. The strokes may be split up to come above or beneath the character.

1000	<u> </u>	ത്രം
10,000	= 1	ощи тни
100,000	P	ме у мо
1,000,000	<u> </u>	ро ѝ ро

So You want to Learn Coptic?

Vocab			
ρομπι	year (f)	пресвътерос	priest (m)
1821	cubit (m)		

Exercise 4.1

- a) pξε hpouπι (Genesis 5:15)
- b) wawy we uan hpouni (Genesis 5:16)
- c) IB ncon (Genesis 42:13)
- d) πικΣ μπρεσβττερος (Revelation 19:4)
- e) _ ````````````` (Exodus 26:16)
- f) $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ hpouns (Acts 7:6)
- g) $\overline{u}\overline{\tau}$ hwo new $\overline{\psi}\overline{\lambda}$ (Numbers 26:7)

Practice text 2

One of the hymns chanted in the presence of a Bishop is

†инт спот тарити ("The twelve virtues").

This hymn describes the twelve virtues of the Holy Spirit which we pray will be with the Bishop. The hymn makes good use of the ranking constructions we learnt above. The relevant section of the hymn is shown below:

48011 те фачапн	†Дікео̀стин
thas quart ae 4sevuic	tuae tiy sant
тик устрания за фистос	†истрепрату
овтоти эт этотр зацт	ниомомия те фетомонн
бинэодапт эт эт вацт	эт 170 тни заит
тия со те тегрини	тнзй тобрэдтэц
tuae wawdi te tcodiy	tuae unt cnort te
4пу5 ўпниі де	†eskpatià

Vocab			
АГАПН	love (Gk,f)	пістос	faith (Gk,f)
егратій	asceticism (Gk,f)	софія	wisdom (Gk,f)
⊅ікєо̀стин	righteousness (Gk)	тотво	purity (f)
педрепраты	meekness (Gk,f)	Seyu ιc	hope (Gk,f)
петречюют	long suffering (Gk,f)	Sıbнин	peace (Gk,f)
тнзи			
пароєніа	virginity (Gk)	ниомоих	patience (Gk,f)

So You want to Learn Coptic?

5. INTRODUCING VERBS

5.1. The first present

From the Coptic point of view, the sentences introduced in chapter 3 didn't really use a verb. While the copula in English is considered to be a form of the verb 'to be', in Coptic the copula doesn't actually fit under the normal definition of a verb.

So what exactly is a verb? A verb represents an action, so for example *building* is the verb in "I am building" and *reading* is the verb in "Mark is reading a book."

To help us find its place in the sentence, we'll bring back the example we looked at in (3.)

"they	built a sandcastle"
what the sentence is about	statement made about the subject
SUBJECT	PREDICATE

The verb in the sentence above is 'built', which is part of the predicate. Looking more closely at the sentence, we can see that the action of the subject (they) was directed towards something (the sandcastle). The sandcastle is thus called the *object* of this sentence, as the action of the verb is directed towards it. So in another example, "Jack hit the ball"

"Jack" is the subject, "hit" is the verb, and "ball" is the object.

So if we look at our sample sentence again, we find we can divide it into the following parts of speech:

"they	built a sandcastle"	
	VERB	OBJECT
what the sentence is about	statement made about the subject	
SUBJECT	PREDICATE	

Now there are two major types of verbs in Coptic: *transitive* and *intransitive*. Transitive verbs are those that take an object. E.g. "to hit" is a transitive verb because you can hit an object such as a ball. "I sit" on the other hand is an intransitive verb because you can't "sit something."

Both transitive and intransitive verbs have what are called different *tenses*. What exactly is meant by 'tense'? To help answer this question, consider the following sentences:

"I am making", "I made", I will make."

You will notice that there are similar words representing the same verb in each of these, but that the form of the verb is not quite the same. This is because they refer to different *times* in which the verb is performed. In other words, the form of the verb

changes according to the tense. The first tense refers to the present, the second to the past and the third to the future.

5.1.i. Intransitive verbs

Now let's take a look at an example of an intransitive verb in what is called the "first present tense."

Consider the phrase "I am walking", this tells you that "I am walking" at this very moment, hence it represents the present tense. There may still be this question nagging at the back of your mind. "but, why is this tense called the *first* present?" Well, later on we'll discover that there are also a *second* present (12.1) and a *third* present tense (7.2), but for now, we'll concentrate on the first present.

"To walk" is the base form of the verb, from which other verbs are derived. It is called "the infinitive."

The infinitive in Coptic is **Low!**. Certain letters are then added in front of the infinitive to indicate different subjects as you can see in the table below. These letters represent the *dependent personal pronoun*. They're called pronouns because they indicate the subject, and they're dependent because they have to be attached to the verb, that is they can't stand on their own.

4ភាពការ	I am walking
ເພື່ອກະ	You are walking (m)
темоюі	You are walking (f)
ជុំភេទការ	He is walking
çភេ០ការ	She is walking
деижойі	We are walking
іщомиэтэт	You are walking (pl)
cexoការ	They are walking

Here is another example, this time with the verb C&XI (to speak).

†csx1	I am speaking
kcani	You are speaking (m)
16CYX1	You are speaking (f)
телеисяхі	You are speaking (plural)
qcax1	He is speaking
čcaxi	She is speaking
деисяхі	We are speaking
CECYXI	They are speaking

Now a more general term for the letters that appear before the verb is the *subject* prefix. Did you notice how the subject prefix for you (m) changed from χ to χ ? A simple rule guides the choice, which is that words starting with one of the *vilminor* letters (2.1.i) use χ , and those starting with any other letter use χ .

Hang on...didn't we already have different words for representing the subject like **&NOK**, NOOQ etc? Confused? You've come to the right place. See confusion corner below:

Confusion Corner

Dependent vs. .independent personal pronouns

(Reference Plumley pg. 56)

In (3.1) we talked about the independent personal pronouns like **\delta NOK**,

nooq, anon which had meanings like 'I, he and we.'

In the section above, we introduced the dependent personal pronouns, like

†, èq and TEN, which also have the meanings of 'I, he and we.'

So how do you know which to use?

The dependent personal pronouns are used most of the time, with the independent personal pronoun being used only in the following cases:

- e. In the simple subject + predicate type sentences E.g. ñθοκ πλωμρι
- f. when the sentence is based around the copula. E.g. anok $\pi \epsilon$ $\Pi \epsilon \tau poc$
- g. The independent personal pronoun may be used in addition to the dependent for added emphasis E.g. ANOK †xw imac
 - "I say to you"
- h. The independent personal pronoun sometimes also comes after another part of speech with which it shares the same number and gender to emphasise its meaning. E.g. TACIPHNH ANOK. Even though this phrase would seem to be translated as 'my peace I', the actual meaning is a little different. As the personal pronoun is used here to emphasise the meaning of the possessive article, which in this case means 'my' the combination comes out as: "my own peace"

Personal pronouns which look like other things

Take a look at the word for 'we' in the first present tense table above and the word for 'our' in the table for the possessive articles (2.1.iii). You'll notice that they both use the word TEN.

Now take a look at the word for 'you' plural, and that for 'your' plural female object. They both share the same Coptic word TETEN.

So how would you know which meaning to choose if you saw either TEN or

TETEN in a text?

Technically, if it comes before a noun, you would translate it as the

possessive article, and if it comes before a verb, you translate it as the first present tense. Practically, you'd use which ever makes more sense.

For example, if you were to see **TENN&?**, knowing **N&?** means 'see', you'd translate the expression to mean 'we see' as saying 'our see' wouldn't make sense.

You'll also notice that the first person personal pronoun † is identical to one of the female definite articles (2.1.i). Here's it's easy to tell them apart. The article will only ever appear before a noun, whereas the pronoun will only come before a verb.

The postponed subject indicator

Have you ever been postponed? I have. All the time in fact. One of the characteristics of modern day Egyptians is that they are often postponed.

In keeping with the spirit, Coptic has its own special postponed subject indicator which is $\aleph \times \varepsilon$. In what we have seen so far, the subject has been coming before the verb. However, when $\aleph \times \varepsilon$ is used, the subject comes late, that is it comes after the verb. As an example consider the following sentence:

πιρωπι άθεποι

"the man is sitting"

As you can see here, the subject $\pi ip \omega u i$ comes before the verb. An alternate way to give the same meaning using $\hbar x \epsilon$ is $\dagger e \epsilon u c i$ $\hbar x \epsilon$ $\pi i p \omega u i$, so that now the subject comes after the verb. $\hbar x \epsilon$ usually remains untranslated, however if you really wanted to translate it, you would say "who is."

People often ask why Coptic has **NXE** at all, that is why couldn't the Copts have simply always placed the subject in front of the verb. A possible reason was to preserve the word order from the Greek originals from which the Coptic was translated. You see, Greek word order doesn't always come in the sequence we're used to in English, and the subject often comes after the verb. So, it's possible that the Coptic scribes who wanted to translate from Greek introduced **NXE** to retain the Greek word order as much as possible.

Being Negative

Suppose you wanted to say "he is *not* speaking" or "they are *not* speaking." There are two ways by which you could do this. The first involves a convenient word in Coptic called **&N.** This word simply follows the verb to puts the sentence into the negative.

E.g. kcami an

"you are not speaking"

The other method is almost the same, but also involves placing the prefix $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ before the verb. So in this example, we get:

nkcaxi an

Which also means "you are not speaking."

Using nouns or names in the first present

Up to this point, we've only seen the dependent personal pronoun come before the verb. You can also place a noun or a name before the verb in the sentence. In these cases, the dependent personal pronoun usually still appears in between the subject and the verb:

E.g. ФІШТ Циєї (John 5:20)

Although this sentence would be literally translated as "the Father He loves", the meaning would be understood as just "the Father loves."

However, the dependent personal pronoun isn't always used. For example, the following phrase is also from the Gospel of John, with exactly the same meaning as the previous example, but it doesn't use the $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$.

фішт меі (John 3:35)

5.1.ii. The Qualitative

There is a special form of Coptic verb called the qualitative. It is a bit hard to come to terms with, but basically it expresses a state or a quality which has come about as a result of the completed action of a verb.

To clarify, compare the words 'to multiply' and 'to be abundant.' Notice how the first word expresses the action itself, whereas the second expresses the *result* of that action having been completed?

Also, compare the following phrases; "to turn white" and "to be white." In these examples, the first phrase corresponds to the infinitive while the second corresponds to the qualitative. In the following table, there are some more examples of the infinitive and qualitative forms of some intransitive verbs.

Infinitive	Qualitative	Infinitive	Qualitative
1818	01	čuor	сиаршотт
to grow, multiply	to be abundant	to bless	to be blessed
រម្ងា	ові	01&F	ТТОНІЛТ
to become thirsty	to be thirsty	to honour	to be honoured
1111	0111	тотво	точвночт
to liken	to be like	to purify	to be purified
кеиі	кеніфолд	Φωητ	ұєнт
to become fat	to be fat	to approach, come near	to be near
HOT	TY0WU	8€1	Simort
to die	to be dead	to fall	to be fallen
nzst	този	Эко	Soke b
to believe	to be believing	to hunger	to be hungry
orbaw,	oroßw	x @1y1	хунолд
to become white	to be white	to lodge	to be lodging
$o\textbf{r}o\textbf{\pi}^{\dagger\dagger}$	oraß	бісі	босі
to become holy	to be holy	to exalt	to be exalted

Where to use the qualitative

Most qualitative verbs may be used in the present tense as in the following examples:

ceuor "they die"

ceuwort "they are dead"

पाष्ठा "he is becoming thirsty"

^{††} The infinitive $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{0}\mathbf{\pi}$ seems to have been replaced by $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{0}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{0}$ in Bohairic. However, $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{0}\mathbf{\pi}$ continues to remain in Sahidic, suggesting that the qualitative $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{b}$ was originally derived from it.

фові "he is thirsty"

Фы qxahort "this (he) is lodging" (Acts 10:5)

The qualitative may also be used in the *imperfect* past tense (7.1), but not in the *perfect* past tense (5.2). We will meet both later.

Some exceptional qualitative verbs

In the above examples we saw that both the infinitive and qualitative can be used for the present tense. For the following verbs however, *only* the qualitative form can be used in the present tense, whereas the infinitive can be used for the past tense but not the present.

Infinitive		Qualitative	
вωк	to go	внк	to be gone
í	to come	иноъ	to be coming
φωτ	to run, flee	Фнт	to be running, fleeing
8€1	to fall	Simold	to be fallen

So for an example, to say "he is coming" (which is in the present tense), you can only use the qualitative form so you'd say **qnhor**. However, to say "he came" (past tense), you'd have to use the infinitive form.

Vocab			
èயகயும	very (adv)	birri	to cry (v.i)
еринстетіп	to fast (Gk)	Фарісєос	Pharisee (Gk,m)
кат	to understand (v.t)	ற்றா	summer (m)
NEN	and (conj.)	∌en	in (prep.)
uex1	womb (f)	eot	fear (f)
SATTO	fruit (m)		

Exercise 5.1

- а) теріші (John 20:13)
- b) TEGOCI (Sunday Theotokia Midnight praises)
- c) $\dot{q} \mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{NT}$ $\dot{\mathbf{NX}} \in \mathbf{\Pi} \overline{\mathbf{OC}}$ (Psalm 33:19 34:18)
- d) †20† нтє Пбоіс стотвнотт (Psalm 18:10 19:9)
- e) апон нем ніфарісеос теперинстетін (Matthew 9:14)
- f) теснаршотт йоо бен нідібні отод ўснаршотт йхе йоттад йте тенехі (Luke 1:42)
- g) cenhor nxe zanèzoor (Acts 21:6)
- h) cekat sen northy (Matthew 13:15)
- i) YTENT HEE TIMBUR (Matthew 24:32)

5.1.iii. Those "in between words"- prepositions

So far we've talked about nouns and verbs. Now let's look at another type of part of speech. Take a look at the sentence below:

"The man walks on the street."

When we break it down, we can see that:

'The' is the definite article

'Walk' is the verb

'Street' is the noun

And "On" is the... What is "on"?

'On' fits into the same category as other words such as "to", "in" and "from." These words go before nouns to indicate their relation to other words in the sentence. These words are called **Prepositions**

There are two basic types of prepositions in Coptic:

- 1) Simple
- 2) Compound

Compound prepositions are made from smaller words which have been linked together. In fact, many of them involve simple prepositions in their make up. You can refer to **(Appendix 3-where do compound prepositions come from)** to see how they're constructed. Simple prepositions are the base elements so to speak, and cannot

So You want to Learn Coptic?

be broken down any further. The most common simple and complex prepositions are shown in the tables below:

Simple

è	to, for, in regard to	ðen 🧸	in
ń	in, from, with	€⊕В€	because of
ием	and, with	യ്രൂ	to, toward, till
કા	on	Swc	like, as
88	to, under	1СХЕИ	from, since
0 4 1€	between, among	neuency	after
<i>ā</i> a.	below		

Compound

Sixeu	on, above	èβολ	away from
йс&	behind	èđorn	inside
ехеи	upon, on behalf of	иэтіз	through
ιωωπέ	upwards	nsqSş	facing, in front of
ѐπєснт	downward		

Nominal sentences can be built around these prepositions without them needing a Coptic equivalent for the word 'is.'

Е.д. піршиі діжен пімшіт

This sentence is understood to mean "the man is on the road", without the need for the copula to come before the preposition. The same applies for the next example:

тни пэт инг

Greek prepositions

Apart from the above 'pure' Coptic prepositions, there are also prepositions directly imported from Greek. The most common of these are:

ката	according to
παρα	against, opposite, behind
xwbic	without
Swc	as

These retain the same word order as you'd expect in English:

отрши ката паент (Acts 13:22)

It's worth noting that a noun that follows xwpic loses its article:

E.g. TIKALI XWPIC CENT (Luke 6:49)

[&]quot;the land without foundation"

Vocab			
гиостолос	Apostle, messenger, (m)	πγλн	gate (Gk,f)
ឧଲିହା	Abundance	сєп†	foundation (f)
βαλ	eye (m)	cnoq	blood (m)
вниа	platform, step (Gk,m)	707 C	chair (m)
еккунсія	Church (Gk,f)	трапеда	table (f)
ерфеі	sanctuary (m)	Xeboagin	Cherubim (Gk,m)
ενхн	prayer (Gk,f)	wor	glory (f)
інже	righteous person (m)	qaı	to raise, carry (v.t)
недсяіё	beauty	ðpwor	voice (m)

[&]quot;the woman is inside the house."

[&]quot;a man according to my heart"

πετρλ rock (Gk,t) Σου power (t)

Exercise 5.2

- a) EXEN OTTETPA (Luke 8:6)
- एकाईस प्रथाईस प्रवेद (d
- c) гіжен ткагі тнрч (Psalm 8:18:1)
- d) kpamı exen sanmhpı (hom vatt ii pg.239)
- e) nenba λ $\mathbf{u}\Pi\overline{\mathbf{oc}}$ èxen ni \mathbf{ou} hi (Psalm 33:16 34:16)
- f) вітен ністхн йте маркос піапостолос
- g) $\overline{\epsilon}$ hwo hower xwpic alor new define (Matthew 14:21)
- h) ачесист гі півних (John 19:13)
- і) Пбоіс ўгемсі гіхен ніхеротвім
- j) ๆ ละ ทักธายที่ หาง เกา หาง (Psalm 23:7 24:7)
- k) hapwor $\mu\Pi\overline{\sigma}\overline{c}$ den orniwt $\mu\Pi\sigma\overline{c}$ den orzou hapwor $\mu\Pi\overline{\sigma}\overline{c}$ den orniwt $\mu\Pi\sigma\overline{c}$ den orniwt $\mu\Pi\sigma\overline{c}$
- l) є в в є пєкран тобог (Pslam 142:11 143:11))
- тіспоч ечинот епеснт віжен пікаві (Luke 22:44)
- n) xwpic do new too (Sunday Theotokia, 7th section)

Confusion corner

Reference: (Matter pg.241)

The many meanings of the 'simple' preposition &&

5& is a little word which is used in many different places and always seems to have a different meaning each time.

It's various uses and meanings can be summarised as follows:

- to mean "under"
- to mean "towards the direction of"
- used in "apposition", that is it comes in between two nouns to tell you that they both refer to each other. E.g. **&NOK** δ& ΠΙΡΕΨΕΡΝΟΒΙ "I the sinner"
- to mean "for the sake of" or "concerning"
- to mean 'against', as in the sense of talking against someone.

5.1.iv. Transitive verbs

The verbs we met above are all examples of *intransitive* verbs, which are verbs that don't take an object. We'll now look at transitive verbs, which are verbs that do take an object.

In order to deal with transitive verbs, you have to understand a special letter construction called the 'object marker.'

This is basically a letter that sits before the object. Consider the following example:

"I understand the truth"

For a word for word translation in Coptic, you'd have:

ткат тиевині

However, this would not be enough in Coptic. Here the object is **LEGUHI**, and it requires an object marker before it. The object marker in this case, using this particular verb and object, is **N**.

So, the correct way of writing this sentence is:

ткат йтиевині

(You'll notice that the $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$ was used earlier in the attributive construction in (2.4.i), but here it means something quite different). As mentioned, the object marker is not constant. For instance, $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$ is used as the object marker instead of $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$ when the object begins with one of the following letters.

и пв ф ф

Е.g. †ка† ипісахі

"I understand the word"

Now suppose you wanted to say "He created Him" or "He created them." In these cases, the object is actually a pronoun rather than a noun. Here you need to use a special pronoun form for the object marker. The pronoun form for $\mathbf{\hat{u}} / \mathbf{\hat{N}}$ depends on the person and number of the object, as shown in the following table:

	object being indicated
nnoi	me
шиок	you (masculine)
nno	you (feminine)
ponni	him (masculine)
nnoc	her (feminine)
nong	us
иэтшш	you (plural)
nuwor	them

So, "I understand him" becomes

tkat uuoq

Some other verbs which take $\mathbf{\hat{u}}/\mathbf{\hat{n}}$ as their object marker are shown below:

Saωτ	ù/ù	to pray to
XIIII	งนน์ (น์) ท์	to find

E.g. τεητωβε μποκ δεη πετραη (Absolution of ninth hour prayer, Liturgy of the hours) "we pray to you in His name."

While \mathfrak{U} and \mathfrak{N} are the most commonly used object markers, they are not the only ones. The most commonly used after them is \mathfrak{E} .

When is $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ used as opposed to \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{N} ? The choice of the object marker depends completely on the verb, that is certain verbs take certain object markers. It so happens that the verbs of perception (those which have something to do with the senses) most commonly take $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ as their object marker, as shown in the table below:

verb	object marker	
ทลง	è єро∥	to see
сюдей	è єро∥	to hear
	ѝсъ ѝс ш ∥	to obey
[™] [™] [™]	è èpo#	to smell

So, "I see the man" is †nar èπιρωμι

You'll notice in the table above that the meaning of **cotess** changes depending on which object marker it uses. This is an important point, because it means that the meaning of the verb depends on the object marker that it takes.

There is also a pronoun form for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$, which is as formed as follows:

	Object being indicated
èpot (1995)	me
ѐрок	you (m)
èpo	you (f)
èpoq	him
èpoc	her
èpon	us
иэтшqэ́	you (plural)
èpwor	them

So for example, we see them", would be: \mathbf{Tennar} èpwor. The table below shows some other verbs which take $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ (èpo \ll) as their object marker:

Vocab			
infinitive	object marker	translation	
ęmı	ѐ єро∥	to know, understand	
кат	è єро∥	to understand	
Swc	ѐ єро∥	to praise	
cuor .	è єро∥	to bless	
480	è єро∥	to ask	

Now if the verb is already using a preposition, then the preposition functions as the object marker.

Е.д. . Тепсштем йсм пієптодн

"We obey the commandments"

Here you can see that the preposition NCA functions as the object marker

As mentioned above, the same verb can sometimes use different object markers/prepositions. In these cases, the meaning of the verb often changes.

For example, TENCWTER ETERCENH

is "we hear His word".

Whereas TENCWTER NCT TEYCHH

is "we obey His word"

As you can see, verbs are quite complicated. And this is only the start! So let's take a moment to summarise what we've learnt so far:

- a) The two major categories of verbs are **transitive** and **intransitive**. Transitive verbs take an object whereas intransitive verbs don't.
- b) Transitive verbs require an object marker or a preposition between the object and the verb. The object marker is usually $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$ ($\hat{\mathbf{x}}$), but it can also be a different preposition. The meaning of the verb may change depending on which object marker or preposition is used.

Some examples of verbs whose meaning changes depending on the preposition they use are shown in the table below:

Vocab			
κω†		τωφ	
è	to surround, seek, visit	മയ്	to flee, run to
่ ∿่อนน์ −ท์	to turn self, return	жэй	to pursue
жэй	to seek		
ភេ េ ភិរ		ന ത്	
బ్రవ	to walk to	è	to cry to, to cry for, to read to
жэй	to walk behind	èBoA	to cry out
CYXI		бі	
è	to speak, talk to	√ouú −ń	to take, receive
иен	to speak with	иел	to touch
è/ eoße/ ða	to speak about		
nca/ отвн/	to speak against		
oγßε			

It's well worth noting that some verbs always appear with a particular preposition. In some of those cases, a word for word translation of the verb with the preposition doesn't give you the true intended meaning of the combination.

E.g. In **xw**κ èβολ, èβολ on its own would be translated as 'away', however, when it appears with **xw**κ, the combination means "to complete" or "to perfect" so that the

èβολ doesn't seem to contribute to the final meaning of the verb. Another example is

qa, which doesn't mean "to carry under", but simply "to carry".

Confusion corner

Note that when the object marker $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ is followed by the indefinite article, the combination is contracted to form $\boldsymbol{\epsilon r}$.

For example:

è orcwthpia is contracted to ercwthpia

Vocab			
(น์)ห์ ทเษอจุจ	to ask, inquire (v.t Gk)	ифот	them (ind. obj) (5.7)
космос	world (Gk,m)	(น์)ท์ דผูพขอ	to worship, kneel unto (v.t)
WHIMI	sign, wonder (m)	oxeinin	Greek person (m)
nort ébo/	to call (v.t)	софія	wisdom (Gk,f)

Exercise 5.3

- a) ††eo èpwten (Ephesians 4:1)
- b) пиотдал сееретип йганшний отог пиотении секф† йса †coфia (1 Corinth 1:22)
- с) пікосцос цост йиштен (John 15:18)
- d) сесштем èпісахі нте фнот† (Luke 8:21)
- e) qtèbw uniuwit hte quort (Matthew 22:16)
- f) cetwor nwor nxe nipwui (Matthew 6:2)
- g) Niфноті сесахі шпот шФпот (Psalm 18:2 19:1)
- h) hàkat èpoq an (Matthew 13:19)