


Spring 2019, PHI 3230 Political Philosophy Section DMWA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 41 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
1	The course was well organized.	13	4.4	0%	0%	8%	46%	46%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	13	4.4	0%	0%	8%	46%	46%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	13	4.5	0%	0%	0%	54%	46%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	13	4.6	0%	0%	0%	38%	62%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	13	4.5	0%	0%	8%	31%	62%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	13	4.7	0%	0%	0%	31%	69%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	13	4.5	0%	0%	0%	46%	54%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	13	4.5	0%	0%	0%	54%	46%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	13	4.3	0%	0%	15%	38%	46%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	13	4.6	0%	0%	0%	38%	62%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	13	4.2	0%	0%	38%	8%	54%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	13	4.6	0%	0%	0%	38%	62%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	13	4.8	0%	0%	0%	15%	85%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	13	4.7	0%	0%	0%	31%	69%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	13	4.6	0%	0%	0%	38%	62%	
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	13	4.3	0%	0%	8%	46%	38%	8%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	13	4.4	0%	0%	8%	38%	46%	8%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	13	4.4	0%	0%	8%	46%	46%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 3230 UGRD Political Philosophy LEC In Person sec: DMWA 2019 Spring



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

- (PHI 3230) great teacher, a little less presentations pls
- (PHI 3230) Amazing class with an amazing professor who is passionate about the topic. The readings were interesting and for once I did not dread doing presentations.
- (PHI 3230) Class was great but naturally some or more enthusiastic about the subject than others. Was a good class for those with at the very least a passing interest in the subject. Coursework and Presentations required people to read into people that might not have otherwise and form/evaluate different opinions.
- (PHI 3230) Love professor Rodeiro -- would take another one of his classes.
- (PHI 3230) Super nice professor with an immense passion for philosophy!
- (PHI 3230) Having had the opportunity to experience dozens of professors at Baruch College, it is with conviction that I assert Prof. Rodeiro as the best this institution has to offer – I weep for those who don't have the chance to experience him. He masters and enjoys the course; he is intellectually confident and truly values quality.
- (PHI 3230) Loved this class and the intriguing topics that we discussed. Forced me to really think about things.


(PHI 3230) I think the presentations crowded out the Professor's lectures. On average, I found the lectures more helpful for understanding the philosophers than the presentations, which could vary wildly in quality depending on the presenter. Towards the end of the semester, the presentations replaced lectures, I think mostly due to there being too many readings over Spring Break. Reducing the number of readings is one solution to the aforementioned problem. My suggestion that does not require reducing the reading list is to allow for some choice on the part of the student to either write a two to three paper on their assigned reading or to do a presentation. That way, students who do not feel comfortable with presenting have some alternative while also freeing up class time for a probably more helpful lecture or discussion. Or just make presentations have a strict 10 minute limit, largely based on discussing concepts rather than explaining them.

Summer 2018, PHI 1500 MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI Section S1D
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)

There were: 30 possible respondents.


	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	13	4.7	0%	0%	0%	31%	69%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	13	4.8	0%	0%	0%	23%	77%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	13	4.6	0%	8%	0%	15%	77%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	13	4.8	0%	0%	0%	15%	85%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	13	4.6	8%	0%	0%	8%	85%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	13	4.7	0%	0%	8%	15%	77%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	13	4.6	0%	8%	0%	15%	77%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	13	4.7	0%	0%	8%	15%	77%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	13	4.8	0%	0%	0%	15%	85%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	13	4.8	0%	0%	0%	15%	85%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	13	4.8	0%	0%	8%	8%	85%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	13	4.7	0%	0%	8%	15%	77%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	13	4.8	0%	0%	0%	15%	85%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	13	4.7	0%	8%	0%	8%	85%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	13	4.8	0%	0%	0%	15%	85%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	13	3.7	0%	8%	31%	8%	23%	31%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	13	3.8	0%	8%	31%	31%	23%	8%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	13	4.5	0%	8%	0%	31%	62%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 1500 UGRD MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI LEC sec: S1D 2018

Summer



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 1500) Wonderful class

(PHI 1500) Class was engaging and interesting. Good atmosphere and Professor Rodeiro had a great personality for the class. He had passion for the class and had great knowledge.

(PHI 1500) Professor Rodeiro is the best! he explains everything so students can understand the course concepts. His homework and assignments are very easy so it does put a pressure on your brain to complete on time. Baruch needs more professors like these especially the Finance Department. I feel confident of having a good grade thank you professor.

(PHI 1500) I really enjoyed your class, thank you.

(PHI 1500) Great professor, he will head the department someday


(PHI 1500) 1.speaking speed is too fast,sometimes very hard to understand for non-native speakers 2 discussion time is so short that many students never have one chance to get involved 3 it will be much better for us to understand the deep meaning of those philosophers ideas if we can discuss more about application and real examples in todays society in a deeper level,especially for big controversial supreme court cases and reasons for the justices standpoint

Spring 2018, PHI 3200 ENV ETHC,LAW,PUB PLC Section DMWA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 17 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	5	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	5	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	5	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	5	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	5	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	5	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	5	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	5	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	5	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	5	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	5	4.6	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	5	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	5	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	5	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	5	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	5	4.5	0%	0%	0%	40%	40%	20%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	5	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	0%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	5	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 3200 UGRD ENV ETHC,LAW,PUB PLC LEC sec: DMWA 2018 Spring



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 3200) Great content, but maybe something could be done to make it more engaging.

(PHI 3200) Great class. Thank you!


(PHI 3200) Excellent class and outstanding professor

Fall 2018, PHI 3200 ENV ETHC,LAW,PUB PLC Section DMWA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 35 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
1	The course was well organized.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	12	4.6	0%	0%	8%	25%	67%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	12	4.7	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	12	4.7	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	12	4.5	0%	0%	17%	17%	67%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	12	4.6	0%	0%	8%	25%	67%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	12	4.3	0%	0%	25%	17%	58%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	12	4.7	0%	0%	8%	17%	75%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	12	3.8	0%	8%	17%	33%	17%	25%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	12	4	0%	8%	17%	25%	33%	17%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	12	4.2	0%	8%	8%	42%	42%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 3200 UGRD ENV ETHC,LAW,PUB PLC LEC sec: DMWA 2018 Fall



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 3200) I very much enjoyed the discussion based learning environment that opened the floor to explore differing perspectives. I found the readings extremely helpful and interesting in fostering an interest for the material. I would say that maybe a smaller formal paper for a midterm may have helped in knowing what to expect for the grading of your final formal paper. I was very grateful to know exactly what was expected of me from the beginning of the course.

(PHI 3200) Great class! Topics were really interesting.

(PHI 3200) Baruch needs more of these intellectually stimulating classes that gets students to apply economics, law, and philosophy. This professor is the best professor I have had at Baruch because of his passion and knowledge. Amazing

(PHI 3200) I found Professor Manuel Rodeiro highly dedicated and professional. He always helps students with great concerns. He possesses sound knowledge and has a grip on the course he is teaching. Has excellent communication skills. He is a great person and great professor.


(PHI 3200) Professor R made learning of the environmental issues a thorough and fair process! I would highly recommend him to my peers!

Fall 2018, PHI 1500 MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI Section CMWA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 35 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	12	4.5	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	12	4.4	0%	0%	17%	25%	58%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	12	4.6	0%	0%	8%	25%	67%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	12	4.7	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	12	4	0%	8%	17%	42%	33%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	12	4.6	0%	0%	0%	42%	58%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	12	4.7	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	12	4.4	0%	0%	17%	25%	58%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	12	4.4	0%	0%	8%	42%	50%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	12	4.2	0%	0%	25%	33%	42%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	12	4.7	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	12	4.8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	12	4	0%	8%	8%	33%	25%	25%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	12	3.4	0%	17%	33%	17%	17%	17%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	12	4.2	0%	8%	8%	33%	50%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 1500 UGRD MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI LEC sec: CMWA 2018 Fall



■ Str Disagree ■ Disagree ■ Neutral ■ Agree ■ Str Agree

Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 1500) Fun class. You're a great professor. Sometimes speak too fast but you do repeat/re-explain when asked

(PHI 1500) The material is so interesting and inspiring, and taught in a very accessible way.

(PHI 1500) little pretentious but I appreciate how professor is generally receptive of all questions/comments

(PHI 1500) It was a great course, but the tests were way too hard.


(PHI 1500) Definitely one of the best classes I have ever taken! I had never taken a philosophy class before, but I loved it so much that I will be taking your political philosophy class next semester!

Summer 2017, PHI 1500 MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI Section S1D
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 25 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	6	4.3	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	6	4.3	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	6	4.3	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	6	4.3	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	6	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	6	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	6	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	6	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	6	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	6	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	6	4.7	0%	0%	17%	0%	83%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	6	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	6	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	6	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	6	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	6	4.5	0%	0%	17%	17%	67%	0%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	6	4.5	0%	0%	17%	0%	50%	33%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	6	4.8	0%	0%	0%	17%	83%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 1500 UGRD MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI LEC sec: S1D 2017 Summer



Text Responses

Comments to improve course


(PHI 1500) Wow, he is one of the best professor i ever ha We irritate him with a lot of stupid questions since it was Philosophy class, but he explained everything in a witty and intellectual way. He is very thorough with the topics and has answers for each and every question.

Spring 2017, PHI 1500 MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI Section QTRA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 36 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	16	4.2	0%	6%	13%	31%	50%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	16	4.4	0%	0%	19%	19%	63%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	16	4.4	0%	0%	13%	31%	56%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	15	4.3	0%	7%	13%	20%	60%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	16	4.1	0%	6%	25%	19%	50%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	16	4.4	0%	0%	13%	38%	50%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	16	4.5	0%	0%	13%	25%	63%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	16	4.4	0%	6%	13%	19%	63%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	16	4.4	0%	0%	19%	25%	56%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	16	4.4	0%	0%	13%	31%	56%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	16	4.3	0%	0%	25%	19%	56%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	15	4.3	0%	13%	7%	13%	67%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	15	4.5	0%	0%	13%	20%	67%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	15	4.3	0%	13%	7%	13%	67%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	15	4.3	0%	7%	13%	27%	53%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	16	4	0%	6%	19%	6%	31%	38%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	16	4	0%	6%	19%	6%	31%	38%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	15	4.5	0%	0%	7%	40%	53%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 1500 UGRD MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI LEC sec: QTRA 2017 Spring



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 1500) The readings for the class were difficult and so it was hard to understand the concepts sometimes. Also, it was a little unfair that there was a 7 page final paper AND a final exam for an introductory class.

(PHI 1500) Although I do not have the slightest bit of interest in philosophy, the professor taught in a way that was very engaging. The material was well organized and was taught in way that generated thought and conversation. It was very easy to follow along and listen. Exams were manageable if students understood what the professor talked about. The professor showed respect to students and didn't force anything. The only thing is that there were little to no notes written, so everything was according to either the readings or what the professor says in class.

(PHI 1500) add more weight participation to promote.. people actually reading the material

(PHI 1500) Great guy. Exams are reallllllllllllllllllly challenging


(PHI 1500) Professor Rodeiro made it clear that the material would be difficult and sometimes it was. However, he explained and simplified until everyone in the room was clear on it. While the class was lay 7:30pm and everyone was prepared to leave the campus at that time, his classroom was refreshing as he never seemed to be plagued by fatigue or frustration. The subject matter, while difficult, was easy to absorb because it was taught to me by an enthusiastic professor.

Spring 2017, PHI 1500 MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI Section DMWA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 38 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	13	4.6	0%	0%	0%	38%	62%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	14	4.6	0%	0%	7%	29%	64%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	14	4.3	0%	7%	7%	36%	50%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	14	4.5	0%	0%	7%	36%	57%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	14	4.3	0%	0%	7%	57%	36%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	14	4.6	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	14	4.7	0%	0%	0%	29%	71%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	14	4.6	0%	0%	7%	21%	71%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	14	4.1	0%	0%	21%	14%	29%	36%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	14	4.3	0%	0%	21%	14%	50%	14%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	14	4.5	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 1500 UGRD MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI LEC sec: DMWA 2017 Spring



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 1500) great class! :)

(PHI 1500) Great professor! very passionate about philosophy. Very happy i decided to take this course.

(PHI 1500) More fun activities.


(PHI 1500) Great class overall. Professor is passionate about what he teaches, he knows the material, and challenges students to think. Only downside is his doctor handwriting which is sloppy. Wish he would write all the notes he talks throughout class on a word document or type it out. Overall is a great professor.

Fall 2017, PHI 3200 ENV ETHC,LAW,PUB PLC Section BMWA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 36 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	13	4.4	8%	0%	8%	15%	69%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	13	4.7	0%	0%	8%	15%	77%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	13	4.4	0%	0%	23%	15%	62%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	13	4.6	0%	0%	8%	23%	69%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	13	4	0%	0%	38%	23%	38%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	13	4.6	0%	0%	15%	8%	77%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	13	4.6	0%	0%	15%	8%	77%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	13	4.5	0%	8%	8%	15%	69%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	13	4.4	0%	0%	15%	31%	54%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	13	4.5	0%	0%	8%	31%	62%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	13	3.9	0%	0%	38%	31%	31%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	13	4.3	8%	0%	15%	8%	69%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	13	4.8	0%	0%	8%	8%	85%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	13	4.4	8%	0%	15%	0%	77%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	13	4.4	0%	0%	8%	46%	46%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	13	4	8%	0%	15%	15%	38%	23%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	13	3.9	8%	0%	15%	31%	31%	15%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	13	3.8	15%	0%	8%	46%	31%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 3200 UGRD ENV ETHC,LAW,PUB PLC LEC sec: BMWA 2017 Fall



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 3200) I hope to be treated fairly. The classroom is full politics toward East Asian immigrants but not the international students.

(PHI 3200) One of my favorite classes this semester!

(PHI 3200) One of the best professors I've ever had. I chose his class as an elective and left there loving philosophy and environmental law. He's very knowledgeable and knows how to keep students paying attention. I will miss his lecture.

(PHI 3200) Lectures were entertaining and insightful. Readings were very interesting and relevant to course and discussions. Appreciated desire to involve students and increase participation. Class is well organized. class. Excellent class!


(PHI 3200) Fantastic professor. Very unique in his design of the class. He developed a class that's kept students coming back even if missing a class would not affect their grade.

Fall 2017, PHI 1500 MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI Section CMWA
 Instructor: Rodeiro, Manuel (Primary)


There were: 31 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
1	The course was well organized.	10	4.6	0%	0%	10%	20%	70%	
2	The instructor communicated course objectives and learning goals.	10	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
3	Assignments contributed to meeting the course objectives and learning goals.	10	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
4	Course requirements were clearly stated and followed.	10	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
5	Required materials and books were helpful.	10	4.4	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	
6	The instructor came to class well prepared.	10	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
7	The instructor communicated course content clearly.	10	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
8	The instructor taught in a way that helped me learn the subject.	10	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
9	The instructor provided helpful feedback.	10	4.7	0%	0%	10%	10%	80%	
10	The instructor returned assignments and examinations in a timely manner.	10	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
11	The instructor was available outside of class (office hours, email, etc.).	10	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
12	The instructor established a class environment that fostered learning.	10	4.6	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	
13	The instructor treated students and their contributions with respect.	10	4.9	0%	0%	0%	10%	90%	
14	The instructor generated enthusiasm for learning the subject matter.	10	4.8	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	
15	The instructor clearly explained the grading system.	10	4.7	0%	0%	0%	30%	70%	
				Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
16	The course improved my oral communication skills.	10	4.4	0%	0%	10%	20%	40%	30%
17	The course improved my written communication skills.	10	4.2	0%	0%	10%	40%	30%	20%
18	The course challenged me intellectually.	10	4.7	0%	0%	0%	30%	70%	

Question Averages



Manuel Rodeiro Teaching PHI 1500 UGRD MAJOR ISSUES IN PHI LEC sec: CMWA 2017 Fall



Text Responses

Comments to improve course

(PHI 1500) Professor Rodiero is relatable and funny. Very fair and wants the entire class to proficiently understanding the beliefs of Philosophy.

(PHI 1500) Very lively teacher

(PHI 1500) Loved your class