

ORF526 - Problem Set 2

Bachir EL KHADIR

October 10, 2015

Question 1

•

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum \epsilon_i\right] = \sum \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i] = n\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = np$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = \text{Var}\left[\sum \epsilon_i\right] = \sum \text{Var}[\epsilon_i] = n\text{Var}[\epsilon] = n\mathbb{E}[(X - p)^2] = n[p(1 - p)^2 + (1 - p)p^2] = np(1 - p)$$

•

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{n \geq 1} n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{n!} = \lambda$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \lambda^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^2 e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} - \lambda^2 = e^{-\lambda} \lambda \sum_{n \geq 1} (n+1) \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} - \lambda^2 = \lambda(1 + \lambda) - \lambda^2 = \lambda$$

$$\sum_n (n+1) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} x \sum_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)_{|x=\lambda} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} x e^x \right)_{|x=\lambda} = e^\lambda (1 + \lambda)$$

Question 2

• 0

• $\mathbb{P}(X = k) = (1 - p)^{k-1} p$ geometric

• $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{k \geq 1} k(1 - p)^{k-1} p = p \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+1)(1 - p)^k = p \left(\frac{d}{dx} \sum_k x^{k+1} \right)_{|x=1-p} = p \left(\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{1-x} - 1 \right) \right)_{|x=1-p} = p \left(\frac{1}{1-(1-p)} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{p}$

$$\text{Var}[X] = \frac{1 - p}{p^2}$$

•

$$\mathbb{E}[2^X] = \sum 2^n p(1 - p)^{n-1} = \frac{p}{1 - p} \sum_n (2(1 - p))^n = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } p \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{p}{(1-p)(2p-1)} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Question 3

Let's note $Y = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}]$

- (i)
 - Y takes finite number of values $I := Y(\Omega) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$
 - Y is constant on the A_i
 - As a consequence, either all element of A_j are equal to x_i , or no one is. ie $A_j \cap Y^{-1}(x_i) = \emptyset$ or $A_j \subset Y^{-1}(x_i)$
 - Since $\Omega = \cap_j A_j$, $Y^{-1}(x_i) = \cap A_j \cup Y^{-1}(x_i) = \cap_{Y(A_j)=\{x_i\}} A_j$ is measurable

(ii) if $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}] = \frac{\sum_{\omega_n \in \Omega} X(\omega_n) p_n}{P(\Omega)} = \mathbb{E}[X]$

(iii) if X is \mathcal{F} -measurable, X is constant on the A_i , otherwise there exist two elements w_n, w_m such that $X(w_n) \neq X(w_m)$, but then $X^{-1}(X(w_n)) \cap A_i$ is an element of \mathcal{F} and a strict subset of A_i whose measure is not 0. for $\omega \in A_m$:

$$\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}](\omega) = X(\omega) \frac{\sum_{\omega_n \in A_m} p_n}{P(A_m)} = X(\omega)$$

(iv) This is true because Y is a positive linear combination of the $X(\omega_n) \geq 0$

(v)

$$\mathbb{E}[XY + Z|\mathcal{F}] = \mathbb{E}[XY|\mathcal{F}] + \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}] \text{ by linearity of } \mathbb{E}[\cdot]$$

Let $\omega \in A_m$, X is constant on A_m , so

$$\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}](\omega) = X(\omega) \frac{\sum_{\omega_n \in A_m} Y(\omega_n) p_n}{P(A_m)} = X(\omega) \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}](\omega)$$

(vi) $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}]$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable (by (i)), we conclude by (iii).

(vii) Independance of \mathcal{F}

(viii) Y \mathcal{F} -measurable is equivalent to Y being constant on each A_i : Let's note $Y(A_i)$ this value. Choosing Y is equivalent to choosing the vector $(Y(A_1), \dots, Y(A_M)) \in \mathbb{R}^M$

Minimizing this quantity

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - Y)^2] = \sum_{A_i} \sum_{\omega_n \in A_i} (X(\omega_n) - Y(A_i))^2 p_n$$

is therefore equivalent to solving the following minimization program:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{a_1, \dots, a_M \in \mathbb{R}^M} \sum_{A_i} \sum_{\omega_n \in A_i} (X(\omega_n) - a_i)^2 p_n &= \sum_{A_i} \min_{a_i \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{\omega_n \in A_i} (X(\omega_n) - a_i)^2 p_n \\ &= \sum_{A_i} \min_{a_i \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{\omega_n \in A_i} (X(\omega_n) - a_i)^2 p_n \\ &= \sum_{A_i} \min_{a_i \in \mathbb{R}} f_i(a_i) \end{aligned}$$

$f : a_i \mapsto \sum_{\omega_n \in A_i} (X(\omega_n) - a_i)^2 p_n$ is a strictly convex function (as positive sum of convex functions), it has an unique absolute minimum a_i that verifies $f'(a_i) = 2 \sum_{\omega_n \in A_i} (a_i - X(\omega_n)) p_n = 0$, ie $a_i = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}](A_i)$

Question 4

It is clear that $(\Omega, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mu})$ is a measure space.

Let $D \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, and Let $A, B, C \subset \Omega$ such that $A \subset B \in \mathcal{F}$ and $C \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $D = A \cup C$ and $\mu(B) = 0$.

Let's also suppose that $\hat{\mu}(D) = \mu(C) = 0$.

Let $E \subset D$, and let's show that $E \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$. We can write $E = (E \cap A) \cup (E \cap C) \subset B \cup C = (B \cup C) \cup \emptyset$.

Question 5

$$1) \Rightarrow 2)$$

$(E_i)_i$ sequence of increasing sets, as a convention $E_{-1} = \emptyset$

$$\mu(\cup E_i) = \mu(\cup (E_i \setminus E_{i-1})) = \sum_i \mu(E_i \setminus E_{i-1}) = \sum_i \mu(E_i) - \mu(E_{i-1})$$

$$\mu(E_j) = \sum_{i \leq j} \mu(E_i) - \mu(E_{i-1})$$

so

$$\mu(\cup E_i) - \mu(E_j) = \sum_i \mu(E_i \setminus E_{i-1}) - \sum_{i > j} \mu(E_i) - \mu(E_{i-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

(as the rest of a convergent series)

$$2) \Rightarrow 3)$$

Let $(E_i)_i$ be a sequence of decreasing sets, as a convention, $E_{-1} = \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\cap E_j) &= \mu(\Omega \setminus \cup E_j^c) \\ &= \mu(\Omega) - \mu(\cup E_j^c) && \text{by } \sigma\text{-linearity} \\ &= \mu(\Omega) - \lim \mu(E_j^c) && \text{by continuity from above} \\ &= \lim \mu(\Omega) - \mu(E_j^c) \\ &= \lim \mu(\Omega \setminus E_j^c) && \text{by } \sigma\text{-linearity} \\ &= \lim \mu(E_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$3) \Rightarrow 4)$$

Question 6

Let's suppose 1) – 3)

- 1') is trivially verified
- For 2'), we have $B \setminus A = B \cap A^c = (B^c \cap A)^c \in \mathcal{D}$ (because $A \subset B \Rightarrow A \cap B^c = \emptyset$)
- For 3'), $\cup A_n = \cup A_n \setminus A_{n-1} = \cup (A_n \cap A_{n-1}^c) \in \mathcal{D}$

Let's suppose 1') – 3')

- 1) is trivially verified
- For 3), $A^c = \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$
- For 2), Let's first show that it is true for a finite number of sets.
For two disjoint sets $A_1 \cup A_2 = (A_2^c \setminus A_1)^c \in \mathcal{D}$ by 2')
For $n > 2$ sets A_1, \dots, A_n pairwise disjoint, we write $\cup A_i = A_1 \cup \cup_{i>1} A_i$. Since A_1 and $\cup_{i>1} A_i$ are disjoint, we can apply the same proof as in the case $n = 2$.
General case: $\cup A_n = \cup (\cup_{i \leq n} A_i) \in \mathcal{D}$ by 3')