

**Problem 1**

When the context is clear, we write  $p(s, t)$  instead of  $p_{\epsilon, \nu}(s, t)$ .

For  $\theta \in \Theta, x \in \mathcal{X}$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta, x) &= p(\theta, x, T(x)) \\
&= p(x|T(x), \theta)p(\theta, T(x)) \\
&= p(x|T(x))p(\theta, T(x)) && \text{(because } T \text{ is sufficient)} \\
&= \frac{p(x, T(x))}{p(T(x))}p(\theta, T(x)) \\
&= \frac{p(x)}{p(T(x))}p(\theta, T(x)) \\
&= p(x)p(\theta|T(x))
\end{aligned}$$

So that:

$$p(\theta|x) = \frac{p(\theta, x)}{p(x)} = p(\theta|T(x))$$

As a result:

$$H(\theta|X) = \mathbb{E} \log \frac{1}{p(\theta|X)} = \mathbb{E} \log \frac{1}{p(\theta|T)} = H(\theta|T)$$

ie:

$$I(\theta, X) = H(\theta) - H(\theta|X) = H(\theta) - H(\theta|T(X)) = I(\theta, T(X))$$

**Problem 2**

1.

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{\alpha}_k = \mathbb{E}[Y f_k(X)] = \mathbb{E}[E[Y|X] f_k(X)] = \langle f, f_k \rangle = \alpha_k$$

$$\hat{f}_N(x) = \sum_{k \leq N} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\alpha}_k] f_k(x) = \sum_{k \leq N} \alpha_k f_k(x)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_X B^2(X) = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k \geq N+1} \alpha_k f_k(X) \right|^2 = \left\| \sum_{k \geq N+1} \alpha_k f_k \right\|_X^2 = \sum_{k \geq N+1} \alpha_k^2 \leq \Phi(N)$$

2. (a)

$$E[A(x)] = \sum_{k \leq N} \mathbb{E} Y f_k(X) f_k(x) = \sum_{k \leq N} \alpha_k f_k(x)$$

By Cauchy-Shwarz,  $\mathbb{E}[|Yf_k(X) - \alpha_k||Yf_l(X) - \alpha_l|] \leq \sigma_k \sigma_l < \infty$ , so  $\beta_{k,l} := \text{Cov}(Yf_k(X), Yf_l(X)) = \mathbb{E}[(Yf_k(X) - \alpha_k)(Yf_l(X) - \alpha_l)]$  is finite, and smaller than  $\sigma^2$  in absolute value.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{var}(A(x)) &= E\left[\left|\sum_{k \leq N} (Yf_k(X)f_k(x) - \alpha_k f_k(x))\right|^2\right] \\
&= \sum_{k \leq N} \mathbb{E}(Yf_k(X)f_k(x) - \alpha_k f_k(x))^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{k, l \leq N, k \neq l} \mathbb{E}(Yf_k(X)f_k(x) - \alpha_k f_k(x))(Yf_l(X)f_l(x) - \alpha_l f_l(x)) \\
&= \sum_{k \leq N} \sigma_k^2 f_k^2(x) + \sum_{k, l \leq N, k \neq l} \beta_{k,l} f_k(x) f_l(x) \\
&\quad \left(\leq \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{k \leq N} f_k(x)\right)^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\tilde{f}_N(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{k \leq N} Y_i f_k(X_i) f_k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V(x) &= \text{var}(\tilde{f}_N(x)) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \text{var}\left(\sum_{k \leq N} Y f_k(X) f_k(x)\right) \quad (\text{By independence of the } (X_i, Y_i)) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \text{var}(A(x)) \\
&\leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(\sum_{k \leq N} f_k(x)\right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

So that  $\mathbb{E}_X V(X) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \|\sum_{k \leq N} f_k\|^2 \leq \frac{N}{n} \sigma^2$

3.

$$\mathbb{E} \|\hat{f}_N - f\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|\hat{f}_N - \tilde{f}_N\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{f}_N - f\|^2 \leq \frac{N}{n} \sigma^2 + \Phi(N)$$

(a) Setting  $N$  to  $n_0$  leads to  $\Phi(N) = 0$  and therefore  $\mathbb{E} \|\hat{f}_N - f\|^2 \leq \frac{n_0 \sigma^2}{n} = O(\frac{1}{n})$

- (b) Setting  $N$  to  $(\frac{\alpha\lambda n}{\sigma^2})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$  leads to  $\frac{N}{n}\sigma^2 + \Phi(N) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} n^{\frac{-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \left( \sigma^2 (\frac{\alpha}{\sigma^2})^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} + (\frac{\alpha}{\sigma^2})^{\frac{-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \right) = O(\lambda^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} n^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}})$

## Problem 2

1. Let  $r \leq r_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_r(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) \frac{dP}{P(B(x,r))} - \int_{B(x,r)} f(x) \frac{dP}{P(B(x,r))} \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)| \frac{dP}{P(B(x,r))} \\
 &\leq \int_{B(x,r)} \|y - x\| \frac{dP}{P(B(x,r))} \\
 &\leq r
 \end{aligned}$$

2. By the last question, when  $r < r_0$ :  $f_r(x) \leq f(x) + r$ . In addition, if  $r < f(x)$ , then  $f_r(x) \leq 2f(x)$

Furthermore:

$$Q_n(B(x,r)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i 1_{|X_i - x| \leq r}$$

By independence, since  $Q(B(x,r)) = E[1_{|X_1 - x| \leq r}] = E[1_{|X_1 - x| \leq r}^2]$ :

$$\text{var } Q_n(B(x,r)) = \frac{1}{n} [Q(B(x,r)) - Q(B(x,r))^2]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{var } \hat{f}_r(x) &= \frac{1}{P(B(x, r))^2} \text{var } Q_n(B(x, r)) \\
&= \frac{1}{nP(B(x, r))^2} [Q(B(x, r)) - Q(B(x, r))^2] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{f_r(x)}{P(B(x, r))} - f_r(x) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{P(B(x, r))} - 1 \right] f_r(x) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{2f_r(x)}{P(B(x, r))} && (\text{when } r \leq \min(r_0, f(x))) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{2f_r(x)}{c_0 r^d} && (\text{when } r \leq r_1) \\
&\leq C \frac{f(x)}{nr^d} && (C = \frac{2}{c_0})
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is valid for all  $r \leq \min(f(x), r_0, r_1) := \alpha$ .

3.  $E \hat{f}_r(x) = \frac{E[Q_n(B(x, r))]}{P(B(x, r))} = f_r(x)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{X_Q} [|\hat{f}_r(x) - f(x)|^2] &= E_{X_Q} [|\hat{f}_r(x) - f_r(x)|^2] + |f_r(x) - f(x)|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2f(x)}{c_0 n r^d} + r^2 && (\text{for } r < \alpha) \\
&\leq \frac{a}{r^d} + r^2 && (a := \frac{2f(x)}{c_0 n})
\end{aligned}$$

Let's define  $g : r \rightarrow \frac{a}{r^d} + r^2$ ,  $g'(r) = \frac{-ad}{r^{d+1}} + 2r$ ,  $g''(r) = \frac{ad(d+1)}{r^{d+2}} + 2 > 0$ ,

$g$  is convexe, so it has a global minimum when  $g'(r) = 0$ , ie  $r = \left(\frac{ad}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{d+2}}$  and in that case

$$\begin{aligned}
g(r) &= a^{1-\frac{d}{d+2}} \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{\frac{-d}{d+1}} + \left(\frac{ad}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}} \\
&= a^{\frac{2}{d+2}} \left( \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{\frac{-d}{d+1}} + \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}} \right) \\
&= n^{-\frac{2}{d+2}} \underbrace{\left( \frac{2f(x)}{c_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}} \left( \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{\frac{-d}{d+1}} + \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{d+2}} \right)}_C
\end{aligned}$$

We define  $r(n) = \left(\frac{f(x)d}{nc_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{d+2}}$ . This quantity is smaller than  $\alpha$ , when  $n > \frac{f(x)d}{c_0\alpha^{d+2}}$ , and then we have that:

$$E_{X_Q}[|\hat{f}_r(x) - f(x)|^2] \leq Cn^{\frac{-2}{d+2}}$$

**Problem 4**

1. The  $X_i$  are iid and  $E[\frac{1_{|X_i-x|\leq r}}{P(B(x,r))}] = \frac{Q(B(x,r))}{P(B(x,r))}$ ,  $\text{var} \frac{1_{|X_i-x|\leq r}}{P(B(x,r))} = \frac{Q(B(x,r))-Q^2(B(x,r))}{P(B(x,r))}$   
CLT:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{f}_r(x) - f_r(x)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{1_{|X_i-x|\leq r}}{P(B(x,r))} - \frac{Q(B(x,r))}{P(B(x,r))} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{Q(B(x,r)) - Q^2(B(x,r))}{P(B(x,r))})$$

Since  $\frac{Q_n(B(x,r)) - Q_n^2(B(x,r))}{P(B(x,r))} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{Q(B(x,r)) - Q^2(B(x,r))}{P(B(x,r))}$ , by slusky:

$$\sqrt{\frac{nP(B(x,r))}{Q_n(B(x,r)) - Q_n^2(B(x,r))}}(\hat{f}_r(x) - f_r(x)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

If  $z_\alpha$  the  $1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$  quantile of the normal distribution, then:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{\frac{nP(B(x,r))}{Q_n(B(x,r)) - Q_n^2(B(x,r))}}|\hat{f}_r(x) - f_r(x)| \leq z_\alpha\right) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

Therefore  $[\hat{f}_r(x) \pm z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{Q_n(B(x,r)) - Q_n^2(B(x,r))}{nP(B(x,r))}}]$  is a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval for  $f_r(x)$ .

2. Under the new assumption,  $f_r(x) - r \leq f(x) \leq r + f_r(x)$ , so

$$[\hat{f}_r(x) \pm \left(r + z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{Q_n(B(x,r)) - Q_n^2(B(x,r))}{nP(B(x,r))}}\right)]$$

is a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval for  $f(x)$ .

## Problem 5

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $X_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_x^2)$ ,  $Y_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_y^2)$ ,  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  are independent for all  $n$ . Then  $(X_n, Y_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \text{diag}(\sigma_x^2, \sigma_y^2))$  And as a corollary, using the continuous mapping theorem:  $X_n + Y_n = (1, 1)'(X_n, Y_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2)$*

*Proof.* Let  $F_n$  (resp.  $G_n$ ) be the c.d.f of  $X_n$  (resp  $Y_n$ ), and let  $H_n$  be the joint c.d.f of  $(X_n, Y_n)$ . Let  $F$  (resp.  $G$ ) be the cdf of  $N(0, \sigma_x^2)$  (resp  $N(0, \sigma_y^2)$ ), and  $H$  be the c.d.f of  $N(0, \text{diag}(\sigma_x^2, \sigma_y^2))$ .

By independence:  $H_n(x, y) = F_n(x)G_n(y) \rightarrow_n F(x)G(y) = H(x, y)$ . Which proves the lemma.  $\square$

Let's call  $p := R(h), q := R(h')$ .  $H_0$  is equivalent to  $p = q$ , and  $H_1$  is equivalent to  $p \neq q$ .

- We know that  $1_{X=h(Y)}$  is binomial of parameter  $p$ . Same for  $h'$ .
- $E[\hat{R}(h) + \hat{R}'(h')] = p + 1$ . By independence of all the  $X_i, X'_i$ ,
- Law of large numbers:  $\hat{R}(h) \xrightarrow{as} p, \hat{R}(h') \xrightarrow{as} q$
- CLT:

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(\hat{R}(h) - p) &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, p(1 - p)) \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{R}'(h) - q) &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, q(1 - q))\end{aligned}$$

By independence of  $X_i$  and  $X'_i$  for all  $i$ , using the lemma:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{R}(h') - \hat{R}'(h') - (p - q)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, p(1 - p) + q(1 - q))$$

- By Slutsky:

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\hat{R}(h)(1 - \hat{R}(h)) + \hat{R}(h')(1 - \hat{R}(h'))}}(\hat{R}(h') - \hat{R}'(h') - (p - q)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- $P(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\hat{R}(h)(1 - \hat{R}(h)) + \hat{R}(h')(1 - \hat{R}(h'))}}|\hat{R}(h') - \hat{R}'(h') - (p - q)| \leq z_\alpha) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$

So under  $H_0$ :

$$P\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\hat{R}(h)(1 - \hat{R}(h)) + \hat{R}(h')(1 - \hat{R}(h'))}} |\hat{R}(h') - \hat{R}(h)| \leq z_\alpha\right) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

The test whose rejection region is the following

$$1 \left\{ \left( \frac{n}{\hat{R}(h)(1 - \hat{R}(h)) + \hat{R}(h')(1 - \hat{R}(h'))} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{R}(h') - \hat{R}(h)| > z_\alpha \right\}$$

is of level  $1 - \alpha$ .

### Problem 6

Let  $f_0$  (resp  $f_1$ ) be the density of  $Z_0$  (resp  $Z_1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} P(h(X) \neq Y) &= E[1_{h(X) \neq Y}] \\ &= E[1_{h(X) \neq Y} | Y = 0]P(Y = 0) + E[1_{h(X) \neq Y} | Y = 1]P(Y = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(P(h(Z_0) \neq 0) + P(h(Z_1) \neq 1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_R f_0(z)1_{h(z) \neq 0} + \int_R f_1(z)1_{h(z) \neq 1}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_R \min(f_0(z), f_1(z))\right) && \text{(since } h(z) \in \{0, 1\}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_R f_0(z)1_{f_0(z) < f_1(z)} + \int_R f_1(z)1_{f_0(z) \geq f_1(z)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_R f_0(z)1_{g(z) \neq 0} + \int_R f_1(z)1_{g(z) \neq 1}\right) && (g(z) = 1_{f_0(z) < f_1(z)}) \\ &= R(g) = \inf_h R(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(P(Z_0 \in R_0) + P(Z_1 \in R_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$R_0 = g^{-1}(1) = \{z | \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 z} \leq \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 z}\} = (-\infty, \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0} \log \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0})$$

$$R_1 = [\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0} \log \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \infty)$$

**Problem 7**

Let  $\hat{f}$  be fixed.

While for each  $P_{X,Y}$ , the quantity  $\mathbb{E}\bar{R}(h_{\hat{f}})/a_n$  converges to 0, the convergence can occur at different speeds so that at any moment  $n$ , there might exist a  $P_{X,Y}$  for which  $\mathbb{E}\bar{R}(h_{\hat{f}})/a_n$  is still larger than  $c$ .

If such situation happens,  $\sup_{P_{X,Y}} \mathbb{E}\bar{R}(h_{\hat{f}})/a_n \geq c$ , and taking the infimum over all  $\hat{f}$  and taking the  $\liminf$ , we get that  $a_n$  is the minimax rate for  $P$ .