NOMINATIONS FOR EXTERNAL EXAMINER (DOCTORAL DISSERTATION)

This completed form should be received by Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies (G+PS) **8 weeks** prior to the intended date of submission of the dissertation for external examination. **To coordinate a submission with particular program end dates or graduation ceremonies, please consult the deadlines posted at http://www.grad.ubc.ca/current-students/final-doctoral-exam/doctoral-deadlines.**

Normally the Final Oral Defence takes place a minimum of 6 to 7 weeks after the dissertation has been sent to the external examiner.

Procedure: Please nominate three potential External Examiners. The Graduate Program is responsible for verifying that each nominee satisfies the requirements below. External Examiner nominees should not be contacted by the Candidate, Research Supervisor, or Graduate Program prior to submitting this form to G+PS; G+PS will select and invite the External Examiner.

A scanned copy of the completed, signed form can be submitted by email to graduate.doctoral@ubc.ca. Submission of the paper copy is not required.

1. Eligibility Criteria

Each nominee must be a well-qualified, objective, experienced individual not associated or affiliated with UBC. The nominee must:

- Have an established reputation in the area of the dissertation research and be able to judge whether the dissertation is acceptable at a university comparable to UBC.
- Have had previous experience with the supervision and examination of doctoral students.

Either

- hold the rank of Full, Associate or Emeritus Professor at a university that offers the degree the candidate seeks
 or
- have comparable expertise and standing, if not at a university. A nominee not currently associated with a
 university should have some previous university affiliation. In these cases, please attach a brief CV or include a
 statement outlining the relevant qualifications of the nominee.
- Not have acted as an External Examiner in the Candidate's Graduate Program or for a Candidate with the same Supervisor in the past 3 years.

2. Arm's Length Requirements

A person is ineligible to serve as an External Examiner if, with respect to either the Candidate or any member of the Candidate's Supervisory Committee, s/he is

- o a close friend or a relative; or
- o a research collaborator and/or co-author within the past 6 years; or
- o an institutional colleague within the past 6 years; or
- o a former supervisor (for the candidate, supervisor or co-supervisor only); or
- o a person who has offered future employment or mentorship to the candidate; or
- o a former student: or
- o involved in a dispute; or
- o involved in a partnership.

3. Independence of Assessment

Prior to the Final Doctoral Oral Defence the Research Supervisor, the Graduate Program, and the Candidate must scrupulously avoid discussing the dissertation's merits or academic content with the External Examiner. Any such contact initiated by the External Examiner should be redirected to the Doctoral Exams Coordinator at G+PS without delay.

NOTE: The Candidate should not know the identity of the External Examiner until after the dissertation has been submitted to G+PS and transmitted for examination.

4. Participation in the Final Doctoral Oral Defence

G+PS encourages, but does not require, the External Examiner's participation in the oral defence. The Research Supervisor will be invited to extend an invitation to attend the defence (in person or remotely) once G+PS has invited the nominee to serve in the role of External Examiner. Partial reimbursement for transportation expenses is available, as detailed at https://www.grad.ubc.ca/sites/default/files/doc/page/docexams xx transport fund 0.pdf

The Candidate's Graduate Program is responsible for making any necessary travel arrangements, reimbursing the visiting External Examiner according to mutually-understood expectations, and requesting partial compensation for eligible expenses from the External Examiner Transport Fund. Please carefully review the details cited above before extending an invitation.

TEL: 604.822.2848
FAX: 604.822.5802

NOMINATIONS FOR EXTERNAL EXAMINER (DOCTORAL DISSERTATION)

Student Information											
Full Name: Arthur de Sousa Marques	Student Number: 89918163										
Email: msarthur@cs.ubc.ca	Phone: +1 778 302 2437										
Graduate Program: Computer Science		Degree: 🛛 PhD 🗌 EdD 🔲 DMA									
Composition of the Supervisory Committee (Minimum three members. Please leave any extra or irrelevant lines blank.)											
Supervisor: Gail C Murphy		Department: Computer Science									
Email: murphy@cs.ubc.ca		Phone: 604-822-4813 (VPRIO) 604-822-5169 (CS)									
Co-Supervisor:		Department:									
Email:		Phone:									
Committee Member: Reid Holmes	hal Managari	Email: rtholmes@cs.ubc.ca									
Committee Member: Muhammad Abd	lul-Mageed	Email: muhammad.mageed@ubc.ca									
Committee Member:		Email:									
Committee Member:		Email:									
Grad Program Use only:											
I confirm that this committee a received approval from G+PS		SISC Supervisory Committee Screen and has									
Dissertation Submission for Extern											
Expected Date of Submission for Exter											
Supporting a Deve Dissertation Title:	eloper's Discovery of Task-Relevar	nt Information									
Nominee 1: Sarah Nadi											
Degrees held: PhD in Comput											
Current position: Assistant Profe		University of Alberta									
	e, Edmonton, AB T6G 2R3	Avia lla a vita a a									
Phone: +1 (780) 492-2285 (U of A	A, CS) E-mail: nadi@	Qualberta.ca									
Justification for Nomination : Please comment on the relevant qualifications of the nominee by noting field(s) of expertise and achievements, or by listing specific publications that relate to the candidate's research. Attach a separate sheet if necessary.											
Dr. Sara Nadi researches the design tools and techniques that can help software developers perform their tasks more efficiently and effectively, with emphasis on mining software repositories. Dr. Nadi is well-positioned to be an examiner as her research includes natural language processing techniques which is central to the thesis of the candidate. Dr. Nadi is a Canada Research Chair and recently tenured and promoted at the University of Alberta.											
	Eligibility Criteria in Item 1 above? s for the nomination, using a separ										
2. Impartiality: Does the nominee satisfy all of the	e Arm's Length Requirements in It	em 2 above? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No									

	Nominee 2:	Christina von Fla	ich Garcia Chav	ez				
	Degrees held:	Ph.D. in Informa	ics					
	Current position:	Associate Profes		Institu			de Federal da E	
	Address:	Av. Adhemar de	Barros, s/n, IM,				Salvador, BA -	Brasil
		32836292		E-mail: _	flach@	ufba.br		
	Justification for N Please comment or listing specific publi	n the relevant qua						
	Dr. Christina von Fl and social aspects practices in softwar open-source syster	of software ecosy re engineering res	stems, software earch. Her expe	engineerir rtise overla	ng educa aps with	ation, and su the candidat	stainable and c	pen science
1.		e meet all of the E detailed reasons				te page.	⊠Yes □ N	lo
2.		e satisfy all of the	Arm's Length Re	equiremen	ts in Itei	m 2 above?	⊠Yes □ N	lo
	Nominee 3:	Alexander Sereb	renik					
	Degrees held:	Ph.D.						
	Current position:	Full Professor		Institu	ution:	Eindhoven	University of Te	echnology
	Address:	MF 6.095 - P.O.	Box 513 - 5600					
	Phone: +31 40 2			E-mail: _	a.sereb	renik@tue.n		
		Nomination: In the relevant qualifications of the nominee by noting field(s) of expertise and achievements, or by lications that relate to the candidate's research. Attach a separate sheet if necessary.						
	technical aspects and interviews an	erebrenik's research encompasses empirical software engineering, including its social and s. He has conducted extensive research on software development, conducting surveys and measuring software artifacts and processes. His expertise aligns with the candidate's f the areas studied and the evaluation techniques used.						
1.	Does the nomine	e meet all of the E detailed reasons				te page.	⊠Yes □N	lo
2.		e satisfy all of the	Arm's Length Ro	equiremen	ts Item :	2 above?	⊠Yes □N	lo
	ave read the instruct e Candidate, and the			are acaden	nically q	ualified and a	t arm's length f	rom the dissertation
A	approval of Res	earch Supervi	sor:					
	While How	JUJ.	Gail C. Murphy	,				2022/03/22
	ignature Approval of Grad		Name (please print)		uate P	rogram:		Date (yyyy/mm/dd)
S	ignature (must be differer	nt from above)	Name (must be diffe	rent from abo	ove)			Date (yyyy/mm/dd)

(Two different signatures are required – if the Supervisor is the Graduate Advisor, the Program Head must sign.)