

Participant 51

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

crime, data, algorithm, information, impacted, collecting, whatnot, understand, purpose, vouch, police, robbery, college student, district, work, boundaries, carried, necessarily, specific, affect



00:03

Have you ever like seen anything like this before? Any kind of analysis? Would you say you have any kind of knowledge of like crime or predictive policing or not in that sense? What up? What are you studying by chance? At what college? Are you when

00:22

I'm in college? It helps us I mean, physical therapy school? Yeah.



00:24

Okay, Okay, definitely. So you kind of say like, this is like brand. Yeah. never seen anything like this before. Okay. And let's find we're trying to see like, how people have different backgrounds kind of interact with stuff like this. There's no right or wrong answers, just what you see from it. And yeah, perfect, though. So we're here, interviewing participant 51. And moving to the first question is before today, before actually coming into the session? How have you ever felt that crime analyses have directly impacted you? If at all? impacted me? Yes.



01:02

I wouldn't say it necessarily impacted me much, because I've never gotten in trouble with the law or like, I grew up in

Chicago, so I've never like

01:11

crabs out a big thing in my town, you know, anything like, you know, the experience I had the crime was like, I had my speakers stolen, like I was parked in Milwaukee, you know, so like, Mel experienced a crime has been ever since I'm lucky. But as far as has to be, I wouldn't say it hasn't necessarily impacted much about

01:32

directly with the witnesses.

01:35

Would you say it's happened, like, indirectly, like, have you seen or noticed anything? And other people or, um,

01:42

I mean, I guess being on markets campus, like, you get text notifications, like, hey, there's a robbery here, this, this situation happened here. Someone got, you know, whatever happened, like a crime happened on campus? As a student, like, I definitely have taken notice that more. But, you know, the Nielsen market, I mean, PD and whatnot. I mean,

02:03

I don't know the exact

02:04

what they exactly do you refer reference to

02:07

it, you know, multiple least.

02:10

I mean, I feel like I've definitely taken more note of crimes going on since I moved onto campus, and I have

02:15

been going up into some Chicago. Yeah, definitely.

02:18

And from whatever suburb you're from, as you as soon as like, a low crime rate

o2:22 is very low crime. Yeah, very low crime.

02:24

Okay.

02:25

Definitely.

02:27

How important is it to you to understand how crime analyses algorithms or software actually works? Like,

02:34

I think, I think for me, my personal view is, I hope it works for the sake of a productive outcome. This is that, you know, whatever algorithm or whatever else, it says, like, it

actually is effective with the police that carried out, you know, as an, I don't think it necessarily affects me, or is it something that like, I won't, I'll be honest, I don't necessarily care for as and like, I don't need to know, like, okay, the statistics or not, but I just hope that's actually carried out and actually taken into effect by people that actually have that can influence those, you know, results or whatnot. Okay.

03:11

So, look, what I'm trying to say is, like, let's say there's a

03:13

analysis that there's a high amount of robberies in this neighborhood on the street, that I feel like, you know, the way to go about this, you know, whatever, whatever the best way to go about that, but that should be taken note of whether that's, you know, installing more cameras or having like a patrol officer,

- or in that sets, you know, the,
- 03:31
 are you saying that, like,
- 03:34

03:45

it's not being carried out?

the only real important thing is just to know, or to think that's actually being carried out, like this information is being used properly is that I think

that's the most important thing. Okay, um, it'd be cool to say like, hey, like, hey, like, know, about like, hey, there's, there's a crime analysis going on, there's this, you know, algorithm is trying to figure out a crime. I guess that's cool. But, you know, what's the point of that if

Yeah. Okay. So it's a matter of enforcing it exactly.

04:05

Perfect. It's like, it's like it with health sciences, or like physical therapy, you can do all the research you want. But what's the point of if you're not gonna, you know,

04:11

implement that into your therapy?

04:13

Exactly. Exactly. So what about the practice? And Karen?

04:16

Yeah, its execution?

04:18

Definitely. And going back to the whole algorithm and software, do you think that there's any specific important information to know about it is,

04:28

as in like, as, like this example, or? Sure, yeah. And this example?

04:34

I don't think there was anything, I guess.

04:37

I don't know if this is actually important. But I think one thing that would be kind of

interesting to know, is is like the, the areas that it was affected, that I kind of relates to see, like the racial diversity in the population in those areas, just I think that would be kind of interesting to take note of.

04:52

So like, the data, the data, yeah, yeah, perfect. And for this example, and this is not like, you're not actually kind of directing, like where the police should go, and all this, but for this example, the data is all available to the public of their own Milwaukee Police Department's website, every crime event that you saw, there was a, an occurrence that made it to court. So anything that was in the database, was presented in front of a judge and actually went to like a court hearing. And all this was not just kind of like a random, like street stop, or all of us, kind of like official, I guess you want to say like that.

- 05:30
 - But yeah,
- 05:32 it's all available, though.
- 05:35

So assume that a specific process for crime analysis was implemented in your area, you know, like, where you work, go to school? Or like, where you live? Such that it's used to directly impact you? How important would it be to have some kind of understanding of that algorithm or process then? If it's

- 9 05:53 going to directly impact me, I think
- 05:55

 I would want to know, you know, what, what this is, what the details of it and whatnot.

So it's a pretty important.

06:02

What kind of details would you want to know about it?

06:05

Just like, you know, like, I guess, like the basics of it, just like, what the purpose is how they're going about this? You know, what, how does it involve me? What, when, what the ultimate goal is, a result will be?

06:19

What do you want to know any of like, specific algorithms, like if there is like mathematical functions, or you just want to know, like, the top level, like, what it does and how it affects you?

06:29

And then just the top level vs at the

06:31 top level? Yeah.

06:33

Definitely.

06:35

So then, assume someone with more expertise vouch for like the advocacy or the efficiency and the fairness of a given crime analysis algorithm or software? Then how important do you think it would be to know to have some kind of understanding of that

process or algorithm?

06:56

Summer very credible was vouching for it?

06:59

I think it would take no more note of it, or at least, like hear them out. But I just don't know me personally, if I would really be engaged into it. You know, I mean, like, just as a personal interest, I really want to really carefully know, like, the fundamental aspect of the algorithm, you know?

07:16

Is there any kind of criteria for that person that the person vouching for it made? You want to know anything about them? Or would you like standards

07:24

for them? I think, I think it depends like on their, the past and what they've accomplished, and how incredible they are there. They're very, you know,

07:32 big time.

07:35

advocate, is that a ton of research, like all this stuff, you know, like, they know what they're talking about, let me hear the mouth. But if it's just like, you know, someone random saying this, like, where are you? Like, where's the credibility of me, credibility is a big thing.

07:48

There's definitely what it feels like a plane a hypothetical, what it feels like a college

student, someone in like the CS department here, saying that, like, yeah, it's efficient, it's fair. So we should believe it, like, would you believe him?



I think that's a good question. Because I think, as a college student myself, like I can, I would want to like vouch for certain things like say, like, this is my experience with the like, I think this would be vocal about it. But so i can i can empathize with them, but or her, but I don't know necessarily how effective it would be, you know. So it's like, I will maybe take a second to hear about, but I don't know if I would be sold on it. Because I'm like,

08:32

Where else are you coming from? This is the personal experience, I need to really do the back

08:36

to Samia. Like you were saying, like the research. Yeah. Our work experience that they've had with the Yeah, exact definitely, definitely. So do you believe that weather data was sourced ethically, legally or fairly? If that actually impacts the quality of the data itself?

08:56

can be represented here, either?

08:57

Yeah. So

08:59

does collecting data like ethically or fairly? Or legally, does that impact or hurt the quality of the data?

09:10

No, I think enhances the data, if anything? Oh, really? Okay. Why are you asking? Like, if, if you're

09:17

09:35

collecting data, legal or ethical way? Does it hurt or

- $^{\circ}$ 09:22 like have affected? It doesn't affect the data? Yes.
- 09:25 No, I think it's, I think data should be collected ethically, and legally, it's not collected, it's gonna affect it negatively. Sure.
- 09:32 Why do you think it would affect negatively? Because it's,
- 0 because then, you know, you don't have that baseline of like, what's the parameters of what we're collecting? You know, like, you need to have a clear cut parameter and understand, like, this is what we're looking for this what we're searching for, and this Overstreet like getting, you know, otherwise, you're going to have skewed data, it's telling you like, Oh, this is telling me that, you know, I don't know, like, you just, there's, there's no

boundaries, set them like, What are you? How can you make a conclusion out of it? Okay.

- <u>0</u> 10:01 So you're saying like, following like, a specific way?
- 10:05 Sorry, I'm just gonna drop my song. Okay.

Yeah, essentially goes us. Okay.

0 10:12

And you bring up like a parameter before? What do you mean by program? I

0 10:17

meant like, as in like, if you're looking for a certain district of crime, like a crime minister in district groups, or neighborhood or whatnot. And I mean, like use, you should collect data within that district, as opposed to like, collecting district from like, our data from another random district that's like two miles away that has a significant amount of crime. So it's like it's schema that data for remember just looking for that specific district. So

just like following the same purposes. Yeah. Chindia falling from the same outcome.

10:44 Yeah. Okay. Okay.

S 10:49

If you were asked to give, to voluntarily give personal information, such as maybe more specific location, like, where you live, where you work more, or like more specific demographic information, only for the sole purpose of better training, the police data and their algorithms. Would you go in and give away this information? Yeah. Yeah. What was your reasoning for this?

11:13

Because it's, it's for a greater good, you know, like, it's like, What do I have to lose? Like, I'm not like losing a resource or money about of ideas. This is just like, you want to help out the greater good, you want to help cut down price and that we all benefit that? Yeah, I'll do my part. No, definitely.

2 11:31

Is there any kind of like specific information you wouldn't want to give up?

11:36

No, no. I mean, I guess it depends on exactly what they're if they're just asking me my demographics. Yeah, no problem. Sure. Yeah,

<u>6</u> 11:42

definitely. Then what feels more like a situation where they want to kind of like track your location? Keep your location services on what would you? What would your response be for that? I would

11:55

want to first try to understand why they want to track my location. But I don't think I would get that.

12:01

Right. I just didn't see the purpose of the

12:06
definitely those are like,

12:10

if it's still like for the better training of their data, and like, like crime tracking,

if it was like for the purpose of like crime tracking, so

$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	12:22 you still just wouldn't see the purpose of it.
\bigcap	12:25 I think it's, that's more of a like, for me, personally, I just
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	12:27 wouldn't want to, like have someone concentrate on what I'm doing where I am and whatnot. Like that's, that's like my way, ya know, I think a personal like,
\bigcap	12:35 boundary that I'm like,
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	12:36 I can share information, but I don't like the you need to know where I'm where I'm going on a Saturday night, you know, like,
\bigcap	12:43 there's a line you just can't drop. Yeah, perfect. Yeah, exactly.
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	12:50 So then,
\bigcap°	12:53

like giving away more information could like improve the efficacy, the efficiency of like law

we kind of touched on this before, like, assuming that,

enforcement? Why do you think some individuals may want to just keep their privacy or like, withhold some information? Is it just more of like the line? Or why would you think some people would withhold?

13:17

I don't know. I guess, I guess everyone has their own reasons.

13:21

I think maybe some people just don't see like the purpose of, you know, these kind of analysis or understanding what the purposes and whatnot. So issues. Okay, look, what's the point of this? Why would I give this up? It's not going anywhere. So

a 13:35

what do you think giving away this our voluntary this information to the police is better for the community or better for yourself? The community, the gaming community? Yeah, definitely. So how would you feel if data from traffic tickets was used, collected by the police in order to systematically place police along the highways?

° 14:04

I don't know. For me personally,

6 14:07

I understand in value, like why you have police officers, you know, in one sense, but like when it comes to traffic, and it's like, I think that should be such a much more of a lower priority than some of the other stuff going on, especially in the city. Like when you get a crime unit robbery and all that stuff. Like, that's the stuff that puts some real yet arms risk, you know, not if someone's going 15 degrees over the speed limit, you know, I've done that myself. But that's also because situationally like, I was in a rush to get to class or, you know, I had to go home for function without so.

14:40

I mean, I don't I don't I sure they can do that. But I think that would be

14:45

a good way to prioritize their resources.

<u>°</u> 14:48

Exactly. So you're saying it could be resources be allocated in a better way?

14:52

Exactly. Yeah. Yeah, definitely. Definitely.

° 14:56

Then moving. Just one further, how would you feel if hacked data was used by professionals? What kind of context? Would you condone the usage of data?

15:11

I wouldn't condone it at all, at all at all.

6 15:15

My not

6 15:16

just because I just don't think it's ethical. Like it's like, I mean, I understand why you're doing it, but it's just not the right way to go about it. It's like, you have a job, you got to do each other right away, or you can just like, I understand the purpose. For me, it's kind of like, like an ad fee taking steroids. Like, you can do it, I understand why you're doing you want to perform better, and, you know, be great, but there's a certain way to go about it. You should go like training more by lifting more, right? Same thing with this data, like is understanding like, it's an easier and you may be collecting way better results through hacking, but it you just lose that you're not doing it the right

6 15:54

way. It's I think it's more of a moral thing.

15:58

Are you talking in the context of like law enforcement safety or for research?

o 16:04

I would say for both, actually.

16:10

Definitely, for research. I think law enforcement depends on on extremely

6 16:15

terrorism, you know, threaten,

8 16:17

understand why you want to hack something, you know, for that sake. But if it's like for like, you know, something like a crime thing, like No, I don't think that's like, you cross that line. Definitely.

° 16:29

Again, there's like lines for privacy and always stay within the boundaries, as it could be. Okay. Yeah. And definitely on that. That's pretty much all the questions I have to ask and again now thank you for coming in very patient this morning to you