

Participant 27

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

data, crime, information, give, police, important, threats, algorithm, feel, fairness, traffic tickets, analysis, specific, collected, police cars, knowing, safeties, tampered, voluntarily, mandatory



00:00

So I'm here with participant 27 for actually starting the like actual interview questions. Have you ever like dealt with anything like this before? Any kind of like algorithm or, like prime leasing thing? Nothing to this the first time you've ever seen something like this? Okay. Would you think of it?

00:19

I honestly don't know if I really did it right.

00:25

It was easy, but I'm still kind of confused. What was confusing? Just like the vocabulary, the vocabulary? Yeah.

Okay. All right. Yeah, that's fine. I mean, it's all about just kind of interpretation, kind of like what you see. And there's no right or wrong answer. So it's all fine. Don't worry about it. So moving on to the actual interviews. First question is before today, before actually coming into this session? How have you ever felt that if crime analyses has ever directly impacted you, if at all?

$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	OO:59 Nothing.
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	O1:O1 Like indirectly done, maybe it wasn't like, for the crime analysis wasn't directly at you, but have you like seen something like this or?
$\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_i$	01:12 Not? I know.
\bigcap	O1:13 Okay, you know, that's fine. That's fine.
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	O1:17 How important is it to you to understand how a crime analysis algorithm or software actually works? I'm
\bigcap	01:25 sorry, necessarily important. But it's interesting. It's interesting.
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	01:29 Is there any kind of like, specific information you think would be good to know about it? Yeah,
$\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_i$	01:37

I guess it would be kind of important for like, public knowledge. Knowledge. Yeah.

Some parts, some parts, do you know what, what parts of hand? And,

01:51 you know,

01:54 look at like, the data or like, like,

01:57 for the like, in this example? Yeah.

01:59 Example,

02:00
like with the police cars, they're replaced? Right? Yeah. It's like, that would be something that, I guess could be good for the public to know. Like,

- 02:09 knowing, like, where they'll be. Yeah. Like,
- 02:11

 also in the questionnaire when he was saying, like,
- 02:15
 publicly, or funding police or like, lab, something like, yeah,

I guess that would be

02:24

like, knowing like, where the police will come in? And like the funding, like, yeah,

- 02:27
 where it's going and stuff? Yeah, yeah,
- definitely, definitely. So then assume like a specific process for crime analysis was implemented in your area, either, like, where you live, or where you work, or even like, where you go to school? Such that it's used to directly impact you? How important do you

think it would be to have some kind of understanding of the process?

- O2:52

 Probably, really important.
- O2:57
 In this kind of scenarios, or any kind of specific information you would want to know about it? Yeah,
- 03:03
 where the crimes are
- 03:06
 happening. Yeah. So if this was a, like a publicly available kind of thing. Yeah.

You're pretty good. Yeah. Definitely. Definitely.

03:15

assume someone with like more expertise, like vouch for the advocacy in the fairness of like that crime analysis process or algorithm? None? How important? Would you think it would be to still have an understanding of what's going on?

03:31

Here? Sorry. Yeah.

03:33

So someone,

03:36

maybe as the qualifications he went to school for and he already worked on it. He vouches for like the efficacy of the efficiency and like the fairness of the chrome analysis software. How important would it be for you to still have an understanding of what's going on with E. Commerce?

03:55

I think it's so be Yeah. So

03:58

the scenario, like any specific information that they would be good to know.

O4:03

Probably the same, as I said before, like knowing where the crimes are occurring,

O4:07
crimes are occurring, and like how its funded again. Yeah,
O4:09
definitely, definitely.

04:13

Do you believe that whether data was sourced ethically, legally or fairly, that actually impacts the quality of the data itself?

O4:22

Yes, yes. Because if it's Yeah, it's not, like real, like if it's tampered in any way. If I give it a factor? Yeah.

04:33 Like tampered as, like,

04:39 just kind of like ramble on. That's fine.

04:41 Like if

° 04:47

it was not done, correct. It was not taken down correctly. Not collected, correct? Yes. Yeah. That's the word

04:55

itself. It wasn't collected correctly, then that will

04:57

affect

04:58

the quality? Yeah, definitely. Definitely.

05:02

If you were asked to voluntarily give information to the police, like say, I'm Milwaukee Police Department asked you, if you can voluntarily come in and give more information based on like your location where you live, any other kind of more specific general demographics, only for the sole purpose of like, improving their data and their algorithms, something kind of like we just used? Would you go in and kind of give away your information to them? Yeah. Yeah. What's, what's your reasoning behind that? And seemed kind of reluctant with it? Um,

05:36

I mean, I don't think there's anything like to hide as far as my information. Sure.

05:42

Definitely. Was it was kind of like the opposite plane. It's like the hypothetical Now, what if it was mandatory that they were having people go in kind of give this information

05:55

that probably

05:59

tear more people away? Just because just when something's mandatory, you know, you don't really want to do it as much? Or

$\bigcirc \bigcap$	06:04 is it just like, kind of going against the system kind of thing? Or is it like a personal thing that you wouldn't?
$\bigcap \circ$	06:11 I think I would still probably do it, but I'm sure there would be people.
$\overset{\circ}{\cap}$	06:17 What do you think? Is it just like a privacy or
\bigcap	06:21 privacy? Sure.
	O6:25 And then,
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	06:28 how would you feel if have data was used by professionals? Like, what kind of context of would you condone the use of a?
\bigcap	06:40 I don't know that. It depends on the situation. Which
0	06:50

- o6:50 sounds bags hacked, but like it could be useful in like,
- 06:55 policemen, like safeties?

O6:58

Can you give an example you think of something? Like if someone was like,

07:04 threats, like, terrorist threats and things?

07:07

There'll be a big one? Yeah. Yeah, definitely. So like,

07:12

define like the heck are the terrorist threats and

07:17
hacking kind of steal the threats or what? Yeah,

- 07:21
 either that or like, at least try to track them. So
- 07:29
 as long as it kind of like, keeps everyone safe. Yeah.
- O7:31

 There'll be good. Okay. Yeah, definitely. It's necessary if it's necessary.
- O7:38

 I would think like, saving the population is better. Yeah, exactly. And then finally, how would you feel if data from traffic tickets was used to collected by the police in order to like systematically place police on the highways?

I think it would be smart, but I wouldn't personally like it. Why not? Because I'd probably get more tickets.

08:05

But yeah, it's probably a good idea. Probably

08:06 a good idea. Yeah,

08:10 definitely don't

08:12

be that's all the questions, I would have them. And, again, thanks for coming on. Thanks.