# Explain to me what the RL algorithm A3C/A2C is and how it works

#### **Algorithm S3** Asynchronous advantage actor-critic - pseudocode for each actor-learner thread.

```
// Assume global shared parameter vectors \theta and \theta_v and global shared counter T=0
// Assume thread-specific parameter vectors \theta' and \theta'_v
Initialize thread step counter t \leftarrow 1
repeat
     Reset gradients: d\theta \leftarrow 0 and d\theta_v \leftarrow 0.
     Synchronize thread-specific parameters \theta' = \theta and \theta'_v = \theta_v
     t_{start} = t
     Get state s_t
     repeat
          Perform a_t according to policy \pi(a_t|s_t;\theta')
          Receive reward r_t and new state s_{t+1}
          t \leftarrow t + 1
          T \leftarrow T + 1
     until terminal s_t or t - t_{start} == t_{max}
                                    for terminal s_t
                                    for non-terminal s_t// Bootstrap from last state
             V(s_t, \theta_v')
     for i \in \{t-1, \ldots, t_{start}\} do
          Accumulate gradients wrt \theta': d\theta \leftarrow d\theta + \nabla_{\theta'} \log \pi(a_i|s_i;\theta')(R - V(s_i;\theta'_v))
          Accumulate gradients wrt \theta'_v: d\theta_v \leftarrow d\theta_v + \partial (R - V(s_i; \theta'_v))^2 / \partial \theta'_v
     Perform asynchronous update of \theta using d\theta and of \theta_v using d\theta_v.
until T > T_{max}
```

## Introduction

It was previously thought that combining RL algorithms with deep neural networks were unstable. Some improvements were proposed that have to do with the observed data encountered by the online RL agent. The trajectories were highly correlated. Using experience replay memory the data can be batched and randomly sampled, thus reducing correlation. However, this required the use of off-policy algorithms.

A3C instead of using experience replay, uses multiple agents in parallel on multiple instances of the environment. This de-correlates the agent's data.

In the General Reinforcement Learning Architecture (Gorila) asynchronous training is performed in a distributed setting. Each process contains an actor that acts in its own copy of the environment and a separate replay memory. A learner samples data from the replay memory and computes the gradients of the DQN loss. The gradients are asynchronously sent to a a central server which updates the copy of its model. The

updated policy parameters are sent to the learners at fixed intervals.

## **Background**

We consider the standard RL setting where an agent interacts with an environment  $\mathcal{E}$  over a discrete time steps. At each step t the agent receives a state  $s_t$  and perorms an action  $a_t$  (from a set of possible actions  $\mathcal{A}$ ) according to a policy  $\pi$  ( $\pi$  is a mapping from states  $s_t$  to actions  $a_t$ ). Then the agent receives the next state  $s_{t+1}$  and a reward  $r_t$ . This continues until the process terminates (reaches the final state).

$$R_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k}$$

 $R_t$  is the accumulative reward from time step t until the terminal state. Where  $\gamma \in (0,1]$  is the discount factor.

The goal is to maximize the expected return from each state  $s_t$  .

The action value  $Q^{\pi}(s,a)=\mathbb{E}\left[R_t|s_t=s,a\right]$  is the expected return from state s and and selecting action a by following policicy  $\pi$ . The optimal value function  $Q^*(s,a)=\max_{\pi}Q^{\pi}(s,a)$  is the best action value over all possible policies.

Also the value of state s under policy  $\pi$  is defined by  $V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[R_t | s_t = s\right]$  and it is the expected reward from state t over any possible followed action following policy  $\pi$  from state s.

We represent the action value function using a function approximator (a deep Neural net).

 $Q(s,a;\theta)$  where  $\theta$  are the parameters of the approximator function.

The updates to  $\theta$  can be derived from many RL algorithms. One of them can be Q-learning which aims to directly approximate the action value function (  $Q^*(s,a)\approx Q(s,a;\theta)$ )

One step Q-Learning:

i-th loss:

$$L_{i}\left(\theta_{i}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q\left(s', a'; \theta_{i-1}\right) - Q\left(s, a; \theta_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$$

we aim to minimize the loss iteratively.

(s' is encountered after s)

We call it **one step** Q learning because it updates the action value funcation Q(s,a) towards the one step return  $r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q\left(s',a';\theta_{i-1}\right)$ . The drawback is that obtaining the reward r only affects directly the (s,a) pair that led to this reward. The values of the other pairs (s,a) are affected only indirectly. This will make the learning slow because there are required many updates to propagate the reward to preciding (s,a) pairs.

### n-step Q-learning:

The action value function is updated towards the n-step reward

$$r_{t} + \gamma r_{t+1} + \dots + \gamma^{n-1} r_{t+n-1} + \max_{a} \gamma^{n} Q(s_{t+n}, a)$$

$$L_{i}\left( heta_{i}
ight)=\mathbb{E}ig(r_{t}+\gamma r_{t+1}+\cdots+\gamma^{n-1}r_{t+n-1}+\max_{a^{\prime}}\gamma^{n}Q\left(s_{t+n},a^{\prime}
ight)-Q\left(s,a; heta_{i}
ight)ig)^{2}$$

So, a single reward directly affects the values of n-preceding (s,a) pairs making the training more efficient.

Policy based model-free RL methods directly parameterize the policy  $\pi(a|s;\theta)$  and update the parameters  $\theta$  by performing gradient ascent on  $\mathbb{E}\left[R_t\right]$ . For example the REINFORCE algorithm.

REINFORCE updates  $\theta$  in the direction  $\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi \left( a_t | s_t; \theta \right) R_t$  which is an **unbiased** estimate of  $\mathbb{E}\left[ R_t \right]$ .

We can reduce the variance of the estimate while keeping the bias low by subtracting it from  $b_t(s_t)$  -a baseline-:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi \left( a_t | s_t; \theta \right) \left( R_t - b_t \left( s_t \right) \right)$$

We commonly use the value function as the baseline:

$$b_t\left(s_t\right) \approx V^{\pi}\left(s_t\right)$$

When we use an approximation to calculate the value function  $(R_t-b_t)$  is used to scale the policy gradient and can be seen as an estimate of the advantage of  $a_t$  at  $s_t$ : Y

$$A\left(a_{t}, s_{t}\right) = Q\left(a_{t}, s_{t}\right) - V\left(s_{t}\right)$$

 $R_t$  can be seen as an estimate of Q and  $b_t$  as an estimate of the value function.

**Actor critic method**: Here, the actor can be seen seen as the policy and the baseline  $b_t$  as the critic.