Self Evaluation Problems Class 3

Questions 1 through 4 concern the 2007 National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS) which is a national (United States) sample survey of visits to hospital outpatient and emergency departments. Of interest is the association between waiting time (in minutes) of persons admitted to the Emergency Departments (EDs) and patient demographic and other characteristics.

waittime = waiting time for patient to be seen in ED (in minutes) race = 1 if non-white; 0 if white and age defined with two spline terms: age1 = age (in years), where age2=0 if age \leq 18 years or age2 = (age-18) if age \geq 18 years. where age3=0 if age \leq 65 years or age3 = (age-65) if age \geq 65 years.

The following Models are defined:

Model A E[waittime] = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ race

. regress waittime race

_	Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs F(1, 27926)		27928 170.44
_	Model Residual	1051120.55 172219095	1 27926		.20.55 5.9804		Prob > F R-squared	= =	0.0000 0.0061
-	Total	173270215	27927	6204.	39772		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	0.0060 78.53
-	waittime	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
_	race _cons	12.65684 51.50819	.9694		13.06 86.50	0.000	10.75663 50.34101	_	4.55706 2.67538

Model B E[waittime] = $\beta_0 + \beta_1(agel) + \beta_2(age2) + \beta_3(age3)$

- . mkspline age1 18 age 2 65 age3 = age, marginal
- . regress waittime age1 age2 age3

Source	ss	df	MS		Number of obs	
Model Residual	377098.83 172893116		699.61 .55982		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.0022
Total	173270215	27927 6204	.39772		Root MSE	= 78.686
waittime	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age1	.4333112	.104551	4.14	0.000	.2283862	.6382362
age2	4920716	.1277496	-3.85	0.000	742467	2416761
age3	4606402	.1253335	-3.68	0.000	7063	2149803
cons l	51.68964	1.475652	35.03	0.000	48.79729	54.5819

Model C $E[waittime] = \beta_0 + \beta_1(age1) + \beta_2(age2) + \beta_3(age3) + \beta_4(race)$

. regress waittime age1 age2 age3 race

Source	ss	df	MS	_	Number of obs		27928 54.97
Model Residual	1353714.37 171916501				Prob > F R-squared Adi R-squared	= =	0.0000 0.0078 0.0077
Total	173270215	27927	6204.3977	2	Root MSE		78.465
waittime	 Coef.	Std.	 Err. 	t P> t	[95% Conf.	Int	terval]
age1	.4559665	.1042	726 4.	37 0.000	.251587		.660346
age2	4956842	.1273	909 -3.	89 0.000	7453766	:	2459919
age3	4074942	.1250	525 -3.	26 0.001	6526033	:	1623852
race	12.28505	.9754	226 12.	59 0.000	10.37317	14	4.19692
_cons	46.18539	1.535	032 30.	0.000	43.17665	49	9.19413

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Model D} & \text{E[waittime]} = \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1(\textbf{agel}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2(\textbf{age2}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_3(\textbf{age3}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_4(\textbf{race}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_5(\textbf{agelrace}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_6(\textbf{age2race}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_7(\textbf{age3race}) \\ \end{array}$

- . gen agelrace=agel*race
- . gen age2race=age2*race
- . gen age3race=age3*race
- . regress waittime age1 age2 age3 race age1race age2race age3race

Source	ss	d£	MS		Number of obs	= 27928
	+				F(7, 27920)	= 33.83
Model	1457375.01	7 208	3196.43		Prob > F	= 0.0000
Residual	171812840	27920 615	3.75502		R-squared	= 0.0084
	+				Adj R-squared	= 0.0082
Total	173270215	27927 620	4.39772		Root MSE	= 78.446
waittime	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
	·					
age1	.1871963	.1386911	1.35	0.177	0846451	.4590376
age2	2549328	.1671775	-1.52	0.127	5826089	.0727433
age3	3033843	.1486893	-2.04	0.041	5948226	011946
race	1.949157	2.957607	0.66	0.510	-3.847896	7.746211
agelrace	.5807609	.2106753	2.76	0.006	.167827	.9936947
age2race	4890108	.2592729	-1.89	0.059	9971984	.0191768
age3race	3233276	.2812286	-1.15	0.250	8745493	.2278941
_cons	50.85607	1.982651	25.65	0.000	46.96998	54.74216

- 1. Based on a comparison of **Model A** and **Model C**, which of the following statements is correct? (*Circle only one response*)
 - a) The unadjusted association between ED waiting times and race is completely explained by age differences in the race categories.
 - b) The association between ED waiting times and race is modified by age.
 - c) Non-whites have longer average waiting times than whites, and this difference is statistically significant after adjusting for age.
 - d) When taken together, neither race nor age are statistically significant predictors of ED waiting times.
 - e) Age substantially confounds the association between ED waiting times and race.
- 2. Suppose the researchers were interested in testing whether the relationship between ED waiting times and age is modified by race. Which of the following steps would be appropriate? (Circle only one response).
 - a) Use the results from the overall F-test for Model D.
 - b) Compare the R² values between Model D and Model A.
 - c) Use a F-test to compare Model C to Model B.
 - d) Use the t-test to test the significance of the coefficient of age2race in Model D.
 - e) Use a F-test to compare Model D to Model C.
- 3. Based on the results from **Model D**, what is the average ED wait time (in minutes) for **white** individuals who are **50 years** of age? (*Circle only one response*)
 - a) 50.85+0.19(50)+-0.25(50-18)
 - b) 50.85 +0.19(50) +-0.25(50)
 - c) 50.85 + 0.19(50) + -0.25(50-18) + -0.30(50-65)
 - d) 50.85+0.19(50)
 - e) 50.84
- 4. The best interpretation of the R² value from **Model D** is: (Circle only one response)
 - a) Taken together, age and race precisely predict waiting times for future ED patients.
 - b) In this sample, age and race explain nearly all of the variability in ED waiting times.
 - c) Neither age or race is statistically significantly associated with waiting times.
 - d) Even after adjusting for their relationship to age and race, there is still substantial unexplained variability in the waiting times.
 - e) There is a statistically significant interaction between race and age.

Questions 5 - 10 concern a study investigating the associations between smoking, systolic blood pressure and myocardial infarction (MI) in 117 individuals.

Variables are defined as:

```
MI = 1 if myocardial infarction; 0 if not

SMK= 1 for smoker; 0 for non-smoker

SBP (systolic blood pressure category) =1 if SBP < 140 mm Hg;

=2 if SBP 140-160 mm Hg;

=3 if SBP > 160 mm Hg
```

with dummy variables defined as **sbp2**=1 if SBP 140-160 mm Hg; 0 otherwise and **sbp3**=1 if SBP > 160 mm Hg; 0 otherwise

5. From the following Model 1: $\log(\text{odds of MI}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{SMK} + \beta_2 \text{sbp2} + \beta_3 \text{sbp3}$

. logit MI SMK sbp2 sbp3

Log likelihood = -53.373051

MI		Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	. Interval]
SMK	.5546278	.5219739	1.06	0.288	4684223	1.577678
sbp2	-1.380778	.6850522	-2.02	0.044	-2.723455	0381001
sbp3	2.370212	.5638804	4.20	0.000	1.265026	3.475397
_cons	-1.215697	.367703	-3.31	0.001	-1.936381	4950122

what can you conclude about the relationship between MI for individuals with SBP, adjusting for smoking? (Circle only one response)

- a) The odds of MI are statistically significantly decreased in individuals with SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg as compared to SBP < 140 mm Hg, holding smoking status fixed.
- b) The odds of MI are statistically significantly decreased in individuals with SBP 140-160 mm Hg as compared to SBP < 140 mm Hg, holding smoking status fixed.
- c) There is no significant association between SBP and the odds of MI after controlling for smoking status.
- d) The odds of MI are statistically significantly decreased in individuals with SBP > 160 mm hg as compared to SBP < 140 mm Hg, holding smoking status fixed.
- e) There is a statistically significant increase in the change in log odds of MI each mm Hg increase in SBP beyond the cutpoint of 160 mm Hg as compared to before the cutpoint, after adjusting for smoking status.

6. Based on **Model 1** from question 5, what is the **predicted probability** of MI for a smoker with SBP > 160 mm Hg? (*Circle only one response*)

- a) 0.15
- b) 0.37
- c) 0.63
- d) 0.75
- e) 0.85
- 7. Suppose you also investigated the following Model 2:

 $log(odds of MI) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SMK + \beta_2 sbp2 + \beta_3 sbp3 + \beta_4 smksbp2 + \beta_5 smksbp3$

- . gen smkbp2=SMK*sbp2
- . gen smkbp3=SMK*sbp3
- . logit MI SMK sbp2 sbp3 smkbp2 smkbp3

Log likelihood = -53.306321

MI	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
SMK	.6649763	.6868955	0.97	0.333	6813141	2.011267
sbp2	-1.386294	.834523	-1.66	0.097	-3.021929	.2493406
sbp3	2.505526	.6943651	3.61	0.000	1.144595	3.866456
smkbp2	.0281709	1.466423	0.02	0.985	-2.845966	2.902307
smkbp3	4136619	1.185059	-0.35	0.727	-2.736335	1.909011
_cons	-1.252763	.4008919	-3.12	0.002	-2.038497	4670294

The Likelihood Ratio Test which compares the **extended Model 2** with the **null Model 1** suggests that: (*Circle only one response*)

- a) Fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is no substantial added contribution in predicting MI provided by the addition of the smoking-SBP interactions above that contributed by SBP and smoking alone.
- b) Fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that SBP is not needed in a model predicting MI.
- c) Reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is a statistically significant interaction between smoking and SBP on the log odds of MI.
- d) There is a statistically significant association between the log odds of MI and smoking.
- e) Reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is a substantial additional contribution in predicting MI provided by smoking above that contributed by SBP alone

- **8.** The interpretation of the logistic regression coefficient, β_0 , in **Model 2** is: (*Circle only one response*).
 - a) The log odds ratio for MI in smokers versus non-smokers by level of SBP.
 - b) The difference in the log odds of MI in smokers versus non-smokers after controlling for SBP categories.
 - c) The log odds of MI across all 117 individuals in the data set.
 - d) The log odds of MI in non-smokers with SBP < 140 mm Hg.
 - e) The odds of MI in non-smokers after adjusting for SBP.
- **9.** Suppose you are interested in relating MI to a continuous predictor (age) via a regression model. Which of the following graphical displays use the data to describe the approximate nature of the relationship between MI and age? (*Circle only one response*).
 - a. A residuals versus fitted values plot from a simple linear regression of MI on age.
 - b. A scatterplot of the values of MI versus the values of age.
 - c. A lowess plot showing the estimated smoothed relationship between the log odds of MI and age.
 - d. A lowess plot showing the estimated smoothed relationship between the fitted values from a linear regression and age, including two spline terms.
 - e. A residuals versus predicted values plot from a simple linear regression of MI on age.
- 10. Which sentence correctly describes the exponentiated smkbp3 coefficient in Model 2? (*Circle only one response*)
 - a) The odds ratio for MI in smokers versus non-smokers having SBP > 160 mm Hg.
 - b) The ratio of the odds ratio for MI in smokers versus non-smokers among individuals with SBP > 160 mm Hg to the odds ratio for MI in smokers versus non-smokers among individuals with SBP < 140 mm Hg.
 - c) The difference in the log odds of MI for smokers versus non-smokers for individuals with SBP > 160 mm Hg versus > 140 mm Hg.
 - d) The ratio of the odds ratio for MI in non-smokers having SBP > 160 mm Hg versus < 140 mm Hg in non-smokers to the odds ratio for MI in smokers having SBP < 140 mm Hg versus > 160 mm Hg.
 - e) The difference between smokers and non-smokers in the log odds ratio for MI in individuals having SBP > 160 mm Hg versus < 140 mm Hg.