

Bone Marrow Transplant Programme

Title: Guideline for Oral Care and the Management of Mucositis Post-BMT

Index Code: Guideline B66

Version: 3.1

Area of Application: Clinical Unit

Prepared by: Dr J L Byrne / Helen Hyde

Reviewed by: Caroline Marsh / Nicola Nicoll / Karen Butler / Helen Hyde

Authorised by: Dr J L Byrne Signed: JB date: 26/02/19

(Programme Director or designated individual)

<u>Date of Release:</u> 01 March 2019

Date of Implementation: 01 March 2019

Number of Pages: 14

Date of next review: March 2021

Amendments to Version 3: [note correction of version number in this section compared to version 2.0 of Sop]

Version	Amendment	Released	Implemented	Archived
3.0	Early review and update – released as version 3.0			
	Direction to PSMA Programme removed.			
	Description of NUH oral assessment tool as "combined WHO/NCI-CTC v.3 tool" removed.			
19	Section 6: Updated to reflect use of Caphasol as preventative and 1 st line treatment. Use of Nystatin removed. Addition of Episil as 3 rd line treatment	01 Nov 2016	01 Dec 2016	
	Appendix 1: Example updated to Oral Assessment tool NUH03970N			
3.1	Pain section change Instructions changed from "Rinse around mouth for 2-3 minutes and can be swallowed" to "Sip slowly and swallow."			

Distribution:

Area		Area		Additional Copies
Master		Collection facility	Х	
Electronic		Fletcher Ward	1	Nil. Copy is available on NUHnet
Stem Cell Lab.	Х	Haematology Day Case Unit		web pages

Index code	Version	Implementation Date	Review date	
SOP B66	3.1	01-March-2019	March 2021	Page 1 of 14

<u>CONTENTS</u>	<u>page</u>
1. Principles and Purpose / Objective:	3
2. Related Documentation:	3
3. Terminology, Abbreviations and Definitions:	3
4. Personnel and Training Requirement:	3
5. Equipment:	3
6. Procedure / Method:	_4
6.1 Oral Assessment	4
6.1.1 Risk factors	4
6.1.2 Use of an oral assessment tool	
6.2 Prevention	5
6.3 Grading and Care of Mucositis	6
TABLE: Mucositis Grading	
6.4 Procedure for Analgesia	8
TABLE: Pain Control	8
6.5 Procedure for Opiate Infusion	9
6.6 Nursing Observations during Opiate Infusions	9
7. Endpoints, Expected Results and Limitations of Procedure:	10
8. Audit:	10
9. Evidence Base of Policies / References:	10
10. Training and Competency	11
Appendix 1: Example of Oral assessment tool	13

1. Principles and Purpose / Objective:

Oral mucositis (inflammation of the buccal and oesophageal mucosa) is a frequently occurring side effect of high dose chemotherapy and radiotherapy affecting between 50%-100% of haemopoietic stem cell transplant recipients (Bellm et al, 2000). This results in pain, swelling, erythema of the buccal mucosa and in severe cases ulceration, difficulty in swallowing and sometimes inability to eat or drink. The impact on quality of life, nutrition and treatment outcomes depends on the severity of oral mucositis (Stone et al, 2005). The pathogenesis of oral mucositis is such that current prophylactic treatments have minimal impact on its progression (Sonis, 2004); however systematic assessment and oral care have been shown to reduce the incidence of severity and duration. (Dodd et al, 2000).

This document provides guidelines for the prevention and treatment of mucositis.

2. Related Documentation:

- SOP B18 Nursing Guidelines for the Care and Monitoring of Patients Undergoing Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation
- SOP B19 Nursing Guidelines for the Care and Monitoring of Patients Undergoing Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation
- NUH Guidelines for the Management of Mucositis Associated With Chemotherapy and/or Radiotherapy, – found on intranet at http://nuhnet/nuh_documents/Guidelines/Forms/Guidelines.aspx (document 1537)
- NUH Guideline for the Treatment of Specific Infections (Haematology) including
 Mucositis, Enterocolitis and Respiratory Viral Infections—found on intranet
 at http://nuhnet/nuh_documents/Guidelines/Forms/Guidelines.aspx_(document_1859)

3. Terminology, Abbreviations and Definitions:

See Glossary in current Bone Marrow Transplant Programme Operational Policy and Quality Manual.

Difflam – trade name of Benzydamine mouth wash

4. Personnel and Training Requirement:

- Nursing staff
- Clinical staff

5. Equipment:

- Pen torch
- Oral assessment tool NUH03970N available on Fletcher ward see example in Appendix 1
- Tongue depressor

6. Procedure / Method:

6.1 Oral Assessment

Action	Rationale
6.1.1 Risk factors: Advise on Oral Care and Assess Degree of Risk.	Effective assessment consists of several factors.
Inability to take adequate fluids leading to dehydration and dryness of mucosa.	These are:
Poor nutritional status leading to poor cellular repair and vitamin deficiencies.	Risk factorsUse of an oral assessment
Insufficient saliva production leading to infection and dryness of the mucosa.	tool
Major interventions altering oral status – radiotherapy or chemotherapy causing biological changes.	Staff training Patient education
High dose chemotherapy/total body irradiation and specific chemotherapy drugs, e.g. Methotrexate/ Melphalan.	Interventions to minimise pain increases a sense of control and provides constructive
Lack of knowledge, self-care ability, or motivation to undertake oral hygiene.	ideas for self-help that can influence the clinical course.
Previous degree of mucositis.	
Low neutrophil count.	
Treatment for certain solid tumours, e.g. head and neck, upper G.I tract and oesophageal.	Greater care and vigilance is
Young or old age.	required in these circumstances
Dental status- poorly fitting dentures and general dentition. Dental assessment and subsequent treatment should be undertaken prior to chemotherapy treatment in order to reduce the likelihood of local and systemic infection. (Miller and Kearney, 2001).	
History of smoking	
6.1.2 Use of an oral assessment tool	
See overleaf for a step-by-step process to assessing the extent of oral mucositis.	

Action

6.1.2 continued:

The first step is to gain feedback from the patient using the following headings. It is important that this is done prior to any oral cavity examination, as this may impact on the self-scoring system, by disturbing the oral mucosa.

- Nutrition assessment
- Pain assessment
- Saliva production assessment
- Taste assessment

The second step is a visual examination of the oral mucosa. This should include evaluating the following 8 sites in the mouth:

- Upper lip
- Lower lip
- Right buccal mucosa
- Left buccal mucosa
- Right lateral and ventral tongue
- Left lateral and ventral tongue
- Floor of mouth
- Soft palate.

The tongue and hard palate are not assessed as they consist of keratinized epithelial cells, which respond differently to squamous epithelial cells, which the above sites are made up of.

Rationale

Thorough assessment of the oral cavity is required to provide a baseline assessment, monitor response to therapy and identify new problems as they arise.

A baseline oral assessment should be performed on admission and prior to commencing chemotherapy. The patient should then have ongoing oral assessments on a daily basis.

There are multiple assessment tools but limited evidence to support one over another. The tool used within Clinical Haematology is a combination of the WHO score and NCICTC, both of which are validated and reliable and consider subjective, objective and functional assessment

6.2 Prevention

Action Rationale All patients anticipating a bone marrow transplant Elimination of these problems (BMT) should have been advised to seek dental care may help to increase patient well in advance of admission to hospital to identify tolerance to therapy and and eliminate / stabilise any pre-existing dental improve quality of life by problems, e.g. dental caries, dental abscess, reducing pain. Elective dentistry periodontal infection should be postponed until patients' immune recovery 12 months post-transplant

Index codeVersionImplementation DateReview dateSOP B663.101-March-2019March 2021Page 5 of 14

Action	Rationale
Patients should always be advised to inform their dentist of their underlying diagnosis, present treatment and future bone marrow transplant	Current medical status and treatment will influence the dental treatment plan
All patients should receive verbal and written information on essential routine oral care to be undertaken during the post-transplant and on palliative therapy.	Interventions to minimise pain increases a sense of control and provides constructive ideas for self-help that can influence the clinical course.
*All patients receiving full intensity conditioning as part of their transplant regime or patients deemed to be at risk due to previous treatment will receive Caphasol QDS (increasing to 10xdaily as required).	Coating agents are useful in providing a protective layer in the oral cavity reducing pain whilst eating or drinking (Marsden, 2015).
Use toothpaste of choice and water. Rinse into a receiver not the sink.	Water is a sufficient cleansing agent
Moisturise and protect lips with aqueous jelly or yellow soft paraffin – N.B- not to be used if patient is receiving oxygen therapy.	To prevent dryness, cracking and discomfort
Patients undergoing a BMT should be advised not to wear dentures	Dentures can cause trauma and may also harbour potentially
If patients wish or need to continue wearing dentures e.g. in order to eat or improved body image then the following advice should be given on caring for the appliance	infectious organisms Provides relief from potential trauma
NEVER wear at night Always scrub area in contact with oral tissues with toothbrush and toothpaste.	Prevents harbouring of infection

6.3 Grading and Care of Mucositis

Nursing staff on Fletcher ward to fill out the Oral assessment guide - daily on all transplant patients (see SOPs B18 and B19).

Depending on the patient's assessment of pain, taste, swallowing ability and the macroscopic appearances of erythema and ulceration as seen using a pen torch a **WHO score of 0-4** is assigned according to the table overleaf.

Mucositis Grading

WHO GRADE	DESCRIPTION	CARE
GRADE 0	No objective findings, function irrelevant, normal.	 Rinsing schedule - rinse oral cavity with sterile water after each meal. Daily assessment for evidence of oral mucositis.
GRADE 1	Presence of erythema plus pain, function irrelevant. May include mucosal scalloping with or without erythema.	 Daily assessment for evidence of oral mucositis. Increase rinsing schedule. Commence first line pain scale treatment (see below).
GRADE 2	Presence of ulceration with or without erythema. Patient can swallow solid diet.	 Daily assessment for evidence of oral mucositis. Continue with increased rinsing schedule. Commence second line pain scale treatment (see below) if not already started.
GRADE 3	 Ulceration, with or without extensive erythema. Patient is able to swallow liquid, but not solid diet. Daily assessment for evidence of oral mucositis. Continue with increased rinsing sched limplement recommendations from Mutool findings. Follow third line pain scale treatment (see below). 	
GRADE 4	Ulceration, TPN, alimentation not possible (able to take medication with water only).	 Daily assessment for evidence of oral mucositis. Continue with increased rinsing schedule. Implement recommendations from MUST tool findings. Follow fourth line pain scale (see below).

Patients with grade 3-4 mucositis are at high risk of infection.

Assess for oral infection and take appropriate action as per NUH Guideline for the Treatment of Specific Infections (Haematology) – available online at: http://nuhnet/nuh_documents/Guidelines/Forms/Guidelines.aspx (document 1859)

6.4 Procedure for Analgesia

The stepped approach in the table below should be utilised. This should start with first line interventions irrespective of level of pain identified by the patient on the visual analogue scale.

Once first line treatment has been implemented consistently, it is necessary to evaluate its effectiveness. A systemic approach to pain control with a clear record of analgesia and relief will help to minimise patient distress and rationalise analgesic use.

Only when the interventions are ineffective should the next level be implemented. This is the same for the following levels so that there is a progression through each level, with evaluation of effectiveness at each stage.

PAIN CONTROL

First Line

- Treat any underlying cause e.g. infection
- Difflam (Benzydamine) mouthwash 4 hourly prn
- Paracetamol mouthwashes (avoid swallowing due to masking of potential temperatures)
- *Caphasol QDS and PRN up to 10 times daily

Second Line

- Caphasol QDS and prn up to 10 times daily
- Oxetacaine and antacid suspension (10mls-QDS) pre-meal.
 Sip slowly and swallow.
- Oral morphine as required.
 To be held in the mouth for 2-3 minutes to be effective.

Third Line

- Caphasol increased frequency 6-8 times daily and prn up to 10 times daily
- Oxetacaine and antacid suspension (10mls-QDS) pre-meal.
 Sip slowly and swallow.
- Oral morphine QDS and prn up to every 2 hours. To be held in the mouth for 2-3 minutes to be effective.
- Episil 1-3 pumps, 2-3 times daily.

Fourth Line

- Caphasol increased frequency 6-8 times daily and prn up to 10 times daily
- Oxetacaine and antacid suspension (10mls-QDS) pre-meal. Sip slowly and swallow.
- Systemic morphine infusion 50mg/50mls starting at a rate of 1ml/hr
- Episil 1-3 pumps, 2-3 times daily.
- If ineffective, consider ketamine involve pain team.

Index code	Version	Implementation Date	Review date	
SOP B66	3.1	01-March-2019	March 2021	Page 8 of 14

6.5 Procedure for Opiate Infusion.

Morphine is the opiate of choice for the management of severe pain in Clinical Haematology at NUH. (NB Diamorphine 1mg iv is equivalent to 1.5mg Morphine iv).

An intra-venous infusion is often necessary (50 mg in 50 ml, infusion rate 0.5 - 5 ml/hr) Regular monitoring for hypoxia should be performed. (See 6.4 below)

- 6.5.1 For most patients, start at 1 mg (1 ml) per hour initially and assess pain after 1 hour. If pain score not improved then increase dose usually to a maximum of 5mg/hr depending on patient weight.
- 6.5.2 Once pain is controlled the dose may be able to be reduced slightly to maintain the relief.
- 6.5.3 The need for the opiate infusion should be reviewed daily and when the mucositis score improves, the dose should be slowly tapered down and stopped.
- 6.5.4 If the mucositis is continuing consider the need for parenteral nutrition.
- 6.5.5 Patients receiving morphine infusions may require regular anti-emetics and may develop pruritus.

NOTES:

- i. Patients previously on oral opiates which have had to be stopped due to inability to swallow may require higher doses of morphine than patients not previously exposed to opiate.
- *ii.* Patients with renal impairment will be more sensitive and require a lower dose of opiate.
- *iii.* When discontinuing morphine infusion reduce dose to 25% of present dose every 24 hours in order to prevent complications associated opiate withdrawal.

6.6 Nursing Observations during Opiate Infusions

- 6.6.1 Monitor for hypoxia every 30 minutes until pain settled and then every 4 hours whilst a patient is having intra-venous opiate infusions.
- 6.6.2 Observations must include respiratory rate, assessment of pupils and AVPU score (alert, responding to voice, responding to pain and unresponsive) to assess for signs of opiate toxicity.
 - If respiratory rate is < 12, turn off pump temporarily and call the doctor to review the patient.
 - If pupils are grade 1 size or smaller, turn off pump and call the doctor to review the patient.
 - If the AVPU score is less than response to voice, turn off the pump and call the doctor.
 - o If there are significant signs of opiate toxicity consider the use of naloxone.

Index code	Version	Implementation Date	Review date		
SOP B66	3.1	01-March-2019	March 2021	Page 9 of 14	

7. Endpoints, Expected Results and Limitations of Procedure:

7.1. Endpoint and Expected Results -

Timely, appropriate and safe treatment of mucositis until recovery of mucositis or discharge of patient from ward.

7.2. Limitations

- 7.2.1. This procedure may be modified after discussion with and approval by a Transplant Consultant and Pharmacist. If modified, the nature of the modification and reason for it must be recorded in the patients' medical record. A Variance form is to be submitted to the BMT Quality Management Group by the clinician prescribing modified treatment. Modifications will be monitored in this way to see if consistent improvements can be made to this guideline
- 7.2.2. Incidents must be reported via the NUH Trust Datix system.

8. Audit:

Incidents are reviewed by the Departmental Governance Meeting.

Incident reports are reviewed at the monthly BMT Quality Management meeting.

9. Evidence Base of Policies / References:

- NUH Guidelines for the Management of Mucositis Associated With Chemotherapy and/or Radiotherapy, Version 3.0, December 2012.
- Patient reports of complications of bone marrow transplantation.
- Bellm LA, Epstein JB, Rose-Ped A, Martin P, Fuchs HJ. Support Care Cancer. 2000 Jan;8(1):33-9.
- Management of oral mucositis in patients with cancer. Stone R, Fliedner MC, Smiet AC. Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2005;9 Suppl 1:S24-32.
- Pathobiology of mucositis. Sonis ST1. Semin Oncol Nurs. 2004 Feb;20(1):11-5.
- Bone Marrow Transplant Programme Operational Policy and Quality Manual for Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust BMT Programme.
- FACT-JACIE International Standards for Hematopoietic Cellular Therapy Product Collection, Processing, and Administration, 6th Edition
 - available at http://www.jacie.org
- The Royal Marsden Manual of Clinical Procedures, 9th Edition- available at http://www.rmmonline.co.uk.
- UKOMiC (2013) Mouth care guidance and support in cancer and palliative cars, [Online], Available: http://www.ukomic.co.uk/index.html [24 Jun 2013].
- World Health Organization. *Handbook for Reporting Results of Cancer Treatment*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1979. pp. 15–22.

Index code	Version	Implementation Date	Review date	
SOP B66	3.1	01-March-2019	March 2021	Page 10 of 14

10. Training and Competency:

Clinical Guidelines are "policy and/or guideline" documents. They do not require a competency, but still require a record that staff have read the document.

Once they have read the SOP, relevant staff members are to sign the record on the controlled copy of the document for their area.

Staff Memb	oer	Signature		Date	
Chantelle Rand	dall				4
Louise Ellison	n				
Nicola Turne	r			()
Tara Shearma	an			20	
Leanne Mills	6				
Kathryn Robei	rts		^		
Clare Coope	r				
Peggy Chipen	do	 £, Y	,		
Richard Kasany	inga	, 0'			
Helen Claydo	n	3			
Sam Osei					
Charlette Phili	ps				
Jyothy Thoma	as				
Rachel Fox					
Kerris Hensha	aw				
Jade Pass					
Kat Anisco					
Melissa Conro	ру				
Jeorge Duldula	ao				
Katie Hensor	n				
Amelia Ellis-La	ine				
Index code SOP B66	Versio	Implementation Date 01-March-2019		Review date March 2021	Page 11 of 14

Kieren Shergill	
Hannahlee Day	
Oliver Hunt-Blow	
Rebecca Richmond	

		SO OTHER
	5.75	
324		

APPENDIX 1

Please affix patient label

Patient Name:

Date of birth:

NHS / K Number:



Nottingham University Hospitals
NHS Trust

DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL HAEMATOLOGY ORAL ASSESSMENT TOOL

Please complete all details on this form daily for all patients

Date Section 1 Solids What has the patient been able to consume in Liquids only the last 24 hours Nothing orally If the patient is taking liquids only or nil orally, Yes is this due to oral mucositis No Solids If No, what does the patient feel they could eat Liquids only based soley on how their mouth feels? Nothing orally Section 2 Please ask the patient the following questions using a scale of 0 to 10: Please describe the **amount of mouth pain** you're are feeling with 0 equal to 'no pain' and 10 equal to 'the worst possible pain' Please describe the **amount of mucosal dryness** you're are with 0 equal to 'none' and 10 equal to 'the worst mucosal dryness' Please describe how difficult it is for you to swallow with 0 equal to 'no difficulty swallowing' and 10 equal to 'extreme difficulty swallowing' (Consider liquid medications, also soft diet and cold foods) Please describe your taste function on with 0 equal to 'normal taste' and 10 equal to 'complete lack of taste' (Advise regular mouth washes/rinses)

Revised June 16 Review June 18

Page 1 of 2

NUH03970N



Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust

record the follo	wing	piec	เจษเ	IUN II	ιαμ	στορ	nate	DUX	/-				_		-							•
DATE													L									
Site	Erythema	Ulceration	Bleeding	Erythema	Ulceration	Bleeding	Erythema	Ulceration	Bleeding	Erythema	Ulceration	Bleeding	Erythema	Ulceration	Bleeding	Erythema	Ulceration	Bleeding	Erythema	Ulceration	Bleeding	Oral Sites to be Evaluated
Upper lip																						Soft palate
Lower lip															\supset							
Right cheek														$\int \int d$								Right inside cheek Left inside chee
Left cheek												_ `	θ	\sqrt{I}/I	<u> </u>							
Rt ventral and lateral tongue											(1		7/4	\mathcal{N}								Right lateral and Left lateral and
Lt ventral and lateral tongue										7	\mathbb{Z}_{0}	2/										ventral tongue tongue
Floor of mouth										\searrow												Lower inside lip Floor of mouth
Soft palate								7														
				Ple	ase	tick i	f pre	sen	t at e	evali	ıate	d sit	e. NE	= /	lot E	vali	ıate	d				
DATE													ATE									WHO = 0 (no findings)
Is scalloping pr on tongue/che												Т	ime									WHO = 1 (soreness present with or without
	Yes											V	VHO	2			\neg					erythema. Normal diet) WHO = 2 (ulcers present bu
	No	\perp			_							S	core		_	\perp	_				_	able to take solid food)
salivary production been affected?	Abser	nt											nitial: urse									who = 3 (ulcers present and only able to take liquids) who = 4 (ulcers present/no able to take anything orally)

Revised June 16 Review June 18

Page 2 of 2

NUH03970N