

TTK4135 EXERCISE 3

1 $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} c^T x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b, x \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, s) = c^T x - \lambda^T (Ax - b) - s^T x$$

KKT:

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*, s^*) = c - A^T \lambda^* - s^* = 0,$$

$$Ax^* = b,$$

$$x^* \geq 0, s^* \geq 0,$$

$$s_i x_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in I$$

a) Newton direction: $p_k^N = -(\nabla^2 f_k)^{-1} \nabla f_k$

Since $\nabla_x^2 f(x) = 0$ it is trivial to see that p_k^N is not defined, as the laplacian of f is not defined.
inverse of the

b) $f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) = c^T \alpha x + c^T (1-\alpha)y$

$$= \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y) = \alpha c^T x + (1-\alpha)c^T y$$

as α is just a scalar.

So $f(x) = c^T x$ is convex.

But is the feasible set Ω convex?

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$$

Let $x, y \in \Omega$, then the point

$z = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y$ satisfies

$$\underline{Az} = \alpha Ax + (1-\alpha)Ay = \alpha b + (1-\alpha)b = \underline{b}$$

And furthermore it is easy to see that

for $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ we get

$$z = \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y \geq 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

So Ω is convex.

Since $f(x)$ and Ω are convex,

(1) is a convex problem.

$$(c) \max_{\lambda} b^T \lambda \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A^T \lambda \leq c$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \min_{\lambda} -b^T \lambda \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A^T \lambda \leq c$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda, x) = -b^T \lambda - x^T (c - A^T \lambda)$$

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(\lambda^*, x^*) = -b + Ax^* = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Ax^* = b}$$

We also have that

$$\underline{x^* \geq 0}, \text{ and}$$

$$x^{*T} (c - A^T \lambda^*) = 0, \text{ which}$$

when defining $S = c - A^T \lambda^*$ gives

$$\underline{S^T x^* = 0}. \text{ We also have}$$

$$c - A^T \lambda^* \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \underline{S^* \geq 0}$$

So all the KKT conditions are indeed the same.

$$d) C^T x^* = b^T \lambda^*$$

- c) x is a BFP if it is feasible, and there exists an index set $\beta(x)$ of m indices such that

$$i \notin \beta \Rightarrow x_i = 0$$

and

$$B = [A_i]_{i \in \beta}$$

is nonsingular.

- f) LICQ is satisfied if the set of all active constraints gradients are linearly independent.

Since the gradient of the constraint is A^T , LICQ is satisfied in the LP case if A^T has full rank, a.k.a.

A has full rank.

[2] @ Let $A = x_1, B = x_2$

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{3}{2}x_1 + x_2\right)p$$

For simplicity set $p=2$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = 3x_1 + 2x_2$$

The constraints are that the total hours of R_I and R_{II} are limited i.e.

$$2x_1 + x_2 \leq 8, \quad x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 15$$

Transform to standard form by slackening variables:

$$2x_1 + x_2 + z_1 = 8, \quad x_1 + 3x_2 + z_2 = 15,$$

$$x_1, x_2, z_1, z_2 \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^4} c^T x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b, x \geq 0,$$

$$c^T = [-3 \ -2 \ 0 \ 0], \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

~~ⓐ~~ ⓐ $x^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1,8 \\ 4,4 \end{bmatrix}, f(x^*) = 14,2$

This is in a corner of Ω as expected.

All the constraints are not active, but the 2 "main" ones are.

From the plot ~~we~~ we see that the simplex method moves along the polytope, exchanging the "bad" elements of the basis for new ones, as theory says.

$$\boxed{3} \min_x q(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T G x + x^T c$$

$$\text{s.t. } a_i^T x = b_i, i \in \mathcal{E}, \quad a_i^T x \geq b_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$$

② The active set $A(x^*)$ is the set of all the indices of the active constraints, i.e.

$$A(x^*) = \{i \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I} \mid a_i^T x^* = b_i\}$$

$$= \{i \in \mathcal{E}, i \in \mathcal{I} \mid a_i^T x^* = b_i\}$$

$$\textcircled{b} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T G x + x^T c - \sum_{i \in A(x^*)} \lambda_i (a_i^T x - b_i)$$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*) = G x^* + c - \sum_{i \in A(x^*)} \lambda_i^* a_i^* = 0, \\ a_i^T x^* - b_i = 0, i \in A(x^*), \\ a_i^T x^* - b_i \geq 0, i \in \mathcal{I} / A(x^*), \\ \lambda_i^* \geq 0, i \in \mathcal{I} \cap A(x^*) \end{cases}$$