

# Conners 3 Update

The following updates have been made to the Conners 3rd Edition™ (Conners 3™): (1) Validity scale interpretation, (2) T-score interpretation, and (3) renaming the Aggression scale to Defiance/Aggression. These changes are intended to improve the utility of the assessment in actual practice.

## 1. Validity Scale Interpretation

The Conners 3 includes three Validity scales: the Positive Impression (PI), Negative Impression (NI), and Inconsistency Index (IncX) scales. In the initial release of the Conners 3 documentation, the guidelines for interpretation of these Validity scales used the following language: *probably valid*, *possibly invalid*, and *probably invalid*. To better align the interpretation guidelines with the intent of the scales, the guidelines have been revised using new language. Validity scale scores should be considered as indicative of potentially problematic response styles (i.e., possible *positive*, *negative*, or *inconsistent* response style; see Table 1).

It is recommended that clinical judgment be used in the interpretation of elevated Validity scale scores (i.e., raw scores that are above the cut-offs presented in Table 1). Item-level analysis can be useful in this process. Elevated PI and NI scores may indicate a positive response style for the PI and a negative response style for the NI. However, it is possible that these scales could be elevated due to other reasons, such as in the case of an extremely well-behaved youth (an elevated PI score), or in the case of a youth who really does misbehave most of the time (an elevated NI score). Similarly, while an elevated IncX score likely reflects an inconsistent response style, it is possible that it is the youth's behavior (rather than the rater's responses) that is inconsistent. Reviewing the responses to the items that make up these scales can help determine if there is a problematic response style or if the scale elevations are accurate reflections of the youth's behavior.

## Response Style Analysis using the Conners 3 Validity Scales

**Table 1.a Positive Impression**

Parent	Teacher	Self-Report	Interpretive Guideline
0–4	0–4	0–3	Overly positive response style not indicated.
5–6	5–6	4–6	Possible positive response style. Scores may present a more favorable impression than is warranted.

**Table 1.b Negative Impression**

Parent	Teacher	Self-Report	Interpretive Guideline
0–4	0–4	0–4	Overly negative response style not indicated.
5–6	5–6	5–6	Possible negative response style. Scores may present a less favorable impression than is warranted.

**Table 1.c Inconsistency Index**

Parent	Teacher	Self-Report	Interpretive Guideline
0–6 or < 2 absolute differences equal to 2 or 3	0–5 or < 2 absolute differences equal to 2 or 3	0–8 or < 2 absolute differences equal to 2 or 3	Inconsistent responding style not indicated.
≥ 7 and at least two absolute differences equal to 2 or 3	≥ 6 and at least two absolute differences equal to 2 or 3	≥ 9 and at least two absolute differences equal to 2 or 3	Responses to similar items showed high levels of inconsistency. Scores may not accurately reflect the individual due to a careless or unusual response to some items.

## 2. T-Score Interpretation

A *T*-score is a standardized score, which means that it can be compared across different raters or administration dates. *T*-scores for Conners 3 scales convert the raw scores to reflect what is typical or atypical for that age and gender. All *T*-scores have a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10. A perfectly average *T*-score of 50 is exactly equal to the mean score of that age and gender group. The average range falls within one standard deviation of the mean (i.e., between 40 and 59). For some scales on the Conners 3, it is possible to get very extreme *T*-scores (i.e., > 100). To avoid over-interpretation of these extreme values, all *T*-scores greater than 90 in the computerized reports (as in the paper forms) are reported as 90.

In the original guidelines for interpreting *T*-scores, the 60 to 69 range was considered “elevated.” An additional condition, however, was imposed in that scores from 57 to 63 were specified as being in the “borderline” range. The *T*-score interpretive guidelines have been adjusted so that the “borderline range” now reflects a specific category of scores (i.e., scores that are between 1 and 1.5 standard deviations above the mean; 60 to 64) and is described as “High Average.”<sup>1</sup>

The new interpretive guidelines are as follows: A *T*-score in the “Very Elevated” range (i.e., > 2 standard deviations above the mean) is very likely to indicate a significant area of concern. A *T*-score in the “Elevated” range (i.e., 1.5–2 standard deviations above the mean) usually indicates significant concerns. A *T*-score in the “High Average” range requires careful consideration and clinical judgment, as this range is the borderline between typical and atypical levels of concern. *T*-scores falling below 60 generally indicate typical or absent concerns for the child’s age and gender. (See Table 2 for a summary of these guidelines.) Remember that clinical training and judgment are required for responsible interpretation of any test score; these score classification guidelines should not be applied automatically without careful interpretation by a clinician.

Note that these guidelines are approximations and should not be used as absolute rules. There is no reason to believe that there is a perceptible difference, for instance, between a *T*-score of 64 and a *T*-score of 65. Even if a youth receives a score in a given range, if other information (e.g., observation, interview, clinical history) suggests something different, then this must be taken into consideration in the interpretation process.<sup>2</sup>

This change applies to the interpretation of *T*-scores for the Conners 3 Content scales, DSM-IV-TR Symptom scales, and to *T*-scores on the various Conners 3 components.<sup>3</sup>

The interpretation of discrepancies between DSM-IV-TR Symptom Counts and *T*-scores have been updated to reflect the changes in the *T*-score guidelines; see Table 3. These discrepancies are to be expected, given that the Symptom Count and *T*-score are based on different metrics (i.e., absolute versus relative). Because the DSM-IV-TR Symptom scale *T*-scores take age and gender into account, they may at times be more sensitive to atypicality for that peer group, even if symptoms do not meet the absolute symptom count level.

The standalone Conners 3 ADHD Index™ (Conners 3AI™) is a good general indicator of whether a given youth is similar to youth with ADHD (based on responses on the Conners 3), or more similar to youth without a clinical diagnosis. This index provides a probability score that represents the percentage of time that the score occurred in youth with a diagnosis of ADHD as opposed to youth with no clinical diagnosis. The Conners 3AI *T*-score is easily interpreted using the same guidelines displayed in Table 2. At times, there may be discrepancies between the Conners 3AI probability score and *T*-score. This difference is to be expected, given that the probability score and *T*-score are based on different metrics. The Conners 3AI *T*-score is age and gender specific, while the Conners 3AI probability score is not. The probability score indicates how likely a clinical classification is, while the *T*-score indicates whether the youth is showing typical or atypical levels of these symptoms, relative to age- and gender-based expectations. See Table 4 for guidelines for the interpretation of the probability and *T*-scores based on the new score ranges.

<sup>1</sup> Data analyses of the normative and clinical samples revealed that scores in the 60 to 64 range are almost equally likely to occur with clinical and non-clinical cases.

<sup>2</sup> This falls under Step 5 (Integrate Results) of the Step-by-Step Interpretation Guidelines in chapter 6 of your Conners 3 Manual.

<sup>3</sup> Including the full-length Conners 3, the Conners 3 Short, the Conners 3 Global Index™, and the Conners 3 ADHD Index™.

**Table 2. Understanding T-scores and Percentiles**

<b>T-score</b>	<b>Percentile</b>	<b>Guideline</b>
70+	98+	Very Elevated Score (Many more concerns than are typically reported)
65–69	93–97	Elevated Score (More concerns than are typically reported)
60–64	84–92	High Average Score (Slightly more concerns than are typically reported)
40–59	16–83	Average Score (Typical levels of concern)
< 40	< 16	Low Score (Fewer concerns than are typically reported)

### 3. Defiance/Aggression Scale

All three versions (Parent, Teacher, Self-Report) of the Conners 3 include an Aggression scale. However, the true content of the scale measures not only aggression, but also defiance (behaviors that are considered problematic but do not have overt expression of aggression). To reflect this broader content, the scale name has been changed from Aggression to Defiance/Aggression.<sup>4</sup> The characteristics of high scorers on the Defiance/Aggression scale are:

May be argumentative. May defy requests from adults. May have poor control of anger and may lose temper. May be physically and/or verbally aggressive. May show violent or destructive tendencies. May bully others. May be manipulative or cruel. May break rules and/or have legal issues.

The underlying cause of an elevated Defiance/Aggression score (i.e., defiant vs. aggressive) can be discerned by looking at the item level responses (Step 4 of the Step-by-Step Interpretation Guidelines in chapter 6 of your Conners 3 Manual). The examination of the responses to items in the scale can help determine if the scale elevation is caused by defiant behaviors (e.g., “Actively refuses to do what adults tell him/her to do,” “Argues with adults”), aggressive behaviors (e.g., “Physically hurts people,” “Threatens to hurt others”), or both types of behaviors.

<sup>4</sup> Note that this change has been reflected in the Feedback handout. The Conners 3 full-length and Short form computerized Assessment Report includes a feedback handout that can be shared with people who are not familiar with the Conners 3 (e.g., parents, school staff, lawyers, therapists, coaches, or youth). The computer-generated feedback handout includes a brief description of the Conners 3, a summary table of results, additional topics for discussion, and the level of impairment. In this handout, the “Aggression” category has been renamed “Defiance/Aggression.”

**Table 3. Interpretation Guidelines for DSM-IV-TR Scores on the Conners 3**

	<b>DSM-IV-TR T-score</b>	<b>DSM-IV-TR Symptom Count</b>	<b>Interpretation Guidelines</b>
<i>T</i> -score and Symptom Count are elevated	≥ 65	At or above DSM-IV-TR cut-off score	Significant features of the disorder are present in that setting. The symptoms are occurring in excess of what is typical for that youth's age and gender. This diagnosis should be given strong consideration.
Only <i>T</i> -score is elevated	≥ 65	Below DSM-IV-TR cut-off score	Features of this diagnosis are not prominent in that setting. The symptoms that are present are occurring in excess of what is typical for that youth's age and gender. Although the current presentation is atypical for the youth's age and gender, there are not sufficient symptoms reported to meet DSM-IV-TR symptomatic criteria for this disorder. The assessor may wish to consider alternative explanations for why the <i>T</i> -scores could be elevated in the absence of this diagnosis (e.g., another diagnosis may be producing these types of concerns in that particular setting).
Only Symptom Count is elevated	≤ 64	At or above DSM-IV-TR cut-off score	Significant features of the disorder are present in that setting. The symptoms are at (or below) developmental expectations for that age and gender. Although the absolute DSM-IV-TR symptomatic criteria may have been met, the current presentation is not atypical for this age and gender. The assessor should carefully consider whether or not symptoms are present in excess of developmental expectations (an important requirement of DSM-IV-TR diagnosis).
<i>T</i> -score and Symptom Count are average or below	≤ 64	Below DSM-IV-TR cut-off score	Features of this diagnosis are not prominent in that setting. Any symptoms that are present are at (or below) developmental expectations for that age and gender. It is unlikely that the diagnosis is currently present (although criteria may have been met in the past).

**Table 4. Interpretation Guidelines for the Conners 3AI**

	<b>Conners 3AI Probability Score</b>	<b>Conners 3AI T-score</b>	<b>Interpretation Guidelines</b>
Both scores are elevated	≥ 61%	≥ 65	The responses are very similar to those describing youth with ADHD. More of the key features of ADHD are present than expected for this age and gender.
Probability score in borderline range, <i>T</i> -score is elevated	51–60%	≥ 65	The responses are somewhat similar to those describing youth with ADHD. More of the key features of ADHD are present than expected for this age and gender.
Only <i>T</i> -score is elevated	≤ 50%	≥ 65	The responses are more similar to those describing youth in the general population than those describing youth with ADHD, but key features of ADHD are occurring in excess of what is typical for that youth's age and gender. The assessor may wish to consider alternate explanations for why the <i>T</i> -score could be elevated (e.g., another issue besides ADHD may be producing these types of concerns in that particular setting).
Only probability score is elevated	≥ 61%	≤ 64	The responses are very similar to those describing youth with ADHD, but key features of ADHD are at (or below) developmental expectations for that age and gender. Although the youth's responses are like those of youth with ADHD, the current presentation is not atypical for this age and gender. The assessor should give careful consideration as to whether the symptoms are present in excess of developmental expectations.
Probability score in borderline range, <i>T</i> -score is average/low	51–60%	≤ 64	The responses are somewhat similar to those describing youth with ADHD, but any key features of ADHD that are present are at (or below) developmental expectations for that age and gender.
Both scores are average or below	< 50%	≤ 64	The responses are more similar to those describing youth in the general population than those describing youth with ADHD. Any key features of ADHD that are present are at or below developmental expectations for that age and gender.