

# Unix Processing Assignment

Thomas Veerman and Martijn Vermaat  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
`{tveerman,mvermaat}@cs.vu.nl`

February 28, 2007

## 1 Micro-shell

**Question 1** Our shell has to create two child processes after receiving a piped command, one process for the writing command and one process for the reading command. One pipe has to be created for these processes to communicate (only in one direction). The parent shell process waits for both child processes to finish before reading the next command.

**Question 2** This is not possible, because the necessary `exec()` call will destroy the entire shell process (including any threads).

**Question 3** You cannot use the `cd` command in our shell. The `cd` commands in shells are built-in functions of these shells and not executable files.

## 2 Synchronization

**Question 4** Mutual exclusion is needed here, because only one process at a time may be calling the `display()` function. Mutual exclusion can be implemented with a semaphore by initializing the semaphore to 1 and trying to `DOWN()` the semaphore before entering the mutual exclusive code and do an `UP()` afterwards. This way, only one process can be executing the mutual exclusive code at a time because `DOWN()` on a semaphore with value 0 will be blocking until `UP()` is called again.

```
DOWN()  
mutex_code()  
UP()
```

**Question 5** The difference with the previous exercise is that the two mutual exclusive code blocks have to be executed alternatingly. In this case, events can be used by a process to wait for authorization and to authorize the other process to continue. Again, this can be implemented using semaphores, but this time we need two of them. The first semaphore implements the event that the first

process may continue, while the second semaphore implements the event that the second process may continue. Each process authorizes the other process to continue execution by sending the appropriate event after it has executed the relevant part of his code.

```
DOWN(my_event)
mutex_code()
UP(his_event)
```

### 3 pthreads

**Question 6** We could also have used semaphores in the pthread version, just like we did in the version with multiple processes. However, it would be a strange thing to do: allocate shared memory for a semaphore while the two threads share most of their variables anyway.

### 4 Java threads

**Question 7** Yes. With semaphores one can do mutual exclusion, but also wait for events. In the Java version of the programs we could have simulated syn2.c by using two Semaphore objects, initialized to zero permits, and use *acquire()* and *release()* as DOWN() and UP() respectively. Both threads should be given a reference to these objects so that they both have access to them.