Grading Rubric for Project I (out of 10 pts)

Feature	100%	80%	50%	0%
Tasks (5 pt.)	The program works and meets all of the specifications including the levels, the ten questions and the timing mechanism.	Ten questions are implemented but no timing mechanism for the answers or no levels are implemented.	Only some questions are implemented no timing mechanism and no levels.	None of the tasks are implemented.
Readability (3 pt.)	The code is exceptionally well organized with functions and is very easy to follow. The functions, variable names, and the separation between the blocks are all done according to the rules that we studied.	The code is fairly easy to read and is well organized using functions.	The code is easy to read and is well organized using functions but there are some confusions here and there.	The code is poorly organized and very difficult to read.
Comments (1 pt.)	All key parts of the code are well commented.	Most key parts of the code are well commented.	Some comments are provided in the code.	No comments are provided in the code.
Documentation (1 pt.)	The documentation explains the goal of the project (1), the approach adopted to implement the project (using flowcharts) (2) and present a clear table of labor sharing between the students involved in the project (3).	One of the three previous elements is missing in the documentation.	Two of the three previous elements are missing.	No documentation.

Every student should write the documentation of his/her code. If a student does only the documentation, he/she will get 0. This class is about writing code. You should be present during the two weeks of the project to allow me check your progress individually. If I do not see you write your code in the class, I will not award you a grade.