


Group 3



Angelov, Angel A.R. - Georgiev, Martin M.R. - Gherlan, Mirela Cristiana M.C. -
Gomoyurova, Alexandra A.A. - Ivanov, Aleksandar A.H. - Jagwani, Yash Y. -
Savov, Galin G.V.


Checkpoint 9 Review and Retrospective sprint 5 22-01-2021

Jagwani, Yash Y. 2 hours ago

To close off this final Sprint, which was a short one, the group managed to complete all the tasks we were given and implemented a software solution that we are all proud of. The presentation went well and all parties were pleased with the results. It was a pleasure to work with each other for this project and we hope to work with each other in the future. We have all gained invaluable memories with one another and experience in collaborating with one another and the product owner.



Write a summary of what you discussed with your teacher...

Checkpoint 8 Sprint 4 review and retrospective 08-01-2021

Jagwani, Yash Y. 14 days ago

In the end of this sprint the group managed to accomplish our goals that we set out upon receiving the new user stories and the work distribution was well thought out, balanced and fair. There were 4 people handling documentation and testing while the other 3 focused on the more technical user stories such as implementing the logger. In conclusion, we all came to an agreement on which persons would be responsible for their respective tasks. Ms. Nicole, Mr. Wouter and Mr. Sprock seemed to be quite satisfied and pleased with the changes we made to the software and its new/updated functionalities. Our presentation went well with all details explained properly, even though the virtual machine took quite some time when running the batch task. All questions were answered by the group members without any misunderstanding from the stakeholders. We can now turn our attention to the new user stories which will be for our last and final sprint (Sprint 5).

Checkpoint 7 Progress meeting 11-12-2020**Jagwani, Yash Y.** a month ago

Today we reviewed with Ms. Nicole what was missing in terms of documentation from our previous Sprint as well as what other documentation needs to be done for this upcoming Sprint. We also gave her an update on what our plans were for this Sprint and how far we have come until now. Based on this feedback, we will focus more on the points that she mentioned for the documentation such as the Test Plan, Test Report and Unit tests.

Checkpoint 6 Sprint review and retrospective sprint 3 27-11-2020**Jagwani, Yash Y.** 2 months ago

Once again, the group managed to do what we planned to have done by this sprint and the work distribution was fairly balanced and equal, even though it took much longer to get done than usual and we all experienced some difficulties in this sprint. When one person was struggling with something, then we would reach out to the other group members for some assistance, so there was a more supportive environment and togetherness this time. I, personally, feel like I contributed in both fields, but this time, more in the technical side of things. In conclusion, we all agreed who would do what and did exactly what we decided for each other, but we also worked with other groups to get some understanding of what they did if they had a better idea of what some of us were trying to do. Ms. Nicole, Mr. Wouter and Mr. Sprock were pleased with what we developed as it is very close to what Mr. Wouter & Mr. Sprock expect by the end. Our presentation went well and all questions were answered without issue. We can now turn our attention to the next set of user stories which we will most probably get next week.

**Georgiev, Martin M.R.** 2 months ago

As part of the retrospective, we were given the advise to look into our code's maintainability (consistent and modular structure, implementation of the SOLID architecture principle), testability (code unit tests) and readability (comments, variable, method and class naming conventions, etc.). Our group has managed to deliver on most of these things already. The group will look into the project's code test coverage for the next sprint.

Checkpoint 5 Progress meeting 20-11-2020**Jagwani, Yash Y.** 2 months ago

After reporting our progress and the things we were having difficulties with, Ms. Nicole answered some of our questions and gave some feedback on the documentation we worked on at that time. Moving forward, we have a better idea of what we plan to do for this sprint.

Checkpoint 4 Sprint review and retrospective sprint 2 06-11-2020**Jagwani, Yash Y.** 3 months ago

The group managed to do what we planned to have done by this sprint and the work distribution was fairly balanced and equal. Some people had to focus on the documentation and the others had to focus on implementing the plugin. I, personally, feel like I contributed in both fields, but this time, more in the documentation side of things as my other courses had me occupied, so I was not much help in the software side of things. I was tasked to improve the Research Questions based on Ms. Nicole's feedback. In conclusion, we all agreed who would do what did exactly what we decided for each other. Ms. Nicole, Mr. Wouter and Mr. Sprock seemed to be pretty satisfied with what we presented and we can now turn our attention to the next set of user stories which seem to be more concrete.

**Georgiev, Martin M.R.** 3 months ago

The group managed to go through and complete all backlog items for this sprint. The work was somewhat evenly distributed among team members. Some people worked on the documentation and others - on the software side. Personally, I worked entirely on the project's software. I believe every person in the team is happy with the outcome for the sprint. After our review discussion, Nicole seemed satisfied with our progress on both fronts (documentation & software).

**Gherlan, Mirela Cristiana M.C.** 3 months ago

From my point of view, this sprint our team has managed to complete all the user stories we have got and also the ones decided by us(e.g. documentation). We tried to split up the work evenly, but due to our only major concern- only one licence key- we had some people more involved in documentation and some on software development side. My contribution was related to the Project Plan, mainly on the Research Questions. As much as I could I contributed to both of the software and documentation. Our feedback was positive from Nicole and the Amplexor team, Nick & Wouter, we did what they expected. So now we can focus on the next sprint. Good job everyone!

**Angelov, Angel A.R.** 3 months ago

This sprint went smoothly and without issues. We fulfilled the requirements successfully and quickly. However, I feel like internal meetings could be set up better in the future. Work distribution could also be improved. Nevertheless, our project mentor is satisfied and Amplexor as well. The team environment was good as always and the energy was very positive. The next sprint looks interesting and we will do everything we can to continue doing a good job.

**Ivanov, Aleksandar A.H.** 3 months ago

The group sacrificed some of their holiday time to ensure a quick sprint completion, something i like a lot as it gives a lot of certainty in terms of having extra time to fix various things. I feel like this is a good approach going forward, due to the unknown software which we are handling. I believe we will do a great job in the next sprint.

**Gomoyurova, Alexandra A.A.** 3 months ago

This spring went really good, we finished everything in time and even earlier, thanks to the holiday week. We do our best to separate our work properly, but of course it may be improved. We had some misunderstandings, but hopefully everyone was satisfied with our work.



Zurbier-Munneke, Nicole N. (Teacher) 2 months ago

Thanks for the elaborative update. In Feedpulse, it is okay if one of you writes down the feedback received from me (and Nick and Wouter as PO) and the notes of the retrospective. For noting down the feedback: please be specific in what was being said, instead of just "they seemed satisfied".

Checkpoint 3 Progress meeting 30-10-2020



Jagwani, Yash Y. 3 months ago

We discussed what we have done for the project requirements of this sprint. The software side of things are done, but only the documentation side is left. We need to update our Project plan by including Organizational Structures and also by doing the Test Plan. This will be accomplished by the deadline for this sprint next week Friday. The work has been divided amongst the group members and we each have our own task.

Checkpoint 2 Retrospective and feedback sprint 1 09-10-2020**Georgiev, Martin M.R.** 3 months ago

The group was managed to go through and complete all of the backlog items. The work was evenly distributed among team members. Some people worked on the documentation and others - on the software side. Personally, I contributed on the software part of the project. I believe every person in the team is happy with the outcome for the sprint. After our review discussion, Nicole seemed satisfied with our progress on both fronts (documentation & software).

**Ivanov, Aleksandar A.H.** 3 months ago

The group managed to go through and complete all of the user stories with a very good work distribution. Some people worked on research questions, project plan, etc. and others - on software. Personally, I worked on software. I believe the sprint was a success for everyone in the group. After our review discussion, Nicole seemed happy with our communication & presentation skills, as well as with our sprint work

**Gomoyurova, Alexandra A.A.** 3 months ago

To my mind this sprint was successful and I think everyone can say the same. This time we tried to make a work division and inside our big team make a few small ones and each had their own ToDos. It was a good decision because thanks to this we done everything faster, better and more easily. Also, Amplexor and Nicole seemed satisfied with our work this sprint including documentation, software, presentation

**Gherlan, Mirela Cristiana M.C.** 3 months ago

In my opinion, I will call this sprint a success. Everything went good. At first we had a discussion regarding the work division and what I like to say is that we had a very good decision in making this happen. All went smooth. I want to thank all my teammates and Nicole for such a nice work. The team got positive feedback from Nicole, Nick and Wouter.

**Jagwani, Yash Y.** 3 months ago

The group managed to do what we set out to have done by this sprint and the work distribution was well balanced and equal. Some people had to focus on the documentation and the others had to focus on implementing the plugin. I, personally, feel like I contributed in both fields. I helped with what I could when we were researching how to implement a plugin in Trados Studio and also with the documentation such as the Research Questions, etc. In conclusion, we all work very well with each other and there is a good vibe with this group of individuals. Ms. Nicole, Mr. Wouter and Mr. Sprock seemed to be pretty satisfied with what we presented and things look to be going in the right direction.


**Angelov, Angel A.R.** 3 months ago


I will start with the team environment. Working with this team has so far been a pleasure. Every suggestion is taken into consideration and I am sure no one feels left out. Additionally, we are having a lot of fun in our discord server. Next comes the progress we made on the project. I am satisfied with what we did, even though we had a small job to do, successfully working with completely new to us software is a win to me. Documentation is also on point. Looking forward to the upcoming sprint.

Checkpoint 1

Retrospective and feedback sprint 0

18-09-2020



 No feedback submitted.