# 1 Impact of stack allocation optimisation

1.1 Comparison across files

`40-stack-use-across-programs.pgf`

1.2 Individual plots

`01-case-compare.pgf`

Unoptimised / Optimised — Stack size (bytes) vs Program execution →

max = 683

max = 238

Percentage decrease: 65.15%

`02-fibonacci-compare.pgf`

Unoptimised / Optimised — Stack size (bytes) vs Program execution →

max = 1256

max = 412

Percentage decrease: 67.20%

`03-gameoflife-blinker-compare.pgf`

Percentage decrease: 69.73%

`04-gameoflife-pulsar-compare.pgf`

Percentage decrease: 58.96%

```
05-gcd-compare.pgf
```


Percentage decrease: 49.57%

```
06-hexify-compare.pgf
```

Percentage decrease: 59.70%

07-occurrences-compare.pgf


Percentage decrease: 33.90%

08-strlen-compare.pgf

Percentage decrease: 10.26%

09-wildcardcmp-compare.pgf

Percentage decrease: 53.75%

10-trim-compare.pgf

Percentage decrease: 51.71%

`11-tailcall-sum-compare.pgf`

Comparing the stack allocation of the `tailcall-sum` program, both with tail-call optimisation enabled, without and with stack allocation optimisation.

Percentage decrease: 41.47%

`12-tailcall-sum-compare-without-tailcallopt.pgf`

Comparing the stack allocation of the `tailcall-sum` program, both with tail-call optimisation disabled, without and with stack allocation optimisation.

Percentage decrease: 52.11%

`13-non-recursive-tailcall-compare-without-tailcallopt.pgf`

Comparing the stack allocation of the `non-recursive-tailcall` program, both with tail-call optimisation disabled, without and with stack allocation optimisation.

Percentage decrease: 41.33%

`14-gcd-compare-without-tailcallopt.pgf`

Comparing the stack allocation of `gcd`, both with tail-call optimisation disabled, without and with stack allocation optimisation.

2 Impact of tail-call optimisation

22-tailcall-sum-compare-tailcallopt-without-stackopt.pgf

Comparing the stack usage of `tailcall-sum`, with and without tail-call optimisation. Stack allocation optimisation is disabled in both cases.

Percentage decrease: 99.36%

23-non-recursive-tailcall-compare-tailcallopt-without-stackopt.pgf

Comparing the stack usage of `non-recursive-tail-call`. The program has tailcalls that are mutually recursive but not self recursive. Stack allocation optimisation is disabled in both cases.

Percentage decrease: 68.52%

`24-gcd-compare-tailcallopt-without-stackopt.pgf`

Comparing stack usage of gcd, without and with tail-call optimisation. Stack allocation disabled in both cases.