

Time Evolution of Quantum Systems 2025:

Exercise 1

M. Gisti, T. Luu, M. Maležič, J. Ostmeyer

Hand in: 23.04.2025

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

H.1 In the following, we use the Lie brackets as shorthand notation for the commutator $[A, B] := AB - BA$ of two matrices A, B . Furthermore, we use Landau's big-O-notation. In particular, the Landau symbol $\mathcal{O}(g)$ in O-notation means that a considered function f grows at most as fast as g . In our case, $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$ means that we can neglect all terms of orders $\varepsilon^3, \varepsilon^4, \dots$ because we assume ε to be small.

(a) Show the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (BCH formula)

$$e^{(A+B)\varepsilon} = e^{A\varepsilon} e^{B\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A,B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)}$$

up to the second order.

(2 P.)

We can simply truncate the Taylor series after the second order in ε and get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(A+B)\varepsilon} &= \mathbb{1} + (A+B)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}(A+B)^2\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= \mathbb{1} + A\varepsilon + B\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}A^2\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}AB\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}BA\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}B^2\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= \mathbb{1} + A\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}A^2\varepsilon^2 + B\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}B^2\varepsilon^2 + AB\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2}AB\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}BA\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= \left(\mathbb{1} + A\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}A^2\varepsilon^2\right) \left(\mathbb{1} + B\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}B^2\varepsilon^2\right) \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2}[A, B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\right) \\ &= e^{A\varepsilon} e^{B\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A,B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Now show $e^{A\varepsilon} e^{B\varepsilon} = e^{B\varepsilon} e^{A\varepsilon} e^{[A,B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)}$. This variant is sometimes also referred to as the BCH formula. (1 P.)

A similar calculation as above leads to the correct result. Alternatively, you can also use the well-known BCH formula:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(A+B)\varepsilon} &= e^{A\varepsilon} e^{B\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A,B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)}, \\ e^{(B+A)\varepsilon} &= e^{B\varepsilon} e^{A\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[B,A]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

The addition of matrices commutes, i.e. $A+B = B+A$. By equating you then get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{A\varepsilon} e^{B\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A,B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)} &= e^{B\varepsilon} e^{A\varepsilon} e^{\frac{1}{2}[A,B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)} \\ \Leftrightarrow e^{A\varepsilon} e^{B\varepsilon} &= e^{B\varepsilon} e^{A\varepsilon} e^{[A,B]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the antisymmetry of the commutator $[A, B] = -[B, A]$ and the inverse matrix exponential function $\exp(A)^{-1} = \exp(-A)$ were also used.

(c) Finally, show the Lie product formula $e^{A+B} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(e^{\frac{1}{n}A} e^{\frac{1}{n}B} \right)^n$. (1 P.)

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ applies (because $A + B$ commutes with itself)

$$e^{A+B} = \left(e^{\frac{1}{n}(A+B)} \right)^n.$$

If n is large enough, the BCH formula $e^{\frac{1}{n}(A+B)} = e^{\frac{1}{n}A} e^{\frac{1}{n}B} e^{\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})}$ also applies. In the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, the term in $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ is negligible compared to the leading terms and therefore $e^{A+B} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(e^{\frac{1}{n}A} e^{\frac{1}{n}B} \right)^n$.

(d) Suppose that $[A, B] = c\mathbb{1}$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Show that

$$e^A \cdot e^B = e^B \cdot e^A \cdot e^{c\mathbb{1}}.$$

(e) Prove the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for a linear operator on Hilbert space,

$$e^A B e^{-A} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} [A, B]_k$$

where $[A, B]_0 = B$ and $[A, B]_k = [A, [A, B]_{k-1}]$.

Hint: Replace the operator A by εA with $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and do a Taylor expansion in ε .

First, notice that we can expand the k -order nested commutators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} k=0 & \quad [A, B]_0 = B \\ k=1 & \quad [A, B]_1 = AB - BA \\ k=2 & \quad [A, B]_2 = [A, [A, B]] = A^2B - 2ABA + BA^2 \\ k=3 & \quad [A, B]_3 = [A, [A, [A, B]]] = A^3B + 3ABA^2 - 3A^2BA - BA^3 \\ k=4 & \quad [A, B]_4 = [A, [A, [A, [A, B]]]] = A^4B - 4A^3BA + 6A^2BA^2 - 4ABA^3 + BA^4 \\ & \quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

Let us substitute A with εA , we can expand the above expression as:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\varepsilon A} B e^{-\varepsilon A} &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\varepsilon A)^n \right) B \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} (-\varepsilon A)^m \right) \\ &= \left(\mathbb{1} + \varepsilon A + \frac{(\varepsilon A)^2}{2} + \frac{(\varepsilon A)^3}{6} + \frac{(\varepsilon A)^4}{24} \right) B \left(\mathbb{1} - \varepsilon A + \frac{(\varepsilon A)^2}{2} - \frac{(\varepsilon A)^3}{6} + \frac{(\varepsilon A)^4}{24} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{1} + \varepsilon(AB - BA) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}(A^2B + BA^2 - 2ABA) + \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{6}(A^3B + 3ABA^2 - 3A^2BA - BA^3) \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{24}(A^4B - 4A^3BA + 6A^2BA^2 - 4ABA^3 + BA^4) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5) \end{aligned}$$

where we recognize the k -order nested commutators

$$e^{\varepsilon A} B e^{-\varepsilon A} = \mathbb{1} + \varepsilon[A, B]_1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}[A, B]_2 + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{6}[A, B]_3 + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{24}[A, B]_4 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} [A, B]_k.$$

Analytic Exact Diagonalization

H.2 Consider a particle in a 3-site 1D chain with periodic boundary conditions. The system is described by the following tight-binding Hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (c_i^\dagger c_j + c_j^\dagger c_i)$$

where c_i^\dagger and c_i are creation and annihilation operators at site i , and J is the hopping amplitude. Assume periodic boundary conditions, meaning site 3 connects back to site 1.

- (a) Construct the Hamiltonian matrix in the basis $|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle$, where $|i\rangle$ represents the particle localized at site i in the chain.

The Hamiltonian in matrix form in the basis $(|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle)$ is:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J & -J \\ -J & 0 & -J \\ -J & -J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) Find the eigenvalues of the system by diagonalizing H .

The characteristic equation is:

$$\det(H - \lambda I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -J & -J \\ -J & -\lambda & J \\ -J & -J & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Expanding the determinant,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(H - \lambda I) &= (-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -J \\ -J & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} + J \begin{vmatrix} -J & -J \\ -J & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} - J \begin{vmatrix} -J & -\lambda \\ -J & -J \end{vmatrix} = \\ &= -\lambda(\lambda^2 - J^2) + J(-J\lambda + J^2) - J(-J\lambda + J^2) = -\lambda^3 - 2J^3 + 3\lambda J^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the eigenvalues are: $\lambda_1 = J$, $\lambda_2 = J$, $\lambda_3 = -2J$

- (c) Determine the eigenvectors.

For $\lambda_1 = J$, solving $H\mathbf{v} = J\mathbf{v}$, we find

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{i2\pi/3} \\ e^{-i2\pi/3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{-i2\pi/3} \\ e^{i2\pi/3} \end{pmatrix},$$

while, for $\lambda_3 = -2J$, we obtain $\mathbf{v}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

- (d) Calculate the time evolution operator $U(t) = e^{-iHt}$, expressing it terms of the Hamiltonian eigenvectors.

The characteristic equation is:

$$U(t) = e^{-iHt} = e^{-iJt}|\mathbf{v}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{v}_1| + e^{-iJt}|\mathbf{v}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{v}_2| + e^{+i2Jt}|\mathbf{v}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{v}_3|$$

Numerical Exact Diagonalization

H.3 In this exercise, you will write a small exact diagonalization code to solve a fundamental problem of quantum mechanics: the one-dimensional Heisenberg XXZ model. Consider a system of L spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, subjected to nearest-neighbor interactions, the system is described by the following Hamiltonian,

$$H = J \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left(S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \right),$$

with

$$S^x = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and J being the interaction strength.

- (a) Write down the computational basis states for $L = 2$ ($2^2 = 4$ states) and $L = 3$ ($2^3 = 8$ states).
- (b) Construct the Hamiltonian explicitly as a matrix using the basis from part (a), for both $L = 2$ and $L = 3$.
- (c) Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors by numerically diagonalizing the Hamiltonian with $J = 1$ (e.g. `numpy.linalg.eigh()` or an equivalent function in your preferred programming language), for both $L = 2$ and $L = 3$.

Note: This dense matrix approach is computationally inefficient and becomes impractical for larger system sizes.

- (d) Implement a function that takes a time t and returns the complete time evolution operator and returns the full time evolution operator matrix $U(t) = e^{-iHt}$ for $L = 2, 3$ using the previously determined eigenvalues and -vectors.