


# BUILD X: ALGORITHMS

## MARTIN CHAPMAN


# GRAPHS



# BUILD X: ALGORITHMS


## MARTIN CHAPMAN

# GRAPHS (sort of)





# What I think about in math class



- [Purple square] THE F [black square] IS THAT
- [Blue square] THE F [black square] IS THIS

# What I think about in math class



- [Purple square] THE F [black square] IS THAT
- [Blue square] THE F [black square] IS THIS



I HAVE NO  
IDEA WHAT  
I'M DOING










Magenta


211 54 130 #d33682

?



# BUILD X: ALGORITHMS

GRAPHS (sort of)







Yellow

181 137 0 #b58900

# GRAPH








203 75 22 #cb4b16

Orange

EDGES  
ARCS  
LINES


VERTEX  
NODE  
POINT





EDGES  
ARCS  
LINES

VERTEX  
NODE  
POINT

DIRECTION











220 50 47 #dc322f

TREE  
(other definitions exist)







203 75 22 #cb4b16

Orange

EDGES  
ARCS  
LINES

VERTEX  
NODE  
POINT

6


4

5

1

2

3



# HOW DO WE CONSTRUCT A GRAPH?

# TOPOLOGY AND GENERATORS

<http://graphstream-project.org/doc/Generators/>





Magenta

211 54 130 #d33682

# RANDOM

Béla Bollobás. Random Graphs. Springer, 1998.



Magenta

211 54 130 #d33682

# SCALE-FREE


Albert-László BY Barabási and Eric Bonabeau.  
Scale-free Networks.  
Scientific American, 5(5):50-59, 2003.



181 137 0 #b58900



[https://github.com/  
martinchapman/BuildX\(.git\)](https://github.com/martinchapman/BuildX(.git))





Magenta

211 54 130 #d33682

# SCALE-FREE

Michalis Faloutsos, Petros Faloutsos, and Christos Faloutsos.


On Power-law Relationships of the Internet Topology.

In Proceedings of

The 1999 Conference on Applications, Technologies, Architectures, and Protocols for


Computer Communications


(SIGCOMM99), pages 251–262, 1999.



181 137 0 #b58900

<http://opte.org/maps/>








203 75 22 #cb4b16

Orange

EDGES  
ARCS  
LINES

VERTEX  
NODE  
POINT






Green


133 153 0 #859900


WHAT CAN WE  
DO WITH THIS?





220 50 47 #dc322f







Cyan

42 161 152 #2aa198


# SHORTEST PATH

<http://graphstream-project.org/doc/Algorithms/Shortest-path/>







220 50 47 #dc322f



Violet 108 113 196 #6c71c4




Orange 203 75 22 #cb4b16




Cyan

42 161 152 #2aa198





133 153 0 #859900







Violet

108 113 196 #6c71c4

# COMPLEXITY

Running time as a function of the input



( $V \times \log V$ ) + E

Many different permutations on this

<https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs312/2002sp/lectures/lec20/lec20.htm>



38 139 210 #268bd2

(V × LOG V) + E

```
(* Dijkstra's Algorithm *)
let val q: queue = new_queue()
  val visited: vertexMap = create_vertexMap()
  fun expand(v: vertex) =
    let val neighbors: vertex list = Graph.outgoing(v)
      val dist: int = valOf(get(visited, v))
      fun handle_edge(v': vertex, weight: int) =
        case get(visited, v') of
          SOME(d') =>
            if dist+weight < d'
            then ( add(visited, v', dist+weight);
                    incr_priority(q, v', dist+weight) )
            else ()
          | NONE => ( add(visited, v', dist+weight);
                        push(q, v', dist+weight) )
    in
      app handle_edge neighbors
    end
  in
    add(visited, v0, 0);
    expand(v0);
    while (not (empty_queue(q))) do expand(pop(q))
  end
```

```
(* Dijkstra's Algorithm *)
let val q: queue = new_queue()
  val visited: vertexMap = create_vertexMap()
  fun expand(v: vertex) =
    let val neighbors: vertex list = Graph.outgoing(v)
      val dist: int = valOf(get(visited, v))
      fun handle_edge(v': vertex, weight: int) =
        case get(visited, v') of
          SOME(d') =>
            if dist+weight < d'
            then ( add(visited, v', dist+weight);
                    incr_priority(q, v', dist+weight) )
            else ()
          | NONE => ( add(visited, v', dist+weight);
                        push(q, v', dist+weight) )
    in
      app handle_edge neighbors
    end
  in
    add(visited, v0, 0);
    expand(v0);
    while (not (empty_queue(q))) do expand(pop(q))
  end
```

```
// Always deal with the next closest node first (via the estimate)
Node node = getClosestFromEstimate( unsettledNodes );

    fun expand(v: vertex,
               let val neighbors: vertex list = Graph.outgoing(v)
if ( getDistanceEstimate( node ) < getDistanceEstimate( minimum ) ) {

    minimum = node;

}

    inner_priority(q, v', dist+weight) )
else () | NONE => ( add(visited, v', dist+weight);
                     push(q, v', dist+weight) )
in
    app handle_edge neighbors
end
in
add(visited, v0, 0);
expand(v0);
while (not (empty_queue(q))) do expand(pop(q))
end
```

So, in reality, our intuitive Java implementation is likely to differ in complexity.




220 50 47 #dc322f


(V × LOG V) + E

Reshuffling after a pop

Remove value at the root



Move 31 (last element) to the root



Is  $31 > \min(14, 16)$ ?  
If yes, swap 31 with  $\min(14, 16)$   
If no, leave 31 in hole

Value in child is always greater than parent, and this is retained.

I assume the initial removal and movement is an atomic action.


## Percolating down...



Is  $31 > \min(19, 21)$ ? 1 swap  
If yes, swap 31 with  $\min(19, 21)$   
If no, leave 31 in hole

Is  $31 > \min(65, 26)$ ? 2 swaps  
If yes, swap 31 with  $\min(65, 26)$   
If no, leave 31 in hole

Percolating down...



3 swaps

Heap-order property okay;  
Structure okay;  
Done.

V = 11



Magenta

211 54 130 #d33682

V = 11

11 nodes =    levels?

V = 11

11 nodes =    levels?

LOG V = LOG(2) 11 = 3.4

V = 11

11 nodes =    levels?

LOG V = LOG(2) 11 = 3.4

WORST CASE =  
3 SWAPS



Green

133 153 0 #859900

$$(V \times \log V) + E$$

Popping every node in the graph



Violet

108 113 196 #6c71c4

( $V \times \log V$ ) + E

Updating distance estimates for everyone's neighbours

```

(* Dijkstra's Algorithm *)
let val q: queue = new_queue()
  val visited: vertexMap = create_vertexMap()
  fun expand(v: vertex) =
    let val neighbors: vertex list = Graph.outgoing(v)
      val dist: int = valOf(get(visited, v))
      fun handle_edge(v': vertex, weight: int) =
        case get(visited, v') of
          SOME(d') =>
            if dist+weight < d'
            then ( add(visited, v', dist+weight);
                    incr_priority(q, v', dist+weight) )
            else ()
          | NONE => ( add(visited, v', dist+weight);
                        distanceEstimate.put(neighbour, getDistanceEstimate(node) + getDistance(node, neighbour));
                        app increment_weight ~neighbor )
    end
  in
    add(visited, v0, 0);
    expand(v0);
    while (not (empty_queue(q))) do expand(pop(q))
  end

```



Red

220 50 47 #dc322f

# BELLMAN-FORD FLOYD-WARSHALL

A\*



Red

220 50 47 #dc322f

# BELLMAN-FORD FLOYD-WARSHALL

A\*




Orange

203 75 22 #cb4b16


LOOKING FOR  
HIDDEN THINGS








108 113 196 #6c71c4




+



=





6

4

5

1

3


2

6



Intellectual  
Property  
Guidelines

1



6


3

4

2

5

KING'S  
College  
LONDON  
Intellectual  
Property  
Guidelines




Notice that we've dropped the weights



38 139 210 #268bd2


# HAMILTONIAN PATH (CYCLE)





108 113 196 #6c71c4

# COMPLEXITY





133 153 0 #859900

# QUADRATIC $N^2$

Seems a bit optimistic. Many different permutations on this depending on the implementation.

| Notation   | Name   | Example   |
|--|--|---|
| $O(1)$   | constant   | Determining if a binary number is even or odd; Calculating $(-1)^n$ ; Using a constant-size <a href="#">lookup table</a>  |
| $O(\log \log n)$   | double logarithmic   | Number of comparisons spent finding an item using <a href="#">interpolation search</a> in a sorted array of uniformly distributed values  |
| $O(\log n)$  | logarithmic  | Finding an item in a sorted array with a <a href="#">binary search</a> or a balanced search <a href="#">tree</a> as well as all operations in a <a href="#">Binomial heap</a>   |
| $O((\log n)^c), 0 < c < 1$   | sub-logarithmic  | Multiplying two $n$ -digit numbers by a simple algorithm; <a href="#">bubble sort</a> (worst case or naive implementation), <a href="#">Shell sort</a> , <a href="#">quicksort</a> (worst case), <a href="#">selection sort</a> or <a href="#">insertion sort</a> |
| $O(n^c), 0 < c < 1$  | fractional power   | Searching in a <a href="#">kd-tree</a>  |
| $O(n)$   | linear   | Finding an item in an unsorted list or a malformed tree (worst case) or in an unsorted array; adding two $n$ -bit integers by <a href="#">ripple carry</a>  |
| $O(n \log^* n)$  | <a href="#">n log-star n</a>                                     | Performing <a href="#">triangulation</a> of a simple polygon using Seidel's algorithm, or the <a href="#">union–find algorithm</a> .<br>Note that $\log^*(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ 1 + \log^*(\log n), & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$      |
| $O(n \log n) = O(\log n!)$   | <a href="#">linearithmic</a> ,<br><a href="#">loglinear</a> , or | Performing a <a href="#">fast Fourier transform</a> ; <a href="#">heapsort</a> , <a href="#">quicksort</a> (best and average case), or <a href="#">merge sort</a>   |
| $O(n^2)$   | <a href="#">quadratic</a>  | Multiplying two $n$ -digit numbers by a simple algorithm; <a href="#">bubble sort</a> (worst case or naive implementation), <a href="#">Shell sort</a> , <a href="#">quicksort</a> (worst case), <a href="#">selection sort</a> or <a href="#">insertion sort</a> |
| $O(n^c), c > 1$  | <a href="#">polynomial</a> or<br><a href="#">algebraic</a>       | <a href="#">Tree-adjoining grammar</a> parsing; maximum <a href="#">matching</a> for bipartite graphs   |
| $L_n[\alpha, c], 0 < \alpha < 1 = e^{(c+o(1))(\ln n)^\alpha (\ln \ln n)^{1-\alpha}}$ | <a href="#">L-notation</a> or <a href="#">sub-exponential</a>    | Factoring a number using the <a href="#">quadratic sieve</a> or <a href="#">number field sieve</a>  |
| $O(c^n), c > 1$  | <a href="#">exponential</a>                                      | Finding the (exact) solution to the <a href="#">travelling salesman problem</a> using <a href="#">dynamic programming</a> ; determining if two logical statements are equivalent using <a href="#">brute-force search</a>   |
| $O(n!)$  | <a href="#">factorial</a>  | Solving the traveling salesman problem via brute-force search; generating all unrestricted permutations of a <a href="#">poset</a> ; finding the <a href="#">determinant</a> with <a href="#">expansion by minors</a> ; enumerating all partitions of a set       |



220 50 47 #dc322f

# NP-Complete

## 1 What is NP?

NP is the set of all [decision problems](#) (questions with a yes-or-no answer) for which the 'yes'-answers can be [verified](#) in polynomial time ( $O(n^k)$  where  $n$  is the problem size, and  $k$  is a constant) by a [deterministic Turing machine](#). Polynomial time is sometimes used as the definition of *fast* or *quickly*.

## 2 What is P?

P is the set of all decision problems which can be [solved](#) in *polynomial time* by a *deterministic Turing machine*. Since they can be solved in polynomial time, they can also be verified in polynomial time. Therefore P is a subset of NP.

## What is NP-Complete?

A problem  $x$  that is in NP is also in NP-Complete *if and only if* every other problem in NP can be quickly (ie. in polynomial time) transformed into  $x$ .

In other words:

1.  $x$  is in NP, and
2. Every problem in NP is [reducible](#) to  $x$

So, what makes *NP-Complete* so interesting is that if any one of the NP-Complete problems was to be solved quickly, then all NP problems can be solved quickly.

See also the post [What's "P=NP?"](#), and why is it such a famous question?

## What is NP-Hard?

NP-Hard are problems that are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP. Note that NP-Complete problems are also NP-hard. However not all NP-hard problems are NP (or even a decision problem), despite having **NP** as a prefix. That is the NP in NP-hard does not mean *non-deterministic polynomial time*. Yes, this is confusing, but its usage is entrenched and unlikely to change.

## 1 What is NP?

NP is the set of all [decision problems](#) (questions with a yes-or-no answer) for which the 'yes'-answers can be [verified](#) in polynomial time ( $O(n^k)$  where  $n$  is the problem size, and  $k$  is a constant) by a [deterministic Turing machine](#). Polynomial time is sometimes used as the definition of *fast* or *quickly*.

## 2 What is P?

P is the set of all decision problems which can be [solved](#) in *polynomial time* by a *deterministic Turing machine*. Since they can be solved in polynomial time, they can also be verified in polynomial time. Therefore P is a subset of NP.

## What is NP-Complete?

A problem  $x$  that is in NP is also in NP-Complete *if and only if* every other problem in NP can be quickly (ie. in polynomial time) transformed into  $x$ .

In other words:


1.  $x$  is in NP, and
2. Every problem in NP is [reducible](#) to  $x$

So, what makes *NP-Complete* so interesting is that if any one of the NP-Complete problems was to be solved quickly, then all NP problems can be solved quickly.

See also the post [What's "P=NP?"](#), and why is it such a famous question?


## What is NP-Hard?

NP-Hard are problems that are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP. Note that NP-Complete problems are also NP-hard. However not all NP-hard problems are NP (or even a decision problem), despite having **NP** as a prefix. That is the NP in NP-hard does not mean *non-deterministic polynomial time*. Yes, this is confusing, but its usage is entrenched and unlikely to change.




Weights are back, need to think about efficiency: Exponential and NP-hard

BRUTE-FORCE  
SOLUTION:  
 $O(n!)$



DYNAMIC  
PROGRAMMING  
ALGORITHMS:  
 $O(n^2 2^n)$





SELLING ON EBAY:  
 $O(1)$

STILL WORKING  
ON YOUR ROUTE?



SHUT THE  
HELL UP.



An intuitive analogy for the relationship between running times (complexity)



David Applegate, Ribert Bixby, Vasek Chvatal, and William Cook. Concorde TSP Solver. Available at <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/tsp/concorde/>, 2006.






MORE GENERAL  
EXPLORATION  
STRATEGIES  
ARE NEEDED



Experimentation is needed.



## Constructor Summary

### Constructors

#### Constructor and Description

**EncapsulatedGraph( java.lang.String yourName )**

Create a new graph that will communicate with my server.






## Method Summary


### All Methods

### Instance Methods


### Concrete Methods

| Modifier and Type                               | Method and Description  |
|---|---|
| java.util.ArrayList<org.graphstream.graph.Edge> | <b>edgesOfCurrentNode( )</b><br>Gets the edges associated with the current node   |
| boolean   | <b>found( )</b><br>Whether the desired object, hidden within this graph, has been found.  |
| org.graphstream.graph.Node                      | <b>getCurrentNode( )</b><br>The most important piece of encapsulated graph state is the current node, upon which a 'searcher' currently exists. |
| java.util.ArrayList<org.graphstream.graph.Node> | <b>getPath( )</b><br>Get the path you have created so far with your search.   |
| boolean   | <b>moveToNewNode( org.graphstream.graph.Node node )</b><br>Move the 'pointer' within the encapsulated graph to a new node.                      |
| void  | <b>sendPath( )</b><br>Upload your path to the server for scoring!   |





Depth-first search



Breadth-first search
















Figure 4.1: An example of how sBacktrackGreedy may backtrack to save cost: this strategy starts at node A, and then proceeds to node B, after recording the distance to node C. At vertex B, sBacktrackGreedy calculates that it will cost strictly less to move to node C *via* node A, where it has already been, than to take the single hop to nodes D or E, so it makes this move.

|   |        |     |     |     |         |   |         |     |     |     |         |
|---|--------|-----|-----|-----|---------|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|    | Base03 | 0   | 43  | 54  | #002b36 |    | Yellow  | 181 | 137 | 0   | #b58900 |
|    | Base02 | 7   | 54  | 66  | #073642 |    | Orange  | 203 | 75  | 22  | #cb4b16 |
|    | Base01 | 88  | 110 | 117 | #586e75 |    | Red     | 220 | 50  | 47  | #dc322f |
|   | Base00 | 101 | 123 | 131 | #657b83 |   | Magenta | 211 | 54  | 130 | #d33682 |
|  | Base0  | 131 | 148 | 150 | #839496 |  | Violet  | 108 | 113 | 196 | #6c71c4 |
|  | Base1  | 147 | 161 | 161 | #93a1a1 |  | Blue    | 38  | 139 | 210 | #268bd2 |
|  | Base2  | 238 | 232 | 213 | #eee8d5 |  | Cyan    | 42  | 161 | 152 | #2aa198 |
|  | Base3  | 253 | 246 | 227 | #fdf6e3 |  | Green   | 133 | 153 | 0   | #859900 |