# This is the title of the thesis

## Martin Fleischmann

A thesis presented for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Supervised by:
Dr Ombretta Romice
Professor Sergio Porta

Urban Design Studies Unit
Department of Architecture
University of Strathclyde, UK
Month 2020

# Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nam et turpis gravida, lacinia ante sit amet, sollicitudin erat. Aliquam efficitur vehicula leo sed condimentum. Phasellus lobortis eros vitae rutrum egestas. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec at urna imperdiet, vulputate orci eu, sollicitudin leo. Donec nec dui sagittis, malesuada erat eget, vulputate tellus. Nam ullamcorper efficitur iaculis. Mauris eu vehicula nibh. In lectus turpis, tempor at felis a, egestas fermentum massa.

# Acknowledgements

Interdum et malesuada fames ac ante ipsum primis in faucibus. Aliquam congue fermentum ante, semper porta nisl consectetur ut. Duis ornare sit amet dui ac faucibus. Phasellus ullamcorper leo vitae arcu ultricies cursus. Duis tristique lacus eget metus bibendum, at dapibus ante malesuada. In dictum nulla nec porta varius. Fusce et elit eget sapien fringilla maximus in sit amet dui.

Mauris eget blandit nisi, faucibus imperdiet odio. Suspendisse blandit dolor sed tellus venenatis, venenatis fringilla turpis pretium. Donec pharetra arcu vitae euismod tincidunt. Morbi ut turpis volutpat, ultrices felis non, finibus justo. Proin convallis accumsan sem ac vulputate. Sed rhoncus ipsum eu urna placerat, sed rhoncus erat facilisis. Praesent vitae vestibulum dui. Proin interdum tellus ac velit varius, sed finibus turpis placerat.

# Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

# List of figures

# List of tables

# Abbreviations

**API**          **A**pplication **P**rogramming **I**nterface

**JSON**        **J**ava**S**cript **O**bject **N**otation

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

# Chapter 2

# Existing approaches to classification of urban form

6 000 words (if less, better)

## 2.1 Introduction

- Explain prior focus on quantitative morphology (link to introduction), but say that the chapters gives overview of all, with the focus on quantitative.

## 2.2 The need for the classification

- *Why is classification important, what can it bring to the table, why should we bother doing it.*
- What is classification
  - a bit of definitions
  - different ways of making classification
    - * typology/taxonomy distinction **important**
- Why is classification useful in general

- Why is classification useful in urban morphology

## 2.3 Existing methods of classification of urban form

- *Literature review of existing methods of classification and its analysis and description of patterns within the field.*
- Introduction

### 2.3.1 THE HISTORY OF CLASSIFICATION ATTEMPTS

- *A brief overview of the history of classification of urban form focusing on its origins and early attempts. People like Lynch, Kostof.*
- **Research TO DO**
- link between history and qualitative

### 2.3.2 QUALITATIVE

- Traditional schools of urban morphology

  - Conzen
  - Muratori
  - Duany

- City-based approaches (Portland, Berlin, Prague)
- Spatial typology

  - Kohout, a+t

- The qualities of such approaches, their limits.

  - **Research TO DO (a bit)**
  - expert knowledge needed
  - concepts based
  - might be biased (not necessarily)

- good in interpretation, could be detailed
- time consuming, information demanding
- limited applicability

### 2.3.3 Mixed (predominantly non-morphological)

- Socio-demography as a main branch
- Additional (energy)
- The qualities of such approaches, their limits

  - capturing non-morphological classes
  - good for specific purposes
  - good source for link between form and soft data

- **Research TO DO (a bit)**

### 2.3.4 Quantitative

- introduction

  - what does it mean quantitative method
  - two major groups divided by the data source
    * remote sensing — raster data
    * morphometrics — vector data
      · *morphometrics can in theory be done on remote sensing as well, so it might be better to use another term*

#### 2.3.4.1 Remote sensing

- Introduce RS

  - satellite or aerial data, automatic (multi-spectral) image recognition, supervised ML

- Units of analysis

4

- – patch
- – block
- – grid
- – *add some figures as an illustration*

- Number of categories

  - – 1 - 10

- The qualities of such approaches, their limits

  - – possible extent
  - – only "visible" spectrum - roofs can make a lot of difference in RS but minimal in reality
  - – mostly supervised nature - you have to predefine ground truth
  - – the aspect of resolution and data availability
  - – number of categories is generally low related to low number of actual indicators (like Copernicus)

### 2.3.4.2   Urban Morphology (quantitative)

- *This is the key focus of the whole chapter, and the majority of scrutinised works fall into this category. The rest mentioned above and below is to draw a full picture, but it does not aim to provide an in-depth understanding, unlike this part.*
- **Research TO DO - check recent papers, some might be included**
- Introduce quantitative morphology
- units of classification

  - – *Assessment based on the unit of classification and its placement on the scale.*
  - – gradient of scales
  - – from city scale to building and plot
  - – *do some quantitative assessment of the db*

- number of classes

  – generally low, in few cases higher
  – *do some quantitative assessment of the db*

- mention number of characters used for classification (scrutinised in the next chapter)
- Synthesis of the corpus of works

  – *taxonomic relations between types?*
  – The qualities of such approaches, their limits

## 2.4   The gap in the systematic classification

- lack of systematic classification based on the small-scale unit
- gap in unsupervised classification
- gap in detailed classification (i.e. number of classes)
- gap in exploration of relationships between classes (*check before writing*)

## 2.5   Conclusion

- *conclusion: the existing approaches and methods have gaps: the lack of systematic classification based on the small-scale units ~~using an extensive, inclusive set of indicators~~ enabling detailed classification into larger number of types/taxa/classes. That should help position my work within the field and say what I am bringing new in later stages. BE CAREFUL TO CONCLUDE ONLY BASED ON THE CONTENT OF THE CHAPTER NOT MORE. FIND A GAP WHICH MAKES SENSE. THIS TEXT IS MIXING TOGETHER RESULTS OF THIS AND THE NEXT CHAPTER. THIS LOOKS AT THE UNIT AND NUMBER OF CLASSES MOSTLY. NUMBER OF CHARACTERS SHOULD BE LEFT TO THE NEXT CHAPTER.*

# Chapter 3

# Measuring of urban form

- The need for measuring
- Based mostly on my MSc
- How others measured form?
- Where is the gap?

# Chapter 4

# Evolution and urban form

- Evolutionary perspective in the context of urban design

    - Biological as well as cultural
    - Taxonomy

- Explain the principles of evolution in the context of urban morphology
- deifne viable analogies
- use cultural evolution alongside with biological
- introduce evolutionary approach to classification - cladistics, taxonomy
- current views of evolution and cities (e.g. Marshall)

# Chapter 5

# Propositions

# Chapter 6

# Morphometric elements of urban form

- ~~Identification of "individual" (OTU)~~

    - ~~Urban Tissue~~

- ~~Exploration of concept of DHC~~
- What is urban tissue
- Why is it worth studying
- How others approached it
- City as an ecosystem
- What is an individual within this ecosystem
- Principles of identification of individuals
- Introduction of DHC (as a theoretical concept)

## 6.1  What is the *individual* in the urban form?

- We are trying to identify and describe distinct kinds of urban form. *Individuals* forming the population - the city.
- Dibble et al. used Sanctuary Areas (SA), I argue that the concept of SA is limited. By qualitative definition of SA, and by possible heterogeneity of

it.

- The problem with SAs is that their definition and identification is *phylogenic* process, it is based on the process of development of the settlement. The rest of the taxonomic systematisation is, however, based on purely *phenetic* attributes.
- Use of SA as OTU assumes that whole cities are in fact ideal according to 'Emergent Neighbourhood Model' (Mehaffy et al). Even the authors states that they are not (e.g. the three pathologies). While the concept of SA in this model perfectly works, in case of unrestricted taxonomy it doesn't.

- We are looking for the structure indicating the smallest distinct kind (Sneath and Sokal) of urban form, which urban morphologists define as urban tissue (Kropf)

## 6.2   Urban Tissue and similar concepts

- Urban tissue has several definitions

  - principal unit of growth
  - the ensemble of aggregated buildings, spaces and access routes (Cannigian analysis)(Samuels, 1982, p.3)
  - a distinct area of a settlement in all three dimensions, characterised by a unique combination of streets, blocks/plot series, plots, buildings, structures and materials and usually the result of a distinct process of formation at a particular time or period (Kropf, 2017)

- Urban morphologists are using a few concepts which are very similar. Those are **urban tissue**, conzenian **plan unit**, cannigian **tessuto urbano**, and **urban structural unit**. However, there are minor differences.

  - We can say, that **urban tissue** is a broad theoretical concept, which is defined above.
  - All the other, are methodological terms capturing urban tissue in different ways. Conzenian plan unit is based on qualitative analysis of

> two-dimensional town plans, 'tessuto urbano' by Muratori, Cannigia and Maffei uses the principle of aggregation of smaller hierarchical elements (also qualitative approach), urban structural unit, originating in studies of metabolism of urban systems (Pauliet and Duhme, 1998 + some others) is mixed-use method incorporating, beside the built form, also structure of open spaces (Osmond).
>
> – I am proposing another concept of capturing the urban tissue.
>
>   * **the smallest distinct physiognomically homogenous cluster** (DHC)
>
>     · In short, DHC is formed by clustering method based on measurable characters.
>
>   * Unlike methods described above, DHC is purely quantitative one

One of the result of the research should therefore be the taxonomy of urban tissues (defined as DHC).

###### 05.x.x Complexity (of urban form) ##### Generating blocks Blocks are generated based on the street network and morphological tessellation. Because the street network obtained from open data portal is capturing car-based network, it sometimes does not connect where it should. This should be fixed.

In the case of Prague, using original street network I have generated 9428 blocks, out of which 1839 were "unusual". (19.5%)

```
bdkSec > 500 or bskCom < 0.2 or bskCon <0.76 or bskERI < 0.7 or bskShI <0.5
```

After that I fixed the street network so it snapped to itself and closed gaps in street network - if the 20m extension of line intersects street network - snap. If the 70 extension of line intersects boundary of built-up area (defined by tessellation), snap.

The result gave me 9800 blocks and 1092 unusual (11%). 10% of unusual blocks are randomly selected and assessed whether they are correct blocks or incorrect. Based on that, the approximate error is estimated.

Out of 109 randomly selected blocks, 76 were marked as correct representation of block, 33 as incorrect. Based on that, the **estimated error is 3.4%**. That includes blocks which were incorrect before the network snapping as well as blocks which were falsely identified by the snapping.

Additionally, there should be a subchapter talking about exceptions which momepy is not able to capture (Krizikova, Karlin, Nabrezi Karluv most).

**6.2.0.0.0.1  Problem with blocks in modernist structure**  As block is defined by street, it is expecting that street is major divider of space and was there first. In modernist structures, street is often designed as a way though the area, in the middle of what we could see as morphological piece (or block). We are effectively trying to define something which does not exist. **WHAT IS THE CONSEQUENCE OF THIS???**

# Chapter 7

# Identification of urban tissues through urban morphometrics

*link back to theory in chapter 4 the aim of this chapter is abc this chapter goes to aim by (slight contents overview)*

## 7.1 Principles of systematic morphometric description

*Restate principles of numerical taxonomy in biology Link to the urban form and this specific methodology Systematic methodology means that it is... Comprehensive methodology means that it is... This method is trying to be both by...*

## 7.2 Methodological proposition

*intro - method introduces several steps to get to DHC recognition*

## 7.2.1   Principle of DHC recognition

*DHC recognition is using the principles we know from numerical taxonomy, but in a specific way characters are of dual nature due to the nature of urban form - one big exception primary characters are those focusing on elements and their relationships in absolute values patterned characters are capturing the central tendency patterned characters are then used as an input for clustering aiming to distinguish DHC following sections detail the principle*

## 7.2.2   Morphometric characters

*going back to chapter 3 for a resource*

### 7.2.2.1   Primary characters

*primary characters are those (define), link to relational model there is a large number of possible characters, but the set needs to be specific, non-overlapping etc.*

#### 7.2.2.1.1   Principles of character selection and definition   *To select set of primary characters, following principles are followed. rules based on relational model and characters classification rules based on Sneath and Sokal (check with Annex 2) Initial selection and then expansion cleaning of the selection (check with rules above) full details of the steps are in Annex 2*

#### 7.2.2.1.2   Identified set of primary characters   *based on the principles, following characters compose the final set of primary characters LARGE TABLE OF CHARACTERS WITH FORMULAS, ignore definitions*

## 7.2.2.2 Patterned characters

*primary characters could be abrupt and do not necessarily capture patterns as they are To follow the principles of DHC recognition, most of the characters tested above has to be expressed using their 'patterned' versions That is calculation of central tendency and diversity characters for each one of them within 3 topological steps on MT. These characters will then be used within clustering algorithm itself.*

**7.2.2.2.1 Local central tendency** *central tendency is, local central tendency is ... Mean, IQ, ID, Median as options, including truncated versions Interquartile mean (def) is used because...*

**7.2.2.2.2 Diversity as a statistical dispersion** *apart from central tendency, we need to understand the diversity of values within 3 steps diversity on continuous values is statistical dispersion there are multiple ways of measuring dispersion, many were analysed three main (largely correlated) groups are there - absolute, unitless, binned absolute are these unitless are those binned are that Analysis of selection as an annexe*

**7.2.2.2.2.1 Selected diversity characters** *interquartile range definition interdecile Theil definition Simpson diversity index definition Each of the primary characters is represented by its local central tendency and local diversity (using all 3 characters) Conclude characters*

## 7.2.3 GAUSSIAN CLUSTERING

*Once we have a description of individual elements, we have to cluster them to identify DHC General principle of clustering aka unsupervised machine learning Short overview of available methods and differences in their application*

### 7.2.3.1   Gaussian Mixture Model clustering

*introduction of Gaussian Mixture Model clustering and explanation of its selection and potential issues relation to k-means > k-means clustering tends to find clusters of comparable spatial extent, while the expectation-maximization mechanism allows clusters to have different shapes. (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K-means_clustering) definition based on gaussians probabilistic (soft clustering); given a data point x, what is the probability it came from Gaussian k Expectation — Maximization algorithm Scikit-learn implementation is used, for detail see REF.*

### 7.2.3.2   Dimensionality issue

*As resulting morphometric description of each building/cell has ~300 values, with 140 000 buildings, we are facing 'dimensionality curse'. possible reduction of dimensionality (PCA, Factor analysis). PCA and how it works Tested PCA results - 95% and ~160, ~30 and 65% We'll have to deal with it and employ a bit more computational power, data are too cleaned.*

### 7.2.3.3   Levels of DHC resolution and its scalability

*Introduce discussion on the resolution of DHC (number of clusters) and scalability of method (exponential growth of resource needs as case study area grows).*

**7.2.3.3.1   Selection of number of components**   *we have to set number of components (clusters) trial of many options test the goodness of each number*

**7.2.3.3.1.1   BIC, AIC, etc.**   *Introduce measures of goodness of clustering Silhouette, score, BIC, AIC, BIC gradient, TT distance intro We use BIC, BIC gradient and TT distance due to… Interpretation of scores is another question we can go with lower number of clusters to maximise stability of procedure (may incur under-fitting) or with the smallest BIC (might be overfitted). However, as*

*the next step is hierarchical clustering, we can use its help in interpretation of smaller clusters.*

**7.2.3.3.1.2  Stability of procedure**  *There is a certain effect of randomness in the process, so clustering comes with a confidence interval the answer of clustering is never fixed, there is certain variability confidence interval should help us in interpretation there is an issue of multiplication of computational demands*

**7.2.3.3.2  Sample-based clustering**  *As the dataset grows, it may become increasingly impossible to run clustering on the whole dataset, especially if we want our data with confidence interval. For that reason, it might be worth training the method on sampled data before classifying the whole dataset. There are an issues linked to it. It is always a balance between what is ideal and what is possible.*

**7.2.3.3.3  Subclustering**  *sometimes our cluster are too big and we want better resolution clusters defined by lowest score can still be splitter as the dataset is rich, when appropriate iteration of the clustering method on a sample of one (stable) cluster relation to other clusters is different and has to be interpreted as such the other way, joining clusters to larger groups will be discussed in the next chapter*

## 7.2.4  DATA PREPROCESSING

*Before doing any of these steps, we have to make sure that our data are good enough sometimes we need to preprocess data to have them in a correct shape*

## 7.2.4.1  The common issues with input data

*there are some common issues which are not unique to specific datasets, which needs to be resolved and some of them can be dealt with algorithmically*

## 7.2.4.2   Preprocessing of buildings

*to ensure precise results of tessellation and building-based characters topologically correct joined where joined non-overlapping the detail should be consistent - overly detailed shapes are bad, overly simplified as well buildings needs to come as one polygon - so either some way of splitting (complicated) or dissolving (depends on the data) needs to be employed missing height attribute it is not so complicated to find cases with ideal data, but these are not everywhere, esp. with height*

## 7.2.4.3   Preprocessing of street network

*to ensure topologically correct network representing streets in morphological terms correctly splitted representing morphology, not transport definition of what is street and what is not (lanes) transport-based network is fairly available, there are ways (not 100% though) how to generate morphological out of it*

# 7.3   DHC recognition | Case study Prague

*Application of the whole methodology to the case study of Prague*

## 7.3.1   Primary characters

*illustration of primary characters on parts of Prague* - Few examples, rest in Appendix

## 7.3.2   Patterned characters

*illustration of patterned characters esp. in relation to primary ones* - Few examples, rest in Appendix?

### 7.3.3   CLUSTERING

*introduction of clustering - abc will happen*

#### 7.3.3.1   Complete data

*BIC BIC gradient TT distance Interpretation of score*

#### 7.3.3.2   Sampled data

*Score BIC BIC gradient TT distance Interpretation of score Comparison of sampled and complete compared graphs and statistical values compared resulting clustermaps*

#### 7.3.3.3   Probability of cluster (change)

*note on probability of cluster assignment due to the richness of data, clusters are very well defined, there is probability but they are insignificant*

#### 7.3.3.4   Compact Prague subcluster illustration

*Sub-clustering question test on compact urban form (perimeter blocks) BIC and others Map Interpretation*

## 7.4   DHC as an urban tissue

*morphometric characters certainly help in description of urban tissues clustering helps make sense out of it, even though the procedure its not straightforward DHC is a numerical, morphometric statistical proxy of urban tissue Clustering is non-deterministic, so boundaries are not fixed, rather indicative.  It is not a ground*

*truth and the meaning and relation of clusters has to be interpreted before any further steps hierarchical clustering will help with that*

# Chapter 8

# Taxonomy of urban tissues

- Forming a taxonomy from sample data (chosen UK cities?)

# Chapter 9

# Synthesis

# Appendix 1: Some extra stuff

Add appendix 1 here. Vivamus hendrerit rhoncus interdum. Sed ullamcorper et augue at porta. Suspendisse facilisis imperdiet urna, eu pellentesque purus suscipit in. Integer dignissim mattis ex aliquam blandit. Curabitur lobortis quam varius turpis ultrices egestas.

Citation examples:

`[@almazan2012]` (Almazán & Nakajima 2012) `[see @almazan2012]` (see Almazán & Nakajima 2012) `[@almazan2012, pp.33-34]` (Almazán & Nakajima 2012, pp.33–34) `@almazan2012` Almazán & Nakajima (2012) `@almazan2012 [p.2]` Almazán & Nakajima (2012, p.2) `[-@almazan2012]` (2012)

From Zotero export as Better BibTeX

# Chapter 10

# References