We present the argument here just assuming tradeable options on X just to keep the eqs shorter but you can add the options on Y and Z yourself.

Let $\bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denote a reference probabilty measure measure¹, $p_{b/a}^j$ the bid/ask prices for call options with strike K_j and $q_{b/a}^j$ the upper and low bounds available to buy/sell. Consider the minmax problem:

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : q_b^j \le x_j \le q_a^j} [H(\mu|\bar{\mu}) + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^n x_j (\mathbb{E}^\mu ((X_T - K_j)^+) - p_a^j x_j 1_{x_j > 0} - p_b^j x_j 1_{x_j < 0})]$$
(1)

for $\alpha>0$, where $p^j_{a/b}$ denotes the bid/ask price for the j'th option, $q^j_{a/b}$ denotes the upper and lower bounds for the amount x^j of option j that we buy (note $q^j_b<0$ using our convention here if there is liquidity on the bid side), and $H(\mu,\bar{\mu}):=\mathbb{E}^{\mu}(\log\frac{d\mu}{d\bar{\mu}})=\mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mu}}(\frac{d\mu}{d\bar{\mu}}\log\frac{d\mu}{d\bar{\mu}})$ is the entropy of μ with respect to $\bar{\mu}$.

By restricting to the compact set

$$K_c := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+) : H(\mu \mid \bar{\mu}) \le c \},$$

for c suff large, we can use the Sion minimax theorem to interchange the inf and sup, and re-write (1) as

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: q_b^j \le x_j \le q_a^j} \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)} [H(\mu|\bar{\mu}) + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^n x_j (\mathbb{E}^{\mu}((X_T - K_j)^+) - p_a^j x_j 1_{x_j > 0} - p_b^j x_j 1_{x_j < 0})].$$

The inner inf and the optimal μ can be computed explicitly as described on pg 8 in my article, so we can further re-write the sup inf as

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: q_b^j \le x_j \le q_a^j} -\log \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mu}} \left(e^{-\alpha \sum_{j=0}^n x_j (X_T - K_j)^+} - p_a^j x_j 1_{x_j > 0} - p_b^j x_j 1_{x_j < 0} \right)$$
(2)

where the optimal μ (for each $x = (x^1, ..., x_i)$) is

$$\mu(dz) = \frac{e^{-\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_j (z - K_j)^+}}{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mu}}(e^{-\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_j (X_T - K_j)^+}} \bar{\mu}(dz).$$

Note we have previously always been assuming infinite liquidity i.e. $q_{b/a}^j = +\infty$ and $\alpha = 1$, in which case we can re-write (2) as

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} -\log \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mu}} (e^{-\sum_{j=0}^n x_j (X_T - K_j)^+} - p_a^j x_j 1_{x_j > 0} - p_b^j x_j 1_{x_j < 0}$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} -\log \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mu}} (e^{\sum_{j=0}^n x_j (X_T - K_j)^+} + p_a^j x_j 1_{x_j > 0} + p_b^j x_j 1_{x_j < 0}$$

since in this case we can flip the sign of the x_j 's without changing the answer. This is then our familiar **concave** maximization problem (Eq 10 in my paper), but now incorporates bid/ask spreads. If $q_{a/b}^j$ are finite, then this is essentially the same problem as Project 3.

Since the sup in (1) doesn't affect $H(\mu, \bar{\mu})$, we can easily evaluate the inner sup to re-write (1) as

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+} [H(\mu|\bar{\mu}) + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^n q_a^j (\mathbb{E}^{\mu} ((X_T - K_j)^+) - p_a^j)^+ + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^n |q_b^j| (p_b^j - \mathbb{E}^{\mu} ((X_T - K_j)^+))^+])$$
(3)

i.e. we minimize entropy over models which fall within the bid-offer spread, and models which don't (but these model incurs an additional finite penalty for each option which falls outside). bid-offer spread i.e. there is an infinite penalty for non-calibrated models.

You can try and compute Eq 2 and Eq 3 in Mosek and see if they give the same answer

¹where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denotes the collection of all probability measures on \mathbb{R}_+