

Hawkes processes

(updated Sun 10th Aug 3.57pm). Consider a time-inhomogenous Poisson process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0} \in \mathbb{N}$ whose intensity is itself a random process λ_t which evolves as

$$\lambda_t = \mu + \int_{[0,t]} \phi(t-s) dN_s$$

i.e. λ depends on the history of N itself, where μ is a positive constant and ϕ a positive function. For this reason we say that N is **self-exciting**, and this is a special type of **Stochastic Volterra equation** with no Brownian motion. The meaning of the λ_t is that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{P}(N_{t+h} - N_t > 0 | \mathcal{F}_t^{\lambda, N}) = \lambda_t$, and note we can re-write λ as

$$\lambda_t = \lambda_0 + \sum_{0 \leq s_i \leq t} \phi(t-s_i)$$

where s_1, s_2, \dots are the random **jump times** of N , which we can also take as the definition of λ .

If we let $M_t = N_t - \int_0^t \lambda_u du$, then M is a martingale and we can re-write the λ equation as

$$\lambda_t = \mu + \int_{[0,t]} \phi(t-s)(dM_t + \lambda_t dt) = \mu + (\phi * dM)_t + (\phi * \lambda)_t.$$

For any $f \in L^1(0, \infty)$, we can easily check that $\|\phi * f\| = \|\phi\| \|f\|$, where all norms will refer to the $L^1(0, \infty)$ norm, so the operator $\phi*$ is a contraction on $L^1(0, \infty)$ if $\|\phi\| = \int_0^\infty \phi(u) du < 1$, so the inverse $(I - \phi*)^{-1} = I + (\phi*) + (\phi*)^2 + \dots$ is well defined. We can re-write the eq for λ_t as

$$(I - \phi*)\lambda = \mu + \phi * dM.$$

To make further sense of $(I - \phi*)^{-1}$, we look for a function ψ such that

$$(I - \phi*)^{-1}f = (I + \psi*)f$$

for any test function $f \in L^1(0, \infty)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (I - \phi*)(I + \psi*)f = (I - \phi*)(f + \psi * f) \\ &= f - \phi * f + \psi * f - \phi * \psi * f \end{aligned}$$

which we can re-write in operator form (i.e. without the f) as $\phi * \psi = \psi - \phi$. ψ is known as the **resolvent** of ϕ , note definition here is opposite way round to chap 3 in FM14. Applying this to our Hawkes process i.e. setting $f(t) = \lambda_t$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= (I - \phi*)^{-1}(\mu + \phi * dM) = (1 + \psi) * \mu + (I + \psi) * (\phi * dM) \\ &= \mu + \psi * \mu + (\phi * + (\phi*)^2 + \dots) * dM \\ &= \mu + \psi * \mu + \psi * dM \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\phi*)^k$, which is shorthand for

$$\lambda_t = \mu + \mu \int_{[0,t]} \psi(t-s) ds + \int_{[0,t]} \psi(t-s) dM_s \quad (1)$$

The propagator model - concave price impact from Hawkes order flow

Consider two independent Hawkes processes N_t^\pm with associated intensities λ_t^\pm which evolve as with

$$\lambda_t^\pm = \mu + \mu \int_0^t \psi(t-s) ds + \int_0^t \psi(t-s) dM_s^\pm$$

where $dM_t^\pm = dN_t^\pm - \lambda_t^\pm dt$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t(N_u^+) &= g(t) + \mathbb{E}_t\left(\int_0^u \int_0^s \psi(s-v) dM_v^+ ds\right) = g(t) + \mathbb{E}_t\left(\int_0^u \int_v^u \psi(s-v) ds dM_v^+\right) \\ &= g(t) + \int_0^t \int_v^u \psi(s-v) ds dM_v^+ \end{aligned}$$

for some function $g(t)$, and note that $\int_v^\infty \psi(s-v)ds = \int_0^\infty \psi(s)ds = \|\psi\|_1$. Then if we assume the current price $P_t = \kappa \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(N_u^+ - N_u^- | \mathcal{F}_t)$ for some constant $\kappa > 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} P_t = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(N_u^+ - N_u^- | \mathcal{F}_t) = \int_0^t \sigma(dM_v^+ - dM_v^-)$$

where $\sigma = \|\psi\|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma} P_t &= N_t^+ - N_t^- - \int_0^t \lambda_s^+ ds + \int_0^t \lambda_s^- ds = N_t^+ - N_t^- - \int_0^t \int_u^t \phi(s-u) ds (dN_u^+ - dN_u^-) \\ &= \int_0^t (1 - \int_0^{t-u} \phi(s) ds) (dN_u^+ - dN_u^-) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$P_t = \int_0^t \zeta(t-u) (dN_u^+ - dN_u^-) \quad (2)$$

where $\zeta(t) = \kappa \sigma (1 - \int_0^t \phi(s) ds)$, so

$$\zeta'(t) = -\kappa \sigma \phi(t) = -\zeta(t) \phi(t).$$

Hence from the Volterra form in (2), we see that P is a **propagator model** (e.g. like transient price impact) but also retains the martingale property.

Impact of a metaorder executed at constant rate before and after completion

Consider the additional contribution from an additional agent who buys at a fixed rate v for duration τ . Then impact the cumulative impact time t is

$$P_t = \int_0^t \zeta(t-u) (dN_u^+ - dN_u^-) + v \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \zeta(t-s) ds$$

whose expectation is $MI(t) = v \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \zeta(t-s) ds$, which we call the **market impact function**, see plot below where we see **concave price impact** up to τ , and then decay thereafter (which is broadly consistent with empirical findings where $MI(t)$ is often found to be $const. \times t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \leq \tau$ (the so-called **square root impact law**.)

Example ϕ and ψ functions

One can easily check that $\|\phi\| = \frac{\|\psi\|}{1+\|\psi\|}$ so $\|\psi\| = \frac{\|\phi\|}{1-\|\phi\|}$. A common choice for ϕ is

$$\phi(t) = \nu t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)$$

where $E_{\alpha,\alpha}$ is the **Mittag-Leffler** function (which is **heavy-tailed** since $\phi(t) \sim \frac{const.}{t^{1+\alpha}}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$), and $\int_0^\infty \phi(t) dt = \frac{\nu}{\lambda}$ so we choose $\nu < \lambda$. For this choice of ϕ , the resolvent is

$$\psi(t) = \nu t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-(\lambda - \nu)t^\alpha)$$

and as $\nu \nearrow \lambda$, $\|\phi\| \nearrow 1$ and $\|\psi\| \nearrow \infty$ (see also table below).

| | $\psi(t)$ | $\phi(t)$ |
|-------------|--|--|
| Constant | c | $c e^{-ct}$ |
| Fractional | $\frac{c t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ | $c t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-c t^\alpha)$ |
| Exponential | $c e^{-\lambda t}$ | $c e^{-(\lambda+c)t}$ |
| Gamma | $\frac{c e^{-\lambda t} t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ | $c e^{-\lambda t} t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-c t^\alpha)$ |




Figure 1: Here we have simulated the intensity process of the form $\lambda_t = \lambda_0 + \int_0^t k(t-s)dN_s$ for $k(t) = e^{-10t}$ and $\lambda_0 = 50$.




Figure 2: Here we see a typical concave market impact function $MI(t) = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \zeta(t-s)ds$ with $\tau = 1$.

Interpretation of a Hawkes process in terms of population dynamics

Let us define a population model: At time zero, there are no individuals. Some individuals (migrants) arrive as a uniform Poisson process with intensity μ . If a migrant arrives at time s , the birth dates of its children form a Poisson process of intensity $\phi(t-s)$ at time t , with $\int_0^\infty \phi(t)dt < 1$. In the same way, if a child is born at s' , the birth dates of its children form a Poisson process of intensity $\phi(\cdot - s')$. Let N_t be the number of individuals who were born or migrated until time t . Then N is a Poisson-type process with intensity

$$\lambda_t = \mu + \int_0^t \phi(t-s) dN_s \quad (3)$$

i.e. N is a Hawkes process. This captures the notion of the process being self-exciting.

References

- [FL04] J. Doyne Farmer and F. Lillo, “A theory for long-memory in supply and demand”, *Quantitative Finance*, 2004.
- [Jai15] T. Jaisson, “No-arbitrage implies power-law market impact and rough volatility”, Working paper, 2015.
- [JR18] P. Jusselin and M. Rosenbaum, “No-arbitrage implies power-law market impact and rough volatility”, *SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics*, 2018.
- [DRS20] B. Durin, M. Rosenbaum, and G. Szymanski, “The two square root laws of market impact and the role of sophisticated market participants”, Working paper, 2020.