My code is faster than yours... let me prove it to you! François Martin



Karakun

#### François Martin

#### Senior Full Stack Software Engineer

- francois.martin@karakun.com
- martinfrancois
- in /in/françoismartin
- **X** @fmartin\_









## Performance of Regular Expressions

```
String removeIllegalCharacters(String text, String replace) {
  text = text.replaceAll("[\\p{Cntrl}/\\\:\\*\\?\"<>|]", replace);
  text = text.replaceAll("(^[ \\t]+)|([ \\t]+$)", replace);
  return text;
}
```

- Example input: "\tproject\\name:final<version>\u007F "
- Expected output: "-project-name-final-version---"
- (^[ \t]+)|([ \t]+\$) is susceptible to ReDoS
  - Attack string: '\x00' + '\t'.repeat(54773) + '\t\x00'






# Performance of Regular Expressions

```
Set<Character> ILLEGAL_CHARS = Set.of('/', '\\', '<', '>', ':', '\"', '|', '?', '*', '\u007F');
String removeIllegalCharacters(String text, String replaceWith) {
 int startIndex = 0;
 int endIndex = text.length();
 StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder();
 // find the index of when the string (excluding whitespaces) starts
 while ((startIndex < endIndex) && (text.charAt(startIndex) <= ' '))</pre>
    startIndex++;
 // find the index of when the string (excluding whitespaces) ends
 while ((startIndex < endIndex) && (text.charAt(endIndex - 1) <= ' '))</pre>
    endIndex--:
 // if there are whitespaces at the of the string, replace all of them with ONE `replaceWith`
 if (startIndex != 0)
    sb.append(replaceWith);
 // replace control and illegal characters in the string itself
 for (int i = startIndex; i < endIndex; i++) {</pre>
    char current = text.charAt(i):
   boolean isControlCharacter = current < ' ':</pre>
    if (isControlCharacter || ILLEGAL_CHARS.contains(current)) {
        sb.append(replaceWith);
    } else {
        sb.append(current);
 // if there are whitespaces at the end of the string, replace all of them with ONE `replaceWith`
 if (text.length() != endIndex)
    sb.append(replaceWith);
  return sb.toString();
```





#### Performance Comparison: withRegex vs withIteration





# Microbenchmarking



- Definition: "Microbenchmarking is about measuring the time or performance of small to very small building blocks of real programs. This can be a common data access pattern, a sequence of operations or even a single instruction." Source: <u>HPC Wiki</u>
- State-of-the-art tool for Java (and other JVM languages): JMH (Java Microbenchmarking Harness)
- Microbenchmarking in JavaScript
  - jsbenchmark.com (<u>Example: Sorting an array of integers</u>)
  - perf.link (<u>Example Regex Microbenchmark</u>)
  - Benchmark.js (from 2016 and unmaintained, but still working well)
- Microbenchmarking is best suited for comparing performance of different implementations under controlled conditions
  - To understand and improve the performance of applications in real-world scenarios, use profiling instead!



#### Step 1 – Setting up JMH



- Follow the <u>README.md in the JMH repository</u> closely
- Run the following command (adjust groupId and artifactId):

```
mvn archetype:generate \
   -DinteractiveMode=false \
   -DarchetypeGroupId=org.openjdk.jmh \
   -DarchetypeArtifactId=jmh-java-benchmark-archetype \
   -DgroupId=org.sample \
   -DartifactId=test \
   -Dversion=1.0
```

- Creates a folder with the same name as the artifactId
- Open the folder in your IDE



#### **Step 2 – What to compare?**



- I can't believe I have to pay £50 & wait 5 days—just fix it already!
  - HTML encoded string
- Decoded:
  - I can't believe I have to pay £50 & wait 5 days—just fix it already!
- Many libraries available for decoding:
  - Apache Commons Text: StringEscapeUtils.unescapeHtml4(htmlEncodedString)
  - jsoup: Jsoup.parse(htmlEncodedString).text()
  - unbescape: HtmlEscape.unescapeHtml(htmlEncodedString)
  - Spring Web: htmlUnescape(htmlEncodedString)
- Which one is the fastest when decoding lots of different strings?
- Optional, but recommended if possible: what is the expected outcome and why?



### "Fastest" depends on the context



- Knowing the context in which code is used is crucial for accurate microbenchmarking
- Microbenchmark should replicate production conditions as closely as possible
- Depending on the data being used, the performance can vary significantly
  - Example: Quicksort generally operates with O(n log n) complexity, making it one of the fastest sorting algorithms for most cases
  - However, for sorted data, Quicksort degrades to  $O(n^2) \rightarrow$  other sorting algorithms are better
  - If sorting lots of (almost) sorted data in production, but only microbenchmarking randomized ordered datasets leads to a wrong decision!
- Ideally use data observed in production, benchmarking on production hardware with same JRE







The command from step 1 generates a basic MyBenchmark.java:

```
public class MyBenchmark {
    @Benchmark
    public void testMethod() {
        // This is a demo/sample...
        // Put your benchmark code here.
    }
}
```

• Each comparison we want to make is its own method annotated with @Benchmark







So we can simply call the method we want to benchmark... right?

```
@Benchmark
public void apacheCommonsText() {
   StringEscapeUtils.uneseapeHtml4("Wow, that's easy!");
}
```

- Wrong! The Java compiler is too smart and removes the line of code, as it is redundant, so we
  would measure nothing being executed! (dead code elimination)
- If only performing one operation, can return the result instead:

```
@Benchmark
public String apacheCommonsText() {
   return StringEscapeUtils.unescapeHtml4("That's still quite easy!");
}
```







• When we need to "return multiple results", we can use a **blackhole** instead:

- Caution: only use <u>loops</u> in benchmarks if you use them in your production context as well!
  - The JIT is very good at optimizing loops, which you **want** to **include** in your benchmark **if** it is part of your **production use case**
  - Do NOT use loops to <u>test different data!</u>



### **Step 2 – Benchmarking with State**

- Microbenchmarks are usually executed in multiple measurement iterations
- When using state like this:

The JVM could perform an optimization called "constant folding" and even with blackhole reuse
the same result in each iteration without executing the code again, leading to incorrect
measurements





### **Step 2 – Benchmarking with State**

Use <u>@State</u> instead:

```
@State(Scope.Thread)
public static class ThreadState {
   public String[] strings = new String[]{
        "That's String 1", "That's String 2"};
}
@Benchmark
public void apacheCommonsText(ThreadState state, Blackhole bh) {
   for (int i = 0; i < state.strings.length; i++) {
        bh.consume(StringEscapeUtils.unescapeHtml4(state.strings[i]));
    }
}</pre>
```







- Benchmark Modes (excerpt)
  - @BenchmarkMode(Mode.Throughput)
    - Measures how many executions it can perform within a given time unit (the only mode where higher score is better)
  - @BenchmarkMode(Mode.AverageTime)
    - Measures the average time it takes to execute (lower score is better)
- Other annotations to use as a starting point

```
@Warmup(iterations = 10, time = 1, timeUnit = TimeUnit.SECONDS)
@Measurement(iterations = 20, time = 1, timeUnit = TimeUnit.SECONDS)
@Fork(1)
```

- @OutputTimeUnit(TimeUnit.NANOSECONDS)
- Increase the amount of iterations if the error is too high
- For long-running operations (I/O, complex computations), increase time of iterations







- For the most accurate results
  - If possible, turn off dynamic CPU frequency scaling in your BIOS (power saving, turbo boost)
  - Restart your computer
  - Close all other applications, background processes, browser, IDE, etc. except for a terminal
- Run the following commands in the terminal window:
  - mvn clean verify
  - java -jar target/benchmarks.jar
- The results will be printed at the end:


Benchmark	Mode	Cnt	Score		Error	Units
apacheCommonsText	avgt	20	212347.609	±	1479.364	ns/op
jsoup	avgt	20	246421.453	±	3004.214	ns/op
spring	avgt	20	9101.915	±	40.974	ns/op
unbescape	avgt	20	19406.916	±	108.725	ns/op







Performance Comparison of Library Functions for HTML Entity Decoding









- Advice printed at the end of each JMH run:
  - REMEMBER: The numbers below are just data. To gain reusable insights, you need to follow up on why the numbers are the way they are. Use profilers (see -prof, -lprof), design factorial experiments, perform baseline and negative tests that provide experimental control, make sure the benchmarking environment is safe on JVM/OS/HW level, ask for reviews from the domain experts. Do not assume the numbers tell you what you want them to tell.
- Does NOT mean that it will perform the best in every scenario, only in this specific context
- Verify to ensure the functions you benchmark also work correctly (for example, with unit tests)
- Microbenchmarking results will be different depending on the hardware and other factors
- Recommendation: work through the <u>samples provided by JMH</u> to learn about pitfalls



#### Learn more



- https://github.com/openjdk/jmh
- https://github.com/openjdk/jmh/tree/master/jmhsamples/src/main/java/org/openjdk/jmh/samples
- <a href="https://github.com/Valloric/jmh-playground/blob/master/README.md#learning-to-use-jmh">https://github.com/Valloric/jmh-playground/blob/master/README.md#learning-to-use-jmh</a>
- https://blog.avenuecode.com/java-microbenchmarks-with-jmh-part-1
- https://blog.avenuecode.com/java-microbenchmarks-with-jmh-part-2
- https://blog.avenuecode.com/java-microbenchmarks-with-jmh-part-3
- https://jenkov.com/tutorials/java-performance/jmh.html
- https://www.oracle.com/technical-resources/articles/java/architect-benchmarking.html
- https://coderstower.com/2019/08/06/arraylist-vs-linkedlist-sort-get-iteration/
- <a href="https://medium.com/@AlexanderObregon/introduction-to-java-microbenchmarking-with-jmh-java-microbenchmark-harness-55af74b2fd38">https://medium.com/@AlexanderObregon/introduction-to-java-microbenchmarking-with-jmh-java-microbenchmark-harness-55af74b2fd38</a>



**Slides & Code:** https://fm.ht/baselone2024