Sorting algorithms

- So what about cost of sorting?
- Assume complexity of sorting a list is O(sort(L))
- Then if we sort and search we want to know if sort(L) + log (len(L)) < len(L)
 - I.e. should we sort and search using binary, just use linear search
- Can't sort in less than linear time!

Amortizing costs

- But suppose we want to search a list k times?
- Then is sort(L) + k*log(len(L)) < k*len(L)?
 - Depends on k, but one expects that if sort can be done efficiently, then it is better to sort first
 - Amortizing cost of sorting over multiple searches may make this worthwhile
 - How efficiently can we sort?

Selection sort

```
def selSort(L):
for i in range(len(L) - 1):
    minIndx = i
    minVal= L[i]
    j = i + 1
    while j < len(L):</pre>
         if minVal > L[j]:
             minIndx = j
             minVal= L[j]
         j += 1
    temp = L[i]
    L[i] = L[minIndx]
    L[minIndx] = temp
```

Analyzing selection sort

Loop invariant

- Given prefix of list L[0:i] and suffix L[i+1:len(L)-1], then prefix is sorted and no element in prefix is larger than smallest element in suffix
- 1. Base case: prefix empty, suffix whole list invariant true
- Induction step: move minimum element from suffix to end of prefix. Since invariant true before move, prefix sorted after append
- When exit, prefix is entire list, suffix empty, so sorted

Analyzing selection sort

- Complexity of inner loop is def selSort(L): O(len(L))
- Complexity of outer loop also O(len(L))
- So overall complexity is O(len(L)²) or quadratic
- Expensive

```
for i in range(len(L) - 1):
minIndx = i
minVal= L[i]
j = i + 1
while j < len(L):</pre>
    if minVal > L[j]:
        minIndx = j
        minVal= L[j]
    i += 1
temp = L[i]
L[i] = L[minIndx]
L[minIndx] = temp
```