Numerical Analysis Summer Term 2015

Lecture 2: Newton-Cotes Quadrature

See Chapter 7 of Süli and Mayers.

Terminology: Quadrature \equiv numerical integration.

Setup: given $f(x_k)$ at n+1 equally spaced points $x_k = x_0 + k \cdot h$, k = 0, 1, ..., n, where $h = (x_n - x_0)/n$. Suppose that $p_n(x)$ interpolates this data.

Idea: does

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx \approx \int_{x_0}^{x_n} p_n(x) dx? \tag{1}$$

We investigate the error in such an approximation below, but note that

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} p_n(x) dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_n} \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k) \cdot L_{n,k}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k) \cdot \int_{x_0}^{x_n} L_{n,k}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n w_k f(x_k), \qquad (2)$$

where the coefficients

$$w_k = \int_{x_0}^{x_n} L_{n,k}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{3}$$

 $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, are independent of f. A formula

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{k} f(x_{k})$$

with $x_k \in [a, b]$ and w_k independent of f for k = 0, 1, ..., n is called a **quadrature** formula; the coefficients w_k are known as **weights**. The specific form (1)–(3), based on equally spaced points, is called a **Newton–Cotes formula** of order n.

Examples:

Trapezium Rule: n = 1 (also known as the trapezoid or trapezoidal rule):




$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0) + f(x_1)]$$

Proof.

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} p_1(x) dx = f(x_0) \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \underbrace{\frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1}}_{x_0 - x_1} dx + f(x_1) \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \underbrace{\frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}}_{x_1 - x_0} dx$$
$$= f(x_0) \underbrace{\frac{(x_1 - x_0)}{2}}_{2} + f(x_1) \underbrace{\frac{(x_1 - x_0)}{2}}_{2}$$

Simpson's Rule: n = 2:



$$\int_{x_0}^{x_2} f(x) dx \approx \frac{h}{3} [f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + f(x_2)]$$

Note: The trapezium rule is exact if $f \in \Pi_1$, since if $f \in \Pi_1 \Longrightarrow p_1 = f$. Similarly, Simpson's Rule is exact if $f \in \Pi_2$, since if $f \in \Pi_2 \Longrightarrow p_2 = f$. The highest degree of polynomial exactly integrated by a quadrature rule is called the **(polynomial) degree of accuracy** (or degree of exactness).

Error: we can use the error in interpolation directly to obtain

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} [f(x) - p_n(x)] dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_n} \frac{\pi(x)}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x)) dx$$

so that

$$\left| \int_{x_0}^{x_n} [f(x) - p_n(x)] \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \max_{\xi \in [x_0, x_n]} |f^{(n+1)}(\xi)| \int_{x_0}^{x_n} |\pi(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{4}$$

which, e.g., for the trapezium rule, n = 1, gives

$$\left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{(x_1 - x_0)}{2} [f(x_0) + f(x_1)] \right| \le \frac{(x_1 - x_0)^3}{12} \max_{\xi \in [x_0, x_1]} |f''(\xi)|.$$

In fact, we can prove a tighter result:

Theorem. Error in Trapezoidal Rule:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x) dx = \frac{(x_1 - x_0)}{2} [f(x_0) + f(x_1)] - \frac{(x_1 - x_0)^3}{12} f''(\xi)$$

for some $\xi \in (x_0, x_1)$. (And note equality).

Proof. Omitted (uses Integral Mean-Value Theorem).

For n > 1, (4) gives pessimistic bounds. But one can prove better results, e.g., using Taylor Series.

Theorem. Error in Simpson's Rule: if f'''' is continuous on (x_0, x_2) , then

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_2} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{x_2 - x_0}{6} [f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + f(x_2)] - \frac{(x_2 - x_0)^5}{2880} f''''(\xi)$$

for some $\xi \in (x_0, x_2)$.

Proof. See, e.g., Süli and Mayers, Thm. 7.2.

Note: Simpson's Rule is exact if $f \in \Pi_3$ since then $f'''' \equiv 0$. (c.f. earlier statement viz. $f \in \Pi_2$).

Composite Quadrature (optional material)

Motivation: we've seen oscillations in polynomial interpolation—the Runge phenomenon—for high-degree polynomials on equispaced grids.

Idea: split a required integration interval $[a, b] = [x_0, x_n]$ into n equal intervals $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ for i = 1, ..., n. Then use a **composite rule**:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(x) dx$$

in which each $\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(x) dx$ is approximated by quadrature.

Thus rather than increasing the degree of the polynomials to attain high accuracy, instead increase the number of intervals.

Composite Trapezium Rule:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} f(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{h}{2} [f(x_{i-1}) + f(x_i)] - \frac{h^3}{12} f''(\xi_i) \right]$$

$$= \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0) + 2f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + \dots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_n)] + e_h^{\mathrm{T}}$$

where $\xi_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ and $h = x_i - x_{i-1} = (x_n - x_0)/n = (b-a)/n$, and the error e_h^T is given by

$$e_h^{\mathrm{T}} = -\frac{h^3}{12} \sum_{i=1}^n f''(\xi_i) = -\frac{nh^3}{12} f''(\xi) = -(b-a)\frac{h^2}{12} f''(\xi)$$

for some $\xi \in (a, b)$, using the Intermediate-Value Theorem n times. Note that if we halve the stepsize h by introducing a new point halfway between each current pair (x_{i-1}, x_i) , the factor h^2 in the error will decrease by four.

Alternatively, divide [a, b] into 2n + 1 intervals: $[a, b] = [x_0, x_{2n}]$. Then:

Composite Simpson's Rule:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_{2n}} f(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{h}{3} [f(x_{2i-2}) + 4f(x_{2i-1}) + f(x_{2i})] - \frac{(2h)^5}{2880} f''''(\xi_i) \right]$$

$$= \frac{h}{3} [f(x_0) + 4f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + 4f(x_3) + 2f(x_4) + \cdots + 2f(x_{2n-2}) + 4f(x_{2n-1}) + f(x_{2n})] + e_h^s$$

where $\xi_i \in (x_{2i-2}, x_{2i})$ and $h = x_i - x_{i-1} = (x_{2n} - x_0)/2n = (b-a)/2n$, and the error e_h^s is given by

$$e_h^{\rm S} = -\frac{(2h)^5}{2880} \sum_{i=1}^n f''''(\xi_i) = -\frac{n(2h)^5}{2880} f''''(\xi) = -(b-a) \frac{h^4}{180} f''''(\xi)$$

for some $\xi \in (a, b)$. Note that if we halve the stepsize h by introducing a new point half way between each current pair (x_{i-1}, x_i) , the factor h^4 in the error will decrease by sixteen.

Adaptive (or automatic) procedure: if S_h is the value given by composite Simpson's rule with a stepsize h, then

 $S_h - S_{\frac{1}{2}h} \approx -\frac{15}{16}e_h^{\rm S}.$

This suggests that if we wish to compute $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ with an absolute error ε , we should compute the sequence $S_h, S_{\frac{1}{2}h}, S_{\frac{1}{4}h}, \ldots$ and stop when the difference, in absolute value, between two consecutive values is smaller than $\frac{16}{15}\varepsilon$. That will ensure that (approximately) $|e_h^{\rm S}| \leq \varepsilon$.

Sometimes much better accuracy may be obtained: for example, as might happen when computing Fourier coefficients, if f is periodic with period b-a so that f(a+x)=f(b+x) for all x.

Matlab:

```
>> help adaptive_simpson
 ADAPTIVE_SIMPSON Adaptive (or automatic) Simpson's rule.
    S = ADAPTIVE_SIMPSON(F,A,B,NMAX,TOL) computes an approximation
    to the integral of F on the interval [A,B]. It will take a
    maximum of NMAX steps and will attempt to determine the
    integral to a tolerance of TOL.
    The function uses an adaptive Simpson's rule, as described
    in lectures.
>> f = 0(x) \sin(x);
>> adaptive_simpson(f, 0, pi, 100, 1e-7);
Step 1 integral is 2.0943951024, with error estimate 2.0944.
Step 2 integral is 2.0045597550, with error estimate 0.089835.
Step 3 integral is 2.0002691699, with error estimate 0.0042906.
Step 4 integral is 2.0000165910, with error estimate 0.00025258.
Step 5 integral is 2.0000010334, with error estimate 1.5558e-05.
Step 6 integral is 2.0000000645, with error estimate 9.6884e-07.
Successful termination at iteration 7:
The integral is 2.0000000040, with error estimate 6.0498e-08.
>> g = 0(x) \sin(\sin(x));
>> fplot(g, [0 pi])
>> adaptive_simpson(g, 0, pi, 100, 1e-7);
Step 1 integral is 1.7623727094, with error estimate 1.7624.
Step 2 integral is 1.8011896009, with error estimate 0.038817.
Step 3 integral is 1.7870879453, with error estimate 0.014102.
Step 4 integral is 1.7865214631, with error estimate 0.00056648.
Step 5 integral is 1.7864895607, with error estimate 3.1902e-05.
Step 6 integral is 1.7864876112, with error estimate 1.9495e-06.
Step 7 integral is 1.7864874900, with error estimate 1.2118e-07.
Successful termination at iteration 8:
The integral is 1.7864874825, with error estimate 7.5634e-09.
```