5 Higher-order functions

Exercise 5.1 (*Warm-up*: Type derivation, H0F.hs). Below a number of functions and operators are defined. What types does Haskell derive for them? Check your answer in GHCi!

```
const x y = x

x \Rightarrow y = y x

oper "mul" n = (*n)

oper "div" n = (n/)

oper _ _ = error "not implemented"

mapMap f xs = map (map f) xs

without p = filter (not . p)

on f g x y = f (g x) (g y)
```

Exercise 5.2 (*Warm-up*: Function composition, H0F.hs). The function composition operator . creates a new function out of two existing ones. It can be defined as: $(f \cdot g) \times f(g \times g)$. Explain what the following compositions do:

```
f1 = (*5) . (+1)

f2 = (+1) . (*5)

f3 = (\min 100) . (\max 0)

f4 = (<5) . length
```

What changes if we change f4 to "(5<) . length"? What about "((<)5) . length"?

Exercise 5.3 (*Warm-up*: Function parameters, ThereCanBeOnlyOne.hs).

This exercise illustrates a generalization pattern that is available in all useful programming languages, not just functional languages.

- 1. Define a function onlyElem :: (Eq a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Bool, which test whether a given element occurs once, and only once, in a list.
- 2. Generalize this function to onlyOnce :: $(a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Bool$, which tests whether a given predicate is true for one, and exactly one, element in a list.
- 3. onlyOnce is a more general function than onlyElem: Redefine onlyElem so it is expressed in terms of onlyOnce.

Exercise 5.4 (Warm-up: Any and all).

The list functions any :: $(a \to Bool) \to [a] \to Bool$ and all :: $(a \to Bool) \to [a] \to Bool$ are often useful. any tests whether a given predicate is true for some element in a list, and all tests whether it is true for all elements in the list.

These functions correspond to the $\exists x[Px]$ and $\forall x[Px]$ quantifiers. And of course you remember DeMorgan's laws for quantifiers: $\forall x[\neg Px] \equiv \neg \exists x[Px]$

- 1. Define a function all' which is equivalent to all, **using only** the standard functions any, not, the operator (.), and function application.
- 2. If your definition looks like all' p xs = ..., can you change it so it looks like all' p = ... without using a lambda expression? Which style of definition do you prefer?

Exercise 5.5 (*Warm-up*: Folding exercises, Folders.hs). Use foldl or foldr (whichever is convenient) to re-implement the following functions. **Do not use** direct recursion.

- 1. and :: [Bool] \rightarrow Bool, that determines whether all elements in a list of Booleans are true;
- 2. or :: [Bool] \rightarrow Bool, that determines whether there exists a Boolean in a list that is true;
- 3. elem :: (Eq a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Bool, that tests whether an element occurs in a list;
- 4. maximum :: (Ord a) \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow a, that calculates the largest value in a list of element. (An empty list has no maximum, so you are allowed to generate a runtime error for that case.)
- 5. from List :: (Ord a) \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Tree a, from Exercise 4.4, which constructs a tree from the elements in the provided list by repeatedly calling insert.
- 6. fromBits:: [Integer] → Integer, which converts a bit representation of an integer in least significant bit first order (encoded as a list of ones and zeroes) to the corresponding integer, so:

```
fromBits [1,1,0,0,1,0,0,1] \implies 147
```

If both recursion schemes are applicable, which do you prefer? (See Exercise 5.9!)

Exercise 5.6 (*Mandatory:* Functions as objects, folds, FunList.hs).

1. Define the function compose :: $[a \rightarrow a] \rightarrow a$, which composes a list of functions into a single function, so, for example:

```
compose [f0,f1,f2] x = f0 (f1 (f2 x))
```

Define it twice: once using the *list design pattern*, and once using foldr. Use a slightly different name for one of the definitions.

2. Explain *what* the following function computes, and *how* it computes it:

```
foo n = compose (map (*) [1..n]) 1
```

3. Define a function foldr' :: $(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$, that is equivalent to foldr, but defined in terms of **only** map and compose.

Exercise 5.7 (Mandatory: Unfolds, Unfold.hs).

Use the higher-order function unfoldr to define: (Also see Hint 1)

1. a function bits :: Int \rightarrow [Int] that returns the binary representation of an integer, with the *least significant bit* first, so:

```
binary 147 \implies [1,1,0,0,1,0,0,1] (In this case, bits is the inverse of from Exercise 5.5.)
```

- 2. a function zip :: [a] \rightarrow [b] \rightarrow [(a,b)] implementing the function zip from the Prelude;
- 3. a function take :: Int \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a] implementing the function take from the Prelude;
- 4. a function primes :: [Integer] generating a sequence of all prime numbers. The definition can be based on the following alternative implementation

```
primes = sieve [2..]

where sieve (p:xs) = p : sieve [ n \mid n \leftarrow xs, n \pmod p \neq 0 ]
```

For some list producers it is hard or even impossible to give a definition in terms of unfold. For example, the append function cannot be defined properly using unfold. We can define a slightly more general function, named apo (after the formal concept of an *apomorphism*):

```
apo :: (t \rightarrow Either [a] (a, t)) \rightarrow t \rightarrow [a]

apo f seed = case f seed of

Left 1 \rightarrow 1

Right (a,ns) \rightarrow a: apo f ns
```

Instead of returning a Maybe-value, the argument function of apo now returns an Either value. Termination occurs when a value Left 1 is produced. The difference with unfoldr is that in this case apo will return 1 instead of []. The other case is the same as the case for Just in unfoldr.

- 5. Use apo to define the append function, (++);
- 6. Use apo to redefine the function insert that inserts a given element in an already sorted list, i.e.;

```
insert :: (0rd \ a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
insert x [] = [x]
insert x (y : ys)
| x \le y = x : y : ys
| otherwise = y : insert x ys
```

7. Give a definition of unfoldr in terms of apo;

Exercise 5.8 (Mandatory: Functional pipelines, WordStats.hs).

During a tutorial, we have discussed that the functionwordFrequency :: String \rightarrow [(String,Int)] counting the number of occurrences of words, can be neatly implemented as:

```
wordFrequency = map (\x \rightarrow (head x,length x)) . group . sort . words
```

This kind of function composition is similar to the UNIX concept of a *functional pipeline*: one function consumes the output of another. (Except here the pipeline is written from right to left.)

Define the following functions, and provide type specifications for them. Use pipelines and higher order functions. It would probably be too time-consuming otherwise! Functions from the Data.List module will be useful: https://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.15.0.0/docs/Data-List.html

- 1. mostFrequentOfLength, similar to wordFrequency, but only listing words that are of certain minimal length (provided as a parameter), in descending order of frequency.
- 2. wordLengthFrequency, which counts how frequently words of a certain length occur, and lists them in ascending order of length. So:

```
wordLengthFrequency "hello hello and goodbye" \Longrightarrow [(3,1),(5,3),(7,1)] (This can be used to empirically test Zipf's Law of Abbreviation)
```

3. anagrams, which lists all words that are anagrams of each other in a a string. Only list words *once*, and don't display words that are not anagrams of any other word. For example:

```
» anagrams "the eastern spot is the nearest stop in earnest"
[["eastern", "nearest", "earnest"], ["spot", "stop"]]
```

The Haskell module Data.Map contains a data type commonly used for associative maps. Documentation for it can be found at https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers-0.4.0.0/docs/Data-Map.html.

Associative maps also support operations such as map, filter, etc. These (intentionally) share the names of the similar list functions. To avoid ambiguity, the definitions exported by this module are typically used with an explicit qualifier:

```
>>> import qualified Data.Map as Map
>>> Map.insert "key" "value" Map.empty
fromList [("key","value")]
```

4. Re-implement the function wordFrequency using Data.Map operations. In particular, you may want to use the functions toList, fromListWith or insertWith.

If you want to test your functions on larger inputs, you can <u>compile</u> this program using **GHC** and run it; it will read its input from standard input, so:

```
$ ghc WordStats.hs
./WordStats < YOUR_INPUT_FILE</pre>
```

Exercise 5.9 (*Extra*: Laziness and folds, ShortCircuit.hs).

Both the && and the || inspect first the value of the first argument, and if the second argument is not needed to determine the result, it is not evaluated. So we can safely write things like:

```
infinitesimal x = x == 0 \mid \mid 1 \mid x \geq 1e10
```

The functions and and or 'lift' these conditional tests to lists; they can be expressed using both foldl and foldr; so let's do that:

```
andl = foldl (&&) True
andr = foldr (&&) True
orl = foldl (||) False
orr = foldr (||) False
```

1. Predict what will happen when andl, andr, orl and orr are applied to an *infinite list* of booleans: Do this using the following examples. Check your predictions!

```
andl $ False : [True, True ..]
andr $ False : [True, True ..]
orl $ True : [False, False ..]
orr $ True : [False, False ..]
```

2. For *finite* lists, these functions are equivalent to and or respectively. But in both cases one definition is preferable over the other. Why?

Exercise 5.10 (*Extra:* Higher-order functions, ListHOF.hs). There are many useful functions in Data.List that takes other functions as arguments, besides map and filter, such as takeWhile/dropWhile, sortOn/sortBy, zipWith, groupBy ... see https://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.15.0.0/docs/Data-List.html.

With these, define the following: (this shouldn't need a lot of code!)

- 1. A function sortLength :: [String] \rightarrow [String] which sorts a list of strings based on their length, in ascending order.
- 2. A function letterClump :: String → [String] which groups together all letters, but leaves all other characters as is, so:

```
letterClump "... forty two" =-> [".", ".", ".", ", "forty", " ", "two", "!"]!
(And yes, this would have been useful for Exercise 3.8.)
```

- 3. The list fibs :: [Integer] of all Fibonacci numbers. (If the fact that this list is infinite bothers you, start by defining it for just the first 1000 Fibonacci numbers or so.)
- 4. The function zipWith', equivalent to zipWith, but defined in terms of map, zip, and uncurry.

Exercise 5.11 (*Extra*: Run length encoding, RLE.hs).

Run length encoding is a simple encoding scheme for repetitious data. It represents duplicate contiguous elements more efficiently by encoding elements as a tuple containing their value and number of repetitions. For example:

```
"Noooo...!" \Longrightarrow [('N',1), ('o',4), ('.',3), ('!',1)]
```

Define the following functions (without direct recursion):

- 1. encodeRLE :: (Eq a) \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [(a,Int)], which transforms a list into a run-length encoded representation of the same list.
- 2. decodeRLE :: $[(a,Int)] \rightarrow [a]$, which does the reverse.

You can implement these functions by using the "Think Big" approach, cobbling them together from standard functions from the Data.List library and function composition. But you can also make both using foldr and unfoldr.

Hints to practitioners 1. The function unfoldr might appear very abstract, but it actually just encodes a form of repetition in programs you should be very familiar with: that of a *productive* loop. A loop is productive if at every iteration it produces something as output, such as in this imperative program:

```
x := 0
while x <= 5:
    output (x*x)
    x := x+1</pre>
```

Which is an instance of a more general pattern:

```
x := init
while p(x):
    output f(x)
    x := update(x)
```

This schema is essentially what unfoldr captures. The above can be expressed in Haskell as:

```
unfoldr (x \rightarrow if p x then Just (f x, update x) else Nothing) init
```

or, equivalently (but perhaps nicer to read):

Of course, the helper function go can be much more interesting than this, consisting of pattern matches, more than two case distinctions, etc., which would quickly become pretty hard to write elegantly using a while-loop in an imperative programming language.

(There is a similar correspondence between a for-loop and foldl, but **not** for foldr, which can have quite different behaviour—see Exercise 5.9).