## Intrinsic Finite Element Methods over Manifolds

Martin Licht Michael Holst Department of Mathematics University of California, San Diego



# The Poisson Problem Strong and Weak Formulation

(i) Consider the Poisson Problem over a domain  $\Omega$ :

$$-\nabla \cdot \nabla u = f$$
,  $u_{|\Gamma_D} = 0$ ,  $\vec{n} \cdot \nabla u_{|\Gamma_N} = 0$ .

For  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  we seek u in

$$\{u \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \nabla u \in H(\mathsf{div})\}$$

(ii) This is equivalent to seeking  $u \in H^1_D(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx, \quad v \in H_D^1(\Omega).$$

In other words, the divergence is applied merely in the sense of distributions.

# The Poisson Problem Boundary Conditions

(i) We may impose inhomogeneous boundary conditions:

$$u_{|\Gamma_D} = g, \quad \vec{n} \cdot \nabla u_{|\Gamma_N} = h.$$

(ii) Let  $u_g$  satisfy tr  $u_g = g$  along  $\Gamma_D$ . We then seek  $u_0 \in H^1_D(\Omega)$  such that for all  $v \in H^1_D(\Omega)$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \; \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} f v - \nabla u_{\mathsf{g}} \cdot \nabla v \; \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\partial \Omega} h \vec{n} \cdot \nabla v \; \mathrm{d}s.$$

(iii) Hence it makes sense to assume that the right-hand side is a linear functional over  $H_D^1(\Omega)$ , say,

$$F(v) = \int_{\Omega} fv - \nabla u_{g} \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} h \vec{n} \cdot \nabla v \, ds.$$

- (i) Let  $A: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric matrix field with eigenvalues uniformly bounded above and below.
- (ii) We may generally consider the Poisson Problem with diffusion tensor: Find  $u_0 \in H^1_D(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot A \nabla v \, dx = F(v), \quad v \in H_D^1(\Omega).$$

(iii) Wellposedness follows (again) by functional analysis.

## The Poisson Problem Galerkin Approximation

### (i) Original Problem:

Find  $u_0 \in H^1_D(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot A \nabla v \, dx = F(v), \quad v \in H_D^1(\Omega).$$

### (ii) Galerkin Formulation:

Let  $V_h \subseteq H^1_D(\Omega)$  be a closed subspace.

Find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h \cdot A \nabla v_h \, \mathrm{d}x = F(v_h), \quad v_h \in V_h.$$

(iii) Quasi-optimal approximation:

$$||u - u_h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \inf_{v \in V_c} ||u - v||_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

## The Poisson Problem Finite Element Method

Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a triangulation of the domain and

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_{r,DC}(\mathcal{T}_h) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) \mid v_{\mid T} \in \mathcal{P}_r(T), T \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{r,0}(\mathcal{T}_h) := H^1_D(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{P}_{r,DC}(\mathcal{T}_h). \end{split}$$

The finite element method is the Galerkin method with

$$V_h = \mathcal{P}_{r,0}(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

Best approximation, concretized: if  $u \in H^s(\Omega)$  with  $s \ge 1$ , then

$$||u-u_h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \inf_{v \in V_h} ||u-v||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \sum_{T \in T_h} h_T^{s-1} ||u||_{H^s(T)}.$$

- ► The finite element method uses a triangulation to define the approximation space.
- ► Triangulations can be found only for domains with flat boundary.
- ► How to extend FEM to domains with curved boundaries?
- More generally, how to extend FEM to manifolds?

## Coordinate Transformation Physical and Parametric Domain

- (i) Let  $\Omega$  be the **physical** domain.
- (ii) Let  $\widetilde{\Omega}$  be the (polyhedral) **parametric** domain.
- (iii) Suppose we have a homeomorphism

$$\Phi:\widetilde{\Omega}\to\Omega$$

such that  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  feature regularity  $W^{1,\infty}$ .

(iv) In particular, their Jacobians are essentially bounded. Restriction of  $\Phi$  to any cell is a diffeomorphism in practice.

# Coordinate Transformation Physical and Parametric PDE

(i) **Physical Poisson Problem:** Find  $u_0 \in H_D^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot A \nabla v \, dx = F(v), \quad v \in H^1_D(\Omega).$$

(ii) Parametric Poisson Problem: Find  $\widetilde{u}_0 \in H^1_D(\widetilde{\Omega})$  such that

$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}} \nabla \widetilde{u} \cdot \widetilde{A} \nabla \widetilde{v} \, d\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v}), \quad \widetilde{v} \in H_D^1(\widetilde{\Omega}),$$

where we use

$$\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v}) = F(\widetilde{v} \circ \Phi^{-1}),$$
 $\widetilde{A} = |\det D \Phi|(D \Phi^{-t} \circ \Phi)(A \circ \Phi)(D \Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi).$ 

(iii) The solutions are related by  $u = \widetilde{u} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ .

# Coordinate Transformation Parametric FEM

(i) Find  $\widetilde{u}_h \in \mathcal{P}_{r,0}(\mathcal{T})$  such that

$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}} \nabla \widetilde{u}_h \cdot \widetilde{A} \nabla \widetilde{v}_h \; \mathrm{d}\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{v}_h), \quad v_h \in \mathcal{P}_{r,0}(\mathcal{T}).$$

We approximate the physical solution by  $u_h = \widetilde{u}_h \circ \Phi^{-1}$ .

(ii) Quasi-optimal error estimate:

$$||u-u_h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \inf_{v_h \in \mathcal{P}_{r,0}(\mathcal{T})} ||u-v_h||_{H^1(\Omega)} + Consistency$$

- (iii) **Problem:** We generally have no noteworthy global regularity of  $\widetilde{u}$ . Standard approximation estimates do not apply.
- (iv) **Solution:** For reasonable choices of the coordinate transformation, we have piecewise regularity over each cell  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ . Generalized approximation results exploit this.

# Coordinate Transformation Context of approximation result

Let  $\widetilde{u} \in H^1(\widetilde{\Omega})$ .

### Easy inequality:

$$\inf_{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{r},DC}(\mathcal{T})} \|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{H^1(\widetilde{\Omega})} \le \inf_{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{r},DC}(\mathcal{T})} \|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{H^1(\widetilde{\Omega})}. \tag{1}$$

### Very recent inequality:

$$\inf_{v_h \in \mathcal{P}_{r,0}(\mathcal{T})} \|\widetilde{u} - v_h\|_{H^1(\widetilde{\Omega})} \le C \inf_{v_h \in \mathcal{P}_{r,DC}(\mathcal{T})} \|\widetilde{u} - v_h\|_{H^1(\widetilde{\Omega})}.$$
 (2)

Let  $\widetilde{u} = u \circ \Phi$  be the solution of the parametric problem. Then

$$u_{|\Phi(T)} \in H^s(\Omega) \implies \widetilde{u}_{|T} \in H^s(\Omega).$$

Conclusion: despite the lack of global regularity, we get optimal convergence rates thanks to piecewise regularity and (2).

# Coordinate Transformation An approximation result

A very recent result:

### **Theorem**

Let  $\widetilde{u} \in H^1(\widetilde{\Omega})$  and  $s \ge 1$  with  $\widetilde{u}_{|T} \in H^s(T)$  for each  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$\inf_{v_h \in \mathcal{P}_{r,0}(\mathcal{T})} \|\widetilde{u} - v_h\|_{H^1(\widetilde{\Omega})} \leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{s-1} \|\widetilde{u}\|_{H^s(T)}.$$

- A. Veeser, Approximating Gradients with Continuous Piecewise Polynomial Functions.
- F. Camacho and A. Demlow,  $L_2$  and pointwise a posteriori error estimates for FEM for elliptic PDEs on surfaces.

## Areas of Applications

- (i) **Domains:** alternative to isoparametric FEM
- (ii) Surface FEM: see contribution by Camacho and Demlow.
- (iii) NE-FEM: Nurbs-enhanced finite element methods.
  - R. Sevilla, S. Fernández-Méndez, and A. Huerta, NURBS-Enhanced Finite Element Method (NEFEM).
- (iv) Parametric FEM:
  - P. Zulian, T. Schneider, K. Hormann, and R. Krause, Parametric finite elements with bijective mappings.
- (v) Instrinsic FEM over Manifolds

### Extension to Vector-Valued FEM

**Goal:** numerically solve the Hodge-Laplace equation over manifolds with intrinsic description.

#### Theorem

Let  $\widetilde{u} \in H^s \Lambda^k(\widetilde{\Omega})$  and  $s \ge 1$  with  $\widetilde{u}_{|T} \in H^s(T)$  for each  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$\inf_{v_h \in \mathcal{P}_{r,0} \Lambda^k(\mathcal{T})} \|\widetilde{u} - v_h\|_{H^1 \Lambda^k(\widetilde{\Omega})} \leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{s-1} \|\widetilde{u}\|_{H^s \Lambda^k(T)}.$$

The proof involves a Scott-Zhang-type interpolant for differential forms. *Ongoing work with E. Gawlik and M. Holst.* 

## Summary

- (i) Best approximation error estimates with optimal convergence rates.
- (ii) Consistency terms estimated by Strang's lemma and polynomial approximation estimates.
- (iii) Trade-off: simple geometry for non-simple coefficients.

Thank you for your attention!

Remarks? Questions?