

Advanced Algorithms: Notes

Author: Martin Grünbaum (martin@itsolveonline.net)

May 10, 2014

Contents

1	Max-flow	1
1.1	Multiple sources and sinks	1
1.2	Ford-Fulkerson	1
1.2.1	Residual network	1
1.2.2	Augmenting path	2
1.2.3	Cuts of a network	3

1 Max-flow

A flow network $G = (V, E)$ is a directed graph where each edge $(u, v) \in E$ has a non-negative capacity $c(u, v) \geq 0$. If there is an edge $(u, v) \in E$ then there is no edge $(v, u) \in E$. If $(u, v) \notin E$ then $c(u, v) = 0$ for convenience. When $(u, v) \notin E$, $f(u, v) = 0$.

Flow networks have a source s and a sink t . For each vertex $v \in V$, the flow network contains a path $s \rightsquigarrow v \rightsquigarrow t$. The graph is therefore connected, meaning $|E| \geq |V| - 1$.

A flow is a real-valued function $f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies two properties:

Capacity constraint: For all $u, v \in V$, $0 \leq f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$

Flow conservation: For all $u \in V - \{s, t\}$, $\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v, u)$.

The value of a flow, $|f|$, is defined as:

$$|f| = \sum_{v \in V} f(s, v) - \sum_{v \in V} f(v, s)$$

In the **maximum-flow** problem, we are given a flow network G and we wish to find a maximum flow.

Edges are anti-parallel if there is both an edge (u, v) and an edge (v, u) . This is not allowed, and to get around this we instead introduce a new edge x and re-structure the edges as follows: $(u, x), (x, v), (v, u)$. The capacity of the new edges involving x is the same as the capacity from (u, v) . See page 711 in the book for an example.

1.1 Multiple sources and sinks

This can be accounted for by introducing a **supersink** and **supersource** with infinite flow and capacity out to all of the sources and from all of the sinks to the supersink. See page 713.

1.2 Ford-Fulkerson

Three basic principles: **residual networks**, **augmenting paths** and **cuts**. Essential for **max-flow min-cut** theorem (Theorem 26.6).

Intuition is as follows: We have a flow network G . We iteratively alter the flow of G , by finding an augmenting path in an associated residual network G_f . Once we know the edges that belong to an augmenting path, we can identify specific edges in G to increase or decrease the flow of. Each iteration increases overall flow, but it may do so by decreasing the flow along certain edges. This is repeated until the residual network G_f has no more augmenting paths.

max-flow min-cut shows that upon termination, this yields a maximum flow.


1.2.1 Residual network

Given a network $G = (V, E)$ with a flow f , the **residual network** of G induced by f is $G_f = (V, E_f)$, where

$$E_f = \{(u, v) \in V \times V : c_f(u, v) > 0\}.$$

Residual capacity $c_f(u, v)$ is defined by

$$c_f(u, v) = \begin{cases} c(u, v) - f(u, v) & \text{if } (u, v) \in E, \\ f(v, u) & \text{if } (v, u) \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Note: that $(u, v) \in E$ implies $(v, u) \notin E$, so there is always only one of the three above cases that applies.

Because the edges in E_f are either edges from E or an edge in the opposite direction, $|E_f| \leq 2|E|$.

Intuition: A residual network G_f consists of edges with capacities that represent how we can alter the flow on edges of G . G can admit an additional amount of flow along an edge, equal to the capacity minus the current flow. If the edge can admit more flow, that edge is placed into G_f with a value of $c_f(u, v) = c(u, v) - f(u, v)$. The residual network may also contain edges that are not in G : In order to represent a possible decrease of a flow $f(u, v)$ on an edge in G , we place an edge (v, u) into G_f with residual capacity $c_f(v, u) = f(u, v)$. In other words, an edge that can admit flow in the opposite direction, at most cancelling out flow entirely. See Figure ?? for an example.

Flows in a residual network satisfy the definition of a flow, but with respect to capacities c_f in the network G_f . If f is a flow in G and f' is a flow in the corresponding residual network G_f , we define $f \uparrow f'$, the **augmentation flow** of f by f' , as a function from $V \times V$ to \mathbb{R} defined by

$$(f \uparrow f')(u, v) = \begin{cases} f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f'(v, u) & \text{if } (u, v) \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Intuition: Increase the flow ($f(u, v)$) by $f'(u, v)$, but decrease it by the flow in the opposite direction ($f'(v, u)$). Pushing flow in the reverse direction is also called **cancellation**.

1.2.2 Augmenting path

An augmenting path p is a simple path from s to t in the residual network G_f . By the definition of a residual network, we may increase the flow of an edge (u, v) by up to $c_f(u, v)$ without violating the capacity constraint on whichever of (u, v) and (v, u) is in the original flow network G .

The maximum amount by which we can increase flow on each edge of an augmenting path p is the **residual capacity** of p , given by $c_f(p) = \min\{c_f(u, v) : (u, v) \text{ is on } p\}$. More specifically, if p is an augmenting path in G_f , we define a function $f_p : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f_p(u, v) = \begin{cases} c_f(p) & \text{if } (u, v) \text{ is on } p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then f_p is a flow in G_f with value $|f_p| = c_f(p) > 0$. See Lemma 26.2, page 720. It remains to be shown that augmenting f by f_p produces a different flow in G whose value is closer to the maximum. Corollary 26.3 on page 720 shows this by immediate proof, using Lemma 26.1 and 26.2.

1.2.3 Cuts of a network

We know, based on the above, that we can augment flows in G and that doing so can produce a new flow closer to the maximum. But how do we know that when it terminates, the algorithm has in fact found a maximum flow? Max-flow min-cut tells us that a flow is maximum only if its residual network contains no augmenting paths.

A **cut** (S, T) of a flow network $G = (V, E)$ is a partition of V into S and $T = V - S$ such that $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. If f is a flow then the **net flow** $f(S, T)$ across the cut (S, T) is defined to be

$$f(S, T) = \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in T} f(u, v) - \sum_{u \in T} \sum_{v \in S} f(v, u)$$

The **capacity** of the cut (S, T) is

$$c(S, T) = \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in T} c(u, v)$$

Intuitively, the capacity of the cut is the capacity of all vertices going from S to T , while the flow is the flow of vertices going from S to T , minus the flow going from T to S . A **minimum cut** of a network is a cut whose capacity is minimum over all cuts of the network.

Theorem 26.6 (Max-flow min-cut theorem) involves proving the equivalence of 3 different conditions: i) f is a maximum flow of G . ii) The residual network G_f contains no augmenting paths. iii) $|f| = c(S, T)$ for some cut (S, T) of G .

See page 723 and 724 and write up these 3 things.