

Exact exponential and FPT ex. class

May 19, 2014

Ex.1

Recall that independent set is the set of vertices in a graph, no two of which are adjacent. That is, it is a set I of vertices such that for every two vertices in I , there is no edge connecting the two. Equivalently, each edge in the graph has at most one endpoint in I . The size of an independent set is the number of vertices it contains.

Lemma 0.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let v be a vertex of G . If no maximum independent set of G contains v then every maximum independent set of G contains at least two vertices of $N(v)$.*

1. Prove the Lemma.
2. Improve upon the branching algorithm *mis1* of Chap. 1 by the use of the Lemma

Ex.2

For the next ex. you need to recall the definitions of FPT and kernelization. Let \mathcal{A} be a decidable parameterized problem. We will show that \mathcal{A} is fixed-parameter tractable if and only if there exists a kernelization algorithm for it.

- Show that if we have a kernelization algorithm, the problem is in FPT.

- Assume the problem is in FPT and runs in $f(k) \cdot n^d$ steps. We claim that by running the algorithm n^{d+1} times, we can show that the problem has a kernelization. How can we do that?
Hint: We can produce trivial instances that reply yes and no in polynomial time.

Ex.3

1. Show that any full k -ary tree has a vertex cover of size $\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{\log_k n-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n}{k^{2i}}$.
2. In this ex. We start by mentioning that Vertex cover is solvable in polynomial time on trees.

The algorithm:

```

while  $L \neq \emptyset$ 
  do  $f \leftarrow$  remove first leaf from  $L$ 
  if  $f \in T$ 
    if  $mark[f] == FALSE$  and  $parent[f]$  is null
      then  $mark[f] \leftarrow TRUE$ 
    else if  $mark[f] == FALSE$  and  $parent[f] \neq null$ 
      then  $mark[parent[f]] \leftarrow TRUE$ 
    remove  $f$  from  $T$ 
    (this implicitly removes leaf-parent edge if it exists)
    if  $parent[f] \neq null$  and  $parent[f] \neq root[T]$ 
      then if  $children[parent[f]]$  is null
        then append  $parent[f]$  to  $L$ 

```

You may use the algorithm as black box.

Consider a graph that can be transformed into a tree by deleting k edges. Assume that this set of edges is given. Give a fixed-parameter algorithm for Vertex Cover restricted to this class of graphs, parameterized by k .

Ex.4

We would like to parameterize the problem SAT

- What can we say about the following parameterization?
 $kSAT = \{(F, k) | F \text{ is a satisfiable } k - CNF \text{ formula}\}$
- Can we say something better about the suggested parameterizaion?
 $CNF?SAT = \{(F, k) | F \text{ is a satisfiable with } k \text{ variables}\}$
- Come up with additional parameter that keeps the problem in FPT .

Ex. 5

A question from the lecture concerns the following algorithm for the *Vertex-Cover* problem: choose an arbitrary edge $e = \{u, v\}$ that has not yet been covered and branch on the two sub-cases; on one branch include u in the solution and in the other include v in the solution. Return the smaller of the two solutions. We want to prove that this algorithm does not run in $FPT - time$ if parameterized by the size of the Vertex-Cover.

Prove that a graph composed of the collection of star graphs $S_{r_1} \dots S_{r_l}$ is a counter example.