

# Stochastic Processes: Homework 0

Martín Prado

November 2023

Universidad de los Andes – Bogotá Colombia

## Exercise 1

Consider a sequence of i.i.d. random variables  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\mathbf{E} X_i = 0$  and  $\mathbf{Var} X_i = 1$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

1. Show with the Law of Large Numbers that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X_1, \dots, X_n\|_2 - \sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0$$

- (a) in  $\mathbb{P}$ ,
- (b) a.e.,
- (c) in distribution,
- (d) Show that if  $X_i \in L^p$  for some  $p > 1$ , then it converges in  $L^q$  for every  $q \in [1, p]$ .

2. Infer from the previous results that for

$$\text{Law}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \approx \text{UNI}(\sqrt{n}\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

## Solution Part 1

**Theorem 1** (Laws of Large Numbers). Let  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that  $\mathbf{E} X_i = \mu$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{\|\bar{X}_n - \mu\| > \varepsilon\} = 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (\text{Weak Law of Large Numbers})$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{X_n} \neq \mu\} = 0. \quad (\text{Strong Law of Large Numbers})$$

□

**Definition 1.1** (Convergence in probability). Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables. We say that  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in probability i.e.  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$  when

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon\} = 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Definition 1.2** (Convergence almost everywhere). Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables. We say that  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  almost everywhere (or almost surely) i.e.  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} X$  when

$$\mathbf{P}\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \neq X\} = 0$$

According to theorem 1 and the previous definitions, since  $(X_i^2)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is still a sequence of i.i.d. random variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \frac{1}{n} \|X_1, \dots, X_n\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{E} X^2 = \mathbf{Var} X - \mathbf{E} X = 1 \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \frac{1}{n} \|X_1, \dots, X_n\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{a.e.} \mathbf{E} X^2 = \mathbf{Var} X - \mathbf{E} X = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|X_1, \dots, X_n\|_2 - \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{p} 0, \quad \|X_1, \dots, X_n\|_2 - \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{a.e.} 0.$$

**Definition 1.3** (Convergence of distribution). Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables with probability distributions  $P_n$ . Let  $X$  a random variable with a probability distribution  $P$ . We say that  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in distribution i.e.  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} [f(X_n)] = \mathbf{E} [f(X)]$$

for every bounded and continuous function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 2.** A direct consequence of Fatou's Lemma and Dominated Convergence is that,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

□

Thus, this proves that

$$(c) \quad \|X_1, \dots, X_n\|_2 - \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{d} 0.$$

**Definition 2.1** (Convergence in  $L_p$ ). Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables. For some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we say that  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in  $L_p$  norm i.e.  $X_n \xrightarrow{L_p} X$  if  $\mathbf{E} |X_n|^p$  and  $\mathbf{E} |X|^p$  exist, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^p = 0.$$

for every bounded and continuous function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3** (Exercise 1.d.). Convergence in  $L_p$  implies convergence in  $L_q$  for every  $q \in [1, p)$ .

*Proof.* Hölder inequality states that for  $a, b \in [1, \infty]$  such that  $a^{-1} + b^{-1} = 1$  and random variables  $A, B$ ,

$$\|AB\|_1 = \mathbf{E} |AB| \leq (\mathbf{E} |A|^a)^{a^{-1}} (\mathbf{E} |B|^b)^{b^{-1}} = \|A\|_a \|B\|_b.$$

By letting

$$\begin{aligned} A &= |X_n - X|^q, \quad B = 1, \\ a &= \frac{p}{q}, \quad b = \frac{p}{p-q}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^q &= \mathbf{E} ||X_n - X|^q| \\ &\leq (\mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^{q \cdot p/q})^{q/p} \cdot (\mathbf{E} |1|^{p/(p-q)})^{(p-q)/p} \\ &= (\mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^p)^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

The hypothesis of  $q \in [1, p)$  is used on the fact that, if  $q \geq p$ , then  $p - q \leq 0$ , and if  $q < 1$ , then the  $L_q$  norm wouldn't be defined. Finally, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^p = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^q \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^p)^{q/p} = 0.$$

□

## Solution Part 2

In the first place note that the function  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is invariant under rotations because for any orthogonal (rotation) matrix  $O \in \{M : M^T M = I\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|OX\|_2 &= \langle OX, OX \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \langle X, O^T OX \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \langle X, X \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \|X\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any vector  $Z_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n)$  the density formula, which only depends on  $\|Z_n\|_2$ , is invariant under rotations. Thus, for any  $n \times n$  rotation matrix  $O$  and measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$P(Z_n \in A) = P(OZ_n \in A).$$

Then, by normalizing everything, we would obtain that for every measurable subset of the  $(n-1)$ -sphere  $A \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,

$$P(Z_n / \|Z_n\|_2 \in A) = P(OZ_n / \|Z_n\|_2 \in A) = P(OZ_n / \|OZ_n\|_2 \in A).$$

So it follows that  $Z_n / \|Z_n\|_2$  is uniformly distributed over the  $(n-1)$ -sphere.

On the other hand, according to the Central Limit Theorem,

$$\overline{Z_n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbf{E} X_i) / (\sqrt{\mathbf{Var} X_i}) \xrightarrow{d} Z_n.$$

According to the exercise's statement,  $\mathbf{E} X_i = 0$  and  $\mathbf{Var} X_i = 1$ . Thus,

$$\overline{Z_n} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sqrt{n} \cdot \overline{X_n}.$$

Also, using the a.e. convergence from the part 1, we can make the following approximation,

$$\|Z_n\|_2 \approx \sqrt{n}.$$

Finally, it follows that

$$\overline{X_n} = \frac{\overline{Z_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \approx \frac{\overline{Z_n}}{\|Z_n\|_2} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{Z_n}{\|Z_n\|_2},$$

which will let us conclude that the distribution of  $\overline{X_n}$  which is  $\text{Law}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  might be similar to a uniform distribution on a  $(n-1)$ -sphere.

## Exercise 2

Show that for every random variable  $X \in L^2$ ,

$$\mathbf{E} [|X - \mathbf{E} X|^2] \leq \mathbf{E} [|X|^2].$$

### Solution

Since  $|x|^2 = x^2$ , it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} [|X - \mathbf{E} X|^2] &= \mathbf{E} (X - \mathbf{E} X)^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E} [X^2] - E[X]^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E} [|X|^2] - E[X]^2 \\ &\leq \mathbf{E} [|X|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 3

Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Show with the Law of Large Numbers that there exists a sequence of polynomial  $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\deg(P_n) = n$  and,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - P_n(x)| = 0.$$

### Solution

The goal of this solution is to prove the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem using the Law of Large Numbers. I will use Hoeffding's inequality in a similar fashion its used in the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem's proof.

In the first place, let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random i.i.d. Bernoulli variables, each with probability  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Define  $\overline{X}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , and note that  $\overline{X}_n \sim \text{Bi}(n, x)$ . The polynomial we are going to use in this proof is the Bernstein polynomial:

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \mathbf{E} \left[ f\left(\frac{\overline{X}_n}{n}\right) \right].$$

Now, in conjunction with the Heine-Cantor theorem, we use the fact that  $f$  is a continuous on a compact set to say that  $f$  is uniformly continuous. Therefore, for  $\varepsilon/2 > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{whenever } |x - y| \leq \delta, \quad \forall x, y \in [0, 1].$$

We rewrite our expression as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - P_n(x)| &= \left| f(x) - \mathbf{E} \left[ f \left( \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n} \right) \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \mathbf{E} \left[ f(x) - f \left( \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n} \right) \right] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we separate the expression in two cases.

$$1 = \mathbb{1} \left\{ |x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| \leq \delta \right\} (x) + \mathbb{1} \left\{ |x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| > \delta \right\} (x).$$

For the case  $|x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| \leq \delta$ , use uniform continuity:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( f(x) - f \left( \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n} \right) \right) \cdot \mathbb{1} \left\{ |x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| \leq \delta \right\} \right] < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (1)$$

For the case  $|x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| > \delta$ , define  $M = \|f\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( f(x) - f \left( \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n} \right) \right) \cdot \mathbb{1} \left\{ |x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| > \delta \right\} \right] \\ \leq 2M \cdot \mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbb{1} \left\{ |x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| > \delta \right\} \right] \\ = 2M \cdot \mathbf{P} \left\{ |x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| > \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x = \mathbf{E} X_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can use Hoeffding inequality to assert that

$$\forall x \in [0, 1] : \mathbf{P} \left\{ |x - \frac{\overline{X_n}}{n}| > \delta \right\} \leq 2e^{-2n\delta^2}. \quad (2)$$

Then, choose  $n_0$  big enough to satisfy  $2e^{-2n\delta^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$  for every  $n > n_0$ . Finally, combine (1), (2) to obtain,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 : |f(x) - P_n(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{2M\varepsilon}{4M} = \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1], \quad \forall n > n_0.$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - P_n(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > n_0.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - P_n(x)| = 0.$$