

Let's consider an n-pendulum, with  $n$  rods of length  $\ell_i$  and  $n$  bobs of mass  $m_i$  linked together. Let's also take a set of Cartesian coordinate axes centered at the anchor point of rod 1, with an upward-directed  $y$ -axis.

Given that our system satisfies the Principle of Virtual Work, we may apply the Lagrangian formalism. If we take our generalised coordinates  $\theta_i$  to be the counterclockwise angle between each rod and the negative  $y$  direction, the  $(x_i, y_i)$  coordinates of each bob will be:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \ell_1 \sin \theta_1 & y_1 &= -\ell_1 \cos \theta_1 \\ x_2 &= \ell_1 \sin \theta_1 + \ell_2 \sin \theta_2 & y_2 &= -\ell_1 \cos \theta_1 - \ell_2 \cos \theta_2 \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_i &= \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \sin \theta_j & y_i &= -\sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \cos \theta_j \end{aligned}$$

The velocities of the  $i^{th}$  bob are then

$$\dot{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \cos \theta_j \quad \dot{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \sin \theta_j$$

The kinetic energy of the system is thus

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \cos \theta_j \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \sin \theta_j \right)^2 \right]$$

And the potential energy is

$$V = -g \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i m_i \ell_j \cos \theta_j$$

The system's Lagrangian is  $\mathcal{L} = T - V$ . Let's derive its Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta_k} &= \sum_{i=k}^n m_i \left[ -\ell_k \dot{\theta}_k \sin \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \cos \theta_j + \ell_k \dot{\theta}_k \cos \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \sin \theta_j \right] - g \sum_{i=k}^n m_i \ell_k \sin \theta_k \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_k} &= \sum_{i=k}^n m_i \left[ \ell_k \cos \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \cos \theta_j + \ell_k \sin \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \sin \theta_j \right] \\ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_k} &= \sum_{i=k}^n m_i \left[ -\ell_k \dot{\theta}_k \sin \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \cos \theta_j + \ell_k \dot{\theta}_k \cos \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j \sin \theta_j \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{i=k}^n m_i \left[ \ell_k \cos \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j (\ddot{\theta}_j \cos \theta_j - \dot{\theta}_j^2 \sin \theta_j) + \ell_k \sin \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j (\ddot{\theta}_j \sin \theta_j + \dot{\theta}_j^2 \cos \theta_j) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thankfully a lot of terms will cancel.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_k} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta_k} &= \sum_{i=k}^n m_i \ell_k \left[ g \sin \theta_k + \cos \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j (\ddot{\theta}_j \cos \theta_j - \dot{\theta}_j^2 \sin \theta_j) + \sin \theta_k \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j (\ddot{\theta}_j \sin \theta_j + \dot{\theta}_j^2 \cos \theta_j) \right] = \\ &= \ell_k \sum_{i=k}^n m_i \left[ g \sin \theta_k + \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j (\ddot{\theta}_j \cos(\theta_k - \theta_j) + \dot{\theta}_j^2 \sin(\theta_k - \theta_j)) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let's now split up the sums.

$$\sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=1}^i m_i \ell_j \ddot{\theta}_j \cos(\theta_k - \theta_j) + \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=1}^i m_i \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j^2 \sin(\theta_k - \theta_j) + g \sin \theta_k \sum_{i=k}^n m_i = 0$$

Our next trick will be swapping the order of summation. This leaves us with

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \ell_j \ddot{\theta}_j \cos(\theta_k - \theta_j) \sum_{i=\max(j,k)}^n m_i + \sum_{i=k}^n \ell_j \dot{\theta}_j^2 \sin(\theta_k - \theta_j) \sum_{i=\max(j,k)}^n m_i + g \sin \theta_k \sum_{i=k}^n m_i = 0$$

Finally! Notice this expression sums over  $\ddot{\theta}_j$  and  $\dot{\theta}_j^2$ . Let's see what it looks like in matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 \sum_{i=1}^n m_i & \ell_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \sum_{i=2}^n m_i & \cdots & \ell_n \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_n) m_n \\ \ell_1 \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \sum_{i=2}^n m_i & \ell_2 \sum_{i=2}^n m_i & \cdots & \ell_n \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_n) m_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \ell_1 \cos(\theta_n - \theta_1) m_n & \ell_1 \cos(\theta_n - \theta_2) m_n & \cdots & \ell_n m_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\theta}_1 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \ddot{\theta}_n \end{pmatrix} + \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ell_2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \sum_{i=2}^n m_i & \cdots & \ell_n \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_n) m_n \\ \ell_1 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \sum_{i=2}^n m_i & 0 & \cdots & \ell_n \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_n) m_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \ell_1 \sin(\theta_n - \theta_1) m_n & \ell_1 \sin(\theta_n - \theta_2) m_n & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1^2 \\ \dot{\theta}_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\theta}_n^2 \end{pmatrix} + g \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \\ \sin \theta_2 \sum_{i=2}^n m_i \\ \vdots \\ \sin \theta_n m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

If one stares at this expression for long enough they may notice something. Let's call  $\sum_{i=k}^n m_i = \mu_k$ :

$$\sum_{j,\ell=1}^n \Re(\mathbf{Z})_{k\ell} \mathbf{L}_{\ell j} \ddot{\theta}_j + \sum_{j,\ell=1}^n \Im(\mathbf{Z})_{k\ell} \mathbf{L}_{\ell j} \dot{\theta}_j^2 + g \mu_k \sin \theta_k = 0$$

where  $\Re(\cdot)$  denotes “the real part of” and  $\Im(\cdot)$  denotes “the imaginary part of”. Also  $\mathbf{L} = \text{diag}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} = e^{i\mathbf{\Theta}} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{U}^T e^{-i\mathbf{\Theta}}$ , and the matrices  $\mathbf{Z}$  factors into are  $\mathbf{\Theta} = \text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{M} = \text{diag}(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ , and  $\mathbf{U}$  is an upper triangular matrix of ones.

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is now clear that if we want to write the ODE for the system in explicit form we may do the following:

$$\ddot{\theta}_k = - \sum_{i,j,\ell,m=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ki}^{-1} \Re(\mathbf{Z})_{i\ell}^{-1} \Im(\mathbf{Z})_{\ell m} \mathbf{L}_{mj} \dot{\theta}_j^2 - g \sum_{j,\ell=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{k\ell}^{-1} \Re(\mathbf{Z})_{\ell j}^{-1} \mu_j \sin \theta_j$$

And we therefore need to calculate  $\Re(\mathbf{Z})^{-1} = 2(\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}^*)^{-1}$ . Applying the Woodbury matrix identity

$$(\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}^*)^{-1} = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{Z}^{-1} (\mathbf{Z}^{-1} + (\mathbf{Z}^*)^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}^{-1} = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}^{-1}$$

Furthermore, since  $\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}^*$  is real

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\mathbf{Z})^{-1} &= 2(\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}^*)^{-1} = 2\Re((\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}^*)^{-1}) = \\ &= 2\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}) - [\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^{-1}\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}) - \Im(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^{-1}\Im(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})] = \\ &= \Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}) + \Im(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^{-1}\Im(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

And we can find further simplification for the matrix  $\Re(\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\Im(\mathbf{Z})$  which multiplies  $\dot{\theta}_j^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\Im(\mathbf{Z}) &= -i\Re(\mathbf{Z})^{-1}[\mathbf{Z} - \Re(\mathbf{Z})] = -i[\Re(\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{I}] = \\ &= -i[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Z}^{-1}\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^{-1}] = \Re(-i[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Z}^{-1}\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^{-1}]) = \\ &= -\Im(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that our only task now is to invert a series of diagonal matrices to find  $\mathbf{Z}^{-1}$ , and also by inspection we can tell

$$\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can luckily obtain  $\mathbf{Z}^{-1}$  via direct computation without too much effort.

$$\mathbf{Z}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m_1} & -\frac{\exp[i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)]}{m_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\frac{\exp[i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]}{m_1} & \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} & -\frac{\exp[i(\theta_2 - \theta_3)]}{m_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\exp[i(\theta_3 - \theta_2)]}{m_2} & \frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{1}{m_3} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -\frac{\exp[i(\theta_{n-1} - \theta_{n-2})]}{m_{n-2}} & \frac{1}{m_{n-2}} + \frac{1}{m_{n-1}} & -\frac{\exp[i(\theta_{n-1} - \theta_n)]}{m_{n-1}} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{\exp[i(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1})]}{m_{n-1}} & \frac{1}{m_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{m_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The only remaining hard matrix to invert in this problem is  $\Re(\mathbf{Z}^{-1})$ , but we have found it is tridiagonal (sparse) and symmetric. We'll leave its inversion to SciPy.