Employer Feedback Survey

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005, 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

Program Profile

M Aeronautical Science

Prepared by:
Jessica Beyer
Office of Institutional Research
November 2008

This Program Profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005. Almost every question from the instrument is included. Some of the skill verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Employer comments for 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' of the degree program have been included. In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, campus, program, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Please use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded.

Number of Respondents By Campus and Year of Graduation.

	M Aeronautical Science					
	2002	2003	2004	2005	All Years	
Worldwide Campus	18	24	25	24	91	

Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Less than 1 year	1-2 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	11.1%	11.3%	16.6%	61.1%
Campus	2003	24	4.0%	11.9%	24.9%	59.3%
	2004	25	12.0%	20.0%	12.0%	56.0%
	2005	23	9.0%	17.9%	4.5%	68.6%
	All Years	90	8.9%	15.5%	14.2%	61.4%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years', '3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

			2002	2003	2004	2005	All Years
Worldwide	Unwtd # of Respondents	18	24	25	24	91	
Campus	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	16.6%	11.9%	12.0%	8.6%	11.9%
	Airline	Wtd Col %	11.1%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	3.2%
	Airport (operations,	Wtd Col %	5.5%	11.9%	4.0%	4.3%	6.4%
	Air Traffic Controller	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	1.0%
	Charter/freight	Wtd Col %	5.5%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Corporate aviation	Wtd Col %	.0%	4.0%	20.0%	7.8%	8.4%
	Education (flight instructor	Wtd Col %	5.5%	4.0%	8.0%	4.3%	5.4%
	FBO (flight instructor,	Wtd Col %	.0%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Flight training (OTHER	Wtd Col %	.0%	9.1%	.0%	4.3%	3.6%
	Government	Wtd Col %	17.0%	7.9%	8.0%	12.1%	11.0%
	Manufacturing (design, etc)	Wtd Col %	.0%	4.0%	.0%	4.3%	2.2%
	Military	Wtd Col %	33.2%	27.7%	40.0%	42.9%	36.3%
	Sales/Customer Service	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	1.2%
	Other (aviation)	Wtd Col %	.0%	4.0%	4.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Other (non-aviation)	Wtd Col %	5.5%	4.0%	4.0%	.0%	3.2%

Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Closely related	Somewhat related
		Respondents	Wtd Col %	Wtd Col %
Worldwide	2002	18	55.5%	44.5%
Campus	2003	24	64.4%	35.6%
	2004	25	52.0%	48.0%
	2005	24	70.7%	29.3%
	All Years	91	61.3%	38.7%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus

			Νι	ımber of ERAU	Graduates Kn	ow Professiona	ally
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10	11-50	Over 50
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	33.2%	16.8%	16.8%	22.1%	11.1%
Campus	2003	24	23.7%	44.7%	11.9%	15.8%	4.0%
	2004	24	25.0%	37.5%	20.8%	8.3%	8.3%
	2005	24	12.9%	37.1%	24.2%	21.5%	4.3%
	All Years	90	22.8%	35.1%	18.7%	16.9%	6.6%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus

			Number o	of ERAU Gradu	ates Currently	Supervise
			1	2-5	6-10	Over 20
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	55.3%	39.2%	.0%	5.5%
Campus	2003	24	64.4%	27.7%	7.9%	.0%
	2004	24	58.3%	37.5%	.0%	4.2%
	2005	24	54.3%	32.8%	12.9%	.0%
	All Years	90	58.1%	33.9%	5.8%	2.2%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus

				s Who Are Graduates
		Unwtd # of	Yes	No
			Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	33.2%	66.8%
Campus	2003	24	15.8%	84.2%
	2004	25	8.0%	92.0%
	2005	24	20.7%	79.3%
	All Years	91	18.7%	81.3%

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus

			Н	iring involvemn	et level
		Unwtd # of	Make Final Deciaiton	Provide Input	No Involvement
			Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	61.1%	38.9%	.0%
Campus	2003	23	54.7%	37.0%	8.2%
	2004	25	52.0%	28.0%	20.0%
	2005	23	61.5%	25.9%	12.6%
	All Years	89	57.2%	31.9%	10.8%

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus

				Preferen	ce for Hiring G	raduates	
			Strong Preference for ERAU	Some Preference for ERAU	No	Some Preference for Other	Strong Preference for Other
		Unwtd # of	Graduates	Graduates	Preference	Graduates	Graduates
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	11.1%	16.6%	72.3%	.0%	.0%
Campus	2003	22	.0%	39.9%	60.1%	.0%	.0%
	2004	21	14.3%	23.8%	57.1%	.0%	4.8%
	2005	20	30.5%	.0%	65.3%	4.2%	.0%
	All Years	81	14.2%	20.0%	63.5%	1.1%	1.1%

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus

			Preference for multi-lingual candidates		
		Unwtd # of	Strong Some No Preference Preference Preference		
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2003	22	9.9%	55.8%	34.3%
Campus	2004	20	10.0%	25.0%	65.0%
	2005	18	.0%	44.3%	55.7%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus

			Importance of global awareness and international experience		
	Unwtd#		Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2003	24	32.8%	51.4%	15.8%
Campus	2004	25	48.0%	32.0%	20.0%
	2005	24	8.6%	45.7%	45.7%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus

			Anticipated changes to organization's need for av/aero professionals			
			Increased Need	No Changes	Decreased Need	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Worldwide	2002	18	33.2%	55.5%	11.3%	
Campus	2003	23	42.4%	57.6%	.0%	
	2004	25	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	
	2005	24	45.7%	54.3%	.0%	
	All Years	90	40.9%	56.8%	2.3%	

New question as of the Class of 2002 survey.

Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus

			Educ	ation of ERAU	Graduate Meet	s Company's N	leeds
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	33.2%	61.1%	5.7%	.0%	.0%
Campus	2003	24	19.8%	72.3%	4.0%	.0%	4.0%
	2004	25	36.0%	48.0%	12.0%	4.0%	.0%
	2005	23	66.0%	.0%	26.7%	3.7%	3.7%
	All Years	90	39.6%	43.6%	12.7%	2.1%	2.0%

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a valuable employee By Campus

				Graduate	is a Valuable I	Employee	
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	83.2%	11.1%	.0%	5.7%	.0%
Campus	2003	24	68.4%	27.7%	.0%	.0%	4.0%
	2004	25	68.0%	20.0%	8.0%	4.0%	.0%
	2005	24	80.1%	.0%	8.6%	.0%	11.4%
	All Years	91	74.6%	14.5%	4.5%	2.2%	4.3%

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus

				Graduate is a (Good Candidate	e for Promotion	l
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	18	60.8%	27.7%	5.7%	5.7%	.0%
Campus	2003	24	52.6%	35.6%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
	2004	25	68.0%	16.0%	12.0%	4.0%	.0%
	2005	24	71.5%	.0%	8.6%	8.6%	11.4%
	All Years	91	63.6%	18.8%	7.7%	5.7%	4.3%

 $Response\ options:\ 'Strongly\ Disagree',\ 'Disagree',\ 'Neutral',\ 'Agree',\ 'Strongly\ Agree'.$

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus

			Skill Lev	vel of ERAU Gr	aduate Compa Other Institution		es From					
		Unwtd # of	Much Higher	Somewhat Higher	Equivalent	Somewhat Lower	Much Lower					
		Respondents Wtd Row %										
Worldwide	2002	18	22.1%	27.7%	44.5%	.0%	5.7%					
Campus	2003	24	19.8%	52.6%	27.7%	.0%	.0%					
	2004	25	16.0%	36.0%	44.0%	4.0%	.0%					
	2005	23	9.0%	25.3%	61.2%	.0%	4.5%					
	All Years	90	16.3%	35.7%	44.6%	1.0%	2.4%					

	Worldwide	Campus M	Aeronauti	cal Scie	nce
--	-----------	----------	-----------	----------	-----

Worldwide Campus iv	1 Aeronaut	utical Science Employer's Usefulness of Skill														
			Lilipioyi	on the Job	OI SKIII		Compe	tence of thi	s ERAU Gra	duate		Compet	ence of Gra	duates from	Other Inst	itutions
			Very Useful	Somewhat	Not		Functions	Caad	A.,	Poor		Excellent	Cand	A	Door	Very
		Unwtd#	Wtd %	Useful Wtd %	Useful Wtd %	Unwtd#	Excellent Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Poor Wtd %	Poor Wtd %
Quantitative/mathematics	2002	18	44.7%	44.2%	11.1%	16	31.1%	50.0%	18.9%	.0%	14	29.0%	35.5%	35.5%	.0%	.0%
	2003	24	28.9%	67.2%	4.0%	23	24.7%	54.7%	20.6%	.0%	22	21.5%	48.5%	30.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25 23	44.0% 41.6%	52.0% 53.9%	4.0%	22 19	45.5% 35.0%	36.4% 32.5%	18.2% 27.1%	.0%	18 15	11.1% 20.2%	61.1% 52.8%	27.8% 20.2%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	39.5%	54.9%	5.5%	80	34.0%	43.3%	21.1%	1.4%	69	20.2%	49.9%	28.3%	1.6%	.0%
Basic PC software (word	2002	18	94.5%	5.5%	.0%	18	55.5%	38.7%	5.8%	.0%	16	37.6%	43.8%	18.6%	.0%	.0%
processing, spreadsheets,	2003	24	88.1%	11.9%	.0%	23	41.1%	50.6%	8.2%	.0%	22	12.9%	64.4%	22.8%	.0%	.0%
etc.)	2004	25	84.0%	16.0%	.0%	22	36.4%	50.0%	9.1%	4.5%	19	21.1%	47.4%	31.6%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	23	96.3%	3.7%	.0%	20	49.5%	36.0%	9.3%	5.1%	15	27.0%	59.5%	13.5%	.0%	.0%
Writing skills	2002	90 18	90.6% 77.6%	9.4%	.0%	83 18	45.3% 44.2%	44.0% 33.2%	8.2% 22.6%	2.5%	72 16	23.7% 24.9%	54.4% 44.1%	21.9% 24.9%	.0%	.0%
(non-technical)	2003	23	83.5%	16.5%	.0%	22	25.7%	61.4%	12.9%	.0%	21	.0%	62.8%	37.2%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25	72.0%	28.0%	.0%	22	31.8%	50.0%	18.2%	.0%	19	.0%	47.4%	52.6%	.0%	.0%
	2005	23	82.9%	17.1%	.0%	20	29.9%	59.8%	10.3%	.0%	15	20.2%	59.5%	20.2%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	89	79.1%	20.9%	.0%	82	32.5%	51.9%	15.7%	.0%	71	10.4%	53.9%	34.3%	1.4%	.0%
Technical writing	2002	18 24	66.8% 43.5%	27.6% 52.6%	5.5%	17 23	35.1% 32.9%	47.1% 37.0%	11.7% 30.1%	6.1%	15 22	26.8%	40.1% 47.2%	26.5% 52.8%	6.6%	.0%
	2003	24	66.7%	29.2%	4.0%	23	23.8%	47.6%	28.6%	.0%	18	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%	5.6%	.0%
	2005	23	64.9%	26.1%	9.0%	20	24.8%	49.5%	25.7%	.0%	15	27.0%	39.3%	33.7%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	89	60.1%	34.2%	5.7%	81	29.0%	45.1%	24.6%	1.3%	70	13.5%	43.1%	40.6%	2.8%	.0%
Speaking before an	2002	18	72.1%	27.9%	.0%	18	38.7%	50.0%	11.3%	.0%	17	23.7%	35.1%	35.4%	5.9%	.0%
audience	2003	24	84.2%	11.9%	4.0%	24	23.7%	60.5%	15.8%	.0%	22	4.3%	47.2%	48.5%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25 23	68.0% 79.2%	28.0% 12.6%	4.0% 8.2%	22 19	31.8% 47.8%	45.5% 31.5%	18.2% 20.7%	4.5%	18 14	.0%	50.0% 49.3%	44.4% 29.0%	5.6%	.0%
	All Years	90	79.2% 76.1%	12.6%	4.3%	19	47.8% 35.0%	31.5% 47.2%	16.6%	1.1%	71	11.7%	49.3%	29.0% 40.1%	2.7%	.0%
Applied research	2002	18	66.8%	33.2%	.0%	18	44.5%	49.7%	.0%	5.8%	16	31.6%	49.7%	12.4%	6.2%	.0%
(information gathering	2003	24	51.4%	44.7%	4.0%	23	41.1%	28.8%	30.1%	.0%	22	.0%	60.1%	39.9%	.0%	.0%
and analysis)	2004	25	76.0%	20.0%	4.0%	23	43.5%	34.8%	21.7%	.0%	19	5.3%	52.6%	42.1%	.0%	.0%
	2005	23	60.4%	30.6%	9.0%	20	34.1%	29.9%	25.7%	10.3%	15	25.8%	40.5%	27.0%	.0%	6.7%
Critical thinking	All Years	90	63.4%	32.0%	4.6%	84	40.6%	35.2%	20.3%	3.9%	72	14.3%	51.4%	31.3%	1.4%	1.6%
Chucai thinking	2002	18 24	83.4% 76.3%	16.6% 23.7%	.0%	18 23	55.3% 41.1%	27.9% 38.3%	5.5% 20.6%	11.3%	16 22	25.4% 8.6%	49.7% 60.1%	24.9% 31.4%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25	72.0%	28.0%	.0%	23	39.1%	43.5%	13.0%	4.3%	19	10.5%	47.4%	42.1%	.0%	.0%
	2005	23	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	20	44.4%	45.3%	5.1%	5.1%	15	25.8%	47.2%	27.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	81.9%	18.1%	.0%	84	44.5%	39.2%	11.4%	4.9%	72	16.8%	51.7%	31.5%	.0%	.0%
Independent work	2002	18	83.4%	16.6%	.0%	18	66.6%	27.6%	.0%	5.8%	16	25.4%	43.5%	31.1%	.0%	.0%
	2003	24	84.2%	15.8%	.0%	24	47.4%	44.7%	7.9%	.0%	22	4.3%	70.0%	25.7%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25 23	80.0% 100.0%	20.0%	.0%	23 20	43.5% 54.7%	39.1% 35.0%	17.4%	.0%	19 15	5.3% 25.8%	42.1% 54.0%	52.6% 13.5%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	87.3%	12.7%	.0%	85	52.4%	37.2%	6.6%	3.9%	72	14.2%	53.5%	30.8%	1.6%	.0%
Planning, scheduling,	2002	18	83.4%	16.6%	.0%	18	55.5%	33.2%	11.3%	.0%	16	18.9%	37.6%	37.3%	6.2%	.0%
and carrying out projects	2003	24	88.1%	11.9%	.0%	23	58.9%	32.9%	4.1%	4.1%	22	8.6%	51.5%	35.6%	4.3%	.0%
	2004	25	88.0%	12.0%	.0%	23	43.5%	39.1%	17.4%	.0%	19	5.3%	47.4%	47.4%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	23 90	87.4%	12.6%	.0%	20 84	59.8%	19.6%	10.3% 10.7%	10.3%	15 72	25.8% 14.0%	40.5%	33.7% 38.5%	.0%	.0%
Defining and solving	2002	18	86.9% 83.4%	13.1% 16.6%	.0%	18	54.4% 49.7%	31.1% 38.9%	5.5%	5.8%	16	18.9%	44.8% 43.8%	38.5%	6.2%	.0%
problems	2003	24	72.3%	27.7%	.0%	24	31.6%	52.6%	15.8%	.0%	22	8.6%	65.7%	25.7%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	22	40.9%	40.9%	18.2%	.0%	19	.0%	47.4%	47.4%	5.3%	.0%
	2005	23	82.9%	17.1%	.0%	20	44.4%	50.5%	.0%	5.1%	15	32.5%	47.2%	20.2%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	79.5%	20.5%	.0%	84	41.1%	46.2%	10.1%	2.6%	72	14.3%	52.0%	31.0%	2.7%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	2002	18 24	83.4% 72.3%	16.6% 27.7%	.0%	18 23	61.1% 49.4%	33.4% 42.4%	.0%	5.5%	16 22	25.4% 8.6%	24.9% 65.7%	49.7% 25.7%	.0%	.0%
	2003	25	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	23	49.4%	42.4%	14.3%	.0%	18	11.1%	61.1%	25.7%	.0%	.0%
	2005	23	87.4%	12.6%	.0%	20	54.7%	35.0%	5.1%	5.1%	15	33.7%	46.0%	20.2%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	80.7%	19.3%	.0%	82	51.8%	38.6%	7.0%	2.6%	71	18.9%	50.7%	30.4%	.0%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2002	18	83.4%	16.6%	.0%	18	49.7%	38.9%	5.8%	5.5%	16	18.9%	50.0%	18.6%	12.4%	.0%
	2003	24 25	72.3%	27.7%	.0%	23	41.1%	42.4%	16.5%	.0%	22	8.6%	57.1%	34.3%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25	72.0% 79.2%	28.0%	.0%	22 20	31.8% 54.7%	45.5% 24.8%	22.7% 5.1%	.0%	19 15	.0%	57.9% 46.0%	42.1% 20.2%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	76.4%	23.6%	.0%	83	44.3%	37.8%	12.7%	5.3%	72	13.0%	53.2%	29.5%	4.3%	.0%
Responsible actions and	2002	18	83.4%	16.6%	.0%	18	44.2%	50.0%	.0%	5.8%	16	12.4%	44.1%	37.3%	.0%	6.2%
decision making	2003	24	80.2%	19.8%	.0%	23	41.1%	50.6%	8.2%	.0%	22	12.9%	57.1%	30.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25	84.0%	16.0%	.0%	23	39.1%	43.5%	17.4%	.0%	19	10.5%	47.4%	42.1%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	23 90	95.5% 86.1%	4.5% 13.9%	.0%	20 84	59.8% 46.1%	19.6% 40.6%	20.6% 12.0%	.0%	15 72	33.7% 17.0%	39.3% 47.7%	27.0% 33.9%	.0%	.0%
Understanding other	2002	18	86.1% 77.9%	13.9%	.0%	18	46.1% 44.5%	40.6% 38.7%	12.0%	1.3% 5.5%	16	17.0%	47.7%	33.9%	.0%	6.2%
people and other points	2003	24	63.2%	36.8%	.0%	23	37.0%	38.3%	24.7%	.0%	22	8.6%	42.9%	44.2%	4.3%	.0%
of view	2004	25	76.0%	24.0%	.0%	23	34.8%	47.8%	13.0%	4.3%	19	5.3%	57.9%	36.8%	.0%	.0%
	2005	23	91.8%	8.2%	.0%	20	54.7%	35.0%	5.1%	5.1%	15	20.2%	73.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	77.4%	22.6%	.0%	84	42.6%	40.0%	13.7%	3.6%	72	11.3%	53.8%	32.2%	1.3%	1.4%
Environmental awareness	2002	18	39.2%	44.2%	16.6%	15	33.1%	40.1%	19.9%	6.9%	13	15.3%	31.3%	45.8%	.0%	7.6%
	2003	24 25	35.6% 32.0%	52.6% 60.0%	11.9%	21	26.9% 27.3%	50.7% 36.4%	22.4% 36.4%	.0%	21 19	13.4% 10.5%	40.3% 42.1%	46.2% 42.1%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25	32.0% 55.9%	30.6%	13.5%	17	27.3% 34.2%	36.4% 54.7%	36.4% 11.0%	.0%	19	10.5% 25.4%	42.1%	42.1% 32.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	90	41.0%	46.7%	12.3%	75	30.1%	45.6%	22.9%	1.4%	65	15.5%	39.4%	42.2%	1.4%	1.6%
Political and economic	2002	18	33.4%	50.0%	16.6%	17	29.3%	35.1%	29.8%	5.9%	15	13.3%	40.4%	39.8%	6.6%	.0%
awareness	2003	24	32.8%	63.2%	4.0%	23	20.6%	63.0%	16.5%	.0%	22	4.3%	42.9%	52.8%	.0%	.0%
	2004	25	28.0%	48.0%	24.0%	20	30.0%	45.0%	25.0%	.0%	17	5.9%	58.8%	29.4%	5.9%	.0%
	2005	23	34.3%	44.9%	20.8%	19	36.0%	43.4%	15.3%	5.4%	14	21.7%	34.9%	36.2%	7.2%	.0%
	All Years	90	32.1%	51.5%	16.4%	79	28.7%	47.5%	21.1%	2.7%	68	10.6%	44.2%	40.7%	4.5%	.0%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

ERAU Degree Specific Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job MAS Core Questions: All Specializations Combined

Worldwide Campus M Aeronautical Science

			Com	petence of	this ERAU Grad	duate			Compet	ence of other G	raduates			Usef	ulness of skill on the	e Job
			(1) Very	(2)				(1) Very	(2)			(5) Very		(1) Very	(2) Somewhat	(3) Not
			Good	Good	(3) Average	(4) Poor		Good	Good	(3) Average	(4) Poor	Poor		Useful	Useful	Useful
		Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %
(A) Air transportation as	2002	9	88.9%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	9	11.6%	22.1%	66.3%	.0%	.0%	12	50.2%	33.2%	16.6%
part of system	2003	17	38.2%	39.9%	21.8%	.0%	16	.0%	11.6%	88.4%	.0%	.0%	18	25.9%	63.7%	10.4%
	2004	14	35.7%	35.7%	28.6%	.0%	12	16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	.0%	19	31.6%	52.6%	15.8%
	2005	7	41.3%	29.3%	14.7%	14.7%	6	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	9	43.3%	34.0%	22.7%
	All Years	47	47.9%	31.4%	18.3%	2.4%	43	9.4%	18.1%	70.3%	2.2%	.0%	58	35.7%	48.8%	15.5%
(B) Basic elements of	2002	7	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	.0%	7	.0%	28.6%	71.4%	.0%	.0%	12	24.9%	24.9%	50.2%
STS	2003	12	22.5%	47.4%	30.1%	.0%	13	.0%	14.0%	65.1%	14.0%	7.0%	18	5.2%	37.9%	57.0%
	2004	14	35.7%	42.9%	21.4%	.0%	12	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	19	15.8%	57.9%	26.3%
	2005	3	29.0%	.0%	35.5%	35.5%	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	8	10.4%	12.8%	76.8%
	All Years	36	29.2%	40.6%	27.1%	3.1%	34	5.5%	17.0%	65.9%	8.8%	2.7%	57	13.6%	37.5%	48.9%
(C) Materials/practices	2002	9	33.2%	44.2%	22.6%	.0%	9	.0%	33.7%	55.3%	11.1%	.0%	12	16.6%	66.8%	16.6%
used in A/A vehicles	2003	14	19.6%	54.3%	26.1%	.0%	14	.0%	13.1%	86.9%	.0%	.0%	18	10.4%	58.6%	31.1%
	2004	14	42.9%	21.4%	35.7%	.0%	12	8.3%	25.0%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	19	31.6%	42.1%	26.3%
	2005	5	16.9%	41.5%	20.8%	20.8%	4	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	9	20.6%	45.4%	34.0%
	All Years	42	29.5%	40.1%	27.7%	2.7%	39	5.3%	19.8%	69.5%	5.5%	.0%	58	20.0%	53.0%	27.1%
(D) Human factors	2002	9	33.2%	44.7%	22.1%	.0%	9	11.6%	11.1%	55.3%	22.1%	.0%	12	66.8%	24.9%	8.3%
problems and analysis	2003	17	27.3%	50.8%	21.8%	.0%	16	.0%	23.1%	76.9%	.0%	.0%	18	53.4%	46.6%	.0%
	2004	17	52.9%	29.4%	17.6%	.0%	15	13.3%	33.3%	53.3%	.0%	.0%	19	68.4%	21.1%	10.5%
	2005	7	26.6%	44.0%	14.7%	14.7%	6	.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%	9	54.6%	34.0%	11.3%
	All Years	50	36.5%	41.8%	19.4%	2.3%	46	6.4%	27.8%	59.0%	6.8%	.0%	58	61.1%	32.0%	6.9%
(E) Major steps in	2002	10	39.8%	40.3%	19.9%	.0%	10	10.4%	29.9%	59.7%	.0%	.0%	12	66.8%	33.2%	.0%
developing a research	2003	16	28.9%	36.4%	34.7%	.0%	15	.0%	24.5%	75.5%	.0%	.0%	18	36.3%	48.2%	15.5%
study	2004	16	50.0%	25.0%	18.8%	6.3%	15	13.3%	26.7%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	19	36.8%	47.4%	15.8%
	2005	6	31.2%	51.6%	.0%	17.2%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	9	43.3%	34.0%	22.7%
	All Years	48	38.2%	35.7%	21.8%	4.3%	45	9.0%	25.8%	58.9%	6.3%	.0%	58	44.1%	42.4%	13.6%
(F) Analysis of five	2002	9	33.2%	44.7%	22.1%	.0%	9	11.6%	22.1%	66.3%	.0%	.0%	11	36.6%	63.4%	.0%
major research	2003	15	24.5%	44.8%	24.5%	6.1%	14	.0%	13.1%	86.9%	.0%	.0%	18	43.0%	25.9%	31.1%
methodologies	2004	15	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	14	14.3%	21.4%	50.0%	14.3%	.0%	19	36.8%	47.4%	15.8%
	2005	6	31.2%	34.4%	17.2%	17.2%	5	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	9	20.6%	56.7%	22.7%
	All Years	45	32.1%	35.5%	27.8%	4.6%	42	9.6%	15.9%	67.3%	7.1%	.0%	57	35.9%	45.4%	18.7%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'. ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

 $ERAU\ Preparation\ response\ options\ for\ the\ Class\ of\ 2000\ survey: \ '1=Excellent',\ '2=Very\ Good',\ '4=Fair',\ '5=Poor'.$

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

	Aeronaut	ical Scie	nce Av/A	erospace M	lgmt											
			Employe	er's Usefulness on the Job	of Skill		Compe	tence of thi	s ERAU Gra	iduate		Compet	ence of Gra	duates from	Other Inet	itutione
			Very	Somewhat	Not		Compe	terice or trii	S EKAO GIZ	uuate		Compet	ence or Gra	duales iron	Other mst	Very
			Useful	Useful	Useful		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Poor
Quantitative/mathematics	2002	Unwtd#	Wtd % 38.2%	Wtd % 49.4%	Wtd % 12.4%	Unwtd #	Wtd % 28.2%	Wtd % 57.1%	Wtd % 14.7%	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd % 67.2%	Wtd %	Wtd % 32.8%	Wtd %	Wtd %
Quantitativo/manomation	2003	12	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	11	36.4%	45.5%	18.2%	.0%	10	50.0%	30.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	46.2%	53.8%	.0%	12	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	9	11.1%	33.3%	55.6%	.0%	.0%
	2005	9	31.9%	68.1%	.0%	8	36.0%	25.6%	25.6%	12.8%	6	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	.0%
	All Years	42	35.5%	62.1%	2.4%	38	33.8%	39.4%	23.8%	3.0%	31	35.4%	29.3%	31.7%	3.7%	.0%
Basic PC software (word processing, spreadsheets,	2002	8	87.6%	12.4%	.0%	8	50.0%	37.1%	12.9%	.0%	7	42.9%	28.8%	28.2%	.0%	.0%
etc.)	2003	12	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	11	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	.0%	10	20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13 9	76.9% 100.0%	23.1%	.0%	12 8	25.0% 48.8%	58.3% 38.4%	8.3%	8.3% 12.8%	10	20.0% 33.3%	30.0% 33.3%	50.0% 33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	84.0%	16.0%	.0%	39	38.8%	48.3%	7.6%	5.4%	33	27.8%	41.8%	30.4%	.0%	.0%
Writing skills	2002	8	74.7%	25.3%	.0%	8	49.4%	24.7%	25.8%	.0%	7	28.2%	57.7%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
(non-technical)	2003	11	81.8%	18.2%	.0%	10	40.0%	50.0%	10.0%	.0%	9	.0%	77.8%	22.2%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	61.5%	38.5%	.0%	12	25.0%	41.7%	33.3%	.0%	10	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	9	77.3%	22.7%	.0%	8	36.0%	51.2%	12.8%	.0%	6	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	41	73.2%	26.8%	.0%	38	36.6%	42.3%	21.1%	.0%	32	9.9%	50.2%	39.9%	.0%	.0%
Technical writing	2002	8	62.9%	24.7%	12.4%	7	28.2%	57.1%	.0%	14.7%	6	50.0%	33.6%	16.4%	.0%	.0%
	2003	12	58.3%	41.7%	.0%	11	45.5%	54.5%	.0%	.0%	10	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13 9	61.5% 100.0%	38.5%	.0%	12 8	25.0% 36.0%	33.3% 51.2%	41.7% 12.8%	.0%	10	10.0% 16.7%	20.0% 33.3%	60.0% 50.0%	10.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	70.1%	27.4%	2.4%	38	33.8%	47.8%	15.6%	2.8%	32	16.7%	37.3%	43.5%	3.0%	.0%
Speaking before an	2002	8	62.4%	37.6%	.0%	8	37.1%	50.0%	12.9%	.0%	8	50.0%	24.7%	25.3%	.0%	.0%
audience	2003	12	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%	12	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	.0%	10	10.0%	50.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	12	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	10	.0%	20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	.0%
	2005	9	77.3%	22.7%	.0%	8	48.8%	12.8%	38.4%	.0%	6	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	71.4%	26.4%	2.2%	40	32.8%	41.6%	23.2%	2.4%	34	18.3%	32.1%	46.8%	2.8%	.0%
Applied research	2002	8	62.9%	37.1%	.0%	8	37.6%	49.4%	.0%	12.9%	7	57.7%	28.2%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
(information gathering and analysis)	2003	12	83.3%	16.7%	.0%	11	54.5%	45.5%	.0%	.0%	10	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	69.2%	23.1%	7.7%	12	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	.0%	10	10.0%	20.0%	70.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	9 42	88.7% 76.4%	11.3% 21.3%	.0%	8 39	36.0% 45.4%	25.6% 33.3%	12.8% 12.7%	25.6% 8.6%	6 33	16.7% 19.0%	33.3% 41.7%	33.3% 35.9%	.0%	16.7% 3.4%
Critical thinking	2002	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	8	37.1%	50.0%	.0%	12.9%	7	57.7%	28.2%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
	2003	12	91.7%	8.3%	.0%	11	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	.0%	10	10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	12	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	10	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	9	88.7%	11.3%	.0%	8	36.0%	38.4%	12.8%	12.8%	6	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	81.1%	18.9%	.0%	39	42.9%	36.4%	12.7%	8.1%	33	24.7%	45.1%	30.2%	.0%	.0%
Independent work	2002	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	8	50.0%	37.1%	.0%	12.9%	7	57.7%	28.2%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
macpondom nom	2003	12	83.3%	16.7%	.0%	12	58.3%	41.7%	.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	60.0%	30.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	76.9%	23.1%	.0%	12	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	20.0%	70.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	9 42	100.0% 83.8%	.0%	.0%	8 40	48.8% 52.1%	38.4% 35.2%	.0% 7.2%	12.8% 5.5%	6 33	16.7% 21.9%	50.0% 39.4%	33.3% 38.7%	.0%	.0%
Planning, scheduling,	2002	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	8	50.0%	37.1%	12.9%	.0%	7	42.9%	28.8%	28.2%	.0%	.0%
and carrying out projects	2003	12	91.7%	8.3%	.0%	11	63.6%	36.4%	.0%	.0%	10	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%	.0%
	2004	13	76.9%	23.1%	.0%	12	41.7%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	9	88.7%	11.3%	.0%	8	61.6%	.0%	25.6%	12.8%	6	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	83.4%	16.6%	.0%	39	53.8%	27.3%	15.9%	2.9%	33	21.5%	36.1%	39.5%	2.9%	.0%
Defining and solving	2002	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	8	37.1%	50.0%	.0%	12.9%	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
problems	2003	12	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	12	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	10	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	12	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	10	.0%	20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	.0%
	All Years	9 42	88.7% 76.6%	11.3% 23.4%	.0%	8 40	36.0% 34.7%	51.2% 45.5%	.0%	12.8% 5.5%	6 33	16.7% 18.6%	66.7% 46.1%	16.7% 32.4%	.0%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	2002	8	75.3%	23.4%	.0%	40 8	50.0%	45.5% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	7	57.7%	14.1%	28.2%	.0%	.0%
g g. suportourilo	2003	12	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	11	54.5%	36.4%	9.1%	.0%	10	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	12	33.3%	41.7%	25.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	9	88.7%	11.3%	.0%	8	61.6%	25.6%	12.8%	.0%	6	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	76.6%	23.4%	.0%	39	49.0%	38.4%	12.7%	.0%	33	24.7%	39.2%	36.1%	.0%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2002	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	8	37.1%	50.0%	12.9%	.0%	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
	2003	12	58.3%	41.7%	.0%	11	36.4%	36.4%	27.3%	.0%	10	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	12	25.0%	41.7%	33.3%	.0%	10	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	9 42	77.3% 69.4%	22.7% 30.6%	.0%	8 39	48.8% 36.0%	12.8% 35.4%	12.8% 22.7%	25.6% 5.8%	6 33	16.7% 15.7%	50.0% 42.7%	16.7% 38.1%	16.7% 3.4%	.0%
Responsible actions and	2002	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	8	36.0%	50.0%	.0%	12.9%	7	28.2%	42.7%	28.2%	.0%	.0%
decision making	2003	12	83.3%	16.7%	.0%	11	45.5%	54.5%	.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	50.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	76.9%	23.1%	.0%	12	33.3%	41.7%	25.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	9	100.0%	.0%	.0%	8	36.0%	25.6%	38.4%	.0%	6	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	83.8%	16.2%	.0%	39	38.0%	43.2%	16.1%	2.7%	33	15.4%	39.4%	45.2%	.0%	.0%
Understanding other	2002	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	8	50.0%	24.7%	25.3%	.0%	7	28.2%	43.6%	28.2%	.0%	.0%
people and other points of view	2003	12	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	11	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%	.0%	10	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	.0%
	2004	13	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	12	41.7%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	10	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	9	88.7%	11.3%	.0%	8	48.8%	38.4%	12.8%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Environmental awareness	2002	42 8	76.6% 50.6%	23.4% 37.1%	.0%	39 7	46.1% 42.3%	31.0% 28.8%	20.4% 14.1%	2.4% 14.7%	33 6	15.4% 32.8%	52.6% 34.3%	29.2% 32.8%	2.9%	.0%
viroriincinai awateriess	2002	12	50.6% 41.7%	37.1% 50.0%	12.4% 8.3%	10	42.3% 30.0%	60.0%	14.1%	.0%	10	32.8%	34.3% 40.0%	32.8%	.0%	.0%
	2003	13	30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	12	25.0%	33.3%	41.7%	.0%	10	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	.0%
	2005	9	54.6%	34.0%	11.3%	7	26.6%	58.7%	14.7%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	42	43.3%	47.0%	9.7%	36	30.2%	44.9%	21.9%	3.0%	31	22.6%	32.1%	42.3%	3.1%	.0%
Political and economic	2002	8	37.6%	50.0%	12.4%	8	24.7%	24.7%	38.2%	12.4%	7	28.2%	43.6%	28.2%	.0%	.0%
awareness	2003	12	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	11	18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	.0%	10	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	13	23.1%	61.5%	15.4%	11	27.3%	45.5%	27.3%	.0%	9	.0%	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	.0%
	2005	9	31.9%	56.7%	11.3%	8	36.0%	25.6%	25.6%	12.8%	6	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%
	All Years	42	28.6%	61.7%	9.7%	38	26.3%	43.7%	24.3%	5.7%	32	12.9%	40.0%	40.6%	6.5%	.0%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

ERAU Degree Specific Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Worldwide Campus M Aeronautical Science Av/Aerospace Mgmt

Worldwide Campus M A	oronaatioc	l				luata			Comnet	anna of other C	radiiataa			Lloofs	ulpage of alvill on th	a lab
					this ERAU Grad	uate	}	(4)) (ence of other G	raduates	(F) \/			ulness of skill on th	
			(1) Very Good	(2) Good	(3) Average	(4) Poor		(1) Very Good	(2) Good	(3) Average	(4) Poor	(5) Very Poor		(1) Very Useful	(2) Somewhat Useful	(3) Not Useful
		Library deal #					11						Library and III			
(A) Air transportation as part	2002	Unwtd #	Wtd % 100.0%	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd % 51.1%	Wtd %	Wtd % 48.9%	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd % 50.6%	Wtd % 24.7%	Wtd % 24.7%
of system	2003	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	.0%	6	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%
	2004	7	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	10	30.0%	50.0%	20.0%
	2005	6	48.4%	34.4%	.0%	17.2%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	7	41.3%	29.3%	29.3%
	All Years	22	50.0%	31.9%	13.0%	5.2%	18	17.4%	21.8%	55.6%	5.2%	.0%	29	34.7%	44.1%	21.2%
(B) Basic elements of STS	2002															
(b) basic elements of 515		1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	49.4%	50.6%
	2003	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	8	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%
	2004	8	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	10	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
	2005	3	29.0%	.0%	35.5%	35.5%	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	6	14.0%	.0%	86.0%
	All Years	16	36.3%	30.9%	25.5%	7.3%	13	7.5%	22.2%	54.1%	8.9%	7.4%	28	13.6%	34.7%	51.8%
(C) Materials/practices used	2002	2	48.9%	.0%	51.1%	.0%	2	.0%	51.1%	48.9%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	75.3%	24.7%
in A/A vehicles	2003	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	5	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	8	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%
	2004	7	57.1%	.0%	42.9%	.0%	5	.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	10	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%
	2005	5	16.9%	41.5%	20.8%	20.8%	4	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	7	26.6%	44.0%	29.3%
	All Years	19	40.3%	17.0%	36.7%	6.0%	16	7.1%	30.1%	55.7%	7.1%	.0%	29	20.3%	48.7%	31.0%
(D) Human factors problems	2002	3	32.8%	34.3%	32.8%	.0%	3	34.3%	.0%	65.7%	.0%	.0%	4	50.6%	49.4%	.0%
and analysis	2003	7	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	.0%	6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	8	37.5%	62.5%	.0%
	2004	8	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	6	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	10	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
	2005	6	31.2%	34.4%	17.2%	17.2%	5	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	7	56.0%	29.3%	14.7%
	All Years	24	28.8%	41.6%	24.9%	4.8%	20	10.0%	34.7%	49.6%	5.6%	.0%	29	51.7%	37.8%	10.5%
(E) Major steps in	2002	3	32.8%	34.3%	32.8%	.0%	3	34.3%	.0%	65.7%	.0%	.0%	4	75.3%	24.7%	.0%
developing a research study	2003	7	14.3%	28.6%	57.1%	.0%	6	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%
	2003															
		7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	6	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	10	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%
	2005	5	16.9%	62.3%	.0%	20.8%	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	7	41.3%	44.0%	14.7%
	All Years	22	26.3%	37.9%	26.3%	9.6%	19	10.6%	20.9%	58.5%	10.0%	.0%	29	38.2%	44.7%	17.1%
(F) Analysis of five major	2002	3	32.8%	34.3%	32.8%	.0%	3	34.3%	.0%	65.7%	.0%	.0%	4	50.6%	49.4%	.0%
research methodologies	2003	6	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	8	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%
	2004	7	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	.0%	6	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	10	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%
	2005	5	16.9%	41.5%	20.8%	20.8%	4	.0%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	7	12.0%	73.4%	14.7%
	All Years	21	32.0%	29.6%	28.4%	9.9%	19	10.6%	14.9%	58.5%	16.0%	.0%	29	33.3%	43.1%	23.6%
(G) Production/procurement	2002	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	51.1%	48.9%	.0%	.0%	4	24.7%	50.6%	24.7%
mgmt in manufacturing	2003	6	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6	.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%	8	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%
	2004	6	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%
	2005	5	37.7%	20.8%	20.8%	20.8%	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	7	41.3%	29.3%	29.3%
	All Years	19	42.0%	20.9%	26.1%	11.0%	17	5.6%	41.8%	41.6%	11.1%	.0%	29	24.4%	38.0%	37.6%
(H) Supply/distribution	2002	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	49.4%	50.6%
functions in the logistic	2003	7	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	.0%	6	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%
system	2004	7	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	6	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	10	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
	2005	5	16.9%	62.3%	20.8%	.0%	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	7	70.7%	14.7%	14.7%
	All Years	20	24.3%	41.2%	29.7%	4.8%	17	17.9%	23.5%	47.5%	11.2%	.0%	29	32.0%	43.7%	24.2%
(I) Strategic	2002	3	32.8%	.0%	67.2%	.0%	3	34.3%	.0%	65.7%	.0%	.0%	4	49.4%	50.6%	.0%
planning/strategic	2002					ļ										
management concepts	2003	8	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	6	.0%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	8	62.5%	37.5%	.0%
· '		7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	5	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	10	50.0%	30.0%	20.0%
	2005	6	48.4%	34.4%	.0%	17.2%	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	7	70.7%	14.7%	14.7%
(1) 1 (1)	All Years	24	33.5%	33.2%	24.5%	8.7%	19	17.4%	25.6%	41.2%	10.8%	5.0%	29	58.7%	30.8%	10.5%
(J) Interaction of	2002	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	24.7%	49.4%	25.8%
maintenance with ops/logistics/training	2003	7	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	.0%	6	.0%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	8	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%
oportogratioartialiting	2004	7	71.4%	.0%	28.6%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	10	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
	2005	5	58.5%	.0%	20.8%	20.8%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	7	70.7%	14.7%	14.7%
	All Years	21	52.3%	18.1%	24.1%	5.5%	17	19.0%	23.4%	52.1%	5.5%	.0%	29	61.7%	20.8%	17.5%
(K) Key factors impacting on	2002	2	48.9%	51.1%	.0%	.0%	2	51.1%	.0%	48.9%	.0%	.0%	4	25.8%	49.4%	24.7%
R and D programs	2003	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	8	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%
	2004	6	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	10	.0%	60.0%	40.0%
	2005	6	14.0%	51.6%	17.2%	17.2%	5	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	7	41.3%	44.0%	14.7%
	All Years	19	30.1%	38.3%	20.8%	10.9%	17	18.3%	16.4%	53.2%	12.1%	.0%	29	21.3%	48.4%	30.4%
	1	1 13	55.170	55.576	20.070	I 10.070	ı ''	I 10.070	10.470	JJ.2 /0	14.170	.070	1 23	1 21.070	40.470	I 55.478

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'. ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2000 survey: '1=Excellent', '2=Very Good', '3=Good', '4=Fair', '5=Poor'. Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

Worldwide Campus M Aeronautical Science Av/Aerospace Ops

		Employer's Usefulness of Skill on the Job				Compet	ence of this Graduate	ERAU		Compet	ence of Gra	duates from	Other
	Unwtd #	Very Useful Wtd %	Somewhat Useful Wtd %	Not Useful Wtd %	Unwtd #	Excellent Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Unwtd #	Excellent Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Poor Wtd %
Quantitative/mathematics	8	24.6%	50.7%	24.7%	6	32.6%	48.5%	18.9%	6	.0%	81.1%	18.9%	.0%
Basic PC software (word processing, spreadsheets, etc.)	8	100.0%	.0%	.0%	7	55.8%	28.1%	16.2%	7	42.4%	57.6%	.0%	.0%
Writing skills (non-technical)	8	63.5%	36.5%	.0%	7	29.0%	41.4%	29.5%	7	14.5%	71.0%	14.5%	.0%
Technical writing	8	51.7%	48.3%	.0%	7	29.0%	41.4%	29.5%	7	14.5%	54.8%	30.7%	.0%
Speaking before an audience	8	75.3%	12.8%	11.9%	7	27.9%	72.1%	.0%	7	.0%	71.0%	29.0%	.0%
Applied research (information gathering and analysis)	8	51.7%	48.3%	.0%	8	51.6%	24.7%	23.7%	7	.0%	83.8%	16.2%	.0%
Critical thinking	8	75.4%	24.6%	.0%	8	37.4%	50.9%	11.8%	7	.0%	83.8%	16.2%	.0%
Independent work	8	75.4%	24.6%	.0%	8	37.4%	50.9%	11.8%	7	.0%	85.5%	14.5%	.0%
Planning, scheduling, and carrying out projects	8	75.4%	24.6%	.0%	8	24.6%	63.7%	11.8%	7	.0%	69.3%	30.7%	.0%
Defining and solving problems	8	63.5%	36.5%	.0%	7	42.4%	44.2%	13.4%	7	.0%	54.8%	45.2%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	7	55.9%	44.1%	.0%	7	.0%	54.8%	45.2%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	8	75.3%	24.7%	.0%	7	42.4%	57.6%	.0%	7	.0%	69.3%	16.2%	14.5%
Responsible actions and decision making	8	63.5%	36.5%	.0%	8	51.6%	36.6%	11.8%	7	.0%	69.3%	30.7%	.0%
Understanding other people and other points of view	8	63.5%	36.5%	.0%	8	38.8%	49.4%	11.8%	7	.0%	85.5%	14.5%	.0%
Environmental awareness	8	27.0%	61.2%	11.8%	6	35.4%	32.4%	32.2%	6	.0%	66.5%	33.5%	.0%
Political and economic awareness	8	27.0%	61.0%	11.9%	7	30.7%	41.4%	27.9%	7	.0%	69.3%	30.7%	.0%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

 $Competence\ response\ options\ for\ the\ Class\ of\ 2001\ and\ 2002\ survey:\ '1=Very\ Good',\ '2=Good',\ '3=Average',\ '4=Poor',\ '5=Very\ Poor'.$

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

ERAU Degree Specific Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Worldwide Campus M Aeronautical Science Av/Aerospace Ops

·		Comp	Competence of this ERAU Graduate (1) Very (2)			Compete	ence of othe	er Graduates		Usefu	ulness of skill on the	e Job
		(1) Very Good	(2) Good	(3) Average		(1) Very Good	(2) Good	(3) Average		(1) Very Useful	(2) Somewhat Useful	(3) Not Useful
	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %
(A) Air transportation as part of system	5	40.3%	.0%	59.7%	5	.0%	20.1%	79.9%	5	20.1%	79.9%	.0%
(B) Basic elements of STS	2	51.8%	.0%	48.2%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	5	20.1%	.0%	79.9%
(C) Materials/practices used in A/A vehicles	3	34.1%	65.9%	.0%	3	.0%	34.1%	65.9%	5	.0%	59.0%	41.0%
(D) Human factors problems and analysis	4	48.7%	28.1%	23.2%	4	.0%	28.1%	71.9%	5	38.9%	41.0%	20.1%
(E) Major steps in developing a research study	4	77.0%	23.0%	.0%	4	27.5%	49.4%	23.0%	5	62.7%	18.8%	18.5%
(F) Analysis of five major research methodologies	4	77.0%	.0%	23.0%	4	27.5%	24.7%	47.8%	5	42.6%	38.9%	18.5%
(G) Past/present/future airspace and ATC technology	4	52.2%	24.7%	23.0%	4	52.2%	.0%	47.8%	5	81.5%	.0%	18.5%
(H) Roles and responsibilities in accident investigation	5	62.7%	.0%	37.3%	5	22.4%	20.1%	57.4%	5	42.6%	37.3%	20.1%
(I) Crash site investigation	4	52.2%	47.8%	.0%	4	27.5%	24.7%	47.8%	5	41.2%	40.3%	18.5%
(J) Management and operations related to Air Carriers	4	52.2%	24.7%	23.0%	4	27.5%	24.7%	47.8%	5	61.3%	20.1%	18.5%
(K) Qualifications and training of aircraft dispatchers	5	62.7%	18.5%	18.8%	5	42.6%	18.5%	38.9%	5	61.1%	18.8%	20.1%
(L) Responsibilities associated with Corporate Aviation	5	42.6%	20.1%	37.3%	5	22.4%	20.1%	57.4%	5	22.4%	77.6%	.0%

 $[\]label{lem:eq:condition} \textit{ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'. \\$

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

 $[\]label{lem:eq:erad} \textit{ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2000 survey: '1=Excellent', '2=Very Good', '3=Good', '4=Fair', '5=Poor'. \\$

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

Worldwide Campus M	1 Aeronaut	ical Scie	nce Av/A	erospace S	afety Sy	s										
·			Employ	er's Usefulness	of Skill											
			Ve-:	on the Job	NI-:	-	Compe	tence of thi	s ERAU Gra	duate		Compet	ence of Gra	duates from	Other Insti	
			Very Useful	Somewhat Useful	Not Useful		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
		Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %
Quantitative/mathematics	2002	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2003	9 5	22.4% 60.0%	67.9% 40.0%	9.7%	9	9.7% 50.0%	61.2% 50.0%	29.1%	.0%	9	.0%	51.5% 100.0%	48.5%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	29.0%	71.0%	.0%	5	16.9%	41.5%	41.5%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	38.3%	58.1%	3.6%	23	24.1%	50.5%	25.4%	.0%	19	.0%	60.7%	39.3%	.0%	.0%
Basic PC software (word	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
processing, spreadsheets, etc.)	2003	9	100.0%	.0%	.0%	9	38.8%	51.5%	9.7%	.0%	9	.0%	58.2%	41.8%	.0%	.0%
616.)	2004	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	6 25	85.5% 92.8%	14.5% 7.2%	.0%	5 23	20.8% 41.0%	62.3% 47.3%	16.9%	.0%	3 19	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Writing skills	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	20.0%	60.0%	11.7% 20.0%	.0%	4	.0%	69.6% 50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
(non-technical)	2003	9	90.3%	9.7%	.0%	9	19.4%	70.9%	9.7%	.0%	9	.0%	38.8%	61.2%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	67.8%	32.2%	.0%	5	20.8%	79.2%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	88.4%	11.6%	.0%	23	24.7%	67.2%	8.1%	.0%	19	.0%	49.8%	45.1%	5.1%	.0%
Technical writing	2002	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
	2003	9	29.1%	61.2%	9.7%	9	29.1%	9.7%	61.2%	.0%	9	.0%	19.4%	80.6%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5 6	80.0% 50.0%	20.0% 32.2%	.0%	5	50.0%	50.0% 37.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0% 33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	49.7%	42.3%	8.0%	23	23.9%	33.1%	43.0%	.0%	19	5.7%	34.4%	54.8%	5.1%	.0%
Speaking before an	2002	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
audience	2003	9	90.3%	9.7%	.0%	9	19.4%	51.5%	29.1%	.0%	9	.0%	29.1%	70.9%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	53.3%	14.5%	32.2%	4	26.2%	52.4%	21.4%	.0%	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
Applied research	All Years 2002	25	73.6%	18.5%	8.0%	22	34.5%	49.2%	16.3%	.0%	18	.0%	41.4%	53.2%	5.4%	.0%
(information gathering	2002	5 9	60.0% 19.4%	40.0% 70.9%	.0%	5	40.0% 29.1%	60.0% 9.7%	.0%	.0%	9	.0%	50.0% 29.1%	25.0% 70.9%	25.0%	.0%
and analysis)	2004	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	50.0%	32.2%	17.8%	5	.0%	37.7%	62.3%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	49.7%	42.3%	8.0%	23	28.1%	33.1%	38.8%	.0%	19	.0%	49.9%	45.0%	5.1%	.0%
Critical thinking	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2003	9	61.2%	38.8%	.0%	9	38.8%	32.1%	29.1%	.0%	9	9.7%	29.1%	61.2%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5 6	80.0% 100.0%	20.0%	.0%	5	50.0% 20.8%	50.0% 79.2%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7% 66.7%	33.3% 33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	81.9%	18.1%	.0%	23	49.4%	38.8%	11.7%	.0%	19	4.7%	45.1%	50.2%	.0%	.0%
Independent work	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%
	2003	9	90.3%	9.7%	.0%	9	38.8%	51.5%	9.7%	.0%	9	.0%	70.9%	29.1%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	20.8%	58.5%	.0%	20.8%	3	.0%	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%
Diameter askedder	All Years	25	89.0%	11.0%	.0%	23	49.4%	38.0%	7.9%	4.7%	19	.0%	60.2%	34.1%	5.7%	.0%
Planning, scheduling, and carrying out projects	2002	5 9	100.0% 90.3%	.0%	.0%	5	100.0% 61.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9	.0%	25.0% 38.8%	50.0% 61.2%	25.0%	.0%
, , ,	2004	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	67.8%	32.2%	.0%	5	20.8%	58.5%	.0%	20.8%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	88.4%	11.6%	.0%	23	62.4%	25.0%	4.0%	8.6%	19	.0%	44.7%	50.2%	5.1%	.0%
Defining and solving	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%
problems	2003	9	70.9%	29.1%	.0%	9	29.1%	61.2%	9.7%	.0%	9	.0%	61.2%	38.8%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	6 25	50.0% 76.8%	50.0% 23.2%	.0%	5 23	20.8% 45.3%	79.2% 50.8%	.0%	.0%	3 19	33.3% 5.7%	33.3% 59.7%	33.3% 29.5%	5.1%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%
	2003	9	70.9%	29.1%	.0%	9	48.5%	41.8%	9.7%	.0%	9	.0%	70.9%	29.1%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	85.5%	14.5%	.0%	5	41.5%	37.7%	.0%	20.8%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Looding/quiding others	All Years 2002	25	85.6%	14.4%	.0%	23	53.8%	37.5%	3.9%	4.7%	19	10.4%	60.2%	29.4%	.0%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2002	5 9	100.0% 80.6%	.0%	.0%	5 9	80.0% 48.5%	20.0% 41.8%	.0%	.0%	9	.0%	50.0% 61.2%	25.0% 29.1%	25.0%	.0%
	2004	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	53.3%	46.7%	.0%	5	41.5%	37.7%	.0%	20.8%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	77.6%	22.4%	.0%	23	53.8%	33.6%	7.9%	4.7%	19	10.4%	55.0%	29.6%	5.1%	.0%
Responsible actions and	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%
decision making	2003	9	80.6%	19.4%	.0%	9	38.8%	51.5%	9.7%	.0%	9	19.4%	51.5%	29.1%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5 6	100.0% 82.2%	.0%	.0%	5	50.0% 41.5%	25.0% 37.7%	25.0% 20.8%	.0%	3	33.3% 33.3%	33.3% 66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	82.2%	17.8%	.0%	23	41.5% 45.7%	41.7%	12.6%	.0%	19	19.8%	46.1%	29.0%	.0%	5.1%
Understanding other	2002	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%
people and other points	2003	9	48.5%	51.5%	.0%	9	29.1%	51.5%	19.4%	.0%	9	9.7%	19.4%	70.9%	.0%	.0%
of view	2004	5	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	85.5%	14.5%	.0%	5	41.5%	37.7%	.0%	20.8%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
Endeanmental	All Years	25	73.5%	26.5%	.0%	23	41.8%	41.7%	11.8%	4.7%	19	4.7%	35.3%	54.9%	.0%	5.1%
Environmental awareness	2002	5 9	40.0% 38.8%	40.0% 51.5%	20.0% 9.7%	9	25.0% 29.1%	75.0% 41.8%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3% 29.1%	33.3% 70.9%	.0%	33.3%
	2003	5	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	4	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	6	32.2%	32.2%	35.5%	3	.0%	71.0%	29.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	25	34.0%	49.7%	16.3%	20	27.6%	45.2%	27.2%	.0%	16	.0%	41.1%	52.8%	.0%	6.1%
Political and economic	2002	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%
awareness	2003	9	51.5%	38.8%	9.7%	9	29.1%	51.5%	19.4%	.0%	9	.0%	29.1%	70.9%	.0%	.0%
	2004	5	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	6 25	17.8% 31.5%	35.5% 46.0%	46.7% 22.5%	4 21	.0%	78.6% 52.1%	21.4% 17.1%	.0%	2 17	.0%	50.0% 38.3%	50.0% 56.0%	.0%	.0%
EDAU December 1	All Legis		31.5%	40.0%	22.5%	Z1	აυ.8%	32.1%	17.1%	.0%	17	.0%	აი.3%	30.0%	5./%	.0%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'