Employer Feedback Survey

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005, 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

Program Profile

BS Aerospace Engineering

Prepared by:
Jessica Beyer
Office of Institutional Research
November 2008

Employer Feedback Survey Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

This Program Profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005. Almost every question from the instrument is included. Some of the skill verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Employer comments for 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' of the degree program have been included. In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, campus, program, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Please use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded.

Number of Respondents By Campus and Year of Graduation.

		BS Aerospace Engineering					
	2002 2003 2004 2005 All Year						
Daytona Beach	6	8	3	2	19		

Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of Respondents	Less than 1 year Wtd Row %	1-2 years Wtd Row %	3-5 years Wtd Row %
Daytona	2002	6	28.2%	53.9%	18.0%
Beach	2003	8	31.8%	68.2%	.0%
	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	19	54.4%	41.7%	3.9%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years', '3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

			2002	2003	2004	2005	All Years
Daytona	Unwtd # of Respondents		6	8	3	2	19
Beach	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	64.1%	83.2%	100.0%	100.0%	87.6%
	Computer industry	Wtd Col %	.0%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	Government	Wtd Col %	.0%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	Military	Wtd Col %	18.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9%
	Other (non-aviation)	Wtd Col %	18.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9%

Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Closely related	Somewhat related
		Respondents	Wtd Col %	Wtd Col %
Daytona	2002	6	64.1%	35.9%
Beach	2003	8	76.6%	23.4%
	2004	3	100.0%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%
	All Years	19	85.9%	14.1%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus

			Number o	f ERAU Gradua	ates Know Prof	essionally
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10	11-50
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2002	6	53.9%	46.1%	.0%	.0%
Beach	2003	8	15.0%	40.2%	44.9%	.0%
	2004	3	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	33.3%
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	19	27.5%	48.9%	12.0%	11.7%

Response options: '1', '2-5', '6-10', '11-50', 'Over 50'.

How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus

			Number of I	ERAU Graduate Supervise	es Currently
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10
	_	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2002	6	82.0%	18.0%	.0%
Beach	2003	8	70.1%	29.9%	.0%
	2004	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
	2005	2	59.0%	41.0%	.0%
	All Years	19	58.0%	30.3%	11.7%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus

			Supervisors Who Are Also ERAU Graduates		
		Unwtd # of	Yes	No	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Daytona	2002	6	.0%	100.0%	
Beach	2003	8	15.0%	85.0%	
	2004	3	33.3%	66.7%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	
	All Years	19	15.7%	84.3%	

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus

			Hiring involvemnet level		
		Unwtd # of	Make Final Deciaiton	Provide Input	No Involvement
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2002	6	18.0%	46.1%	35.9%
Beach	2003	8	76.6%	23.4%	.0%
	2004	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	19	75.8%	16.3%	7.9%

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus

			F	Preference for H	liring Graduate	S
			Strong Preference	Some Preference		Some Preference
			for ERAU	for ERAU	No	for Other
		Unwtd # of	Graduates	Graduates	Preference	Graduates
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2002	5	.0%	21.9%	56.2%	21.9%
Beach	2003	8	.0%	15.0%	76.6%	8.4%
	2004	3	33.3%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%
	2005	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	All Years	18	12.2%	8.3%	61.0%	18.6%

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus

			Prefere multi-lingua	ence for I candidates
		Unwtd # of	Some Preference	No Preference
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2003	8	8.4%	91.6%
Beach	2004	3	33.3%	66.7%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus

			awaren internationa	e of global ess and I experience
		Unwtd # of	Somewhat Important	Not Important
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2003	8	70.1%	29.9%
Beach	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%
	2005	2	59.0%	41.0%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus

				changes to org	·
		Unwtd # of	Increased Need	No Changes	Decreased Need
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2002	5	78.1%	21.9%	.0%
Beach	2003	8	38.3%	46.7%	15.0%
	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005	2	41.0%	59.0%	.0%
	All Years	18	56.6%	39.3%	4.2%

New question as of the Class of 2002 survey.

Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus

			Education of ERAU Graduate Meets Company's Needs						
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral				
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Daytona	2002	6	35.9%	64.1%	.0%				
Beach	2003	8	68.2%	23.4%	8.4%				
	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%				
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%				
	All Years	19	65.8%	32.0%	2.2%				

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a valuable employee By Campus

			Graduate is a Valuable Employee			
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree		
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %		
Daytona	2002	6	46.1%	53.9%		
Beach	2003	8	53.3%	46.7%		
	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%		
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%		
	All Years	19	64.0%	36.0%		

 $Response\ options:\ 'Strongly\ Disagree',\ 'Disagree',\ 'Neutral',$

'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus

			Graduate is a Good Candidate for Promotion					
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral			
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %			
Daytona	2002	6	18.0%	82.0%	.0%			
Beach	2003	8	38.3%	53.3%	8.4%			
	2004	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%			
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%			
	All Years	19	53.9%	32.2%	13.9%			

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus

			Skill Level of ERAU Graduate Compared to Graduates From Other Institutions								
		Unwtd # of	Much Higher	Somewhat Higher	Equivalent	Somewhat Lower					
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %					
Daytona	2002	6	18.0%	46.1%	35.9%	.0%					
Beach	2003	8	.0%	44.9%	55.1%	.0%					
2004		3	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%					
	2005	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%					
	All Years	19	3.9%	33.8%	50.6%	11.7%					

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Daytona	Beach	BS	Aerospace	Engineering
---------	-------	----	-----------	-------------

Daytona Beach BS Ae	erospace E	ngineeri		er's Usefulnes	s of Skill							Compete	ence of Gra	iduates from	Other	
			Very	on the Job Somewhat Not			Competence of this ERAU Graduate					Competence of Graduates from Other Institutions				
			Useful	Useful	Useful	[Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	l	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	
Quantitative/mathematics	2002	Unwtd#	Wtd % 64.1%	Wtd % 35.9%	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd % 17.9%	Wtd % 46.2%	Wtd % 35.9%	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd % 17.9%	Wtd % 46.2%	Wtd % 35.9%	Wtd %	
	2003	8	38.3%	46.8%	14.9%	8	14.9%	76.6%	8.4%	.0%	8	14.9%	76.6%	8.4%	.0%	
	2004	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	
	2005 All Years	2 19	100.0% 75.6%	.0%	.0%	19	.0%	100.0% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	19	.0%	100.0% 70.3%	.0%	.0%	
Basic PC software (word	2002	6	82.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	17.9%	64.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	.0%	64.1%	35.9%	.0%	
processing, spreadsheets, etc.)	2003	8	100.0%	.0%	.0%	8	59.7%	40.3%	.0%	.0%	8	29.9%	61.7%	8.4%	.0%	
0.0.7	2004	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	19	100.0% 96.1%	.0%	.0%	19	41.2% 50.0%	58.8% 46.1%	.0%	.0%	19	.0%	100.0% 58.5%	.0%	.0%	
Writing skills	2002	6	46.2%	53.8%	.0%	5	21.8%	56.3%	21.8%	.0%	5	.0%	78.2%	21.8%	.0%	
(non-technical)	2003	8	85.1%	14.9%	.0%	8	38.3%	46.8%	14.9%	.0%	8	.0%	76.6%	23.4%	.0%	
	2004	3	33.3% 41.2%	66.7% 58.8%	.0%	3	33.3% 41.2%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3% 41.2%	33.3%	.0%	
	All Years	19	51.3%	48.7%	.0%	18	33.9%	35.7%	20.4%	10.0%	18	12.1%	55.1%	22.8%	10.0%	
Technical writing	2002	6	64.1%	17.9%	17.9%	6	17.9%	64.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	.0%	64.1%	17.9%	17.9%	
	2003	8	61.7%	38.3%	.0%	8	29.9%	31.8%	38.3%	.0%	8	8.4%	68.2%	23.4%	.0%	
	2004	3	66.7% 100.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3% 41.2%	33.3%	33.3% 58.8%	.0%	3	33.3%	.0%	66.7% 58.8%	.0%	
	All Years	19	70.2%	25.9%	3.9%	19	30.3%	34.2%	35.5%	.0%	19	13.9%	39.0%	43.1%	3.9%	
Speaking before an	2002	6	82.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	17.9%	46.2%	35.9%	.0%	6	17.9%	28.3%	35.9%	17.9%	
audience	2003	7	34.8% 33.3%	65.2% 66.7%	.0%	7	32.6% 66.7%	9.2%	41.8%	16.3%	7	.0%	32.6% 33.3%	67.4% 33.3%	.0%	
	2004	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	18	55.7%	44.3%	.0%	18	43.0%	34.4%	18.5%	4.1%	18	16.0%	43.1%	36.9%	4.0%	
Applied research (information gathering	2002	6	53.8%	28.3%	17.9%	6	17.9%	64.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	.0%	64.1%	35.9%	.0%	
and analysis)	2003	7	51.1%	48.9%	.0%	7	32.6% 66.7%	41.8%	25.5% 33.3%	.0%	7	.0%	67.4% 33.3%	16.3%	16.3%	
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	18	77.4%	18.6%	4.0%	18	45.9%	31.7%	22.4%	.0%	18	23.9%	59.9%	12.1%	4.1%	
Critical thinking	2002	6	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	28.3%	53.8%	17.9%	.0%	6	10.4%	53.8%	35.9%	.0%	
	2003	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	7	41.8% 66.7%	32.6%	25.5% 33.3%	.0%	7	9.2%	90.8%	.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	
	All Years	18	90.2%	9.8%	.0%	18	40.7%	27.1%	32.2%	.0%	18	23.4%	58.7%	17.8%	.0%	
Independent work	2002	6 7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	7	28.3% 74.5%	53.8% 9.2%	17.9% 16.3%	.0%	6 7	28.3% 9.2%	17.9% 58.2%	35.9% 32.6%	17.9%	
	2003	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	
	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	
	All Years	18	90.2%	9.8%	.0%	18	55.8%	24.2%	20.1%	.0%	18	39.4%	18.6%	38.0%	4.0%	
Planning, scheduling, and carrying out projects	2002	6 7	82.1% 100.0%	17.9%	.0%	6 7	17.9% 32.6%	28.3% 51.1%	53.8% 16.3%	.0%	6 7	.0%	28.3% 51.1%	71.7% 48.9%	.0%	
	2004	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	
Defining and solving	All Years 2002	18	72.1%	27.9%	.0%	18	43.0%	29.0%	28.1%	.0%	18	18.8% 17.9%	31.1%	50.1%	.0%	
problems	2002	6 7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	7	35.9% 41.8%	28.3% 41.8%	35.9% 16.3%	.0%	6 7	9.2%	28.3% 58.2%	53.8% 32.6%	.0%	
	2004	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	
Working in groups/teams	All Years 2002	18 6	90.2%	9.8%	.0%	18	42.4% 46.2%	23.7% 17.9%	33.9% 35.9%	.0%	18 6	30.2% 10.4%	39.8% 35.9%	30.0% 53.8%	.0%	
g groups/teams	2002	7	82.1%	16.3%	.0%	7	67.4%	32.6%	.0%	.0%	7	9.2%	90.8%	.0%	.0%	
	2004	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	
	2005 All Years	2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
Leading/guiding others	All Years 2002	18 6	85.0% 71.7%	15.0% 28.3%	.0%	18	51.2% 17.9%	40.8% 17.9%	8.0% 46.2%	.0%	18 6	28.5%	59.4% 17.9%	12.0% 64.1%	.0%	
0000	2003	7	74.5%	25.5%	.0%	7	41.8%	32.6%	9.2%	16.3%	7	9.2%	48.9%	41.8%	.0%	
	2004	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	
	2005 All Years	2 18	.0%	100.0% 65.2%	.0%	2 18	41.2% 33.3%	.0%	58.8% 34.4%	.0%	2 18	41.2% 21.1%	.0%	58.8% 46.6%	.0%	
Responsible actions and	2002	6	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	17.9%	64.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	.0%	64.1%	35.9%	.0%	
decision making	2003	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	7	25.5%	48.9%	9.2%	16.3%	7	9.2%	65.2%	25.5%	.0%	
	2004	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	
	2005 All Years	2 18	100.0% 76.1%	.0%	.0%	18	100.0% 51.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18	41.2% 21.1%	58.8% 64.4%	.0%	.0%	
Understanding other	2002	6	82.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	17.9%	64.1%	17.9%	.0%	6	.0%	82.1%	17.9%	.0%	
people and other points of view	2003	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	7	25.5%	58.2%	16.3%	.0%	7	9.2%	74.5%	16.3%	.0%	
	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	
	All Years	18	58.8% 77.2%	41.2% 22.8%	.0%	2 18	41.2% 41.2%	58.8% 38.8%	.0%	.0%	2 18	.0%	41.2% 55.9%	58.8% 29.9%	.0%	
			17.9%	82.1%	.0%	6	17.9%	17.9%	64.1%	.0%	5	.0%	21.8%	78.2%	.0%	
Environmental awareness	2002	6				6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	6	.0%	69.5%	30.5%	.0%	
Environmental awareness	2002 2003	7	25.5%	41.8%	32.6%											
Environmental awareness	2002 2003 2004	7	25.5%	66.7%	33.3%	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	
Environmental awareness	2002 2003	7	25.5%					50.0% .0% 35.7%	.0% .0% 33.5%	.0% .0%	1 14	50.0% 100.0% 26.8%	50.0% .0% 43.6%	.0% .0% 29.6%	.0%	
Political and economic	2002 2003 2004 2005 All Years 2002	7 3 2 18 6	25.5% .0% .0% 10.4% .0%	66.7% 41.2% 59.6% 100.0%	33.3% 58.8% 29.9% .0%	2 1 15 6	50.0% 100.0% 30.8% .0%	.0% 35.7% 35.9%	.0% 33.5% 64.1%	.0% .0%	1 14 5	100.0% 26.8% .0%	.0% 43.6% 21.8%	.0% 29.6% 78.2%	.0%	
	2002 2003 2004 2005 All Years 2002 2003	7 3 2 18 6 7	25.5% .0% .0% 10.4% .0% 16.3%	66.7% 41.2% 59.6% 100.0% 51.1%	33.3% 58.8% 29.9% .0% 32.6%	1 15 6 6	50.0% 100.0% 30.8% .0%	.0% 35.7% 35.9% 58.5%	.0% 33.5% 64.1% 41.5%	.0% .0% .0%	1 14 5 6	100.0% 26.8% .0%	.0% 43.6% 21.8% 58.5%	.0% 29.6% 78.2% 41.5%	.0% .0% .0%	
Political and economic	2002 2003 2004 2005 All Years 2002	7 3 2 18 6	25.5% .0% .0% 10.4% .0%	66.7% 41.2% 59.6% 100.0%	33.3% 58.8% 29.9% .0%	2 1 15 6	50.0% 100.0% 30.8% .0%	.0% 35.7% 35.9%	.0% 33.5% 64.1%	.0% .0%	1 14 5	100.0% 26.8% .0%	.0% 43.6% 21.8%	.0% 29.6% 78.2%	.0%	

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

			Com	petence of th	is ERAU Gradua	ate I	1	С	ompetence o	f other Graduate:	S		Useful	ness of skill on th	ne Job
			(1) Very	(A) =	(0)	(0.5		(1) Very	(0) =				(1) Very Useful	(2) Somewhat	(3) Not
		Unwtd	Good	(2) Good	(3) Av erage	(4) Poor	Unwtd	Good	(2) Good	(3) Av erage	(4) Poor	Unwtd	Useful	Useful	Üseful
		#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %
(A) Knowledge of math and science	2002	7	.0% 41.8%	44.1% 32.6%	55.9% 25.5%	.0%	7	.0% 25.5%	16.1% 58.2%	83.9% 16.3%	.0%	7	72.0% 74.5%	28.0% 25.5%	.0
	2003	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	3	100.0%	.0%	.0
	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0
	All Years	16	44.9%	26.5%	28.7%	.0%	16	32.9%	49.5%	17.5%	.0%	16	88.7%	11.3%	
(B) Knowledge of fundamental engineering sciences	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
(C) Design and conduct experiments	2002	4 6	.0%	72.0% 11.0%	28.0% 50.0%	.0%	4	.0%	16.1% 61.0%	55.9%	28.0%	4	72.0% 16.3%	28.0% 67.4%	16.
	2003	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	6 2	.0%	100.0%	39.0%	.0%	7	33.3%	33.3%	33.
	2004	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	14	35.6%	32.4%	32.0%	.0%	14	9.0%	51.4%	34.3%	5.3%	16	46.8%	35.8%	17.
(D) Analyze and interpret	2002	4	.0%	72.0%	28.0%	.0%	4	.0%	16.1%	83.9%	.0%	4	72.0%	28.0%	
experimental data	2003	6	39.0%	30.5%	30.5%	.0%	6	19.5%	61.0%	19.5%	.0%	7	32.6%	51.1%	16.
	2004	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	
	2005	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	2	58.8%	41.2%	
(E) Kanadadan of assadanamina	All Years 2002	15 4	36.5% .0%	26.9% 44.1%	36.6% 55.9%	.0%	15 3	31.8%	28.4% 22.4%	39.8% 77.6%	.0%	16 4	56.8% 72.0%	38.8% 28.0%	4.
(E) Knowledge of aerodynamics	2002	7	41.8%	25.5%	32.6%	.0%	7	32.6%	25.5%	41.8%	.0%	7	48.9%	28.0% 51.1%	
	2004	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	
	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	2	.0%	100.0%	
	All Years	16	31.9%	37.5%	30.6%	.0%	15	22.9%	37.1%	28.9%	11.1%	16	50.6%	49.4%	
(F) Knowledge of aircraft performance	2002	6	.0%	41.8%	58.2%	.0%	5	.0%	51.9%	48.1%	.0%	8	36.0%	50.0%	14.
porronnance	2003	11	49.4%	21.0%	29.6%	.0%	11	9.9%	49.4%	40.8%	.0%	14	48.9%	33.7%	17.
	2004	6	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	.0%	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	6	66.7%	33.3%	
	2005 All Years	2 25	.0% 37.4%	100.0% 30.6%	.0%	.0%	2 23	.0%	.0%	41.2% 32.5%	58.8% 7.3%	2 30	.0% 49.4%	100.0% 42.9%	7.
(G) Knowledge of flight	All Years 2005	25 1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	30 2	.0%	42.9% 41.2%	58.
mechanics or spacecraft dynamics	All Years	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.
(H) Kowledge of aerospace	2002	2	.0%	36.6%	63.4%	.0%	2	.0%	36.6%	63.4%	.0%	4	.0%	44.1%	55.
materials	2003	7	25.5%	41.8%	32.6%	.0%	7	.0%	58.2%	41.8%	.0%	7	58.2%	32.6%	9.
	2004	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	14	7.6%	63.6%	28.8%	.0%	13	9.5%	40.1%	50.4%	.0%	16	34.0%	54.7%	11.
(I) Knowledge of aircraft or spacecraft structures	2002	7	.0%	22.4%	77.6%	.0%	7	.0%	36.6%	63.4%	.0%	7	.0%	72.0%	28.
	2003	3	41.8% 33.3%	25.5% 33.3%	32.6% 33.3%	.0%	2	.0%	58.2% 50.0%	41.8% 50.0%	.0%	3	90.8% 33.3%	9.2% 66.7%	
	2004	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	2	.0%	100.0%	
	All Years	15	33.3%	34.7%	32.0%	.0%	13	.0%	49.6%	36.8%	13.6%	16	37.8%	57.8%	4.
(J) Kowledge of propulsion	2002	4	.0%	36.6%	63.4%	.0%	4	.0%	36.6%	63.4%	.0%	8	.0%	22.0%	78.
	2003	12	19.5%	51.3%	29.2%	.0%	12	.0%	55.5%	44.5%	.0%	14	16.3%	46.5%	37.
	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	6	33.3%	.0%	66.
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	.0%	.0%	58.8%	2	41.2%	58.8%	.1
(V) Vaudadae of exhitel mechanics	All Years 2002	21 3	29.9%	51.1% 22.4%	19.0% 77.6%	.0%	21 3	17.5% .0%	37.2% 22.4%	36.1% 77.6%	9.1%	30 4	23.3%	23.6% 28.0%	53. 72.
(K) Kowledge of orbital mechanics	2002	6	.0%	61.0%	39.0%	.0%	6	.0%	30.5%	69.5%	.0%	7	32.6%	9.2%	58.
	2004	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	3	.0%	.0%	100.
	2005	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.
	All Years	11	.0%	43.9%	56.1%	.0%	11	.0%	31.1%	68.9%	.0%	16	8.9%	14.3%	76.
(L) Apply knowledge of control	2002	3	.0%	61.2%	38.8%	.0%	3	.0%	61.2%	38.8%	.0%	4	44.1%	55.9%	
systems	2003	7	32.6%	34.8%	32.6%	.0%	7	.0%	51.1%	48.9%	.0%	7	32.6%	67.4%	
	2004	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	
	2005 All Years	2 15	.0% 22.9%	41.2% 38.5%	58.8% 38.6%	.0%	2 15	.0%	41.2% 43.2%	58.8% 43.3%	.0%	2 16	.0% 41.8%	100.0% 58.2%	.1
(M) Apply knowledge of circuits,	2002	4	28.0%	44.1%	28.0%	.0%	4	.0%	16.1%	55.9%	28.0%	4	28.0%	72.0%	
electronics, & instrument	2003	6	19.5%	41.5%	39.0%	.0%	6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	7	16.3%	51.1%	32.
	2004	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.
	2005	2	41.2%	.0%	.0%	58.8%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	14	35.4%	35.6%	16.1%	12.9%	14	15.7%	25.9%	53.1%	5.3%	16	39.9%	38.2%	21.
(N) Identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	2002	4	28.0%	16.1%	55.9%	.0%	4	.0%	16.1%	55.9%	28.0%	4	100.0%	.0%	
	2003	7	58.2% 66.7%	16.3%	25.5% 33.3%	.0%	7	16.3% 66.7%	51.1% 33.3%	32.6%	.0%	7	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	.1
	2004	2	66.7% 41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	33.3% 41.2%	.0% 58.8%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	16	53.7%	17.6%	28.7%	.0%	16	30.4%	36.9%	28.3%	4.4%	16	100.0%	.0%	
(O) Use computer aided	2002	4	28.0%	44.1%	28.0%	.0%	4	.0%	44.1%	55.9%	.0%	4	100.0%	.0%	
engineering and programming tools	2003	7	58.2%	32.6%	9.2%	.0%	7	25.5%	58.2%	16.3%	.0%	7	83.7%	16.3%	
	2004	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	100.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
(B) Design o/st	All Years	16	56.9%	23.3%	19.9%	.0%	16	32.9%	40.9%	26.2%	.0%	16	95.5%	4.5%	
(P) Design a/c system, component, or mission to meet	2002	7	.0% 25.5%	61.2% 48.9%	38.8% 25.5%	.0%	7	.0% 9.2%	22.4% 65.2%	38.8% 16.3%	38.8% 9.2%	7	28.0% 58.2%	44.1% 32.6%	28. 9.
needs	2003	2	.0%	48.9% 50.0%	25.5% 50.0%	.0%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.
	2004	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	2	.0%	100.0%	
	All Years	14	17.5%	40.2%	42.3%	.0%	14	3.0%	33.6%	42.1%	21.2%	16	20.3%	59.9%	19.
(Q) Understand eng decisions on	2002	2	.0%	36.6%	63.4%	.0%	2	.0%	36.6%	63.4%	.0%	4	.0%	83.9%	16.
society/environment	2003	6	30.5%	19.5%	50.0%	.0%	6	.0%	30.5%	69.5%	.0%	7	25.5%	58.2%	16.
	2004	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.
	2005	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	41.2%	.0%	58.
(D) Understand and as it is it.	All Years 2002	10 4	54.6% 28.0%	13.9% 44.1%	31.5% 28.0%	.0%	10	.0%	59.7% 44.1%	40.3% 55.9%	.0%	16	14.4% 72.0%	42.0% 28.0%	43.
(R) Understand professional and ethical responsibility	2002	6	28.0% 89.0%	44.1% 11.0%	.0%	.0%	7	.0% 25.5%	44.1%	55.9% 32.6%	.0%	7	72.0% 100.0%	.0%	
	2003	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	3	100.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	15	47.3%	48.2%	4.6%	.0%	16	20.0%	51.7%	28.3%	.0%	16	95.6%	4.4%	
(S) Recognize need to continue	2002	4	28.0%	16.1%	55.9%	.0%	4	.0%	44.1%	55.9%	.0%	4	28.0%	72.0%	
professional development	2003	6	69.5%	30.5%	.0%	.0%	7	41.8%	41.8%	16.3%	.0%	7	100.0%	.0%	
	2004	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	3	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	66.7%	33.3%	
	2005	2	41.2%	.0%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	41.2%	58.8%	
	All Years	15	56.2%	23.5%	20.3%	.0%	16	37.4%	25.8%	36.8%	.0%	16	65.1%	34.9%	

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'. ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'. ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2000 survey: '1=Excellent', '2=Very Good', '3=Good', '4=Fair', '5=Poor'. Usefulness response options: '1=Very Usefuln', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'