#### **Employer Feedback Survey**

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005, 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

#### **Program Profile**

BS Aerospace Engineering - Prescott

Prepared by:
Jessica Beyer
Office of Institutional Research
November 2008

Employer Feedback Survey Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

This Program Profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005. Almost every question from the instrument is included. Some of the skill verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Employer comments for 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' of the degree program have been included. In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, campus, program, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Please use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded.

#### Number of Respondents By Campus and Year of Graduation.

	BS Aerospace Engineering				
	2002 2003 2004 2005 All Years				
Prescott	3	1	2	3	9

## Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

#### **All Years Combined**

		Less than 1	
	Unwtd # of	year	1-2 years
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Prescott	9	10.5%	89.5%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years',

# Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus All Years Combined

		Prescott
Unwtd # of Respondents		9
Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	53.6%
Computer industry	Wtd Col %	7.5%
Government	Wtd Col %	9.6%
Military	Wtd Col %	29.3%

# Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus All Years Combined

	Unwtd # of	Closely related	Somewhat related
	Respondents	Wtd Col %	Wtd Col %
Prescott	9	65.3%	34.7%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

# Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus All Years Combined

		Number of ERAU Graduates Know Professionally		
	Unwtd # of	2-5	6-10	11-50
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Prescott	9	46.1%	43.4%	10.5%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

#### How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus All Years Combined

		Number of ERAU Graduates Currently		
		Supervise		
	Unwtd # of	1	2-5	
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Prescott	9	38.6%	61.4%	

Response options: '1', '2-5', '6-10', '11-50', 'Over 50'.

### Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus All Years Combined

		Supervisors Who Are Also ERAU Graduates		
	Unwtd # of	Yes	No	
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Prescott	9	10.5%	89.5%	

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

### What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus All Years Combined

		Hiring inv	rolvemnet level
	Unwtd # of	Make Final Deciaiton	No Involvement
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Prescott	9	70.7%	29.3%

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

### What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus All Years Combined

		Preference for Hiring Graduates			
		Strong	Some	ladatioo	
		Preference	Preference		
		for ERAU	for ERAU	No	
	Unwtd # of	Graduates	Graduates	Preference	
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Prescott	7	26.7%	36.0%	37.3%	

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

### What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus All Years Combined

		Preference for		
	multi-lingual candidates			
		Strong	Some	
	Unwtd # of	Preference	Preference	
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Prescott	4	78.3%	21.7%	

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

#### How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus All Years Combined

		Importance of global awareness and international experience
	Unwtd # of	Somewhat Important
	Respondents	Wtd Row %
Prescott	6	100.0%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

## What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus All Years Combined

		ganization's ssionals		
	Unwtd # of	Increased Need	No Changes	Decreased Need
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Prescott	9	22.5%	58.7%	18.9%

New question as of the Class of 2002 survey.

Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

### The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus All Years Combined

		Education of ERAU Graduate Meets Company's Needs					
		Strongly					
	Unwtd # of	Agree	Agree	Neutral			
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %			
Prescott	7	41.5%	45.3%	13.2%			

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral',

'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

### He/she is a valuable employee By Campus All Years Combined

		Graduate is a Valuable					
		Employee					
		Strongly					
	Unwtd # of	Agree	Agree				
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Prescott	7	89.4%	10.6%				

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

### He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus All Years Combined

		Graduate is a Good Candidate for Promotion				
	Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree			
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %			
Prescott	8	66.1%	33.9%			

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

#### Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus All Years Combined

		Skill Level of ERAU Graduate Compared to Graduates From Other Institutions						
	Unwtd # of	Much Higher	Somewhat Higher	Equivalent				
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Prescott	9	10.5%	18.9%	70.7%				

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

|--|

Prescott BS Aerospac	e Enginee	ring	Emala	rlo I loofular	of Chill		C	ongo of th'-	EDAII		C	once of C	duatos
			Employer's Usefulness of Skill on the Job			Competence of this ERAU Graduate				Competence of Graduates from Other Institutions			
			Very Useful	Somewhat Useful	Not Useful		Excellent	Good	Average		Excellent	Good	Average
		Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %
Quantitative/mathematics	2002	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2003	1 2	100.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	1 2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1 2	.0%	100.0% 50.0%	.0%
	2005	3	59.9%	40.1%	.0%	2	33.1%	66.9%	.0%	2	.0%	33.1%	66.9%
	All Years	9	70.6%	29.4%	.0%	8	46.5%	53.5%	.0%	6	15.8%	55.6%	28.6%
Basic PC software (word processing, spreadsheets,	2002	3	33.3% 100.0%	66.7%	.0%	3	33.3%	.0%	66.7%	1	.0%	100.0% 100.0%	.0%
etc.)	2003	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	66.9%	33.1%	.0%	2	66.9%	.0%	33.1%
	All Years	9	85.1%	14.9%	.0%	7	52.1%	26.8%	21.1%	5	52.7%	30.5%	16.8%
Writing skills (non-technical)	2002	3	66.7% 100.0%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3% 100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
,	2004	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2005	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	33.1%	.0%	66.9%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	All Years	9	82.1%	17.9%	.0%	7	37.3%	25.3%	37.3%	5	.0%	49.2%	50.8%
Technical writing	2002	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7% 100.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2005	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	All Years	9	89.6%	10.4%	.0%	7	25.3%	74.7%	.0%	5	13.3%	86.7%	.0%
Speaking before an audience	2002	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2003	1 2	100.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	2005	3	59.9%	40.1%	.0%	2	33.1%	66.9%	.0%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	All Years	9	55.8%	44.2%	.0%	7	28.1%	50.9%	21.1%	5	18.7%	13.3%	67.9%
Applied research (information gathering	2002	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	33.3%	.0%	66.7%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%
and analysis)	2003	1 2	100.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2005	3	19.9%	80.1%	.0%	2	.0%	33.1%	66.9%	2	.0%	33.1%	66.9%
	All Years	9	36.8%	63.2%	.0%	7	25.3%	26.8%	47.9%	5	32.1%	34.0%	34.0%
Critical thinking	2002	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2003	1 2	100.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	2005	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	33.1%	66.9%
	All Years	9	89.6%	10.4%	.0%	7	10.5%	75.9%	13.5%	5	.0%	48.9%	51.1%
Independent work	2002	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2003	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2005	3	100.0% 19.9%	.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	9	47.3%	33.8%	18.9%	6	20.2%	79.8%	.0%	4	28.4%	46.2%	25.5%
Planning, scheduling,	2002	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
and carrying out projects	2003	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	2005	3	100.0% 59.9%	.0%	.0%	1 2	.0%	100.0% 33.1%	.0%	1 2	.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	9	81.1%	18.9%	.0%	7	10.5%	38.6%	50.9%	5	.0%	32.1%	67.9%
Defining and solving problems	2002	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
problems	2003	1 2	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2005	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	33.1%	66.9%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	All Years	9	85.1%	14.9%	.0%	7	25.3%	37.3%	37.3%	5	18.7%	30.5%	50.8%
Working in groups/teams	2002	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2003	1 2	100.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2004	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	33.1%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	All Years	9	89.6%	10.4%	.0%	7	52.1%	47.9%	.0%	5	13.3%	86.7%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2002	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2003	1 2	100.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	2004	3	59.9%	40.1%	.0%	2	.0%	66.9%	33.1%	2	.0%	66.9%	33.1%
	All Years	9	48.3%	51.7%	.0%	7	.0%	62.7%	37.3%	5	.0%	66.0%	34.0%
Responsible actions and decision making	2002	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
GOOGOTI MANITY	2003	1 2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	3	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	All Years	9	77.7%	22.3%	.0%	7	14.8%	45.1%	40.1%	5	18.7%	30.5%	50.8%
Understanding other	2002	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
people and other points of view	2003	1 2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2004	3	50.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1 2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Environmental awareness	All Years	9	74.7%	25.3%	.0%	7	.0%	59.9%	40.1%	5	.0%	49.2%	50.8%
	2002	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2003	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	2004	3	.0%	100.0% 40.1%	.0%	1 2	.0%	100.0% 33.1%	.0%	1 2	.0%	100.0% 33.1%	.0%
	All Years	9	28.3%	56.8%	14.9%	5	.0%	48.9%	51.1%	5	.0%	48.9%	51.1%
Political and economic	2002	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%
awareness	2003	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	2004	3	.0%	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	1 2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1 2	.0%	100.0% 33.1%	.0%
	All Years	9	7.4%	92.6%	.0%	5	16.8%	32.1%	51.1%	5	.0%	48.9%	51.1%
				cellent', '2=Go			l						

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'