Employer Feedback Survey

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005, 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

Program Profile

BS Technical Management

Prepared by:
Jessica Beyer
Office of Institutional Research
November 2008

This Program Profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005. Almost every question from the instrument is included. Some of the skill verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Employer comments for 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' of the degree program have been included. In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, campus, program, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Please use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded.

Number of Respondents By Campus and Year of Graduation.

		BS Technical Management						
	2002 2003 2004 2005 All Years							
Worldwide Campus	9 11 9 5 34							

Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

			Less than 1 year	1-2 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
			Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	9	24.8%	20.9%	8.6%	45.7%
Campus	2003	11	8.4%	16.8%	32.8%	42.0%
	2004	9	22.2%	.0%	33.3%	44.4%
	2005	5	.0%	76.8%	.0%	23.2%
	All Years	34	13.2%	28.6%	19.4%	38.7%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years', '3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

			2002	2003	2004	2005	All Years
Worldwide	Unwtd # of Respondents		9	9	9	5	32
Campus	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	12.4%	10.1%	22.2%	23.2%	17.1%
	Airline	Wtd Col %	24.8%	20.2%	11.1%	.0%	13.6%
	Airport (operations,	Wtd Col %	12.4%	10.1%	.0%	.0%	5.4%
	Computer industry	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.2%	6.1%
	Education (flight instructor	Wtd Col %	8.6%	.0%	22.2%	.0%	7.6%
	FBO (flight instructor,	Wtd Col %	.0%	14.7%	.0%	.0%	3.7%
	Government	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	11.1%	23.2%	8.9%
	Manufacturing (design, etc)	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	2.8%
Ī	Military	Wtd Col %	8.6%	30.3%	22.2%	.0%	15.3%
	Other (non-aviation)	Wtd Col %	33.3%	14.7%	.0%	30.3%	19.3%

Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of Respondents	Closely related Wtd Col %	Somewhat related Wtd Col %
Worldwide	2002	9	33.3%	66.7%
Campus	2003	10	45.8%	54.2%
	2004	9	44.4%	55.6%
	2005	5	.0%	100.0%
	All Years	33	31.0%	69.0%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus

			Nι	ımber of ERAU	Graduates Kn	ow Professiona	ally
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10	11-50	Over 50
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	9	20.9%	58.1%	.0%	.0%	20.9%
Campus	2003	11	20.6%	54.2%	16.8%	8.4%	.0%
	2004	9	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%
	2005	5	46.5%	30.3%	23.2%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	34	32.9%	41.4%	13.3%	5.1%	7.3%

Response options: '1', '2-5', '6-10', '11-50', 'Over 50'.

How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus

			Number of I	ERAU Graduate Supervise	es Currently
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	9	54.3%	33.3%	12.4%
Campus	2003	11	71.0%	20.6%	8.4%
	2004	9	55.6%	44.4%	.0%
	2005	5	76.8%	23.2%	.0%
	All Years	34	65.0%	29.8%	5.1%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus

			' '	s Who Are Graduates
		Unwtd # of	Yes	No
			Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	9	.0%	100.0%
Campus	2003	11	16.8%	83.2%
	2004	9	11.1%	88.9%
	2005	5	23.2%	76.8%
	All Years	34	13.3%	86.7%

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus

			Н	iring involvemn	et level
		Unwtd # of	Make Final Deciaiton	Provide Input	No Involvement
	_	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	9	33.3%	45.7%	20.9%
Campus	2003	11	66.4%	16.8%	16.8%
	2004	9	66.7%	22.2%	11.1%
	2005	5	53.5%	46.5%	.0%
	All Years	34	56.0%	31.8%	12.1%

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus

			Preferen	ce for Hiring G	raduates
			Strong Preference	Some Preference	
			for ERAU	for ERAU	No
		Unwtd # of	Graduates	Graduates	Preference
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	7	15.7%	47.0%	37.3%
Campus	2003	9	.0%	30.3%	69.7%
	2004	8	.0%	37.5%	62.5%
	2005	5	23.2%	.0%	76.8%
	All Years	29	9.7%	26.8%	63.6%

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus

			Preference for multi-lingual candidates		
		Unwtd # of	Strong Preference	Some Preference	No Preference
		Respondents	Wtd Row % Wtd Row % Wtd Row %		
Worldwide	2003	9	.0%	10.1%	89.9%
Campus	2004	8	12.5%	.0%	87.5%
	2005	5	.0%	30.3%	69.7%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus

				e of global awa	
		Unwtd # of	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2003	11	25.2%	49.6%	25.2%
Campus	2004	9	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%
	2005	5	30.3%	69.7%	.0%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus

			Anticipated changes to organization's need for av/aero professionals			
		Unwtd # of	Increased Need	No Changes	Decreased Need	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Worldwide	2002	7	42.2%	57.8%	.0%	
Campus	2003	11	54.2%	33.6%	12.2%	
	2004	9	44.4%	55.6%	.0%	
	2005	5	23.2%	46.5%	30.3%	
	All Years	32	41.4%	46.9%	11.6%	

New question as of the Class of 2002 survey.

Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus

			Education of ERAU Graduate Meets Company's Needs								
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Strongly Disagree					
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %					
Worldwide	2002	9	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%					
Campus	2003	9	34.9%	30.3%	24.8%	10.1%					
2004		7	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%					
	2005	5	76.8%	.0%	23.2%	.0%					
	All Years	30	44.8%	33.4%	16.1%	5.7%					

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a valuable employee By Campus

			Graduate is a Valuable Employee							
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Strongly Disagree					
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %					
Worldwide	2002	9	62.8%	37.2%	.0%					
Campus	2003	9	79.8%	10.1%	10.1%					
	2004	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%					
	2005	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%					
	All Years	30	73.5%	20.8%	5.7%					

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus

			Graduate is a Good Candidate for Promotion								
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Strongly Disagree					
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %					
Worldwide	2002	9	50.5%	49.5%	.0%	.0%					
Campus	2003	9	55.0%	20.2%	14.7%	10.1%					
	2004	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%					
	2005	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%					
	All Years	30	63.8%	23.5%	6.9%	5.7%					

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus

			Skill Level of ERAU Graduate Compared to Graduates From Other Institutions							
		Unwtd # of	Much Higher	Somewhat Higher	Equivalent					
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %					
Worldwide	2002	9	12.4%	33.3%	54.3%					
Campus	2003	10	40.8%	36.7%	22.5%					
	2004	9	22.2%	22.2%	55.6%					
	2005	5	.0%	.0%	100.0%					
	All Years	33	19.4%	23.0%	57.6%					

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

		al Manag	Employer's Usefulness of Skill on the Job			Competence of this ERAU Graduate				Compet	ence of Gra	iduates from	Other Inct	itutions	
			Very Somewhat Not Useful Useful Useful			Eventor		Avorace		Competence of Graduates from Other Institu				Very Poor	
		Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Excellent Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Unwtd#	Excellent Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Poor Wtd %	Wtd %
Quantitative/mathematics	2002	8	76.0%	24.0%	.0%	7	73.4%	11.0%	15.6%	6	35.1%	17.5%	35.1%	.0%	12.3%
	2003	10	36.7% 37.5%	40.8% 62.5%	.0%	9	44.9% 14.3%	30.3% 85.7%	24.7%	6	29.0%	42.0% 66.7%	29.0% 33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	.0%	76.8%	23.2%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	31	35.2%	52.1%	12.7%	27	33.1%	56.0%	10.9%	22	15.2%	58.7%	23.2%	.0%	2.8%
Basic PC software (word processing, spreadsheets,	2002	9	100.0%	.0%	.0%	9	54.3%	33.3%	12.3%	8	27.0% 15.5%	46.0%	27.0% 46.5%	.0%	.0%
etc.)	2003	7	81.6% 71.4%	18.4% 28.6%	.0%	6	68.2% 16.7%	21.2% 83.3%	10.6%	5	.0%	38.0% 60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	46.4%	53.6%	.0%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	31	74.4%	25.6%	.0%	27	48.5%	36.8%	14.7%	22	21.8%	40.0%	38.2%	.0%	.0%
Writing skills (non-technical)	2002	9	75.3% 72.5%	24.7% 27.5%	.0%	9	38.3% 47.1%	49.3% 31.8%	12.3% 21.2%	8	.0%	63.5% 38.0%	27.0% 31.0%	9.5%	.0%
	2004	7	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	4	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	2005	5	92.9%	7.1%	.0%	3	43.4%	56.6%	.0%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	.0%	.0%
To the lead could be	All Years	31	78.4%	18.6%	2.9%	26	38.6%	47.0%	14.3%	21	22.3%	43.2%	26.8%	7.7%	.0%
Technical writing	2002	9	66.7% 63.3%	21.0% 18.4%	12.3% 18.4%	8	33.8% 47.1%	38.0% 42.3%	28.1% 10.6%	7	.0%	57.8% 69.0%	31.2% 15.5%	11.0%	.0%
	2004	8	62.5%	37.5%	.0%	7	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	6	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	46.4%	.0%	53.6%	3	43.4%	56.6%	.0%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	.0%	.0%
Speaking before co	All Years	32	59.5%	18.5%	22.0%	27	38.3%	47.5%	14.1%	22	13.1%	53.4%	30.4%	3.0%	.0%
Speaking before an audience	2002	10	47.9% 40.8%	38.0% 50.0%	14.1% 9.2%	6 8	60.9% 52.7%	39.1% 23.7%	.0%	5 5	.0%	38.7% 44.9%	45.4% 18.4%	16.0%	.0%
	2004	8	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	7	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	6	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%
	2005	5	46.4%	53.6%	.0%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	.0%	.0%
Applied research	All Years 2002	31 8	46.0% 52.1%	48.5% 47.9%	5.6%	24 8	41.6% 76.0%	34.3% 14.1%	24.1% 9.9%	19 7	24.4% 15.6%	32.4% 57.8%	34.7% 15.6%	8.4%	.0%
(information gathering	2002	10	81.6%	9.2%	9.2%	9	47.1%	42.3%	10.6%	6	31.0%	38.0%	31.0%	.0%	.0%
and analysis)	2004	8	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	7	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	6	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	5	30.3%	69.7%	.0%	3	43.4%	56.6%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Critical thinking	2002	31 8	60.1% 85.9%	34.3% 14.1%	5.6%	27 8	49.2% 62.0%	37.7% 38.0%	13.1%	22 6	16.4% 18.5%	55.5% 50.0%	25.1% 31.5%	.0%	3.0%
	2003	10	90.8%	9.2%	.0%	8	64.5%	35.5%	.0%	5	36.7%	26.6%	36.7%	.0%	.0%
	2004	8	87.5%	12.5%	.0%	7	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	6	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	5 31	76.8% 85.2%	23.2% 14.8%	.0%	3 26	.0%	56.6% 45.8%	43.4% 11.8%	3 20	.0% 17.9%	56.6% 46.1%	43.4% 36.0%	.0%	.0%
Independent work	2002	9	100.0%	.0%	.0%	9	42.0%	49.3%	8.7%	8	.0%	77.0%	23.0%	.0%	.0%
.,	2003	10	100.0%	.0%	.0%	9	78.8%	21.2%	.0%	6	46.5%	38.0%	15.5%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	6	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	5	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%
	2005 All Years	5 31	76.8% 90.8%	23.2% 9.2%	.0%	3 27	43.4% 55.4%	56.6% 42.0%	.0%	3 22	43.4% 25.3%	56.6% 54.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Planning, scheduling,	2002	9	87.7%	12.3%	.0%	9	42.0%	45.7%	12.3%	8	.0%	63.5%	36.5%	.0%	.0%
and carrying out projects	2003	10	90.8%	9.2%	.0%	9	68.2%	31.8%	.0%	6	31.0%	53.5%	15.5%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	5 31	76.8% 85.2%	23.2% 14.8%	.0%	3 27	43.4% 44.9%	13.3% 43.7%	43.4% 11.4%	3 22	43.4% 21.4%	13.3% 45.2%	43.4% 33.4%	.0%	.0%
Defining and solving	2002	8	71.9%	28.1%	.0%	8	47.9%	28.1%	24.0%	6	18.5%	50.0%	31.5%	.0%	.0%
problems	2003	10	81.6%	18.4%	.0%	9	57.7%	21.2%	21.2%	6	31.0%	53.5%	15.5%	.0%	.0%
	2004	8 5	75.0%	25.0% 23.2%	.0%	7	28.6%	42.9%	28.6% 43.4%	6	.0%	50.0% 100.0%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%
	All Years	31	76.8% 76.7%	23.2%	.0%	27	37.5%	56.6% 34.8%	27.7%	21	12.7%	62.0%	20.8%	4.5%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	2002	8	85.9%	.0%	14.1%	8	47.9%	38.0%	14.1%	7	15.6%	37.6%	46.8%	.0%	.0%
	2003	10	81.6%	18.4%	.0%	9	68.2%	21.2%	10.6%	6	31.0%	53.5%	15.5%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	85.7% 76.8%	14.3% 23.2%	.0%	6	33.3% 43.4%	66.7% 56.6%	.0%	5	.0%	60.0% 13.3%	20.0% 43.4%	20.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	82.1%	14.9%	3.0%	26	50.3%	42.5%	7.2%	21	22.3%	41.4%	31.8%	4.5%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2002	8	47.9%	52.1%	.0%	7	44.2%	27.9%	27.9%	6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2003	10	77.5%	22.5%	.0%	9	68.2%	21.2%	10.6%	6	31.0%	22.5%	46.5%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7 5	57.1% 23.2%	42.9% 53.6%	.0%	6	33.3%	50.0% 56.6%	16.7% 43.4%	5 3	.0%	40.0% 56.6%	60.0% 43.4%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	51.8%	41.7%	6.4%	25	41.2%	36.4%	22.5%	20	8.6%	41.6%	49.9%	.0%	.0%
Responsible actions and decision making	2002	8	85.9%	14.1%	.0%	8	62.0%	38.0%	.0%	7	15.6%	42.2%	42.2%	.0%	.0%
accision maining	2003	10 7	90.8% 71.4%	9.2%	.0%	9	57.7% 33.3%	42.3% 50.0%	.0%	6 5	31.0% 20.0%	38.0% 40.0%	31.0% 20.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	76.8%	23.2%	.0%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	81.8%	18.2%	.0%	26	50.7%	37.5%	11.8%	21	26.8%	34.2%	34.5%	4.5%	.0%
Understanding other people and other points	2002	8	71.9%	28.1%	.0%	8	47.9%	38.0%	14.1%	7	.0%	73.4%	26.6%	.0%	.0%
of view	2003	10 7	81.6% 57.1%	18.4% 42.9%	.0%	9	57.7% 33.3%	31.8% 66.7%	10.6%	6 5	15.5% 20.0%	53.5% 40.0%	31.0% 40.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	69.7%	30.3%	.0%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	3	43.4%	13.3%	43.4%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	71.1%	28.9%	.0%	26	46.9%	37.9%	15.2%	21	18.2%	47.3%	34.5%	.0%	.0%
Environmental awareness	2002	8	38.0%	38.0%	24.0%	6	31.5%	68.5%	.0%	5	.0%	36.2%	63.8%	.0%	.0%
	2003	10	50.0% 25.0%	27.5% 62.5%	22.5% 12.5%	9	57.7% 33.3%	10.6% 50.0%	31.8% 16.7%	6 5	31.0%	38.0% 60.0%	31.0% 20.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	30.3%	46.4%	23.2%	3	.0%	56.6%	43.4%	3	.0%	56.6%	43.4%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	31	36.4%	43.0%	20.6%	24	34.7%	41.8%	23.5%	19	8.8%	47.4%	38.8%	4.9%	.0%
Political and economic awareness	2002	8	47.9%	9.9%	42.2%	4	50.0%	29.4%	20.6%	2	.0%	58.7%	41.3%	.0%	.0%
	2003	10 7	22.5% 14.3%	36.7% 28.6%	40.8% 57.1%	7	46.3% 33.3%	40.3% 33.3%	13.4% 33.3%	4	22.5%	55.0% 33.3%	22.5% 66.7%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	.0%	30.3%	69.7%	3	.0%	56.6%	43.4%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	20.0%	27.5%	52.6%	17	32.2%	41.5%	26.3%	12	6.6%	67.0%	26.4%	.0%	.0%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

ERAU Degree Specific Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Worldwide Campus BS Technical Management

Worldwide Campus BS Te	crimical ivia	I											1		
				petence of	this ERAU Grad	luate		Competence of other Graduates			tes		Usefulness of skill on the Job		
			(1) Very	(2)		l		(1) Very	(2)				(1) Very	(2) Somewhat	(3) Not
			Good	Good	(3) Average	(4) Poor		Good	Good	(3) Average	(4) Poor		Useful	Useful	Useful
(4) 5 1 ::	1 0000	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %
(A) Relating management concepts to prior knowledge in	2002	5	16.0%	45.4%	38.7%	.0%	5	16.0%	22.7%	61.3%	.0%	5	61.3%	22.7%	16.0%
a technical operations specialty	2003	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	.0%	4	25.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%
a toominaal operations operation	2004	6	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%
	2005	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%
	All Years	21	27.7%	61.0%	11.3%	.0%	17	13.4%	32.3%	54.3%	.0%	24	56.5%	37.6%	5.9%
(B) Using accounting, financial,	2002	5	16.0%	84.0%	.0%	.0%	5	.0%	38.7%	61.3%	.0%	5	61.3%	38.7%	.0%
and statistical information in	2003	7	57.1%	14.3%	28.6%	.0%	5	40.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	8	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%
the management of technical	2004	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	7	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%
operations	2005	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	All Years	20	28.5%	39.5%	32.0%	.0%	17	14.5%	25.0%	55.3%	5.2%	24	20.6%	53.0%	26.4%
(C) Applying organizational and	2002	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	5	61.3%	16.0%	22.7%
human resources theory and	2003	8	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	.0%	5	40.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	8	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
concepts in the workplace	2004	6	50.0%	33.3%	.0%	16.7%	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%
	2005	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	All Years													34.8%	
(D) Using computer technology	2002	21	24.8%	40.6%	30.3%	4.3%	17	14.5%	25.0%	55.3%	5.2%	24	50.0%		15.2%
to support technical operations		6	31.5%	37.0%	31.5%	.0%	6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	6	100.0%	.0%	.0%
to support technical operations	2003	7	71.4%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	8	62.5%	37.5%	.0%
	2004	6	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%
	2005	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
	All Years	22	51.2%	32.9%	15.9%	.0%	18	19.8%	47.9%	27.4%	4.9%	25	79.2%	20.8%	.0%
(E) Understanding the social,	2002	5	22.7%	38.7%	38.7%	.0%	5	.0%	61.3%	38.7%	.0%	5	45.4%	38.7%	16.0%
economic, ethical, political,	2003	6	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	8	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%
and legal environment of a technical enterprise	2004	4	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	33.3%	7	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%
technical enterprise	2005	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%
	All Years	17	31.6%	42.2%	26.2%	.0%	14	12.4%	44.1%	36.9%	6.5%	24	21.4%	38.5%	40.2%
(F) Applying strategic and	2002	5	31.9%	68.1%	.0%	.0%	5	.0%	61.3%	38.7%	.0%	5	100.0%	.0%	.0%
project planning principles and	2003	8	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	5	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	8	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%
techniques in a technical	2004	5	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	4	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	7	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%
operation	2005	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
	All Years	21	42.7%	34.9%	22.5%	.0%	17	14.5%	34.9%	50.6%	.0%	24	67.8%	25.2%	7.0%
(G) Using general managerial	2002	6	26.0%	55.5%	18.5%	.0%	6	13.0%	37.0%	50.0%	.0%	6	81.5%	18.5%	.0%
skills (leadership, problem	2002	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	5	40.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	8	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
solving, and decision-making)	2003														
-		6	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%
	2005	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%
	All Years	23	40.2%	39.7%	20.1%	.0%	19	26.5%	24.1%	49.5%	.0%	25	78.9%	21.1%	.0%
(H) Using managerial skills in	2002	5	16.0%	84.0%	.0%	.0%	5	16.0%	45.4%	38.7%	.0%	6	81.5%	.0%	18.5%
computers	2003	7	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%
	2004	4	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	33.3%	7	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%
	2005	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	4	50.0%	.0%	50.0%
	All Years	19	49.0%	36.6%	14.4%	.0%	15	32.4%	34.6%	27.2%	5.7%	25	61.0%	13.5%	25.5%
(I) Using managerial skills in	2002	6	13.0%	68.5%	18.5%	.0%	6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	6	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
technical writing	2003	7	57.1%	14.3%	28.6%	.0%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	8	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%
	2004	4	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	33.3%	7	57.1%	14.3%	28.6%
	2005	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%
	All Years	20	33.7%	44.0%	22.3%	.0%	16	26.8%	36.6%	31.2%	5.4%	25	41.0%	37.9%	21.1%
(J) Using managerial skills in	2002	5	38.7%	61.3%	.0%	.0%	5	.0%	61.3%	38.7%	.0%	6	50.0%	31.5%	18.5%
quantitative/mathematics	2003	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	8	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%
·	2003		50.0%	50.0%		.0%	3	33.3%			33.3%	7	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%
	2004	4			.0%				33.3%	.0%					
		2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	All Years	16	49.7%	32.6%	17.7%	.0%	13	28.1%	46.9%	18.1%	6.9%	25	19.9%	59.9%	20.3%

 ${\sf ERAU\ Preparation\ response\ options\ for\ the\ Class\ of\ 2003:\ '1=Excellent',\ '2=Good',\ '3=Average',\ '4=Poor',\ '5=Very\ Poor'.}$

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2000 survey: '1=Excellent', '2=Very Good', '3=Good', '4=Fair', '5=Poor'.