Employer Feedback Survey

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005, 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

Program Profile AS Professional Aeronautics

Prepared by:
Jessica Beyer
Office of Institutional Research
November 2008

Employer Feedback Survey Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

This Program Profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005. Almost every question from the instrument is included. Some of the skill verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Employer comments for 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' of the degree program have been included. In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, campus, program, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Please use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded.

Number of Respondents By Campus and Year of Graduation.

		AS Professional Aeronautics						
	2002 2003 2004 2005 All Years							
Worldwide Campus	7 6 7 5 25							

Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Less than 1 year	1-2 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
	-	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	7	7.1%	.0%	17.2%	75.7%
Campus	2003	6	.0%	27.2%	68.3%	4.5%
	2004	7	.0%	.0%	28.6%	71.4%
	2005	5	.0%	20.0%	.0%	80.0%
	All Years	25	1.1%	12.6%	26.0%	60.2%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years', '3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

			2002	2003	2004	2005	All Years
Worldwide	Unwtd # of Respondents		7	6	7	5	25
Campus	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	.0%	22.8%	14.3%	20.0%	15.7%
	Airport (operations,	Wtd Col %	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	1.0%
	Air Traffic Controller	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	6.6%
	Corporate aviation	Wtd Col %	.0%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	5.0%
	Education (flight	Wtd Col %	.0%	27.2%	.0%	.0%	6.0%
	Government	Wtd Col %	17.2%	22.8%	14.3%	40.0%	25.1%
	Military	Wtd Col %	51.5%	.0%	42.9%	20.0%	27.3%
	Other (aviation)	Wtd Col %	31.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%
	Other (non-aviation)	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	28.6%	.0%	8.2%

Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Closely related	Somewhat related
_		Respondents	Wtd Col %	Wtd Col %
Worldwide	2002	7	58.6%	41.4%
Campus	2003	5	64.7%	35.3%
	2004	7	71.4%	28.6%
	2005	5	60.0%	40.0%
	All Years	24	64.1%	35.9%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus

			Nu	ımber of ERAU	Graduates Kn	ow Professiona	ally
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10	11-50	Over 50
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	7	.0%	92.9%	7.1%	.0%	.0%
Campus	2003	6	.0%	27.2%	22.8%	50.0%	.0%
	2004	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	.0%	.0%
	2005	5	.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%
	All Years	25	12.4%	53.1%	10.3%	17.6%	6.6%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus

			Number of I	ERAU Graduate Supervise	es Currently
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	7	58.6%	24.3%	17.2%
Campus	2003	6	45.5%	54.5%	.0%
	2004	7	71.4%	28.6%	.0%
	2005	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	All Years	24	57.0%	32.9%	10.0%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus

				s Who Are Graduates
			Yes	No
			Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	7	.0%	100.0%
Campus	2003	6	22.8%	77.2%
	2004	6	.0%	100.0%
	2005	5	40.0%	60.0%
	All Years	24	19.0%	81.0%

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus

			Н	iring involvemn	et level
		Unwtd # of	Make Final Deciaiton	Provide Input	No Involvement
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	7	34.3%	48.5%	17.2%
Campus	2003	6	95.5%	4.5%	.0%
	2004	7	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%
	2005	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%
	All Years	25	48.0%	26.1%	25.9%

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus

			Preferen	ce for Hiring G	raduates
			Strong Preference	Some Preference	
			for ERAU	for ERAU	No
		Unwtd # of	Graduates	Graduates	Preference
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Campus	2003	6	.0%	27.2%	72.8%
	2004	3	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	2005	4	25.0%	.0%	75.0%
	All Years	19	8.9%	17.1%	74.0%

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus

				ence for I candidates
		Unwtd # of	Some Preference	No Preference
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2003	6	.0%	100.0%
Campus	2004	3	.0%	100.0%
	2005	4	50.0%	50.0%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus

				e of global awa	
		Unwtd # of	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2003	6	.0%	77.2%	22.8%
Campus	2004	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%
	2005	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus

				changes to org	-
		Unwtd # of	Increased Need	No Changes	Decreased Need
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	6	29.3%	70.7%	.0%
Campus	2003	6	27.2%	50.0%	22.8%
	2004	7	42.9%	57.1%	.0%
	2005	5	40.0%	60.0%	.0%
	All Years	24	36.5%	58.4%	5.1%

New question as of the Class of 2002 survey.

Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus

			Education of ERAU Graduate Meets Company's Needs					
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral			
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %			
Worldwide	2002	7	17.2%	75.7%	7.1%			
Campus	2003	6	27.2%	27.2%	45.5%			
	2004	6	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%			
	2005	4	75.0%	.0%	25.0%			
	All Years	23	41.3%	34.2%	24.5%			

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a valuable employee By Campus

			G	raduate is a Va	luable Employe	ee
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
	_	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2002	7	68.7%	24.3%	7.1%	.0%
Campus	2003	6	54.5%	22.8%	.0%	22.8%
	2004	6	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005	4	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	23	69.3%	23.8%	1.3%	5.6%

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus

			Graduate is a Good Candidate for Promotion							
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree				
	-	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Worldwide	2002	7	51.5%	41.4%	7.1%	.0%				
Campus	2003	6	9.0%	45.5%	22.8%	22.8%				
	2004	6	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%				
	2005	4	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%				
	All Years	23	35.5%	37.1%	21.7%	5.6%				

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus

			Skill Level of ERAU Graduate Compared to Graduates From Other Institutions						
		Unwtd # of	Much Higher	Somewhat Higher	Equivalent	Somewhat Lower Wtd Row %			
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Worldwide	2002	7	17.2%	58.6%	17.2%	7.1%			
Campus	2003	6	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%			
	2004	7	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%	.0%			
	2005	4	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%			
	All Years	24	11.8%	21.6%	65.4%	1.2%			

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Worldwide Campus AS Professional Aeronautics
--

Worldwide Campus A	S Professi	onal Aero	onautics													
		Employer's Usefulness of Skill						of this EDA	I I Craduata			Competence of Graduates from Other				
		on the Job Very Somewhat Not		Not	•	Competence of this ERAU Graduate Very				1	Institutions					
			Useful	Useful	Useful		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Poor		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor
Quantitative/mathematics	2002	Unwtd#	Wtd % 24.3%	Wtd % 75.7%	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd % 55.3%	Wtd % 26.2%	Wtd % 18.4%	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd #	Wtd % 26.0%	Wtd % 26.0%	Wtd % 47.9%	Wtd %
	2003	6	22.8%	77.2%	.0%	6	22.8%	50.0%	27.2%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%
	2005	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Pagin DC anthunes (word	All Years	23	19.8%	67.9%	12.4%	20	23.2%	50.7%	26.0%	.0%	.0%	13	6.0%	64.8%	29.2%	.0%
Basic PC software (word processing, spreadsheets,	2002	7	75.7% 100.0%	24.3%	.0%	5 6	45.2% 27.2%	45.2% 50.0%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	4	35.2% 6.0%	35.2% 94.0%	29.6%	.0%
etc.)	2004	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	6	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005	3	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	23	83.1%	16.9%	.0%	19	36.5%	45.7%	17.8%	.0%	.0%	12	8.7%	76.3%	15.0%	.0%
Writing skills (non-technical)	2002	7	92.8%	7.2%	.0%	5	22.6%	77.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	70.4%	14.8%	14.8%
(non-teeninear)	2003	6 7	50.0% 42.9%	50.0% 57.1%	.0%	5 6	5.7% 16.7%	64.8% 66.7%	29.5% 16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	8.8%	91.2%	.0%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	22	64.3%	35.7%	.0%	17	12.9%	61.0%	26.1%	.0%	.0%	11	2.6%	58.7%	35.7%	3.1%
Technical writing	2002	7	58.6%	41.4%	.0%	5	22.6%	77.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	35.2%	50.0%	14.8%
	2003	6	22.8%	77.2%	.0%	4	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	6	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	All Years	22	100.0% 55.1%	.0%	.0%	16	.0%	.0%	100.0% 22.8%	.0%	.0%	11	.0%	100.0% 54.0%	.0%	3.1%
Speaking before an	2002	7	41.4%	41.4%	17.1%	5	22.6%	45.2%	32.1%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	35.2%	50.0%	14.8%
audience	2003	6	45.6%	31.6%	22.8%	5	5.7%	94.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	8.8%	91.2%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	28.6%	71.4%	.0%	6	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	.0%	66.7%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Applied research	All Years 2002	22 7	47.6% 58.6%	42.6% 41.4%	9.7%	17 5	19.7% 22.6%	56.0% 77.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11	13.4%	51.4% 35.2%	32.1% 64.8%	3.1%
(information gathering	2002	6	31.6%	45.6%	22.8%	5	35.2%	64.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
and analysis)	2004	7	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	6	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
0.00	All Years	22	62.6%	26.0%	11.4%	17	41.6%	47.4%	11.0%	.0%	.0%	12	.0%	78.6%	21.4%	.0%
Critical thinking	2002	7	48.6% 95.6%	51.4% 4.4%	.0%	5 6	67.9%	22.6% 72.8%	9.5% 4.4%	.0%	.0%	4	35.2%	35.2% 100.0%	29.6%	.0%
	2003	7	95.6% 85.7%	14.3%	.0%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	22	83.4%	16.6%	.0%	18	18.9%	53.8%	19.6%	7.7%	.0%	12	6.4%	69.0%	24.6%	.0%
Independent work	2002	7	65.8%	34.2%	.0%	5	67.9%	22.6%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	4	35.2%	.0%	64.8%	.0%
	2003	6	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	6	4.4%	72.8%	.0%	22.8%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7 2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7% 100.0%	33.3%	.0%
	All Years	22	86.9%	13.1%	.0%	18	20.4%	60.1%	11.9%	7.7%	.0%	12	6.4%	72.1%	21.4%	.0%
Planning, scheduling,	2002	7	58.6%	41.4%	.0%	5	45.2%	45.2%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	4	35.2%	.0%	64.8%	.0%
and carrying out projects	2003	6	72.8%	27.2%	.0%	6	.0%	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	6	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%
	2005 All Years	22	50.0% 70.8%	50.0% 29.2%	.0%	1 18	.0%	.0%	100.0% 19.6%	.0%	.0%	1 12	.0%	100.0% 62.5%	.0%	.0%
Defining and solving	2002	7	82.9%	17.1%	.0%	5	22.6%	67.9%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	35.2%	64.8%	.0%
problems	2003	6	68.4%	31.6%	.0%	6	.0%	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	71.4%	28.6%	.0%	6	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	All Years 2002	22 7	77.6% 100.0%	22.4%	.0%	18 5	4.2% 45.2%	76.2% 45.2%	19.6% 9.5%	.0%	.0%	12 4	.0%	59.4% 35.2%	40.6% 14.8%	.0%
Siking in groups/tearins	2002	6	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	6	45.2%	72.8%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Landon to 12	All Years	22	88.6%	11.4%	.0%	18	16.2%	58.0%	25.8%	.0%	.0%	12	6.4%	78.6%	12.3%	2.7%
Leading/guiding others	2002	7	92.8% 72.8%	7.2% 27.2%	.0%	5 6	22.6%	67.9% 77.2%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	35.2% 100.0%	50.0%	14.8%
	2003	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%
	2005	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	22	82.8%	17.2%	.0%	18	10.5%	70.0%	11.9%	7.7%	.0%	12	.0%	69.0%	28.3%	2.7%
Responsible actions and decision making	2002	7	65.8%	34.2%	.0%	5	45.2%	45.2%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	70.4%	29.6%	.0%
accidion making	2003	6 7	95.6% 100.0%	4.4%	.0%	6	22.8%	54.4%	.0%	.0%	22.8%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	22	91.9%	8.1%	.0%	18	22.4%	58.1%	1.8%	10.1%	7.7%	12	.0%	94.6%	5.4%	.0%
Understanding other	2002	7	75.7%	24.3%	.0%	5	45.2%	45.2%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	70.4%	14.8%	14.8%
people and other points of view	2003	6	95.6%	4.4%	.0%	6	.0%	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
2	2004	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005 All Years	22	100.0% 93.9%	.0%	.0%	1 18	.0%	.0%	100.0% 19.6%	.0%	.0%	1 12	.0%	100.0% 85.0%	.0%	.0%
Environmental awareness	2002	7	41.4%	41.4%	17.1%	5	22.6%	67.9%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	41.3%	58.7%	.0%
	2003	5	47.7%	52.3%	.0%	5	.0%	76.1%	23.9%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	57.1%	42.9%	.0%	6	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Political and economic	All Years 2002	21 7	50.2% 7.2%	46.3% 51.4%	3.5% 41.4%	17 5	4.3% 22.6%	63.1% 67.9%	32.6% 9.5%	.0%	.0%	11	.0%	80.7% 41.3%	19.3% 58.7%	.0%
awareness	2002	5	.0%	51.4%	47.7%	4	.0%	68.7%	31.3%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	42.9%	57.1%	.0%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
	2005	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	22	15.7%	64.8%	19.5%	16	11.5%	60.0%	28.4%	.0%	.0%	10	.0%	78.1%	21.9%	.0%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'