#### **Employer Feedback Survey**

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005, 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

**Program Profile** 

BS Civil Engineering

Prepared by:
Jessica Beyer
Office of Institutional Research
November 2008

Employer Feedback Survey Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

This Program Profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005. Almost every question from the instrument is included. Some of the skill verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Employer comments for 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' of the degree program have been included. In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, campus, program, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Please use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded.

#### Number of Respondents By Campus and Year of Graduation.

	BS Civil Engineering				
	2002 2003 2005 All Years				
Daytona Beach	2	2	2	6	

## Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus All Years Combined

		Less than 1	
	Unwtd # of	year	1-2 years
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	6	13.1%	86.9%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years', '3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

## Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus All Years Combined

		Daytona Beach
Unwtd # of Respondents		6
Airport (operations,	Wtd Col %	7.4%
Government	Wtd Col %	13.1%
Military	Wtd Col %	12.9%
Other (non-aviation)	Wtd Col %	66.6%

# Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus All Years Combined

	Unwtd # of	Closely related	Somewhat related
	Respondents	Wtd Col %	Wtd Col %
Daytona Beach	6	74.0%	26.0%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

# Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus All Years Combined

		Number of ERAU Graduates Know Professionally		
			riblessionally	
	Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	6	31.7%	46.3%	22.0%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

## How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus All Years Combined

		Number of ERAU	
		Graduates Currently	
		Supervise	
	Unwtd # of	1	6-10
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	6	78.0%	22.0%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

### Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus All Years Combined

		Supervisors Who Are Also ERAU Graduates
	Unwtd # of	No
	Respondents	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	6	100.0%

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

### What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus All Years Combined

		Hiring involvemnet level		
	Unwtd # of	Make Final Deciaiton	Provide Input	No Involvement
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	6	55.4%	31.7%	12.9%

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

## What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus All Years Combined

		Preferen	ce for Hiring G	raduates
		Strong	Some	
		Preference	Preference	
		for ERAU	for ERAU	No
	Unwtd # of	Graduates	Graduates	Preference
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	6	22.0%	7.4%	70.6%

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

#### What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus All Years Combined

		Preference for	
		multi-lingua	candidates
		Strong	No
	Unwtd # of	Preference	Preference
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	4	90.1%	9.9%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

#### How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus All Years Combined

		Importance of global		
		awareness and		
		international experience		
		Somewhat	Not	
	Unwtd # of	Important	Important	
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Daytona Beach	4	9.9%	90.1%	

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey.

Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

## What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus All Years Combined

		Anticipated changes to organization's need for av/aero professionals	
		Increased Need	No Changes
	Unwtd # of Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	5	23.5%	76.5%

New question as of the Class of 2002 survey.

Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

### The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus All Years Combined

		Education of ERAU Graduate Meets				
		Company	/'s Needs			
		Strongly				
	Unwtd # of	Agree	Agree			
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %			
Daytona Beach	6	53.7%	46.3%			

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral',

'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

### He/she is a valuable employee By Campus All Years Combined

		Graduate is a Valuable Employee					
		Emp	oyee				
		Strongly					
	Unwtd # of	Agree	Agree				
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Daytona Beach	6	61.0%	39.0%				

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

### He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus All Years Combined

		Graduate is a Good Candidate for Promotion				
	Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree			
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %			
Daytona Beach	6	61.0%	39.0%			

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

#### Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus All Years Combined

		Skill Level of ERAU					
		Graduate Compared to Graduates From Other					
		Institutions					
		Somewhat					
	Unwtd # of	Higher	Equivalent				
	Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Daytona Beach	6	35.1%	64.9%				

#### **ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job**

Daytona Beach BS Civil Engineering

	Employer's Usefulness of Skill on the Job					Competence of this ERAU Graduate				Competence of Graduates from Other				
	Unwtd #	Very Useful Wtd %	Somewhat Useful Wtd %	Not Useful Wtd %	Unwtd #	Excellent Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Unwtd #	Excellent Wtd %	Good Wtd %	Average Wtd %	Poor Wtd %	
Quantitative/mathematics	6	74.2%	25.8%	.0%	6	39.0%	35.0%	26.1%	6	12.9%	87.1%	.0%	.0%	
Basic PC software (word processing, spreadsheets, etc.)	6	87.1%	.0%	12.9%	6	.0%	73.9%	26.1%	5	14.8%	70.1%	15.1%	.0%	
Writing skills (non-technical)	6	42.7%	25.8%	31.5%	5	.0%	32.2%	67.8%	5	.0%	51.0%	49.0%	.0%	
Technical writing	6	74.2%	12.9%	12.9%	5	.0%	40.5%	59.5%	5	.0%	25.3%	59.5%	15.1%	
Speaking before an audience	6	44.7%	55.3%	.0%	6	.0%	22.1%	77.9%	6	.0%	35.0%	65.0%	.0%	
Applied research (information gathering and analysis)	6	87.1%	12.9%	.0%	6	7.4%	53.6%	39.0%	6	.0%	92.6%	7.4%	.0%	
Critical thinking	6	65.0%	35.0%	.0%	6	.0%	61.0%	39.0%	6	.0%	86.8%	13.2%	.0%	
Independent work	5	44.7%	55.3%	.0%	6	22.1%	51.9%	26.1%	6	.0%	73.9%	26.1%	.0%	
Planning, scheduling, and carrying out projects	6	73.9%	26.1%	.0%	6	22.1%	44.4%	33.5%	6	12.9%	53.6%	33.5%	.0%	
Defining and solving problems	6	87.1%	12.9%	.0%	6	53.6%	12.9%	33.5%	6	12.9%	53.6%	33.5%	.0%	
Working in groups/teams	6	77.9%	22.1%	.0%	6	12.9%	53.6%	33.5%	6	12.9%	66.5%	20.6%	.0%	
Leading/guiding others	6	12.9%	87.1%	.0%	6	.0%	35.0%	65.0%	6	12.9%	35.0%	52.1%	.0%	
Responsible actions and decision making	6	64.8%	35.2%	.0%	6	.0%	53.6%	46.4%	6	.0%	35.0%	65.0%	.0%	
Understanding other people and other points of view	6	87.1%	12.9%	.0%	6	.0%	22.1%	77.9%	6	.0%	35.0%	65.0%	.0%	
Environmental awareness	6	52.1%	47.9%	.0%	5	.0%	61.5%	38.5%	5	.0%	61.5%	38.5%	.0%	
Political and economic awareness	6	13.2%	55.3%	31.5%	4	.0%	39.7%	60.3%	4	.0%	62.9%	37.1%	.0%	

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

Classes of 2002, 2003, 2004 & 2005: 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

#### ERAU Degree Specific Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Daytona Beach BS Civil Engineering

Daytona Beach BS C	civil Engine	ering													
			Comp	etence of the Graduate			Co	mpetence o	of other Gradua	tes		Usefulness of skill on the Job			
			(1) Very Good	(2) Good	(3) Average		(1) Very Good	(2) Good	(3) Average	(4) Poor		(1) Very Useful	(2) Somewhat Useful	(3) Not Useful	
		Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	Unwtd#	Wtd %	Wtd %	Wtd %	
(A) Airport planning and	2002	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
design	2003	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
	2005	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	
	All Years	3	.0%	72.6%	27.4%	3	.0%	45.8%	.0%	54.2%	5	.0%	52.0%	48.0%	
(B) Transportation	2002	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	2	.0%	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
engineering	2003	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	5	.0%	71.8%	28.2%	5	.0%	71.8%	14.2%	13.9%	5	57.9%	42.1%	.0%	
(C) Hydraulics/hydrology	2002	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
(O) Trydradiics/Trydrology	2002	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	2005														
		2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
(5) 11	All Years	4	39.7%	44.0%	16.2%	4	16.2%	67.5%	16.2%	.0%	5	85.8%	.0%	14.2%	
(D) Materials testing	2002	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
	2003	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	3	.0%	33.2%	66.8%	3	.0%	33.2%	66.8%	.0%	5	.0%	71.8%	28.2%	
(E) Construction	2002	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	
eng/mgmt	2003	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	
	All Years	3	.0%	52.6%	47.4%	3	19.4%	33.2%	47.4%	.0%	5	48.0%	23.8%	28.2%	
(F) Soil mechanics	2002	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	
	2003	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	
	All Years	3	.0%	80.6%	19.4%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	5	48.0%	23.8%	28.2%	
(G) Pavement design	2002	1	.0%			1			.0%	100.0%	2		100.0%		
				.0%	100.0%		.0%	.0%				.0%		.0%	
	2003	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	
	All Years	4	.0%	27.7%	72.3%	4	.0%	27.7%	39.6%	32.7%	5	34.1%	65.9%	.0%	
(H) Structural analysis	2002	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
and design	2003	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	2	.0%	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
	All Years	3	.0%	33.2%	66.8%	4	.0%	27.8%	56.0%	16.2%	5	.0%	85.8%	14.2%	
(I) Computer skills for	2002	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	
civil eng	2003	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
analysis/design	2005	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	4	83.5%	16.5%	.0%	4	16.2%	67.3%	16.5%	.0%	5	71.8%	14.2%	13.9%	
(J) CAD	2002	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	
	2003	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	4	16.2%	83.8%	.0%	4	16.2%	67.3%	16.5%	.0%	5	71.8%	14.2%	13.9%	
(K) Environmental	2002	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
engineering	2003	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	4	.0%	83.8%	16.2%	4	.0%	67.3%	32.7%	.0%	5	72.1%	13.9%	13.9%	
(L) Understand/adapt to	2002														
challenges of civil eng	2002	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	
		1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	41.2%	58.8%	.0%	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
(AA) A 1 127 1	All Years	4	27.7%	72.3%	.0%	4	18.3%	63.0%	18.7%	.0%	5	86.1%	.0%	13.9%	
(M) Apply skills/	2002	2	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	2	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	
knowledge to problems	2003	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	5	.0%	86.1%	13.9%	5	13.9%	71.8%	14.2%	.0%	5	86.1%	13.9%	.0%	
(N) Recognize need to	2002	2	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
cont. prof. development	2003	1	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
	2005	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	2	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	2	58.8%	41.2%	.0%	
	All Years	5	13.9%	72.1%	13.9%	4	16.2%	67.3%	16.5%	.0%	5	76.2%	23.8%	.0%	
		ı	. 3.0 ,0	,0	. 5.5 70		. 3.2,3	2.10,0	. 0.0 /0	.0 ,0		. 3.2,0	20.070	.57	

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

 $<sup>\</sup>label{lem:eradion} \textit{ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2000 survey: '1=Excellent', '2=Very Good', '3=Good', '4=Fair', '5=Poor'. \\$ 

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'