Employer Feedback Survey

Classes of 2001, 2002, 2003 & 2004, 1 1/2 Years After Graduation

Program Profile
AS Professional Aeronautics

Prepared by:

Kimberly Brantley Office of Institutional Research June 2007

This Program Profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the Classes of 2001, 2002, 2003 & 2004. Almost every question from the instrument is included. Some of the skill verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Employer comments for 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' of the degree program have been included.

In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, campus, program, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Please use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded.

Number of Respondents By Campus and Year of Graduation.

		AS Professional Aeronautics					
	2001	2002	2003	2004	All Years		
Worldwide Campus	11	7	6	7	31		

Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Less than 1 year	1-2 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2001	11	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%
Campus	2002	7	7.1%	.0%	17.2%	75.7%
	2003	6	.0%	27.2%	68.3%	4.5%
	2004	7	.0%	.0%	28.6%	71.4%
	All Years	31	3.3%	9.0%	32.4%	55.3%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years', '3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

			2001	2002	2003	2004	All Years
Worldwide Campus	Unwtd # of Res	spondents	11	7	6	7	31
	Aerospace	Wtd Col %	18.2%	.0%	22.8%	14.3%	14.6%
	Airline	Wtd Col %	9.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Airport	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	1.1%
	Corporate	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	22.8%	.0%	5.8%
	Education	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	27.2%	.0%	7.0%
	Government	Wtd Col %	9.1%	17.2%	22.8%	14.3%	15.9%
	Military	Wtd Col %	36.4%	51.5%	.0%	42.9%	32.1%
	Other	Wtd Col %	18.2%	31.3%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
	Other	Wtd Col %	9.1%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	11.7%

Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of Respondents	Closely related Wtd Col %	Somewhat related Wtd Col %
Worldwide	2001	11	63.6%	36.4%
Campus	2002	7	58.6%	41.4%
	2003	5	64.7%	35.3%
	2004	7	71.4%	28.6%
	All Years	30	65.7%	34.3%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus

			Number o	of ERAU Gradua	ates Know Profe	essionally
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10	11-50
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2001	11	.0%	45.5%	18.2%	36.4%
Campus	2002	7	.0%	92.9%	7.1%	.0%
	2003	6	.0%	27.2%	22.8%	50.0%
	2004	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	.0%
	All Years	31	14.5%	48.8%	16.0%	20.7%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus

			Number of	ERAU Graduate Supervise	es Currently
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2001	11	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%
Campus	2002	7	58.6%	24.3%	17.2%
	2003	6	45.5%	54.5%	.0%
	2004	7	71.4%	28.6%	.0%
	All Years	31	52.8%	40.0%	7.2%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus

			Supervisors Who Are Also ERAU Graduates		
		Unwtd # of	Yes	No	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Worldwide	2001	11	27.3%	72.7%	
Campus	2002	7	.0%	100.0%	
	2003	6	22.8%	77.2%	
	2004	6	.0%	100.0%	
	All Years	30	12.3%	87.7%	

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus

			Hiring involvemnet level			
		Unwtd # of	Make Final Deciaiton	Provide Input	No Involvement	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Worldwide	2001	11	54.5%	36.4%	9.1%	
Campus	2002	7	34.3%	48.5%	17.2%	
	2003	6	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	
	2004	7	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%	
	All Years	31	52.5%	23.0%	24.6%	

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus

			Preference for Hiring Graduates			
		Unwtd # of	Strong Preference for ERAU Graduates	Some Preference for ERAU Graduates	No Preference	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Worldwide	2001	10	20.0%	10.0%	70.0%	
Campus	2002	6	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
	2003	6	.0%	27.2%	72.8%	
	2004	3	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	All Years	25	5.2%	22.2%	72.6%	

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus

			Preference for multi-lingual candidates
		Unwtd # of	No Preference
		Respondents	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2003	6	100.0%
Campus	2004	3	100.0%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey. Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus

				e of global awar national experie	
		Unwtd # of	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Worldwide	2003	6	.0%	77.2%	22.8%
Campus	2004	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey. Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus

			Anticipated changes to organization's need for av/aero professionals			
		Unwtd # of	Increased Need	No Changes	Decreased Need	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Worldwide	2002	6	29.3%	70.7%	.0%	
Campus	2003	6	27.2%	50.0%	22.8%	
20	2004	7	42.9%	57.1%	.0%	
	All Years	19	34.7%	57.5%	7.8%	

New question as of the Class of 2003 survey. Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus

			Education of ERAU Graduate Meets Company's Needs						
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral				
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %				
Worldwide	2001	11	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%				
Campus	2002	7	17.2%	75.7%	7.1%				
	2003	6	27.2%	27.2%	45.5%				
	2004	6	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%				
	All Years	30	31.3%	43.8%	25.0%				

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a valuable employee By Campus

			G	e			
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	
Worldwide	2001	11	63.6%	36.4%	.0%	.0%	
Campus	2002	7	68.7%	24.3%	7.1%	.0%	
	2003	6	54.5%	22.8%	.0%	22.8%	
	2004	6	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	
	All Years	30	58.0%	34.5%	1.4%	6.1%	

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus

			Graduate is a Good Candidate for Promotion								
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree					
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %					
Worldwide	2001	11	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	.0%					
Campus	2002	7	51.5%	41.4%	7.1%	.0%					
	2003	6	9.0%	45.5%	22.8%	22.8%					
	2004	6	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%					
	All Years	30	33.1%	51.2%	9.6%	6.1%					

Response options: 'Strongly Disagree', 'Disagree', 'Neutral', 'Agree', 'Strongly Agree'.

Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus

			Skill Level of ERAU Graduate Compared to Graduates From Other Institutions								
		Unwtd # of	Much Higher	Somewhat Higher	Equivalent	Somewhat Lower					
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %					
Worldwide	2001	11	9.1%	27.3%	63.6%	.0%					
Campus	2002	7	17.2%	58.6%	17.2%	7.1%					
	2003	6	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%					
	2004	7	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%	.0%					
	All Years	31	14.9%	21.8%	62.0%	1.3%					

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Worldwide Campus

									essional Aero							
				s Usefulnes	ss of Skill		c	ompetence	of this ERA	U Graduate			Compe		aduates from utions	Other
		Unwtd # of Respond	Very Useful Wtd Row	Somew	Unwtd # of Respond	Excellent Wtd Row	Good Wtd	Average Wtd Row	Poor Wtd	Very Poor Wtd	Unwtd # of Respond	Excellent Wtd Row	Good Wtd Row %	Average Wtd	Poor Wtd Row %	
Quantitative/mathematics	2001	ents 10	20.0%	Row % 50.0%	Row % 30.0%	ents 8	12.5%	Row % 50.0%	37.5%	.0%	Row %	ents 8	.0%	37.5%	Row % 62.5%	.09
	2002	7	24.2% 22.8%	75.8% 77.2%	.0%	6	55.5% 22.8%	26.0% 50.0%	18.5% 27.2%	.0%	.0%	5 4	26.2%	26.2% 100.0%	47.6% .0%	.0%
	2004	7	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%
Basic PC software (word	All Years 2001	30 10	24.5% 60.0%	64.5% 20.0%	11.0% 20.0%	26 9	25.4% 11.1%	50.8% 55.6%	23.8% 33.3%	.0%	.0%	20 9	5.3% 22.2%	53.2% 11.1%	41.5% 66.7%	.0%
processing, spreadsheets,	2002	7	75.8%	24.2%	.0%	5	45.4%	45.4%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	4	35.5%	35.5%	29.0%	.0%
etc.)	2003	6 7	100.0% 85.7%	.0%	.0%	6	27.2% 33.3%	50.0% 66.7%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	6.1%	93.9% 66.7%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	82.4%	13.6%	4.0%	26	29.0%	56.0%	15.0%	.0%	.0%	20	13.8%	54.1%	32.1%	.0%
Writing skills (non-technical)	2001	10 7	60.0% 93.0%	40.0% 7.0%	.0%	10	20.0% 22.7%	50.0% 77.3%	30.0%	.0%	.0%	10	.0%	50.0% 71.0%	50.0% 14.5%	.0%
(non toormout)	2002	6	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	5	5.8%	64.7%	29.5%	.0%	.0%	3	8.9%	91.1%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7 30	42.9%	57.1% 42.2%	.0%	6 26	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Technical writing	All Years 2001	10	57.8% 30.0%	50.0%	.0%	8	15.9% 25.0%	64.1% 62.5%	20.0% 12.5%	.0%	.0%	20 8	2.0% 37.5%	49.8% 12.5%	45.9% 50.0%	2.4%
· ·	2002	7	58.6%	41.4%	.0%	5	22.7%	77.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	35.5%	50.0%	14.5%
	2003	6 7	22.8% 57.1%	77.2% 28.6%	.0% 14.3%	4 6	.0%	50.0% 66.7%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	43.0%	48.0%	9.0%	23	23.1%	64.7%	12.2%	.0%	.0%	18	11.3%	34.5%	51.7%	2.5%
Speaking before an audience	2001	10 7	60.0% 41.4%	30.0% 41.4%	10.0% 17.2%	9 5	44.4% 22.7%	44.4% 45.4%	11.1% 31.9%	.0%	.0%	9	11.1%	55.6% 35.5%	33.3% 50.0%	.0%
	2003	6	45.6%	31.7%	22.8%	5	5.8%	94.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	8.9%	91.1%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	28.6%	71.4%	.0%	6	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	.0%	66.7%	.0%
Listening skills	All Years 2004	30 7	41.7% 85.7%	47.0% 14.3%	11.2% .0%	25 6	27.1% 16.7%	58.8% 66.7%	14.1% 16.7%	.0%	.0%	19	14.7%	45.6% 33.3%	37.3% 66.7%	2.4%
	All Years	7	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%
Applied research (information gathering and	2001 2002	10 7	40.0% 58.6%	40.0% 41.4%	20.0%	8 5	37.5% 22.7%	62.5% 77.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8	25.0%	37.5% 35.5%	37.5% 64.5%	.0%
analysis)	2002	6	31.7%	45.6%	22.8%	5	35.3%	64.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004	7	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	6	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
Critical thinking	All Years 2001	30 10	52.3% 60.0%	32.8% 40.0%	14.9%	24 10	45.1% 30.0%	54.9% 60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	19 10	6.8% 10.0%	64.6% 60.0%	28.6% 30.0%	.0%
	2002	7	48.4%	51.6%	.0%	5	68.1%	22.7%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	4	35.5%	35.5%	29.0%	.0%
	2003	6	95.6%	4.4%	.0%	6	.0%	72.8%	4.4%	22.8%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004 All Years	7 30	85.7% 76.0%	14.3% 24.0%	.0%	6 27	16.7% 23.1%	66.7% 59.9%	16.7% 10.5%	.0%	.0%	3 21	.0% 8.4%	33.3% 62.1%	66.7% 29.5%	.0%
Independent work	2001	10	70.0%	30.0%	.0%	10	30.0%	50.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	60.0%	30.0%	.0%
	2002	7	65.6% 77.2%	34.4% 22.8%	.0%	5	68.1% 4.4%	22.7% 72.8%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	4	35.5%	.0%	64.5%	.0%
	2004	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%
Diagning asheduling and	All Years 2001	30 10	81.5% 60.0%	18.5% 30.0%	.0% 10.0%	27 9	24.3% 33.3%	63.2% 66.7%	5.9%	6.6%	.0%	21 9	8.4% 11.1%	64.8% 44.4%	26.8% 44.4%	.0%
Planning, scheduling, and carrying out projects	2001	7	58.6%	41.4%	.0%	5	45.4%	45.4%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	4	35.5%	.0%	64.5%	.0%
	2003	6	72.8%	27.2%	.0%	6	.0%	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004 All Years	7 30	85.7% 72.0%	14.3% 26.0%	.0%	6 26	33.3% 25.5%	66.7% 66.3%	.0% 8.2%	.0%	.0%	3 20	.0% 8.7%	33.3% 52.2%	66.7% 39.1%	.0%
Defining and solving	2001	10	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	10	20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%	.0%
problems	2002	7	82.8% 68.3%	17.2% 31.7%	.0%	5	22.7%	68.1% 77.2%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	35.5% 100.0%	64.5%	.0%
	2004	7	71.4%	28.6%	.0%	6	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	All Years	30	74.5%	25.5%	.0%	27	8.1%	81.7%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	21	3.2%	47.9%	48.9%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	2001	10 7	80.0% 100.0%	20.0%	.0%	10 5	40.0% 45.4%	50.0% 45.4%	10.0% 9.3%	.0%	.0%	10	.0%	60.0% 35.5%	30.0% 14.5%	10.0% 14.5%
	2003	6	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	6	4.4%	72.8%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004 All Years	7 30	85.7% 85.1%	14.3% 14.9%	.0%	6 27	16.7% 22.9%	66.7% 61.3%	16.7% 15.8%	.0%	.0%	3 21	.0% 5.2%	66.7% 70.0%	33.3% 19.5%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2001	10	70.0%	20.0%	10.0%	9	44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	9	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%
	2002	7	93.0% 72.8%	7.0% 27.2%	.0%	5 6	22.7%	68.1% 77.2%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	35.5% 100.0%	50.0%	14.5%
	2004	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%
Doononoit-1	All Years	30	85.6%	12.4%	2.0%	26	18.4%	71.1%	3.8%	6.7%	.0%	20	3.3%	57.6%	33.6%	5.5%
Responsible actions and decision making	2001	10 7	90.0% 65.6%	10.0% 34.4%	.0%	10 5	40.0% 45.4%	50.0% 45.4%	10.0% 9.3%	.0%	.0%	10	.0%	50.0% 71.0%	40.0% 29.0%	10.0%
-	2003	6	95.6%	4.4%	.0%	6	22.8%	54.4%	.0%	.0%	22.8%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2004 All Years	7 30	100.0% 90.3%	.0% 9.7%	.0%	6 27	16.7% 28.2%	83.3% 61.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3 21	.0%	100.0% 79.9%	.0% 16.9%	.0%
Ability to adapt to change	2004	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%
Understanding other	All Years 2001	7	100.0%	.0%	.0%	6	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%
people and other points of	2001	10 7	70.0% 75.8%	30.0% 24.2%	.0%	10 5	30.0% 45.4%	50.0% 45.4%	20.0% 9.3%	.0%	.0%	10	10.0%	50.0% 71.0%	30.0% 14.5%	10.0% 14.5%
view	2003	6	95.6%	4.4%	.0%	6	.0%	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	4	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
view	2004 All Years	7 30	100.0% 88.2%	.0%	.0%	6 27	16.7% 19.4%	83.3% 68.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3 21	.0%	66.7% 72.1%	33.3% 19.5%	.0%
view		10	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%	7	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	7	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	.0%
view Environmental awareness	2001					5	22.7%	68.1%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	41.5%	58.5%	.0%
	2001 2002	7	41.4%	41.4% 52.3%	17.2%			76 20%				A	∩0/	100 0%	Λ0/.	
	2001	7 5 7		41.4% 52.3% 42.9%	.0% .0%	5 6	.0%	76.2% 66.7%	23.8% 33.3%	.0%	.0%	3	.0%	100.0% 66.7%	.0% 33.3%	
Environmental awareness	2001 2002 2003 2004 All Years	7 5 7 29	41.4% 47.7% 57.1% 46.2%	52.3% 42.9% 44.4%	.0% .0% 9.4%	5 6 23	.0% .0% 11.2%	66.7% 65.8%	33.3% 23.1%	.0%	.0%	3 17	.0% 3.6%	66.7% 72.0%	33.3% 24.4%	.0%
	2001 2002 2003 2004 All Years 2001	7 5 7 29 10	41.4% 47.7% 57.1% 46.2% 20.0%	52.3% 42.9% 44.4% 40.0%	.0% .0% 9.4% 40.0%	5 6 23 6	.0% .0% 11.2% 33.3%	66.7% 65.8% 50.0%	33.3% 23.1% 16.7%	.0% .0% .0%	.0% .0% .0%	3 17 6	.0% 3.6% .0%	66.7% 72.0% 66.7%	33.3% 24.4% 33.3%	.0% .0%
Environmental awareness Political and economic	2001 2002 2003 2004 All Years 2001 2002 2003	7 5 7 29 10 7	41.4% 47.7% 57.1% 46.2% 20.0% 7.0%	52.3% 42.9% 44.4% 40.0% 51.6% 52.3%	.0% .0% 9.4% 40.0% 41.4% 47.7%	5 6 23 6 5	.0% .0% 11.2% 33.3% 22.7% .0%	66.7% 65.8% 50.0% 68.1% 68.7%	33.3% 23.1% 16.7% 9.3% 31.3%	.0% .0% .0% .0%	.0% .0% .0% .0%	3 17 6 3	.0% 3.6% .0% .0%	66.7% 72.0% 66.7% 41.5% 100.0%	33.3% 24.4% 33.3% 58.5% .0%	.0% .0% .0% .0%
Environmental awareness Political and economic	2001 2002 2003 2004 All Years 2001 2002 2003 2004	7 5 7 29 10 7 5	41.4% 47.7% 57.1% 46.2% 20.0% 7.0% .0% 42.9%	52.3% 42.9% 44.4% 40.0% 51.6% 52.3% 57.1%	.0% .0% 9.4% 40.0% 41.4% 47.7%	5 6 23 6 5 4	.0% .0% 11.2% 33.3% 22.7% .0%	66.7% 65.8% 50.0% 68.1% 68.7% 66.7%	33.3% 23.1% 16.7% 9.3% 31.3% 16.7%	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	3 17 6 3 3	.0% 3.6% .0% .0% .0%	66.7% 72.0% 66.7% 41.5% 100.0% 66.7%	33.3% 24.4% 33.3% 58.5% .0% 33.3%	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%
Environmental awareness Political and economic	2001 2002 2003 2004 All Years 2001 2002 2003	7 5 7 29 10 7	41.4% 47.7% 57.1% 46.2% 20.0% 7.0%	52.3% 42.9% 44.4% 40.0% 51.6% 52.3%	.0% .0% 9.4% 40.0% 41.4% 47.7%	5 6 23 6 5	.0% .0% 11.2% 33.3% 22.7% .0%	66.7% 65.8% 50.0% 68.1% 68.7%	33.3% 23.1% 16.7% 9.3% 31.3%	.0% .0% .0% .0%	.0% .0% .0% .0%	3 17 6 3	.0% 3.6% .0% .0%	66.7% 72.0% 66.7% 41.5% 100.0%	33.3% 24.4% 33.3% 58.5% .0%	.0% .0% .0% .0%
Environmental awareness Political and economic awareness	2001 2002 2003 2004 All Years 2001 2002 2003 2004 All Years	7 5 7 29 10 7 5 7	41.4% 47.7% 57.1% 46.2% 20.0% 7.0% .0% 42.9% 20.5%	52.3% 42.9% 44.4% 40.0% 51.6% 52.3% 57.1% 51.4%	.0% .0% 9.4% 40.0% 41.4% 47.7% .0% 28.1%	5 6 23 6 5 4 6 21	.0% .0% 11.2% 33.3% 22.7% .0% 16.7% 16.3%	66.7% 65.8% 50.0% 68.1% 68.7% 66.7% 64.8%	33.3% 23.1% 16.7% 9.3% 31.3% 16.7% 18.9%	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	3 17 6 3 3 3 15	.0% 3.6% .0% .0% .0% .0%	66.7% 72.0% 66.7% 41.5% 100.0% 66.7% 71.6%	33.3% 24.4% 33.3% 58.5% .0% 33.3% 28.4%	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%

Comments from the question "Considering this ERAU graduate, what strengths do you perceive in his/her degree program?" - Worldwide Campus

Class of 2001

Management and leadership

Depth and breath of knowledge

Completing this degree program has taken (name omitted) to a higher sense of confidence in his ability to teach.

Computer use and writing skills.

Gives him the ability to advance with the company at some later date.

Degree applies directly to his profession.

There are none that come to mind at the moment.

Class of 2002

Academic knowledge is good

Writing, research, spread sheet use, speaking.

This employee is a motivated self-starter. I don't know if this came from you program, but it is very valuable.

Very good overall technical degree program.

Job related

Class of 2003

useful

Student will be a valuable asset to the aviation program.

Class of 2004

Being able to read and understand literature.

Above average / Plans well

His ability to understand data and transform it to a useable product for our customers.

Ability to promote in the navy.

His keen knowledge and aviation background.

Comments from the question "Considering this ERAU graduate, what weaknesses do you perceive in his/her degree program?" - Worldwide Campus

Class of 2001

Does not pertain to his current job assignment- technician

I haven't detected any yet.

Class of 2002

Hands on aircraft law

Many of the graduates may, or do not realize, this is a technical degree, not a science degree. Many if not most government activities do not consider the degree satisfies requirements for professional status.

Class of 2003

none

None

Class of 2004

None Noted

Possible people skills but not really weak those. Could be a personal issue.

None

Correspondence writing.