This series of documents serves as an interim report for the results from the Employer Feedback Survey, classes of 2001-2003. The findings are organized as follows:

- Part 1 Overall Data Tables (all items except program-specific skills and comments)
- Part 2 General Education and Program-Specific Skills Data Tables, by Program
- Part 3 Employer Comments, by Program Daytona Beach
- Part 4 Employer Comments, by Program Prescott
- Part 5 Employer Comments, by Program Worldwide

The class of 2004 data is currently being compiled. Once that data is finalized, an *IR Preview*, full report, and program profiles for four years worth of data, classes 2001-2004, will be published.

The Employer Feedback Survey was created to evaluate how well Embry-Riddle's academic programs are meeting employers' needs and expectations. The survey gathers supervisors' opinions on the graduates' overall performance, the usefulness of general and degree-specific skills, and the graduates' level of competence at those skills as compared to graduates from other institutions. Open-ended comments regarding strengths and weaknesses of each program are also obtained.

The survey is administered to a sample of employers of recent alumni, approximately 1½ years after graduation from Embry-Riddle (including Daytona Beach, Prescott, and Worldwide). The target list of employers for the survey is generated from responses to the preceding Alumni Survey (completed by alumni approximately one year after graduation). Supervisors of alumni who (1) were employed in a degree-related field, and (2) had provided their supervisor contact information, were eligible for the Employer Feedback Survey. The number of surveys sent and completed is shown below:

	Class of 2001	Class of 2002	Class of 2003
Target Group	349	348	290
Deliverable Surveys	328	322	279
Completed Surveys	160	164	145
Response Rate	49%	51%	52%

In an effort to correct for disproportionate response rates and adjust the respondent profile so that it was representative of the target population, the data were weighted by gender, program, campus, and year of graduation. Percentages in the data tables represent the weighted result (the number of respondents has been left unweighted, however). Some of the verbiage required abbreviation in order to fit in the tables; please refer to the survey instrument if clarification is needed. Please also use caution when interpreting results for programs with a small number of respondents; results based on very few respondents may not be representative. Programs for which there were fewer than three respondents are excluded. If results are not displayed for a particular program within these documents, it is likely due to one of the following reasons:

- There were no graduates from the program in the Classes of 2001, 2002, 2003, or they did not respond to the Alumni Survey.
- The graduate responded to the Alumni Survey, but did not hold a degree-related job or did not provide employer contact information.
- The supervisor did not respond to the survey, even though one was delivered.
- There were no program-specific skill sets provided by the program for the respondent to rate.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please contact Kimberly Brantley, Institutional Research 386-226-6623.

Source: Institutional Research, November 2006

Graduate's length of time at present position (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Less than 1 year	1-2 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	55.2%	42.9%	1.9%	.0%
Beach	2002	44	40.1%	48.6%	7.4%	3.9%
	2003	57	55.2%	38.8%	.0%	6.0%
	All Years	160	51.0%	43.0%	2.8%	3.2%
Prescott	2001	20	53.7%	46.3%	.0%	.0%
	2002	18	19.7%	72.8%	7.5%	.0%
	2003	16	60.5%	39.5%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	54	43.6%	53.8%	2.6%	.0%
Worldwide	2001	81	12.9%	17.2%	12.2%	57.8%
	2002	58	24.3%	11.1%	18.5%	46.1%
	2003	70	9.4%	13.5%	22.1%	55.0%
	All Years	209	15.3%	13.9%	17.8%	53.0%
All Campuses	2001	160	25.7%	25.3%	8.9%	40.1%
	2002	120	26.9%	22.3%	15.7%	35.1%
	2003	143	21.2%	19.9%	16.5%	42.4%
	All Years	423	24.5%	22.4%	13.7%	39.3%

Response options: 'Less than 1 year', '1-2 years', '3-5 years', 'More than 5 years'.

Best description of graduate's field of work (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

_			2001	2002	2003	All Years
Daytona Beach	Unwtd # of Respondents		55	44	55	154
	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	14.8%	25.0%	18.7%	19.2%
	Airline	Wtd Col %	14.3%	.0%	5.2%	6.9%
	Airport (operations, contractor, etc.)	Wtd Col %	7.3%	4.9%	9.3%	7.3%
	Charter/freight	Wtd Col %	4.1%	2.5%	6.1%	4.3%
	Computer industry	Wtd Col %	6.1%	2.5%	1.2%	3.3%
	Corporate aviation	Wtd Col %	4.1%	.0%	2.0%	2.2%
	Education (flight instructor excluded)	Wtd Col %	.0%	3.9%	3.2%	2.3%
	FBO (flight instructor, maintenance, management, etc.)	Wtd Col %	12.3%	21.1%	14.3%	15.6%
	Flight training (OTHER than FBO)	Wtd Col %	10.5%	9.9%	9.3%	9.9%
	Government (includes air traffic controller)	Wtd Col %	6.4%	8.8%	4.3%	6.4%
	Manufacturing	Wtd Col %	2.0%	.0%	2.0%	1.5%
	Military	Wtd Col %	6.4%	7.4%	4.1%	5.9%
	Other (aviation)	Wtd Col %	6.4%	2.8%	15.7%	8.7%
	Other (non-aviation)	Wtd Col %	5.2%	11.3%	4.3%	6.7%
Prescott	Unwtd # of Respondents	-	20	18	16	54
	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	22.5%	12.7%	7.9%	15.1%
	Airline	Wtd Col %	3.1%	6.3%	20.9%	9.0%
	Airport (operations, contractor, etc.)	Wtd Col %	5.3%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Charter/freight	Wtd Col %	.0%	2.8%	4.5%	2.2%
	Computer industry	Wtd Col %	.0%	8.8%	.0%	3.1%
	Corporate aviation	Wtd Col %	10.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%
	Education (flight instructor excluded)	Wtd Col %				
	FBO (flight instructor, maintenance, management, etc.)	Wtd Col %	3.1%	5.5%	.0%	3.1%
	Flight training (OTHER than FBO)	Wtd Col %	42.5%	35.7%	46.3%	41.2%
	7		5.3%	16.5%	9.6%	10.4%
	Government (includes air traffic controller)	Wtd Col %	5.0%	6.3%	10.7%	7.0%
	Military	Wtd Col %	3.1%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
Worldwide	Other (aviation) Unwtd # of Respondents	Wtd Col %	.0%	5.5%	.0%	1.9%
	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	81	58	68	207
	Airline	Wtd Col %	21.6%	10.6%	12.9%	14.9%
			6.5%	8.7%	7.9%	7.7%
	Airport (operations, contractor, etc.)	Wtd Col %	6.2%	5.5%	7.0%	6.3%
	Charter/freight	Wtd Col %	2.9%	1.2%	2.4%	2.2%
	Computer industry	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	3.1%	1.1%
	Corporate aviation	Wtd Col %	7.7%	10.8%	5.3%	7.9%
	Education (flight instructor excluded)	Wtd Col %	1.2%	1.8%	2.5%	1.9%
	FBO (flight instructor, maintenance, management, etc.)	Wtd Col %	.0%	.0%	4.4%	1.6%
	Flight training (OTHER than FBO)	Wtd Col %	.0%	1.7%	2.3%	1.4%
	Government (includes air traffic controller)	Wtd Col %	10.3%	14.0%	9.4%	11.2%
	Manufacturing	Wtd Col %	3.7%	.0%	7.2%	3.7%
	Military	Wtd Col %	23.0%	26.9%	24.7%	24.9%
	Other (aviation)	Wtd Col %	13.0%	10.6%	2.5%	8.4%
All	Other (non-aviation) Unwtd # of Respondents	Wtd Col %	3.9%	8.1%	8.4%	6.9%
Campuses	·	10/4-10-10/	156	120	139	415
	Aerospace industry	Wtd Col %	20.2%	13.4%	13.9%	15.8%
	Airline	Wtd Col %	8.0%	6.9%	7.9%	7.6%
	Airport (operations, contractor, etc.)	Wtd Col %	6.4%	5.0%	7.2%	6.2%
	Charter/freight	Wtd Col %	2.9%	1.5%	3.3%	2.6%
	Computer industry	Wtd Col %	1.4%	1.1%	2.5%	1.7%
	Corporate aviation	Wtd Col %	7.0%	8.1%	4.4%	6.5%
	Education (flight instructor excluded)	Wtd Col %	1.1%	2.4%	2.5%	2.0%
	FBO (flight instructor, maintenance, management, etc.)	Wtd Col %	5.8%	6.3%	8.4%	6.9%
	Flight training (OTHER than FBO)	Wtd Col %	2.7%	4.2%	4.1%	3.7%
	Government (includes air traffic controller)	Wtd Col %	9.1%	12.5%	8.4%	9.9%
	Manufacturing	Wtd Col %	3.0%	.0%	5.8%	3.0%
	Military	Wtd Col %	17.9%	21.5%	19.3%	19.6%
	Other (aviation)	Wtd Col %	10.6%	8.8%	5.1%	8.1%
	Other (non-aviation)	Wtd Col %	3.9%	8.2%	7.2%	6.4%

Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus

		Unwtd # of	Closely related	Somewhat related
		Respondents	Wtd Col %	Wtd Col %
Daytona	2001	59	81.4%	18.6%
Beach	2002	44	62.3%	37.7%
	2003	57	69.3%	30.7%
	All Years	160	71.8%	28.2%
Prescott	2001	20	71.6%	28.4%
	2002	18	81.1%	18.9%
	2003	16	81.9%	18.1%
	All Years	54	77.7%	22.3%
Worldwide	2001	81	58.3%	41.7%
	2002	58	52.4%	47.6%
	2003	68	54.9%	45.1%
	All Years	207	55.2%	44.8%
All Campuses	2001	160	64.7%	35.3%
	2002	120	56.2%	43.8%
	2003	141	59.2%	40.8%
	All Years	421	60.0%	40.0%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

Relationship of graduate's job to his/her field of study at ERAU (as reported by the graduate on the preceding Alumni Survey) By Campus and Program (All Years Combined)

		Unwtd # of	Closely related	Somewhat related
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	AS Aircraft Maintenance	1	100.0%	.0%
Beach	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	64.0%	36.0%
	BS Aeronautical Science	61	80.2%	19.8%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	18	72.0%	28.0%
	BS Aerospace Studies	6	82.2%	17.8%
	BS Air Traffic Management	2	50.0%	50.0%
	BS Aircraft Engineering Technology	1	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	12	78.4%	21.6%
	BS Aviation Maintenance Management	2	50.0%	50.0%
	BS Aviation Management	3	60.9%	39.1%
	BS Aviation Technology	2	100.0%	.0%
	BS Civil Engineering	7	54.9%	45.1%
	BS Communication	6	13.2%	86.8%
	BS Computer Science	3	67.0%	33.0%
	BS Engineering Physics	5	100.0%	.0%
	BS Human Factors Psychology	5	62.0%	38.0%
	BS Safety Science	4	20.9%	79.1%
	MS Aeronautics	3	100.0%	.0%
	M Aerospace Engineering, MS Aerospace Engineering	2	100.0%	.0%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	10	50.0%	50.0%
	MS Human Factors & Systems	3	78.1%	21.9%
	M Software Engineering	1	100.0%	.0%
Prescott	BS Aeronautical Science	29	92.5%	7.5%
	BS Aeronautics	1	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	8	51.8%	48.2%
	BS Aerospace Studies	10	61.7%	38.3%
	BS Computer Engineering	1	100.0%	.0%
	BS Electrical Engineering	3	100.0%	.0%
	BS Science, Technology, & Globalization	2	20.5%	79.5%
Worldwide	AS Aircraft Maintenance	3	100.0%	.0%
	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	46.7%	53.3%
	AS Professional Aeronautics	23	62.4%	37.6%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	7	31.9%	68.1%
	BS Management of Technical Operations	23	36.8%	63.2%
	BS Professional Aeronautics	51	58.7%	41.3%
	M Aeronautical Science	63	59.9%	40.1%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	17	16.7%	83.3%
	MS Technical Management	18	72.5%	27.5%

Response options: 'Closely related', 'Somewhat related'. Graduates who responded 'Not related' on their Alumni Survey were excluded from consideration for the Employer Feedback Survey.

Approximately how many ERAU graduates do you know professionally? By Campus

				Number of ERA	U Graduates Kno	w Professionally	
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10	11-50	Over 50
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	12.7%	43.1%	17.3%	19.4%	7.6%
Beach	2002	44	21.1%	44.7%	13.7%	15.1%	5.3%
	2003	56	11.6%	31.6%	37.0%	14.5%	5.2%
	All Years	159	14.7%	39.6%	23.1%	16.5%	6.1%
Prescott	2001	20	12.2%	37.5%	29.1%	21.3%	.0%
	2002	18	.0%	17.3%	29.7%	30.2%	22.7%
	2003	16	7.9%	42.3%	18.1%	29.4%	2.3%
	All Years	54	6.7%	31.7%	26.4%	26.6%	8.6%
Worldwide	2001	81	14.4%	48.2%	20.2%	14.6%	2.5%
	2002	58	17.0%	42.7%	17.4%	14.4%	8.5%
	2003	70	25.4%	38.9%	17.2%	16.8%	1.7%
	All Years	209	19.3%	43.1%	18.2%	15.3%	4.1%
All Campuses	2001	160	13.9%	46.3%	20.1%	16.2%	3.5%
	2002	120	16.6%	41.4%	17.6%	15.6%	8.8%
	2003	142	21.8%	37.6%	21.2%	16.9%	2.4%
	All Years	422	17.5%	41.6%	19.7%	16.3%	4.8%

Response options: '1', '2-5', '6-10', '11-50', 'Over 50'.

How many ERAU graduates do you currently supervise? By Campus

				Number of ERA	U Graduates Cur	rently Supervise	
		Unwtd # of	1	2-5	6-10	11-20	Over 20
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	58	51.1%	37.1%	6.0%	3.9%	1.9%
Beach	2002	44	54.9%	31.3%	3.9%	9.9%	.0%
	2003	56	48.2%	42.5%	4.1%	3.2%	2.0%
	All Years	158	51.2%	37.3%	4.7%	5.3%	1.4%
Prescott	2001	20	53.1%	25.6%	16.0%	5.3%	.0%
	2002	18	18.0%	54.5%	11.0%	11.0%	5.5%
	2003	16	37.9%	37.8%	7.4%	14.7%	2.3%
	All Years	54	36.7%	39.0%	11.9%	9.8%	2.5%
Worldwide	2001	81	60.6%	30.4%	4.7%	1.9%	2.5%
	2002	58	60.1%	32.5%	1.7%	.0%	5.8%
	2003	69	72.2%	18.8%	9.0%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	208	64.6%	26.9%	5.2%	.6%	2.7%
All Campuses	2001	159	57.9%	31.6%	5.8%	2.6%	2.2%
	2002	120	56.3%	33.8%	2.7%	2.6%	4.7%
	2003	141	65.6%	24.6%	7.9%	1.4%	.5%
	All Years	420	60.1%	29.8%	5.5%	2.1%	2.4%

Response options: '1', '2-5','6-10','11-50','Over 50'.

Did you graduate from ERAU? By Campus

			Supervisors \ ERAU G	
		Unwtd # of	Yes	No
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	16.4%	83.6%
Beach	2002	44	17.6%	82.4%
	2003	57	13.1%	86.9%
	All Years	160	15.6%	84.4%
Prescott	2001	20	5.3%	94.7%
	2002	18	31.0%	69.0%
	2003	16	17.0%	83.0%
	All Years	54	17.5%	82.5%
Worldwide	2001	80	10.9%	89.1%
	2002	58	12.9%	87.1%
	2003	69	13.4%	86.6%
	All Years	207	12.5%	87.5%
All Campuses	2001	159	11.8%	88.2%
	2002	120	15.0%	85.0%
	2003	142	13.5%	86.5%
	All Years	421	13.4%	86.6%

Response options: 'Yes', 'No'.

What is your level of involvement in the hiring of new workers? By Campus

			Н	liring involvement lev	/el
		Unwtd # of	Make Final Decision	Provide Input	No Involvement
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	64.4%	28.8%	6.7%
Beach	2002	44	48.9%	34.9%	16.2%
	2003	57	70.4%	27.6%	2.0%
	All Years	160	62.2%	30.1%	7.7%
Prescott	2001	20	54.1%	42.8%	3.1%
	2002	18	75.5%	24.5%	.0%
	2003	16	57.0%	43.0%	.0%
	All Years	54	62.4%	36.4%	1.2%
Worldwide	2001	81	52.9%	38.1%	9.0%
	2002	57	55.5%	42.1%	2.4%
	2003	69	53.9%	36.6%	9.5%
	All Years	207	54.1%	38.8%	7.1%
All Campuses	2001	160	55.7%	36.2%	8.1%
	2002	119	55.7%	39.5%	4.9%
	2003	142	57.5%	35.0%	7.5%
	All Years	421	56.3%	36.8%	6.9%

Response options: 'Make Final Decision', 'Provide Input', 'No Involvement'.

What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus

				Prefer	ence for Hiring Grad	luates	
		Unwtd # of	Strong Preference for Other Graduates	Some Preference for Other Graduates	No Preference	Some Preference for ERAU Graduates	Strong Preference for ERAU Graduates
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	56	2.0%	4.3%	45.7%	32.0%	16.0%
Beach	2002	39	2.8%	8.3%	43.7%	24.2%	21.0%
	2003	56	.0%	5.2%	58.4%	27.0%	9.3%
	All Years	151	1.5%	5.7%	49.8%	28.1%	14.9%
Prescott	2001	19	.0%	3.9%	34.2%	56.4%	5.5%
	2002	18	.0%	.0%	35.4%	34.7%	30.0%
	2003	16	.0%	.0%	58.6%	7.4%	34.0%
	All Years	53	.0%	1.5%	41.2%	35.4%	21.9%
Worldwide	2001	75	.0%	3.4%	64.8%	25.4%	6.4%
	2002	55	.0%	.0%	47.4%	44.6%	8.0%
	2003	64	.0%	.8%	55.7%	33.7%	9.8%
	All Years	194	.0%	1.3%	55.7%	34.9%	8.1%
All Campuses	2001	150	.5%	3.7%	58.0%	29.3%	8.6%
	2002	112	.5%	1.4%	45.9%	40.4%	11.8%
	2003	136	.0%	1.7%	56.4%	31.0%	10.8%
	All Years	398	.3%	2.2%	53.5%	33.5%	10.4%

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

What is your preference for hiring graduates? By Campus and Program (All Years Combined)

				Prefere	nce for Hiring Gra	aduates	
		Unwtd#of	Strong Preference for Other Graduates	Some Preference for Other Graduates	No Preference	Some Preference for ERAU Graduates	Strong Preference for ERAU Graduates
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	AS Aircraft Maintenance	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Beach	AS Aviation Business Administration	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aeronautical Science	58	1.8%	2.8%	46.8%	32.5%	16.1%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	17	.0%	10.2%	57.0%	32.8%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Studies	4	.0%	.0%	56.4%	15.9%	27.8%
	BS Air Traffic Management	1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	BS Aircraft Engineering Technology	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	12	.0%	10.2%	73.9%	16.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Maintenance Management	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Management	3	.0%	.0%	78.0%	22.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Technology	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	BS Civil Engineering	7	.0%	.0%	70.1%	29.9%	.0%
	BS Communication	6	.0%	.0%	63.1%	13.2%	23.6%
	BS Computer Science	3	.0%	.0%	33.5%	.0%	66.5%
	BS Engineering Physics	4	.0%	36.8%	42.1%	21.1%	.0%
	BS Human Factors Psychology	5	.0%	37.7%	38.0%	.0%	24.3%
	BS Safety Science	4	.0%	.0%	41.9%	.0%	58.1%
	MS Aeronautics	3	.0%	39.3%	.0%	60.7%	.0%
	M Aerospace Engineering, MS Aerospace Engineering	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	10	11.0%	.0%	61.1%	6.2%	21.7%
	MS Human Factors & Systems	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	M Software Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Prescott	BS Aeronautical Science	29	.0%	.0%	34.6%	26.3%	39.0%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	8	.0%	.0%	40.8%	59.2%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Studies	9	.0%	.0%	77.6%	22.4%	.0%
	BS Computer Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Electrical Engineering	3	.0%	28.8%	71.2%	.0%	.0%
	BS Science, Technology, & Globalization	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Worldwide	AS Aircraft Maintenance	3	.0%	.0%	49.8%	50.2%	.0%
	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	46.7%	53.3%	.0%
	AS Professional Aeronautics	22	.0%	.0%	66.1%	27.5%	6.5%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	7	.0%	.0%	52.0%	48.0%	.0%
	BS Management of Technical Operations	20	.0%	.0%	61.1%	34.4%	4.4%
	BS Professional Aeronautics	48	.0%	1.6%	44.1%	43.1%	11.2%
	M Aeronautical Science	60	.0%	.0%	67.4%	26.0%	6.6%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	16	.0%	4.1%	94.3%	1.6%	.0%
	MS Technical Management	16	.0%	6.3%	49.5%	44.3%	.0%

Response options: 'Strong preference for other graduates', 'Some preference for other graduates', 'No preference', 'Some preference for ERAU graduates', 'Strong preference for ERAU graduates'.

What preference do you have for multi-lingual candidates? By Campus

			No	e for multi-lingual Some	Strong
		Unwtd # of	Preference	Preference	Preference
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	2003	56	65.2%	28.4%	6.4%
Prescott	2003	16	71.8%	20.9%	7.4%
Worldwide	2003	65	52.7%	41.6%	5.7%
All Campuses	2003	137	56.3%	37.8%	5.9%

Response options: 'Strong Preference', 'Some Preference', No Preference'.

How important do you consider global awareness and international experience for new employees? By Campus

				ce of global aware ernational experie	
		Unwtd # of	Not Important	Somewhat Important	Very Important
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona Beach	2003	57	31.4%	53.2%	15.4%
Prescott	2003	16	39.6%	53.0%	7.4%
Worldwide	2003	68	8.6%	64.4%	27.0%
All Campuses	2003	141	14.9%	61.5%	23.6%

Response options: 'Very Important', 'Somewhat Important', 'Not Important'.

What changes do you anticipate in your organization's need for aviation and aerospace professionals in the near future? By Campus

				anges to organiza	
		Unwtd # of	Increased Need	No Changes	Decreased Need
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2002	36	61.5%	35.5%	3.0%
Beach	2003	56	58.5%	39.4%	2.0%
	All Years	92	59.7%	37.9%	2.4%
Prescott	2002	18	67.4%	20.1%	12.5%
	2003	16	57.7%	42.3%	.0%
	All Years	34	63.2%	29.7%	7.1%
Worldwide	2002	55	49.2%	48.3%	2.5%
	2003	67	47.7%	43.3%	9.0%
	All Years	122	48.4%	45.7%	5.9%
All Campuses	2002	109	52.5%	44.2%	3.3%
	2003	139	50.5%	42.5%	7.1%
	All Years	248	51.4%	43.3%	5.3%

Response options: 'Increased Need', 'No Changes', 'Decreased Need'.

The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus

			E	ducation of ERAU	Graduate Meets	Company's Need	S
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	4.0%	4.8%	14.5%	43.1%	33.4%
Beach	2002	44	2.5%	.0%	6.3%	58.5%	32.7%
	2003	54	.0%	2.1%	9.6%	45.3%	43.0%
	All Years	157	2.2%	2.5%	10.5%	48.2%	36.5%
Prescott	2001	20	3.1%	5.0%	5.3%	35.6%	51.0%
	2002	18	.0%	.0%	.0%	62.3%	37.7%
	2003	16	2.3%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	57.7%
	All Years	54	1.8%	1.9%	2.0%	46.2%	48.1%
Worldwide	2001	81	6.0%	3.7%	8.8%	62.6%	18.9%
	2002	58	.0%	.0%	7.2%	54.0%	38.7%
	2003	64	1.9%	.0%	9.2%	51.6%	37.3%
	All Years	203	2.6%	1.2%	8.4%	56.0%	31.8%
All Campuses	2001	160	5.3%	4.0%	9.9%	56.1%	24.6%
	2002	120	.5%	.0%	6.6%	55.4%	37.5%
	2003	134	1.5%	.5%	8.8%	49.6%	39.6%
	All Years	414	2.5%	1.5%	8.5%	53.7%	33.8%

The education of the graduate meets our company's needs By Campus and Program (All Years Combined)

				ducation of ERAL	Graduate Meets	Company's Need	s
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Beach	BS Aeronautical Science	61	4.4%	4.4%	9.5%	54.0%	27.7%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	18	.0%	.0%	3.5%	37.6%	58.9%
	BS Aerospace Studies	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	56.2%	43.8%
	BS Air Traffic Management	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	50.0%
	BS Aircraft Engineering Technology	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	12	.0%	.0%	30.5%	21.7%	47.8%
	BS Aviation Maintenance Management	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Management	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	61.1%	38.9%
	BS Aviation Technology	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	BS Civil Engineering	7	.0%	.0%	.0%	90.0%	10.0%
	BS Communication	6	.0%	.0%	.0%	73.5%	26.5%
	BS Computer Science	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.4%	66.6%
	BS Engineering Physics	4	.0%	.0%	18.2%	31.8%	50.0%
	BS Human Factors Psychology	5	13.7%	.0%	13.7%	72.6%	.0%
	BS Safety Science	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	41.9%	58.1%
	MS Aeronautics	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.7%	39.3%
	M Aerospace Engineering, MS Aerospace Engineering	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	49.7%	50.3%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	10	.0%	11.0%	28.2%	21.9%	38.8%
	MS Human Factors & Systems	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.9%	78.1%
	M Software Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Prescott	BS Aeronautical Science	29	1.1%	.0%	3.8%	30.7%	64.4%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	8	.0%	11.1%	.0%	66.8%	22.1%
	BS Aerospace Studies	10	7.2%	.0%	.0%	75.2%	17.5%
	BS Computer Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Electrical Engineering	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.8%	71.2%
	BS Science, Technology, & Globalization	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	79.5%	20.5%
Worldwide	AS Aircraft Maintenance	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	53.3%	46.7%
	AS Professional Aeronautics	24	.0%	.0%	28.6%	41.0%	30.3%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	7	.0%	.0%	15.4%	31.9%	52.7%
	BS Management of Technical Operations	22	3.7%	.0%	9.1%	63.3%	23.9%
	BS Professional Aeronautics	48	3.2%	1.6%	8.5%	51.8%	34.9%
	M Aeronautical Science	63	1.5%	1.5%	4.7%	66.0%	26.3%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	17	1.5%	.0%	4.3%	59.9%	34.2%
	MS Technical Management	18	5.7%	.0%	9.9%	47.7%	36.6%

He/she is a valuable employee By Campus

				Graduat	e is a Valuable Er	mployee	
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	2.1%	5.9%	5.9%	51.3%	34.8%
Beach	2002	44	.0%	.0%	2.5%	41.2%	56.3%
	2003	54	.0%	4.2%	4.2%	39.7%	51.9%
	All Years	157	.8%	3.7%	4.4%	44.5%	46.7%
Prescott	2001	20	5.0%	3.1%	.0%	34.8%	57.2%
	2002	18	.0%	.0%	.0%	29.1%	70.9%
	2003	16	2.3%	.0%	7.4%	17.0%	73.4%
	All Years	54	2.5%	1.2%	2.0%	28.0%	66.3%
Worldwide	2001	81	8.5%	1.4%	.9%	36.4%	52.8%
	2002	58	.0%	1.2%	.3%	29.5%	68.9%
	2003	64	1.9%	1.4%	1.5%	26.0%	69.2%
	All Years	203	3.4%	1.3%	.9%	30.5%	63.8%
All Campuses	2001	160	6.7%	2.6%	2.0%	39.8%	48.9%
	2002	120	.0%	.9%	.7%	31.6%	66.7%
	2003	134	1.5%	1.9%	2.4%	28.5%	65.7%
	All Years	414	2.8%	1.8%	1.7%	33.3%	60.3%

He/she is a valuable employee By Campus and Program (All Years Combined)

				Graduat	e is a Valuable Er	mployee	
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	64.0%	36.0%
Beach	BS Aeronautical Science	61	1.0%	6.1%	5.1%	48.9%	38.89
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.09
	BS Aerospace Engineering	18	.0%	.0%	.0%	56.1%	43.99
	BS Aerospace Studies	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	34.4%	65.69
	BS Air Traffic Management	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.09
	BS Aircraft Engineering Technology	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0
	BS Aviation Business Administration	12	.0%	.0%	.0%	40.7%	59.3
	BS Aviation Maintenance Management	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	50.0
	BS Aviation Management	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	22.0%	78.0
	BS Aviation Technology	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0
	BS Civil Engineering	7	.0%	.0%	10.0%	70.1%	19.9
	BS Communication	6	.0%	.0%	.0%	36.9%	63.1
	BS Computer Science	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0
	BS Engineering Physics	4	.0%	18.2%	.0%	31.8%	50.0
	BS Human Factors Psychology	5	13.7%	.0%	13.7%	48.6%	24.0
	BS Safety Science	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0
	MS Aeronautics	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.7%	39.3
	M Aerospace Engineering, MS Aerospace Engineering	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0'
	M Business Administration in Aviation	10	.0%	11.0%	11.0%	28.3%	49.7
	MS Human Factors & Systems	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.9%	78.1
	M Software Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0
Prescott	BS Aeronautical Science	29	1.1%	.0%	3.7%	30.8%	64.3
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0
	BS Aerospace Engineering	8	11.1%	.0%	.0%	24.1%	64.8
	BS Aerospace Studies	10	.0%	7.2%	.0%	13.5%	79.3
	BS Computer Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0
	BS Electrical Engineering	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.8%	71.2
	BS Science, Technology, & Globalization	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	79.5%	20.5
Worldwide	AS Aircraft Maintenance	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0
	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	53.3%	46.7
	AS Professional Aeronautics	24	.0%	8.9%	2.0%	27.6%	61.5
	BS Aviation Business Administration	7	.0%	15.4%	.0%	31.9%	52.7
	BS Management of Technical Operations	22	3.7%	.0%	.0%	33.1%	63.2
	BS Professional Aeronautics	48	4.8%	.0%	1.0%	29.5%	64.7
	M Aeronautical Science	63	1.5%	3.2%	.0%	28.4%	66.9
	M Business Administration in Aviation	17	1.5%	.0%	4.3%	42.8%	51.4
	MS Technical Management	18	5.7%	.0%	.0%	33.2%	61.1

He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus

				Graduate is a	Good Candidate	for Promotion	
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	1.1%	7.0%	9.7%	57.2%	25.1%
Beach	2002	44	.0%	.0%	7.4%	57.7%	34.9%
	2003	54	2.1%	2.1%	9.9%	38.2%	47.7%
	All Years	157	1.1%	3.3%	9.1%	50.9%	35.5%
Prescott	2001	20	8.1%	.0%	16.0%	34.1%	41.9%
	2002	18	.0%	.0%	11.8%	40.1%	48.2%
	2003	16	2.3%	.0%	14.7%	33.9%	49.1%
	All Years	54	3.7%	.0%	14.1%	36.1%	46.0%
Worldwide	2001	81	8.5%	1.4%	11.1%	42.6%	36.4%
	2002	58	.0%	1.2%	6.1%	39.7%	52.9%
	2003	64	1.9%	2.5%	8.9%	26.3%	60.4%
	All Years	203	3.4%	1.7%	8.7%	36.1%	50.1%
All Campuses	2001	160	6.7%	2.6%	11.1%	45.5%	34.1%
	2002	120	.0%	.9%	6.8%	43.1%	49.2%
	2003	134	1.9%	2.3%	9.4%	29.3%	57.1%
	All Years	414	2.9%	2.0%	9.1%	39.3%	46.7%

He/she is a good candidate for promotion By Campus and Program (All Years Combined)

				Graduate is a	Good Candidate	for Promotion	
		Unwtd # of	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Beach	BS Aeronautical Science	61	1.7%	5.4%	6.8%	53.3%	32.8%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	18	.0%	.0%	3.5%	62.2%	34.3%
	BS Aerospace Studies	5	.0%	.0%	.0%	78.3%	21.7%
	BS Air Traffic Management	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	BS Aircraft Engineering Technology	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	12	.0%	.0%	20.4%	26.1%	53.6%
	BS Aviation Maintenance Management	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Management	3	.0%	.0%	22.0%	39.1%	38.9%
	BS Aviation Technology	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Civil Engineering	7	.0%	.0%	10.0%	70.1%	19.9%
	BS Communication	6	.0%	.0%	36.9%	23.3%	39.8%
	BS Computer Science	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Engineering Physics	4	.0%	.0%	18.2%	31.8%	50.0%
	BS Human Factors Psychology	5	13.7%	13.7%	.0%	48.6%	24.0%
	BS Safety Science	4	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.9%	79.1%
	MS Aeronautics	3	.0%	.0%	38.7%	22.1%	39.3%
	M Aerospace Engineering, MS Aerospace Engineering	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	10	.0%	11.0%	11.0%	28.1%	49.9%
	MS Human Factors & Systems	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.9%	78.1%
	M Software Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Prescott	BS Aeronautical Science	29	1.1%	.0%	22.4%	38.1%	38.3%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	8	11.1%	.0%	.0%	40.8%	48.2%
	BS Aerospace Studies	10	7.2%	.0%	13.5%	30.9%	48.4%
	BS Computer Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Electrical Engineering	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Science, Technology, & Globalization	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	79.5%	20.5%
Worldwide	AS Aircraft Maintenance	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	AS Professional Aeronautics	24	.0%	8.9%	13.8%	44.3%	33.0%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	7	.0%	15.4%	10.6%	21.3%	52.7%
	BS Management of Technical Operations	22	3.7%	.0%	13.9%	40.9%	41.5%
	BS Professional Aeronautics	48	4.8%	.0%	7.9%	38.9%	48.5%
	M Aeronautical Science	63	1.5%	4.7%	7.8%	31.7%	54.4%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	17	1.5%	.0%	8.7%	16.3%	73.5%
	MS Technical Management	18	5.7%	.0%	8.8%	41.6%	43.9%

Compare to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus

			Compared to g	raduates from oth	er institutions, his	/her knowledge a	nd skill level is:
		Unwtd # of	Much Lower	Somewhat Lower	Equivalent	Somewhat Higher	Much Higher
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	2001	59	3.0%	5.9%	43.1%	38.5%	9.5%
Beach	2002	44	.0%	1.4%	32.1%	47.9%	18.7%
	2003	55	.0%	2.1%	49.2%	30.6%	18.1%
	All Years	158	1.1%	3.3%	42.1%	38.5%	15.0%
Prescott	2001	20	.0%	5.0%	30.9%	58.8%	5.3%
	2002	18	.0%	.0%	37.0%	49.5%	13.5%
	2003	16	.0%	.0%	16.9%	58.2%	24.9%
	All Years	54	.0%	1.9%	29.3%	55.4%	13.4%
Worldwide	2001	79	2.6%	7.5%	43.1%	37.2%	9.7%
	2002	58	1.2%	.3%	42.3%	35.6%	20.6%
	2003	67	.0%	.0%	39.7%	39.3%	21.0%
	All Years	204	1.2%	2.4%	41.6%	37.4%	17.4%
All Campuses	2001	158	2.5%	6.9%	42.2%	39.1%	9.3%
	2002	120	.9%	.5%	40.1%	38.8%	19.7%
	2003	138	.0%	.4%	40.6%	38.4%	20.6%
	All Years	416	1.1%	2.6%	40.9%	38.7%	16.6%

Compared to graduates from other institutions, his/her knowledge and skill level is: By Campus and Program (All Years Combined)

			Compared to d	raduates from oth	er institutions his	/her knowledge a	nd skill level is:
		Unwtd # of	Much Lower	Somewhat Lower	Equivalent	Somewhat Higher	Much Higher
		Respondents	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %	Wtd Row %
Daytona	AS Aircraft Maintenance	. 1	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Beach	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aeronautical Science	59	1.0%	7.3%	32.0%	38.2%	21.5%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	18	.0%	.0%	53.5%	40.4%	6.1%
	BS Aerospace Studies	6	.0%	.0%	36.1%	46.1%	17.8%
	BS Air Traffic Management	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	BS Aircraft Engineering Technology	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	12	.0%	.0%	62.4%	21.6%	16.0%
	BS Aviation Maintenance Management	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	BS Aviation Management	3	.0%	.0%	61.1%	38.9%	.0%
	BS Aviation Technology	2	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%
	BS Civil Engineering	7	.0%	.0%	62.4%	37.6%	.0%
	BS Communication	6	.0%	.0%	73.5%	26.5%	.0%
	BS Computer Science	3	.0%	.0%	33.5%	66.5%	.0%
	BS Engineering Physics	5	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	BS Human Factors Psychology	5	.0%	13.7%	38.0%	24.0%	24.3%
	BS Safety Science	4	.0%	.0%	20.9%	41.9%	37.2%
	MS Aeronautics	3	.0%	.0%	60.7%	.0%	39.3%
	M Aerospace Engineering, MS Aerospace Engineering	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	10	11.0%	.0%	32.9%	49.9%	6.2%
	MS Human Factors & Systems	3	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	M Software Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Prescott	BS Aeronautical Science	29	.0%	.0%	15.0%	65.4%	19.6%
	BS Aeronautics	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Engineering	8	.0%	11.1%	66.8%	22.1%	.0%
	BS Aerospace Studies	10	.0%	.0%	51.6%	48.4%	.0%
	BS Computer Engineering	1	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	BS Electrical Engineering	3	.0%	.0%	28.8%	28.8%	42.4%
	BS Science, Technology, & Globalization	2	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Worldwide	AS Aircraft Maintenance	3	.0%	.0%	75.1%	24.9%	.0%
	AS Aviation Business Administration	2	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	AS Professional Aeronautics	24	.0%	2.0%	64.6%	25.6%	7.8%
	BS Aviation Business Administration	7	.0%	.0%	47.3%	.0%	52.7%
	BS Management of Technical Operations	22	.0%	.0%	28.6%	49.8%	21.7%
	BS Professional Aeronautics	49	1.5%	3.1%	39.3%	36.9%	19.1%
	M Aeronautical Science	63	1.7%	1.5%	35.6%	39.6%	21.5%
	M Business Administration in Aviation	17	.0%	1.5%	62.6%	35.8%	.0%
	MS Technical Management	17	.0%	5.9%	59.5%	34.6%	.0%

Response options: 'Much higher', 'Somewhat higher', 'Equivalent', 'Somewhat lower', 'Much lower'.

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Daytona Beach

Daytona Deach																	
			Usefulne	ess of Skill or	the Job			Competenc	e of this ERA	U Graduate			Comp	etence of G	raduates from	m Other Instit	tutions
		Unwtd#	(1) Very	(2) Somewh	(3) Not	Unwtd#	(1)	(2) Good	(3)	(4) Dans	(5) Very	Unwtd #	(1) Excellent	(2) Good	(3)	(4) Poor	(5) Very
		of Respond	Useful Wtd Row	at Useful Wtd Row	Useful Wtd Row	of Respond	Excellent Wtd Row	Wtd Row	Average Wtd Row	(4) Poor Wtd Row	Poor Wtd Row	of Respond	Wtd Row	Wtd Row	Average Wtd Row	Wtd Row	Poor Wtd Row
Quantitative/math	2001	ents 54	% 47.9%	% 40.6%	% 11.4%	ents 46	% 25.7%	% 40.1%	% 29.1%	3.8%	1.4%	ents 40	% 4.3%	% 56.1%	% 33.6%	4.3%	% 1.6%
ematics	2002	42	43.7%	51.1%	5.2%	40	28.9%	40.2%	26.6%	4.3%	.0%	37	20.8%	50.2%	23.0%	6.0%	.0%
	2003 All	56	40.7%	48.0%	11.3%	54	22.5%	46.5%	31.0%	.0%	.0%	50	9.1%	35.0%	51.3%	2.3%	2.3%
Basic PC	Years 2001	152	44.1%	46.2%	9.6%	140	25.4%	42.6%	29.1%	2.5%	.5%	127	11.0%	46.2%	37.4%	4.0%	1.4% 3.7%
software (word	2001	58 43	72.5% 71.8%	16.5% 16.6%	11.0% 11.6%	53 40	43.1% 47.3%	38.3% 27.7%	12.9% 22.3%	4.5% 2.7%	1.2%	47 34	14.8% 21.5%	62.2% 55.1%	17.9% 23.4%	1.3%	.0%
processing, spreadsheets,	2003	55	72.7%	23.2%	4.2%	52	45.3%	35.7%	19.0%	.0%	.0%	48	16.7%	41.8%	39.1%	2.4%	.0%
etc.)	All Years	156	72.4%	18.8%	8.8%	145	45.0%	34.4%	17.7%	2.4%	.4%	129	17.3%	52.9%	27.1%	1.4%	1.4%
Writing skills (non-technical)	2001 2002	56 43	49.1% 52.7%	39.7% 42.2%	11.2% 5.1%	51 40	15.4% 27.7%	31.8% 32.0%	47.2% 35.9%	3.4% 4.3%	2.2%	44 36	6.5% 3.1%	46.4% 48.7%	38.2% 36.0%	9.0%	.0%
Ì	2003	55	68.2%	25.5%	6.2%	51	19.7%	43.3%	30.1%	6.8%	.0%	47	2.4%	45.0%	50.2%	2.4%	.0%
	All Years	154	56.9%	35.5%	7.7%	142	20.4%	35.9%	38.0%	4.8%	.8%	127	4.0%	46.5%	41.9%	7.5%	.0%
Technical writing	2001	55	49.2%	38.4%	12.4%	52	13.9%	31.1%	48.3%	5.4%	1.2%	45	2.5%	32.6%	53.4%	11.5%	.0%
-	2002	43 55	41.2% 52.2%	43.3% 34.1%	15.5% 13.7%	39 49	21.3% 17.8%	40.2% 37.9%	32.9% 32.5%	5.6% 11.8%	.0%	35 46	3.2% 3.9%	46.6% 37.1%	37.6% 53.9%	12.7% 5.0%	.0%
ļ	All	153	48.0%	38.3%	13.7%	140	17.3%	36.0%	38.6%	7.6%	.5%	126	3.2%	38.1%	49.2%	9.5%	.0%
Speaking before	Years 2001	56	46.2%	37.4%	16.4%	47	9.4%	33.7%	46.2%	9.4%	1.3%	41	.0%	43.8%	40.8%	12.7%	2.7%
an audience	2002	43	55.2%	34.7%	10.1%	40	26.2%	34.8%	34.8%	4.3%	.0%	37	13.6%	30.2%	45.5%	10.6%	.0%
-	2003 All	54	53.8%	35.7%	10.5%	47	22.3%	26.5%	43.9%	7.3%	.0%	122	9.3%	27.9%	58.7%	4.1%	.0%
Applied research	Years 2001	153 56	51.4% 51.6%	36.0% 39.4%	12.6% 9.1%	134 50	18.9% 16.6%	31.6% 41.2%	42.0% 31.9%	7.2% 6.9%	.5%	122 43	7.4% 2.6%	34.1% 39.0%	48.6% 45.3%	9.0%	.9%
(information	2002	42	54.1%	21.1%	24.8%	37	16.6% 21.3%	41.2% 45.5%	31.9%	3.0%	.0%	34	.0%	66.3%	45.3% 29.9%	3.8%	.0%
gathering and analysis)	2003 All	54	51.9%	36.4%	11.7%	48	23.7%	33.3%	43.0%	.0%	.0%	43	5.4%	32.8%	59.1%	2.7%	.0%
	Years	152	52.4%	33.2%	14.4%	135	20.3%	39.7%	35.3%	3.4%	1.3%	120	2.8%	44.6%	45.8%	4.4%	2.4%
Critical thinking	2001	57 44	71.1% 78.9%	21.7% 21.1%	7.2%	55 42	20.3% 29.3%	38.4% 42.9%	30.7% 26.3%	9.4% 1.5%	1.1%	46 37	1.3% 9.4%	45.5% 48.1%	44.7% 33.2%	4.8% 9.4%	3.7%
	2003	55	82.1%	15.9%	2.1%	52	33.6%	30.7%	31.4%	4.4%	.0%	48	10.8%	32.6%	56.6%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	156	77.1%	19.5%	3.4%	149	27.4%	37.1%	29.7%	5.4%	.4%	131	7.0%	41.6%	45.8%	4.3%	1.3%
Independent	2001	57	71.7%	23.0%	5.3%	55	28.9%	33.8%	29.8%	4.1%	3.4%	47	6.4%	42.9%	43.3%	4.7%	2.7%
work	2002	43 55	78.3% 88.5%	21.7% 9.4%	.0%	42 52	37.0% 49.5%	37.8% 31.6%	22.6% 16.6%	2.6%	.0%	37 48	18.3% 14.5%	45.5% 31.0%	31.5% 52.2%	3.0% 2.4%	1.7%
	All	155	79.5%	17.9%	2.7%	149	38.3%	34.2%	23.2%	3.0%	1.3%	132	12.6%	39.4%	43.1%	3.4%	1.4%
Planning,	Years 2001	57	74.8%	19.0%	6.1%	54	31.0%	30.4%	29.0%	8.5%	1.2%	47	7.4%	28.7%	53.0%	10.8%	.0%
scheduling, and carrying out	2002 2003	43	73.3%	21.7%	5.1%	41	36.5%	27.0%	32.3%	4.2%	.0%	37	13.6%	33.6%	48.1%	3.0%	1.7%
projects	All	56 156	70.6% 72.9%	25.4% 22.0%	4.0% 5.1%	52 147	32.9% 33.2%	30.4% 29.4%	34.5% 31.8%	2.2% 5.1%	.0%	47 131	9.7%	22.1% 27.8%	65.8% 56.2%	2.4% 5.6%	.0%
Defining and	Years 2001	57	80.0%	15.8%	4.1%	53	19.7%	45.8%	25.2%	8.2%	1.2%	45	4.9%	46.9%	39.6%	7.3%	1.4%
solving problems	2002	44	82.4%	13.7%	3.9%	42	31.1%	35.6%	30.4%	3.0%	.0%	37	14.9%	37.9%	45.5%	1.7%	.0%
	2003 All	56	87.9%	10.1%	2.0%	53	34.1%	42.9%	20.9%	2.2%	.0%	47	11.0%	36.6%	49.9%	2.4%	.0%
	Years	157	83.5%	13.2%	3.3%	148	27.9%	41.9%	25.2%	4.6%	.4%	129	9.9%	40.6%	45.0%	4.0%	.5%
Working in groups/teams	2001	57 43	75.9% 66.4%	20.8% 29.6%	3.3% 4.0%	55 41	27.5% 37.6%	34.4% 37.6%	28.4% 23.2%	5.2% 1.5%	4.5%	46 36	12.4% 16.9%	35.3% 44.1%	42.9% 37.2%	6.5% 1.7%	2.7%
	2003	55	75.9%	18.8%	5.3%	53	42.9%	32.8%	23.0%	1.2%	.0%	48	10.8%	39.4%	47.4%	2.4%	.0%
	All Years	155	73.2%	22.6%	4.2%	149	35.7%	34.8%	25.1%	2.8%	1.7%	130	13.1%	39.3%	43.0%	3.7%	1.0%
Leading/guiding others	2001 2002	57	55.9%	31.1%	13.0%	52	15.2%	30.2%	43.3%	8.8%	2.4%	43	5.5%	21.0%	66.5%	2.6%	4.4%
	2002	44 55	58.1% 60.9%	37.0% 31.7%	4.9% 7.4%	42 51	24.8% 25.0%	39.6% 23.6%	28.9% 45.6%	6.7% 5.8%	.0%	37 47	11.9% 11.0%	36.2% 19.7%	44.3% 65.5%	7.7% 3.8%	.0%
	All Years	156	58.3%	33.0%	8.7%	145	21.4%	30.7%	39.8%	7.1%	.9%	127	9.4%	25.0%	59.6%	4.5%	1.5%
Responsible	2001	56	77.4%	14.2%	8.5%	55	20.3%	41.6%	30.7%	3.1%	4.3%	47	3.7%	36.1%	55.1%	.0%	5.0%
actions and decision making	2002 2003	44 55	81.3% 86.2%	16.2% 9.7%	2.5% 4.1%	42 52	39.3% 31.4%	33.0% 38.5%	22.6% 23.5%	5.2% 6.6%	.0%	37 48	13.6% 8.4%	45.5% 38.1%	40.8% 53.5%	.0%	.0%
	All	155	81.6%	13.2%	5.2%	149	29.5%	38.1%	25.9%	4.9%	1.6%	132	8.2%	39.5%	50.5%	.0%	1.8%
Understanding	Years 2001	56	60.4%	30.3%	9.3%	54	13.7%	44.5%	29.9%	9.6%	2.3%	46	1.4%	37.0%	57.5%	1.4%	2.7%
other people and other points of	2002	44	69.7%	27.8%	2.5%	41	29.7%	33.4%	35.4%	1.5%	.0%	36	4.8%	54.4%	37.7%	3.1%	.0%
view	2003 All	55	82.3%	15.6%	2.1%	52	30.4%	31.6%	34.5%	3.4%	.0%	48	13.1%	32.3%	52.2%	2.4%	.0%
Environmental	Years 2001	155	70.7%	24.5%	4.8%	147	24.0%	36.9%	33.0%	5.2%	.9%	130	6.6%	40.1%	50.1%	2.2%	1.0%
awareness	2002	53 43	39.1% 26.7%	51.3% 65.3%	9.6% 8.0%	47 38	15.2% 14.3%	31.8% 37.5%	47.0% 45.3%	6.0% 2.9%	.0%	40 33	7.0% 3.3%	37.5% 33.8%	51.2% 59.5%	4.3% 3.3%	.0%
	2003 All	54	40.6%	40.8%	18.6%	44	18.2%	22.2%	54.5%	5.1%	.0%	42	2.7%	25.4%	66.6%	5.3%	.0%
	Years	150	36.0%	51.7%	12.3%	129	15.9%	30.3%	49.0%	4.8%	.0%	115	4.4%	32.1%	59.2%	4.4%	.0%
Political and economic	2001 2002	51 43	22.1% 16.6%	47.7% 65.3%	30.2% 18.1%	40 36	17.8% 12.1%	20.8% 38.5%	50.2% 46.3%	11.2% 3.0%	.0%	35 31	1.7% 3.6%	40.5% 29.1%	49.8% 61.7%	6.2% 5.6%	1.7%
awareness	2003	54	22.5%	47.4%	30.0%	42	13.9%	27.5%	55.8%	2.7%	.0%	40	2.8%	21.5%	64.3%	8.5%	2.8%
	All Years	148	20.6%	52.8%	26.6%	118	14.7%	28.6%	51.0%	5.8%	.0%	106	2.7%	30.2%	58.6%	6.9%	1.6%
Knowledge of	2002	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	33	25.6%	29.5%	44.9%	.0%	.0%	29	3.9%	35.7%	56.4%	3.9%	.0%
political/physical geography	2003 All	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	41 74	17.1% 20.9%	31.1%	49.0% 47.2%	2.8% 1.5%	.0%	39 68	2.9%	19.2% 26.2%	64.2%	10.8% 7.9%	2.9% 1.7%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Prescott

			Usefulne	ess of Skill or	the Job			Competenc	e of this ERA	U Graduate			Comp	etence of G	raduates fror	n Other Insti	tutions
		Unwtd # of Respond ents	(1) Very Useful Wtd Row %	Somewh at Useful Wtd Row %	(3) Not Useful Wtd Row %	Unwtd # of Respond ents	(1) Excellent Wtd Row %	(2) Good Wtd Row %	(3) Average Wtd Row %	(4) Poor Wtd Row %	(5) Very Poor Wtd Row %	Unwtd # of Respond ents	(1) Excellent Wtd Row %	(2) Good Wtd Row %	(3) Average Wtd Row %	(4) Poor Wtd Row %	(5) Very Poor Wtd Rov %
Quantitative/math ematics	2001 2002	19 17	46.6% 51.9%	42.7% 29.8%	10.7% 18.2%	18 14	31.4% 42.5%	39.3% 43.9%	23.4% 13.6%	5.9%	.0%	17 11	13.4%	32.3% 53.4%	54.3% 46.6%	.0%	.09
	2003	15	68.9%	31.1%	.0%	15	33.6%	66.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12	18.7%	28.2%	53.1%	.0%	.09
	All Years	51	54.4%	35.1%	10.5%	47	35.7%	48.7%	13.3%	2.2%	.0%	40	10.9%	37.5%	51.6%	.0%	.0%
Basic PC software (word	2001	20 17	60.4% 56.7%	26.3% 30.9%	13.4% 12.4%	19 15	20.0% 37.5%	40.3% 35.0%	31.2% 27.5%	8.5%	.0%	16 12	6.3% 12.1%	39.3% 45.2%	50.5% 24.9%	3.9% 17.8%	.09
processing, spreadsheets,	2003	14	61.0%	31.8%	7.3%	15	38.4%	52.4%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	12	8.2%	38.7%	53.1%	.0%	.09
etc.)	All Years	51	59.2%	29.2%	11.6%	49	30.9%	41.8%	24.0%	3.3%	.0%	40	8.7%	41.0%	43.1%	7.2%	.0%
Writing skills (non-technical)	2001	20 17	47.5% 41.2%	44.4% 47.2%	8.1% 11.6%	19 16	14.4% 25.7%	41.9% 41.3%	40.4% 33.0%	3.2%	.0%	16 13	3.9% 7.7%	32.6% 40.6%	53.0% 44.0%	6.7% 7.7%	3.9%
	2003	15	35.9%	64.1%	.0%	15	37.2%	52.4%	7.9%	.0%	2.5%	12	8.2%	30.5%	51.6%	9.7%	.0%
	All Years	52	42.3%	50.5%	7.2%	50	24.4%	44.5%	29.2%	1.2%	.7%	41	6.3%	34.7%	49.6%	7.8%	1.5%
Technical writing	2001 2002	20	30.7%	44.9%	24.3%	18	5.6%	50.7%	40.3%	3.4%	.0%	15	.0%	32.8%	51.7%	15.5%	.0%
	2002	17 15	44.8% 35.9%	23.2% 47.0%	31.9% 17.1%	15 14	28.2% 18.2%	50.4% 56.1%	21.4% 23.1%	.0%	.0%	12 11	9.6% 15.6%	43.9% 27.2%	38.2% 57.2%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	52	37.0%	37.9%	25.1%	47	16.8%	52.1%	29.1%	1.3%	.7%	38	7.3%	35.0%	48.6%	9.0%	.0%
Speaking before	2001	20	46.5%	34.8%	18.7%	19	20.0%	41.5%	26.0%	12.5%	.0%	16	3.9%	32.6%	55.8%	3.9%	3.9%
an audience	2002 2003	17 15	56.9% 66.4%	30.7% 20.8%	12.4% 12.8%	15 14	20.2% 18.0%	49.2% 55.7%	30.7% 26.3%	.0%	.0%	12 11	16.8% 8.7%	27.7% 38.9%	47.1% 42.1%	8.4% 10.3%	.0%
	All Years	52	55.3%	29.8%	15.0%	48	19.5%	47.8%	27.7%	5.0%	.0%	39	9.3%	32.7%	49.4%	7.0%	1.6%
Applied research	2001	20	53.9%	32.4%	13.7%	19	31.7%	35.7%	27.0%	5.6%	.0%	16	6.4%	37.1%	51.8%	4.8%	.0%
(information gathering and	2002	17 15	36.4% 32.8%	45.4% 51.3%	18.2% 15.9%	14 14	34.8% 31.3%	21.3% 45.6%	43.9% 23.1%	.0%	.0%	11 12	10.5% 8.2%	33.9% 30.5%	55.6% 61.3%	.0%	.0%
analysis)	All	52	42.4%	41.8%	15.8%	47	32.6%	33.8%	31.4%	2.3%	.0%	39	8.1%	34.3%	55.6%	2.0%	.0%
Critical thinking	Years 2001	20	78.5%	13.4%	8.1%	20	35.6%	42.6%	11.4%	10.3%	.0%	18	9.4%	51.9%	32.8%	5.9%	.0%
	2002	17	75.1%	24.9%	.0%	16	43.4%	49.8%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	13	7.7%	28.8%	54.8%	8.7%	.0%
	2003 All	15 52	84.1% 78.8%	15.9% 18.0%	.0%	15 51	46.3% 41.1%	19.6% 39.0%	34.1% 15.8%	.0%	.0%	12 43	8.2% 8.5%	16.4% 35.5%	75.4% 50.7%	.0%	.0%
Independent	Years 2001	20	91.9%	.0%	8.1%	20	48.0%	33.3%	8.4%	10.3%	.0%	16	3.9%	61.0%	35.1%	.0%	.0%
work	2002	17	83.9%	16.1%	.0%	16	51.7%	48.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13	31.7%	16.6%	42.9%	8.7%	.0%
	2003 All	15	81.6%	18.4%	.0%	15	33.0%	59.1%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	12	8.2%	38.7%	53.1%	.0%	.0%
Planning,	Years 2001	52 20	86.5% 81.3%	10.4%	3.2% 8.1%	51 20	45.3% 43.0%	45.2% 39.8%	5.4% 17.2%	4.1%	.0%	41 17	14.4% 25.9%	40.1% 36.6%	42.6% 33.9%	2.9%	.0%
scheduling, and	2002	17	82.8%	17.2%	.0%	16	39.8%	53.2%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	13	15.3%	41.7%	42.9%	.0%	.0%
carrying out projects	2003 All	15	79.2%	20.8%	.0%	15	36.1%	29.9%	26.1%	7.9%	.0%	12	14.1%	26.9%	59.0%	.0%	.0%
Deficience d	Years	52	81.3%	15.5%	3.2%	51	40.1%	41.8%	16.0%	2.1%	.0%	42	19.4%	35.7%	43.5%	1.5%	.0%
Defining and solving problems	2001 2002	20 17	83.6% 66.2%	8.4% 33.8%	8.1%	20 16	49.0% 36.6%	37.6% 44.5%	3.1% 18.9%	10.3%	.0%	17 13	9.6% 15.3%	41.1% 34.0%	49.3% 50.6%	.0%	.0%
	2003	15	79.2%	20.8%	.0%	15	33.0%	51.2%	15.9%	.0%	.0%	12	8.2%	38.7%	53.1%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	52	76.4%	20.4%	3.2%	51	40.6%	43.5%	11.8%	4.1%	.0%	42	11.1%	38.2%	50.8%	.0%	.0%
Working in groups/teams	2001	20 17	62.1% 85.5%	29.8% 14.5%	8.1%	20 15	39.6% 59.4%	37.9% 40.6%	14.4%	5.0%	3.1%	17 12	15.9% 36.1%	42.9% 25.2%	37.5% 38.7%	3.6%	.0%
	2003	15	66.4%	33.6%	.0%	15	28.1%	48.1%	15.9%	7.9%	.0%	12	18.7%	28.2%	53.1%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	52	71.3%	25.5%	3.2%	50	43.0%	41.5%	10.1%	4.2%	1.2%	41	22.9%	33.5%	42.1%	1.5%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2001 2002	20 17	51.8% 49.4%	34.8% 50.6%	13.4%	19 16	25.6% 38.9%	35.6% 31.8%	30.0% 22.5%	5.6% 6.8%	3.2%	17 13	3.6% 15.3%	34.6% 25.3%	53.6% 59.4%	8.1%	.0%
	2003	15	67.7%	32.3%	.0%	15	24.4%	40.3%	27.4%	7.9%	.0%	12	14.1%	18.7%	57.5%	9.7%	.0%
	All Years	52	55.1%	39.7%	5.3%	50	29.9%	35.5%	26.7%	6.6%	1.2%	42	10.2%	27.4%	56.5%	5.9%	.0%
Responsible actions and	2001	20	78.2%	13.7%	8.1%	20	34.3%	32.6%	24.7%	8.4%	.0%	17	9.6%	42.9%	43.9%	3.6%	.0%
decision making	2002	17 15	64.5% 84.1%	35.5% 15.9%	.0%	16 15	45.7% 33.0%	41.5% 51.2%	12.8% 15.9%	.0%	.0%	13 12	15.3% 8.2%	24.2% 46.9%	51.7% 35.2%	8.7% 9.7%	.0%
	All Years	52	75.0%	21.8%	3.2%	51	37.8%	40.5%	18.3%	3.3%	.0%	42	11.1%	37.9%	44.2%	6.9%	.0%
Understanding other people and	2001	20	80.1%	16.9%	3.1%	20	22.1%	51.7%	14.4%	8.8%	3.1%	18	9.0%	42.1%	45.5%	3.4%	.0%
other points of	2002	17 15	66.2% 62.7%	28.0% 37.3%	5.8%	16 15	32.9% 24.4%	47.5% 43.9%	6.8% 23.8%	12.8% 7.9%	.0%	13 12	15.3% 8.2%	33.0% 46.9%	42.9% 45.0%	8.7%	.0%
view	All Years	52	70.8%	26.0%	3.2%	51	26.4%	48.2%	14.3%	9.9%	1.2%	43	10.8%	40.4%	44.5%	4.2%	.0%
Environmental	2001	20	49.9%	32.4%	17.7%	19	15.2%	44.1%	33.1%	4.2%	3.4%	17	10.6%	16.5%	61.5%	11.5%	.0%
awareness	2002	17 15	26.1% 35.9%	38.4% 61.6%	35.4% 2.5%	14 15	37.2% 9.2%	29.9% 39.0%	25.0% 51.8%	7.9%	.0%	13 12	15.3% 8.2%	16.6% 32.8%	59.4% 49.3%	8.7% 9.7%	.0%
	All	52	38.1%	42.0%	19.9%	48	20.6%	38.1%	35.8%	4.2%	1.3%	42	11.5%	20.9%	57.5%	10.1%	.0%
Political and	Years 2001	20	39.1%	39.9%	20.9%	18	14.7%	47.0%	30.6%	4.2%	3.4%	16	3.9%	30.6%	50.1%	15.4%	.0%
economic awareness	2002	17	19.2%	59.7%	21.1%	13	41.0%	23.6%	26.9%	8.5%	.0%	11	17.3%	39.6%	43.2%	.0%	.0%
	2003 All	15 52	7.9% 24.1%	59.1% 51.8%	33.0% 24.1%	15 46	9.2%	31.1% 35.2%	59.7% 38.0%	.0%	.0%	12 39	8.2% 9.2%	24.6% 31.7%	57.5% 50.1%	.0%	9.7%
Knowledge of	Years 2002	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	12	25.3%	28.4%	29.0%	17.3%	.0%	11	9.2%	31.7%	59.8%	.0%	.0%
political/physical geography	2003	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	15	9.2%	32.3%	58.5%	.0%	.0%	12	8.2%	16.4%	65.7%	9.7%	.0%
	All	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	27	17.1%	30.4%	44.0%	8.5%	.0%	23	8.6%	24.0%	62.7%	4.7%	.0%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'

ERAU General Skills Preparation and Usefulness on the Job

Worldwide

			Usefulne	ss of Skill or (2)	the Job			Competenc	e of this ERA	U Graduate		 -	Comp	etence of Gr	raduates fron	n Other Instit	tutions
		Unwtd # of Respond ents	(1) Very Useful Wtd Row %	Somewh at Useful Wtd Row	(3) Not Useful Wtd Row %	Unwtd # of Respond ents	(1) Excellent Wtd Row %	(2) Good Wtd Row	(3) Average Wtd Row %	(4) Poor Wtd Row	(5) Very Poor Wtd Row %	Unwtd # of Respond ents	(1) Excellent Wtd Row %	(2) Good Wtd Row	(3) Average Wtd Row %	(4) Poor Wtd Row %	(5) Very Poor Wtd Rov %
Quantitative/math ematics	2001	78	42.1%	44.3%	13.6%	71	24.7%	32.8%	30.9%	5.5%	6.1%	66	20.5%	40.7%	27.6%	11.2%	.09
	2002	56 69	37.9% 45.1%	54.7% 51.6%	7.4%	50 64	30.8% 34.5%	39.9% 45.5%	29.3% 20.0%	.0%	.0%	43 53	16.8% 12.2%	33.9% 60.2%	48.4% 27.5%	.0%	.89
	All	203	41.9%	50.3%	7.8%	185	30.3%	39.8%	26.3%	1.7%	1.9%	162	16.3%	46.0%	33.8%	3.7%	.39
Basic PC	Years 2001	79	74.4%	14.8%	10.8%	74	37.2%	34.3%	17.7%	4.8%	5.9%	68	24.0%	42.0%	25.2%	5.8%	3.19
software (word processing, spreadsheets, etc.)	2002	58	95.9%	2.4%	1.7%	54	35.9%	50.4%	13.7%	.0%	.0%	47	19.6%	43.7%	36.7%	.0%	.09
	2003 All	69	90.2%	9.8%	.0%	65	45.9%	49.2%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	53	7.1%	71.6%	21.3%	.0%	.0%
	Years	206	87.1%	9.0%	3.9%	193	39.9%	45.1%	11.7%	1.4%	1.8%	168	16.5%	53.1%	27.6%	1.8%	1.0%
Writing skills (non-technical)	2001	79 57	63.8% 82.0%	26.5% 18.0%	9.8%	75 55	27.1% 25.8%	46.9% 52.9%	15.8% 21.4%	3.6%	6.6%	69 47	13.2% 14.1%	33.0% 39.4%	44.7% 43.9%	8.3% 2.7%	.8%
	2003	68	80.1%	19.9%	.0%	63	44.7%	42.2%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	51	10.5%	59.6%	29.8%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	204	75.6%	21.4%	3.1%	193	32.9%	47.3%	16.8%	1.1%	2.0%	167	12.6%	44.5%	39.2%	3.5%	.3%
Technical writing Speaking before	2001	79	48.3%	40.2%	11.4%	68	21.1%	36.9%	28.0%	10.6%	3.4%	63	11.2%	29.5%	46.4%	12.9%	.0%
	2002	58 68	57.5% 66.2%	37.3% 29.9%	5.3% 3.9%	52 63	26.7% 43.0%	40.2% 42.7%	31.8% 14.3%	1.3%	.0%	46 52	11.6%	29.2% 61.5%	56.6% 37.6%	2.6%	.0%
	All	205			6.7%	183				3.5%	1.0%	161	7.6%	40.9%		4.8%	.0%
	Years 2001	79	57.7% 51.2%	35.6% 31.7%	17.1%	68	31.0%	40.2% 28.6%	24.3% 21.6%	9.9%	7.3%	61	13.8%	43.6%	46.7% 35.4%	7.3%	.0%
an audience	2002	57	56.5%	37.3%	6.3%	51	30.5%	35.7%	32.6%	1.2%	.0%	45	21.7%	20.1%	49.6%	8.7%	.0%
	2003 All	69	66.8%	28.8%	4.3%	64	34.2%	55.4%	10.5%	.0%	.0%	51	11.8%	50.9%	37.3%	.0%	.0%
	Years	205	58.6%	32.5%	8.9%	183	32.5%	41.0%	21.0%	3.3%	2.2%	157	15.7%	38.5%	40.8%	5.1%	.0%
Applied research (information	2001 2002	79	51.0%	28.7% 31.8%	20.3%	68	27.8% 48.4%	35.9%	24.8%	3.0%	8.6%	62 47	16.8%	23.5%	46.8%	9.6%	3.3%
gathering and analysis)	2002	57 69	68.2% 63.3%	31.8%	.0%	54 64	50.3%	43.1% 33.1%	7.2% 16.6%	1.3%	.0%	53	14.0% 6.0%	37.0% 67.3%	41.3% 26.7%	7.0%	.7%
	All Years	205	61.1%	31.4%	7.5%	186	43.2%	37.4%	15.7%	1.3%	2.5%	162	11.9%	44.0%	37.6%	5.2%	1.2%
Critical thinking	2001	79	72.4%	17.9%	9.8%	74	37.0%	29.2%	21.5%	5.7%	6.7%	68	14.3%	39.2%	37.4%	6.0%	3.1%
	2002	57	81.3%	18.7%	.0%	54	51.9%	22.3%	23.3%	2.5%	.0%	45	27.0%	30.0%	43.0%	.0%	.0%
	2003 All	69	77.8%	22.2%	.0%	64	50.2%	36.2%	12.2%	1.4%	.0%	52	16.1%	56.0%	28.0%	.0%	.0%
	Years	205	77.2%	19.7%	3.0%	192	46.8%	29.4%	18.7%	3.0%	2.0%	165	19.1%	42.1%	35.9%	1.9%	1.0%
Independent work	2001	79 58	73.6% 82.9%	16.6% 17.1%	9.8%	75 55	49.7% 59.2%	24.2% 21.7%	15.1% 17.9%	4.3% 1.3%	6.6%	69 48	25.3% 28.8%	29.0% 25.5%	39.2% 40.2%	6.5% 5.5%	.0%
	2003	69	85.3%	14.7%	.0%	65	47.2%	44.3%	7.2%	1.3%	.0%	53	12.4%	56.8%	30.8%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	206	80.9%	16.1%	3.0%	195	52.0%	30.6%	13.2%	2.2%	2.0%	170	21.9%	37.6%	36.6%	3.9%	.0%
Planning, scheduling, and carrying out projects Defining and solving problems	2001	79	63.0%	26.5%	10.5%	73	30.1%	40.6%	15.7%	5.6%	8.0%	66	12.2%	37.1%	41.5%	6.1%	3.1%
	2002	58 69	84.5% 84.0%	15.5% 16.0%	.0%	55 65	57.7% 55.4%	24.5% 37.5%	17.8% 6.0%	.0%	.0%	48 53	21.8% 4.7%	31.7% 65.7%	45.1% 28.4%	1.4%	.0%
	All	206	77.6%	19.1%	3.3%	193	48.6%	34.0%	12.9%	2.0%	2.4%	167	12.7%	45.4%	38.1%	2.8%	1.0%
	Years 2001	79	71.0%	18.9%	10.0%	74	35.8%	31.6%	19.1%	6.9%	6.7%	67	17.4%	31.3%	40.1%	8.0%	3.1%
	2002	57	78.9%	21.1%	.0%	54	43.3%	27.6%	27.8%	1.3%	.0%	46	11.1%	42.0%	39.8%	7.1%	.0%
	2003 All	69	74.3%	25.7%	.0%	65	50.1%	35.7%	14.2%	.0%	.0%	53	12.4%	58.2%	29.4%	.0%	.0%
	Years	205	74.8%	22.1%	3.1%	193	43.5%	31.7%	20.3%	2.5%	2.0%	166	13.6%	44.4%	36.2%	4.9%	1.0%
Working in groups/teams	2001	79 57	78.4% 85.0%	9.3% 14.1%	12.3% .9%	75 54	39.2% 52.8%	29.5% 27.6%	18.5% 18.3%	6.9% 1.2%	5.9%	68 47	12.9% 19.0%	35.6% 33.6%	35.3% 47.0%	16.2% .4%	.0%
	2002	69	76.8%	23.2%	.0%	64	46.3%	49.1%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	53	4.3%	70.6%	25.1%	.0%	.0%
	All Years	205	80.0%	15.9%	4.1%	193	46.4%	35.9%	13.4%	2.5%	1.8%	168	11.9%	47.3%	35.6%	5.2%	.0%
Leading/guiding others	2001	79	55.3%	34.1%	10.7%	72	27.9%	35.0%	30.3%	.0%	6.7%	65	13.0%	29.0%	49.1%	4.1%	4.8%
	2002	57	73.2%	26.8%	.0%	53	43.2%	30.4%	25.1%	1.2%	.0%	46	15.7%	30.9%	50.1%	3.3%	.0%
	2003 All	205	66.5%	32.1%	3.8%	190	40.8% 37.8%	50.8% 39.2%	7.1% 20.1%	.9%	2.0%	164	11.0%	55.3% 39.0%	39.7% 46.1%	2.4%	1.5%
	Years 2001	79	76.3%	13.9%	9.8%	75	37.8%	39.2%	17.6%	1.6%	9.4%	67	17.3%	26.5%	41.1%	12.0%	3.1%
actions and decision making	2002	57	78.8%	21.2%	.0%	54	50.2%	29.3%	19.2%	1.8%	.0%	47	15.1%	42.4%	41.1%	.0%	1.5%
	2003 All	69	77.2%	22.8%	.0%	65	47.2%	47.9%	3.6%	.0%	1.3%	52	10.2%	51.1%	38.7%	.0%	.0%
	Years	205	77.4%	19.5%	3.0%	194	45.4%	37.3%	13.1%	.9%	3.3%	166	14.1%	40.4%	40.3%	3.8%	1.5%
Understanding other people and	2001	79	62.4%	27.8%	9.8%	75 54	32.9%	32.2%	25.1%	7.0%	2.8%	68	12.9%	25.9%	47.2%	10.9%	3.1%
other points of view	2002	57 69	66.2% 72.2%	33.8% 27.8%	.0%	54 65	36.5% 48.3%	30.4% 37.9%	31.9% 13.8%	1.2%	.0%	47 53	8.5% 11.9%	46.5% 49.5%	43.2% 37.4%	.4% 1.2%	1.5%
	All Years	205	67.2%	29.7%	3.0%	194	39.7%	33.6%	23.3%	2.5%	.8%	168	11.0%	41.1%	42.4%	4.0%	1.5%
Environmental awareness	2001	79	34.1%	48.3%	17.6%	64	25.7%	22.2%	39.6%	9.4%	3.1%	58	12.7%	16.3%	55.7%	15.3%	.0%
	2002	56	36.0%	51.2%	12.8%	47	22.1%	48.5%	28.0%	1.4%	.0%	40	15.9%	26.1%	56.3%	.0%	1.7%
	2003 All	68	37.9%	52.9%	9.1%	60	32.8%	43.0%	24.2%	.0%	.0%	50	10.5%	54.9%	34.6%	.0%	.09
	Years	203	36.1%	50.9%	13.0%	171	27.2%	38.6%	30.0%	3.3%	.9%	148	12.9%	33.4%	48.3%	4.8%	.59
Political and economic awareness	2001	79 56	23.0% 24.4%	52.1% 60.2%	24.9% 15.3%	67 44	20.1%	22.2% 34.7%	40.4% 34.7%	11.3% 1.5%	6.0%	61 35	12.9% 11.9%	16.0% 35.7%	55.1% 50.3%	16.1% 2.1%	.09
	2003	68	17.7%	64.9%	17.5%	58	26.7%	51.9%	21.4%	.0%	.0%	48	6.8%	54.1%	39.1%	.0%	.09
	All Years	203	21.5%	59.4%	19.2%	169	25.3%	37.4%	31.4%	4.0%	1.9%	144	10.3%	36.1%	47.6%	6.0%	.0%
Knowledge of	2002	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	47	27.9%	35.1%	37.0%	.0%	.0%	39	10.2%	44.5%	41.7%	1.8%	1.89
political/physical geography	2003 All	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	57	32.5%	48.3%	19.2%	.0%	.0%	48	7.3%	53.1%	39.6%	.0%	.0%
	Years	0	.0%	.0%	.0%	104	30.4%	42.2%	27.4%	.0%	.0%	87	8.6%	49.3%	40.5%	.8%	.8%

ERAU Preparation response options for the Class of 2003: '1=Excellent', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Competence response options for the Class of 2001 and 2002 survey: '1=Very Good', '2=Good', '3=Average', '4=Poor', '5=Very Poor'.

Usefulness response options: '1=Very Useful', '2=Somewhat Useful', '3=Not Useful'