EMPLOYER FEEDBACK SURVEY

APPENDIX E13

Major Profile BS Avionics Engineering Technology

Employers of the Class of 2000 Graduates One and One Half Years After Receiving Their ERAU Degree

Prepared by:

Tara Battistoni Office of Institutional Research October 2002

This major profile contains Employer Feedback Survey results for this degree program only, for the current year and the last three years combined. The combined data may be especially useful to programs that have a small number of respondents for the class of 2000. Almost every question from the instrument is included. In order to conserve space, the following abbreviations for each of the campuses are used: DB (Daytona Beach Campus), PC (Prescott Campus), EC (Extended Campus), and ALL (all campuses combined).

Percentages in the data tables have been weighted to ensure representation of all graduates from each class, however the number of responses has been left unweighted. Please use caution when interpreting results for degree programs with a small number of respondents. Results based on very few respondents may not be representative and/or are prone to fluctuation.

Employer comments have been provided to the Chancellors at each campus for distribution to individual departments and degree programs.

Demographics of ERAU Employees By Year of Graduation

		BS Avionics Engr Tech				
		1998	1999	2000	All Years	
DB	Gender	# of Responses	1	1	1	3
		Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Number of ERAU Graduates Employers Know Professionally

	BS Avionics Engr Tech		
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined	
,	DB	DB	
# of Responses	1	3	
1	.0%	.0%	
2-5	.0%	64.8%	
6-10	100.0%	35.2%	
11-50	.0%	.0%	
Over 50	.0%	.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Number of ERAU Graduates Employers Currently Supervise

	BS Avionics Engr Tech		
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined	
	DB	DB	
# of Responses	1	3	
1	.0%	47.5%	
2-5	100.0%	52.5%	
6-10	.0%	.0%	
11-20	.0%	.0%	
Over 20	.0%	.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Supervisors Who Are Also ERAU Graduates

	BS Avionics Engr Tech		
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined	
	DB	DB	
# of Responses	1	3	
Yes	.0%	.0%	
No	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Hiring Preference

	BS Avionics Engr Tech		
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined	
	DB	DB	
# of Responses	1	2	
Strong Preference for ERAU Graduates	.0%	.0%	
Some Preference for ERAU Graduates	.0%	.0%	
No Preference	100.0%	100.0%	
Some Preference for Other Graduates	.0%	.0%	
Strong Preference for Other Graduates	.0%	.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

This question was asked only to employers of the class of 1999 and class of 2000 graduates. Results from all classes combined only show those two classes

Education of the ERAU Graduate Meets Company Needs

	BS Avionics Engr Tech		
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined	
	DB	DB	
# of Responses	1	3	
Strongly Agree	.0%	47.5%	
Agree	100.0%	52.5%	
Neutral	.0%	.0%	
Disagree	.0%	.0%	
Strongly Disagree	.0%	.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Graduate is a Valuable Employee

	BS Avionics Engr Tech		
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined	
	DB	DB	
# of Responses	1	3	
Strongly Agree	100.0%	82.6%	
Agree	.0%	.0%	
Neutral	.0%	17.4%	
Disagree	.0%	.0%	
Strongly Disagree	.0%	.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Graduate is a Good Candidate for Promotion

	BS Av	rionics Engr Tech
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
	DB	DB
# of Responses	1	3
Strongly Agree	100.0%	82.6%
Agree	.0%	.0%
Neutral	.0%	17.4%
Disagree	.0%	.0%
Strongly Disagree	.0%	.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Skill Level of ERAU Graduate Compared to Graduates From Other Institutions

	BS Avionics Engr Tech		
	Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined	
	DB	DB	
# of Responses	1	2	
Much Higher	.0%	.0%	
Somewhat Higher	100.0%	42.6%	
Equivalent	.0%	57.4%	
Somewhat Lower	.0%	.0%	
Much Lower	.0%	.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

This question was asked only to employers of the class of 1999 and class of 2000 graduates. Results from all classes combined only show those two classes

Usefulness of General Skills on the Job

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
Quantitative/Mathematics	# of Responses	1	3
	Very Useful	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat Useful	100.0%	100.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	,	100.0%	100.0%
Basic PC Software (word	# of Responses	1	3
processing, spreadsheets,	Very Useful	100.0%	82.6%
etc.)	Somewhat Useful	.0%	17.4%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Writing Skills	# of Responses	1	3
(non-technical)	Very Useful	100.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Technical Writing	# of Responses	1	3
	Very Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	47.5%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Speaking Before an	# of Responses	1	3
Audience	Very Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	47.5%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Applied Research	# of Responses	1	1
(information gathering and analysis)	Very Useful	.0%	.0%
and analysis)	Somewhat Useful	100.0%	100.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Critical Thinking	# of Responses	1	3
	Very Useful	.0%	17.4%
	Somewhat Useful	100.0%	82.6%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Independent Work	# of Responses	1	3
	Very Useful	.0%	64.8%
	Somewhat Useful	100.0%	35.2%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%

'Applied Research' has replaced 'Library Research' as a general skill beginning this year. Results for all classes combined only show the current year for this skill

Usefulness of General Skills on the Job (Continued)

		T	
		BS AV	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000
			Combined
Planning, Scheduling,	I # of Doomonoon	DB	DB
and Carrying Out	# of Responses	1	3
Projects	Very Useful	100.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	.0%
T	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	T	100.0%	100.0%
Defining and Solving	# of Responses	1	3
Problems	Very Useful	.0%	17.4%
	Somewhat Useful	100.0%	82.6%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Working in	# of Responses	1	3
groups/teams	Very Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	47.5%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Leading/Guiding	# of Responses	1	3
Others	Very Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	47.5%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	-1	100.0%	100.0%
Responsible Actions	# of Responses	1	3
and Decision Making	Very Useful	100.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Understanding Other	# of Responses	1	3
People and Other	Very Useful	100.0%	100.0%
Points of View	Somewhat Useful	.0%	.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Environmental	# of Responses	1	3
Awareness	Very Useful	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Not Useful	.0%	47.5%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Political and Economic	# of Responses	1	3
Awareness	Very Useful	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Not Useful	.0%	47.5%
Total	.101 000101	100.0%	100.0%
TOTAL		100.0%	100.0%

Competence of the ERAU Graduate at General Skills

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
	_	DB	DB
Quantitative/Mathematics	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	64.8%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Basic PC Software (word	# of Responses	1	3
processing, spreadsheets,	Excellent	.0%	.0%
etc.)	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Writing Skills	# of Responses	1	3
(non-technical)	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	47.5%
	Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Technical Writing	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Speaking Before an	# of Responses	1	3
Audience	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	100.0%	35.2%
	Poor	.0%	17.4%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Applied Research	# of Responses	1	1
(information gathering	Excellent	.0%	.0%
and analysis)	Very Good	100.0%	100.0%
	Good	.0%	.0%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%

'Applied Research' has replaced 'Library Research' as a general skill beginning this year. Results for all classes combined only show the current year for this skill

Competence of the ERAU Graduate at General Skills (Continued)

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
Critical Thinking	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	· ·	100.0%	100.0%
Independent	# of Responses	1	3
Work	Excellent	100.0%	82.6%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	17.4%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Planning,	# of Responses	1	3
Scheduling, and	Excellent	100.0%	35.2%
Carrying Out Projects	Very Good	.0%	47.5%
1 10,000	Good	.0%	17.4%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Defining and	# of Responses	1	3
Solving Problems	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Working in	# of Responses	1	3
groups/teams	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	_	100.0%	100.0%
Leading/Guiding Others	# of Responses	1	3
Ouleis	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	.0%
	Fair	100.0%	100.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%

Competence of the ERAU Graduate at General Skills (Continued)

		BS Avionics Engr Tech	
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
Responsible	# of Responses	1	3
Actions and	Excellent	.0%	.0%
Decision Making	Very Good	.0%	.0%
Making	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	100.0%	52.5%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Understanding	# of Responses	1	3
Other People	Excellent	.0%	.0%
and Other Points of View	Very Good	.0%	.0%
1 onto or view	Good	100.0%	100.0%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Environmental	# of Responses	1	2
Awareness	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	33.0%
	Fair	100.0%	67.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Political and	# of Responses	1	2
Economic	Excellent	.0%	.0%
Awareness	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	.0%
	Fair	.0%	33.0%
	Poor	100.0%	67.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%

Competence of Graduates From Other Institutions at General Skills

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
Quantitative/Mathematics	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	64.8%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Basic PC Software (word	# of Responses	1	3
processing, spreadsheets,	Excellent	.0%	.0%
etc.)	Very Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Good	.0%	.0%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	4	100.0%	100.0%
Writing Skills	# of Responses	1	3
(non-technical)	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	100.0%	52.5%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	_L	100.0%	100.0%
Technical Writing	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	100.0%	52.5%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	· ·	100.0%	100.0%
Speaking Before an	# of Responses	1	3
Audience	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	100.0%	52.5%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Applied Research	# of Responses	1	1
(information gathering	Excellent	.0%	.0%
and analysis)	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	100.0%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	1	100.0%	100.0%

'Applied Research' has replaced 'Library Research' as a general skill beginning this year. Results for all classes combined only show the current year for this skill

Competence of Graduates From Other Institutions at General Skills (Continued)

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		BO AV	Classes of 1998,
		Class of 2000	1999, and 2000
			Combined
		DB	DB
Critical Thinking	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Independent	# of Responses	1	3
Work	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Planning,	# of Responses	1	3
Scheduling, and	Excellent	.0%	.0%
Carrying Out Projects	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	100.0%	52.5%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Defining and	# of Responses	1	3
Solving Problems	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	47.5%
	Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Working in	# of Responses	1	3
groups/teams	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Leading/Guiding	# of Responses	1	3
Others	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	.0%
	Fair	.0%	47.5%
	Poor	100.0%	52.5%
Total		100.0%	100.0%

Competence of Graduates From Other Institutions at General Skills (Continued)

		BS Avionics Engr Tech	
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
	l i		DB
Responsible	# of Responses	1	3
Actions and	Excellent	.0%	.0%
Decision Making	Very Good	.0%	.0%
Waking	Good	.0%	.0%
	Fair	100.0%	100.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Understanding	# of Responses	1	3
Other People	Excellent	.0%	.0%
and Other Points of View	Very Good	.0%	.0%
1 onits of view	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	100.0%	52.5%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
Environmental	# of Responses	1	2
Awareness	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	33.0%
	Fair	100.0%	67.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
Political and	# of Responses	1	2
Economic	Excellent	.0%	.0%
Awareness	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	.0%
	Fair	.0%	33.0%
	Poor	100.0%	67.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%

Usefulness of Degree-Specific Skills on the Job

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
(A) Basic and advanced	# of Responses	1	3
electronics analysis and	Very Useful	100.0%	35.2%
theory	Somewhat Useful	.0%	64.8%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(B) Avionics system analysis	# of Responses	1	3
and design	Very Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	47.5%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
(C) Avionics/electronics	# of Responses	1	3
system test	Very Useful	100.0%	52.5%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	47.5%
Total	!	100.0%	100.0%
(D) Applied mechanical	# of Responses	1	3
engineering concepts	Very Useful	100.0%	35.2%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	47.5%
	Not Useful	.0%	17.4%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(E) Basic design and	# of Responses	1	3
engineering concepts	Very Useful	100.0%	35.2%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	64.8%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(F) Applications software and	# of Responses	1	3
programming	Very Useful	100.0%	82.6%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	17.4%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(G) Reliability/maintainability	# of Responses	1	3
	Very Useful	100.0%	82.6%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	17.4%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	1	100.0%	100.0%
(H) Systems integration	# of Responses	1	3
	Very Useful	100.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat Useful	.0%	.0%
	Not Useful	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
		,	

Competence of the ERAU Graduate at Degree-Specific Skills

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
(A) Basic and advanced	# of Responses	1	3
electronics analysis and	Excellent	100.0%	35.2%
theory	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(B) Avionics system	# of Responses	1	2
analysis and design	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	100.0%	67.0%
	Good	.0%	33.0%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(C) Avionics/electronics	# of Responses	1	2
system test	Excellent	100.0%	67.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	33.0%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(D) Applied mechanical	# of Responses	1	2
engineering concepts	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	100.0%	42.6%
	Good	.0%	57.4%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
(E) Basic design and	# of Responses	1	3
engineering concepts	Excellent	100.0%	35.2%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	64.8%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(F) Applications	# of Responses	1	3
software and	Excellent	.0%	.0%
programming	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	64.8%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	-	100.0%	100.0%

Competence of the ERAU Graduate at Degree-Specific Skills (Continued)

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
(G) Reliability/maintainability	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	64.8%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
(H) Systems integration	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	100.0%	35.2%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	64.8%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%

Competence of Graduates From Other Institutions at Degree-Specific Skills

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000
		2000	Combined
		DB	DB
(A) Basic and advanced	# of Responses	1	3
electronics analysis and	Excellent	.0%	.0%
theory	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
(B) Avionics system	# of Responses	1	2
analysis and design	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	67.0%
	Fair	.0%	33.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(C) Avionics/electronics	# of Responses	1	2
system test	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	67.0%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	33.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(D) Applied mechanical	# of Responses	1	2
engineering concepts	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	100.0%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%
(E) Basic design and engineering concepts	# of Responses	1	3
engineering concepts	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
T-4-1	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total	[100.0%	100.0%
(F) Applications software and	# of Responses	1	3
programming	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	47.5%
	Good	100.0%	35.2%
	Fair	.0%	17.4%
Total	Poor	.0%	.0%
Total		100.0%	100.0%

Competence of Graduates From Other Institutions at Degree-Specific Skills (Continued)

		BS Av	ionics Engr Tech
		Class of 2000	Classes of 1998, 1999, and 2000 Combined
		DB	DB
(G) Reliability/maintainability	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	.0%	47.5%
	Fair	100.0%	35.2%
	Poor	.0%	17.4%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%
(H) Systems integration	# of Responses	1	3
	Excellent	.0%	.0%
	Very Good	.0%	.0%
	Good	100.0%	82.6%
	Fair	.0%	.0%
	Poor	.0%	17.4%
Total	•	100.0%	100.0%