

Gravgård

Martin Kjøllesdal Johnsrud

April 8, 2022

Alt som ikke kom med...

0.1 Tree level pion star

We introduce the new dimensionless variable $1 + x^2 = \mu_I^2 / \bar{m}^2$. This is reminiscent of the dimensionless Fermi momentum $x_f = p_f / m$ in {section: cold fermi star}. By an argument using a right triangle, we can verify that $\cos a = b$ implies $\sin a = \sqrt{1 - b^2}$. Substituting the dimensionless variable into the free energy density, we get

$$\mathcal{F} = -\frac{u_0}{2} \left(1 + x^2 + \frac{1}{1 + x^2} \right). \quad (1)$$

We have introduced the characteristic energy density $u_0 = \bar{m}^2 f^2$. As we found in {section: cold fermi star}, pressure is given by negative the free energy density, normalized to $\mu_I = \bar{m}$, or $x = 0$. We choose $p_0 = u_0$, so the dimensionless pressure can be written

$$\tilde{p} = -\frac{1}{u_0} (\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}_{x=0}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^4}{1 + x^2}. \quad (2)$$

The charge density corresponding to a chemical potential is given by minus the derivative of the free energy with respect to that chemical potential. We must, however, not assume any dependence of α on μ_I . The isospin density therefore is

$$n_I = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mu_I} = f^2 \mu_I \sin^2 \alpha = \frac{u_0}{\mu_I} \frac{2x^2 + x^4}{1 + x^2}, \quad (3)$$

With this, the dimensionless energy density

$$\tilde{u} = -\tilde{p} + \frac{1}{u_0} n_I \mu_I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4x^2 + x^4}{1 + x^4} \quad (4)$$

0.2 Feil analyse av three-flavor vakum

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \{ \nabla_\mu \Sigma_\alpha \nabla^\mu \Sigma_\alpha^\dagger \} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \alpha \left\{ \mu_I^2 \left[n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} (n_6^2 + n_7^2) \right] + \frac{1}{4} [\mu_I^2 + 3\mu_8^2] [n_4^2 + n_5^2] \right\} \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \{ \chi \Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma \chi^\dagger \} = M_1^2 \cos \alpha \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{8} \sin^2 \alpha \left[\mu_I^2 (4a^2 + b^2 + c^2) + 3\mu_8^2 (b^2 + c^2) + 2\sqrt{3}\mu_8 \mu_I (b^2 - c^2) \right] + M_1^2 \cos \alpha \quad (7)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8} \sin^2 \alpha \left[4\mu_I^2 a^2 + (\mu_I + \sqrt{3}\mu_8)^2 b^2 + (\mu_I - \sqrt{3}\mu_8)^2 c^2 \right] \quad (8)$$

Choose, without loss of generality, $n_1 = n_4 = 0$, which leaves $n_2 = \cos \beta$, $n_5 = \sin \beta$, and thus

$$\Sigma = \exp \{ i\alpha (\cos \beta \lambda_2 + \sin \beta \lambda_5) \} = \cos \alpha + i(\lambda_2 \cos \beta + \lambda_5 \sin \beta) \sin \alpha. \quad (9)$$

0.3 Feil three-flavor chpt beregning

We need to parametrize the ground state, as we did in subsection: parametrization, and define Let

$$\Sigma_\alpha = \exp \{ i\alpha n_a \lambda_a \} = \cos \alpha + i n_a \lambda_a \sin \alpha, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{f} \sqrt{\pi_a^0 \pi_a^0}, \quad n_a = \frac{\pi_a^0}{\sqrt{\pi_b^0 \pi_b^0}}. \quad (10)$$

The relevant terms are then

$$\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}\{\nabla_\mu\Sigma_\alpha\nabla^\mu\Sigma_\alpha^\dagger\} = \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\alpha\left[\mu_I^2(n_1^2+n_2^2) + \frac{1}{4}(\mu_I+2\mu_s)^2(n_4^2+n_5^2) + \frac{1}{4}(\mu_I-2\mu_s)^2(n_6^2+n_7^2)\right] \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}\{\chi\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma\chi^\dagger\} = M_1^2\cos\alpha \quad (12)$$

We notice that both terms are independent of μ_B . With this, the static Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}f^2\sin^2\alpha\left[\mu_I^2a^2 + \mu_{K^\pm}^2b^2 + \mu_{K^0}^2c^2\right] - f^2M_1^2\cos\alpha \quad (13)$$

We have defined the chemical potentials $\mu_{K^\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_I+2\mu_S) = \mu_u - \mu_s$ and $\mu_{K^0} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_I-2\mu_S) = -\mu_d + \mu_s$, and

$$a^2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2, \quad b^2 = n_4^2 + n_5^2, \quad c^2 = n_6^2 + n_7^2, \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1 - n_3^2 - n_8^2. \quad (14)$$

All the terms with a square chemical potential factors are positive definite, which means that the Hamiltonian will always be minimized by $n_3 = n_8 = 0$. Furthermore, we can without loss of generality chose $n_1 = n_4 = n_6 = 0$. This corresponds to changing basis of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$. Depending on the signs of μ_I and μ_S , we must have either $b = 0$ or $c = 0$. If $\text{sgn}(\mu_I) = \text{sgn}(\mu_S)$, then $\mu_{K^\pm} > \mu_{K^0}$, and $c = 0$. Likewise, if $\text{sgn}(\mu_I) = -\text{sgn}(\mu_S)$, then $\mu_{K^\pm} < \mu_{K^0}$, and $b = 0$. To begin with, we assume the former. Define $a^2 = \cos^2\beta$, which implies $b^2 = \sin^2\beta$. The Hamiltonian density is then

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}f^2\left[\mu_I^2\cos^2\beta + \mu_{K^\pm}^2\sin^2\beta\right]\sin^2\alpha - f^2M_1^2\cos\alpha. \quad (15)$$

The β parameter is set, as α , by minimizing \mathcal{H} . We have

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_I^2 - \mu_{K^\pm}^2)f^2\sin^2\alpha\cos 2\beta, \quad \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{H}}{\partial\beta^2} = f^2(\mu_I^2 - \mu_{K^\pm}^2)\sin^2\alpha\sin 2\beta. \quad (16)$$

We see that, if we are in the pion condensate phase where $\alpha \neq 0$, the stationary points for β are 0 and $\pi/2$. However, which one these that is a minimum depends on the sign of $\mu_I^2 - \mu_{K^\pm}^2$, as this determines the sign of the second derivative. For $\mu_I^2 > \mu_{K^\pm}^2$, $\beta = 0$, while for $\mu_I^2 < \mu_{K^\pm}^2$ we have $\beta = \pi/2$. The analysis for $\text{sgn}(\mu_I) = -\text{sgn}(\mu_S)$ is the same, only with μ_{K^\pm} changed to μ_{K^0} . The different ground states are then

$$\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \Sigma_\pi = \exp\{i\alpha\lambda_2\}, \quad \Sigma_{K^\pm} = \exp\{i\alpha\lambda_5\}, \quad \Sigma_{K^0} = \exp\{i\alpha\lambda_7\}. \quad (17)$$

As we found for two flavors, this corresponds to a transformation of the vacuum to a new ground state by, $\Sigma_0 \rightarrow A_\alpha\Sigma_0A_\alpha$. We must therefore transform the excitations around ground state in the same way. However, now the transformation depend on which phase we are in. We therefore parametrize the fields as

$$\Sigma = A_\alpha^i[U(x)\Sigma_0U(x)]A_\alpha^i, \quad U(x) = \exp\left\{i\frac{\pi_a\lambda_a}{2f}\right\}, \quad A_\alpha^i = \exp\{i\alpha\lambda_i\}. \quad (18)$$

Here, there is no sum over i . Rather, $i = 2, 5$, or 7 , dependent on if we are in the pion condensate, the charged kaon condensate or neutral kaon condensate.

0.3.1 failed Leading order

We work in the pion condensate, with $e = 0$. The relevant terms are then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f^2}{8B_0}\text{Tr}\{\chi\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma\chi^\dagger\} = & -\frac{1}{4}(m_u + m_d)\cos\alpha(\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 + \pi_3^2) - \frac{1}{4}\left[(m_u + m_s)\cos^2\frac{\alpha}{2} - m_d\sin^2\frac{\alpha}{2}\right](\pi_4^2 + \pi_5^2) \\ & - \left[(m_d + m_s)\cos^2\frac{\alpha}{2} - m_u\sin^2\frac{\alpha}{2}\right](\pi_6^2 + \pi_7^2) + \frac{1}{12}[(m_u + m_d + 2m_s)\cos\alpha + 2m_s]\pi_8^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(m_u - m_d)\pi_3\pi_8 - \frac{1}{2}(m_u + m_d)\sin\alpha\pi_2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_u + m_d)\cos\alpha + \frac{1}{4}m_s(\cos\alpha + 1) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

0.4 Two-flavor electromagnetic effects

When including contribution from a dynamical photon field, the leading order Lagrangian is [eckerRoleResonancesChiral1995] **urechVirtualPhotonsChiral1995**]

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{EM}} = \frac{1}{4}f^2\text{Tr}\{\nabla_\mu\Sigma\nabla^\mu\Sigma^\dagger\} + \frac{1}{4}f^2\text{Tr}\{\chi\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma\chi^\dagger\} + e^2C\text{Tr}\{Q\Sigma Q\Sigma^\dagger\} \quad (20)$$

Q is the quark charge matrix, which for $N_f = 2$ is

$$Q = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{6}\tau_3. \quad (21)$$

C and dimensionfull constant, and $\chi = 2B_0m$, where m is the quark mass matrix ???. To find the electromagnetic effect on the pion mass, we assume $\mu_I = 0$. We use the parametrization $\Sigma = \exp\{i\pi_a\tau_a/f\}$, and the covariant derivative is in this case

$$\nabla_\mu\Sigma = \partial_\mu\Sigma - ie\mathcal{A}_\mu[Q, \Sigma]. \quad (22)$$

We expand to second order in π_a/f , which gives

$$\frac{1}{4}f^2\text{Tr}\{\nabla_\mu\Sigma\nabla^\mu\Sigma\} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\pi_a\partial^\mu\pi_a + e\mathcal{A}^\mu(\pi_1\partial_\mu\pi_2 - \pi_2\partial_\mu\pi_1) + e^2\mathcal{A}^2(\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2), \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{1}{4}f^2\text{Tr}\{\chi\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma\chi^\dagger\} = \bar{m}^2\left(f^2 - \frac{1}{2}\pi_a\pi_a\right), \quad (24)$$

$$\text{Tr}\{Q\Sigma Q\Sigma^\dagger\} = \frac{5}{9} - \frac{\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2}{f^2}. \quad (25)$$

Inserting this into Eq. (20), we get

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{EM}} = \bar{m}^2f^2 + \frac{5}{9}e^2C + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\pi_a\partial^\mu\pi_a - \frac{1}{2}\bar{m}_\pm^2(\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{m}^2\pi_3^2 + e\mathcal{A}^\mu(\pi_1\partial_\mu\pi_2 - \pi_2\partial_\mu\pi_1) + e^2\mathcal{A}^2(\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2). \quad (26)$$

where

$$\bar{m}_\pm^2 = \bar{m}^2 + 2\frac{e^2}{f^2}C. \quad (27)$$

This is the leading order electromagnetic contribution to the mass. It only affects the π_1, π_2 pions, as they are linear combinations of the charged pions π^\pm , while $\pi_3 = \pi^0$, the neutral pion. To leading order, $\bar{m} = m_\pi$, the neutral pion mass, and $\bar{m}_\pm = m_{\pi^\pm}$. From the values listed in ??, we find

$$\Delta m_\pm := \frac{e}{f}\sqrt{2C} = \sqrt{m_{\pi^\pm}^2 - m_\pi^2} = 35.50 \text{ MeV}. \quad (28)$$

This corresponds to $C = 0.3771 u_0 = 5.824 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^4$. We now no longer assume $\mu_I = 0$. The zeroth-order expansion in π/f is

$$\Sigma = e^{i\alpha\tau_1} = \sin\alpha + i\tau_1\cos\alpha. \quad (29)$$

This gives the contributions

$$\text{Tr}\{\nabla_\mu\Sigma\nabla^\mu\Sigma^\dagger\} = 2\sin^2\alpha(\mu_I^2 + 2e\mu\mathcal{A}_0 + e^2\mathcal{A}^2), \quad (30)$$

$$\text{Tr}\{\chi\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma\chi^\dagger\} = 4\bar{m}^2\cos\alpha, \quad (31)$$

$$\text{Tr}\{Q\Sigma Q\Sigma^\dagger\} = \cos^2\alpha - \frac{4}{9}. \quad (32)$$

We are interested in the static Lagrangian, the Lagrangian for $\pi_a = \mathcal{A}_\mu = 0$. Inserting these terms into Eq. (20), we get

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{EM},0} = f^2\left[\frac{1}{2}\mu_I^2\sin^2\alpha + \bar{m}^2\cos\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\Delta m_{\pi^\pm}^2\left(\cos^2\alpha - \frac{4}{9}\right)\right]. \quad (33)$$

Compare/use
Urec's results:
 $C = 61.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (GeV)}^4$

0.5 possible terms

These are our building blocks for constructing a general, G -invariant effective Lagrangian. The trace of a product of d_μ 's are invariant under G ,

$$\text{Tr} \{d_\mu d_\nu \dots d_\rho\} \rightarrow \text{Tr} \{h d_\mu h^{-1} h d_\nu h^{-1} h \dots d_\rho h^{-1}\} = \text{Tr} \{d_\mu d_\nu \dots d_\rho\}, \quad (34)$$

where we have used the cyclic property of trace. However, the terms must also obey the other symmetries of the Lagrangian, such as C or P-parity and Lorentz invariance. The last criterion excludes any terms with free space-time indices. In ??, we will construct an effective Lagrangian in powers of d . The lowest order terms are therefore

$$\text{Tr} \{d_\mu\} \text{Tr} \{d^\mu\}, \quad \text{Tr} \{d_\mu d^\mu\}. \quad (35)$$

0.6 Cluster decomposition

Cluster decomposition concerns a system of N sets of particles, α_i , that evolve into the sets β_i . That is,

$$|\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N\rangle_{\text{in}} \longrightarrow |\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_N\rangle_{\text{out}}. \quad (36)$$

It says that if the sets of particles α_i , β_i are located far enough apart, then the S -matrix factors as

$$\langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_N | \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N \rangle = \langle \beta_1 | \alpha_1 \rangle \langle \beta_2 | \alpha_2 \rangle \dots \langle \beta_N | \alpha_N \rangle. \quad (37)$$

This is a familiar property, as it essentially says that wildly separated experiments do not interfere, and one that we expect all good effective descriptions to have

0.7 Analysis eos with wrong mass

We can study non-relativistic limit of the combined system by again letting $\mu_I^2/m_\pi^2 = 1 + \epsilon$. Inserting this into ?? and expanding to first order in ϵ , we get $\mu_\ell = 1 + (2A^{-1}\epsilon)^{2/3}$. This is equivalent to $x_f = (2A^{-1}\epsilon)^{1/3}$. In ??, we found the non-relativistic limit of the pressure and energy of the Fermi gas, i.e., the lowest order contribution in x_f , as $x_f \rightarrow 0$. Inserting this new result into these limits, we get the leading low energy limits of the pressure and energy,

$$u_{\ell, \text{nr}} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{2}{A} u_{\ell, 0} \epsilon, \quad p_{\ell, \text{nr}} = \frac{8}{15} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^{5/3} u_{\ell, 0} \epsilon^{5/3}. \quad (38)$$

From ??, we have the equivalent expressions for the pion condensate,

$$u_{\pi, \text{nr}} = 2u_0 \epsilon, \quad p_{\pi, \text{nr}} = \frac{1}{2} u_0 \epsilon^2. \quad (39)$$

As we see, the energy density of the pion condensate and the leptons are of the same order, and both will therefore contribute to the leading order energy density. However, the lepton pressure is of a lower order, and *only* this will contribute to the leading order pressure. At low enough isospin chemical potential, then, the leading order behavior of the combined system is

$$u_{\text{nr}} = 2u_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_\ell}{m_\pi}\right) \epsilon, \quad p_{\text{nr}} = \frac{8}{15} u_{\ell, 0} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^{5/3} \epsilon^{5/3}. \quad (40)$$

The equation of state is now a polytrope with $\gamma = \frac{5}{3}$, different from the $\gamma = 2$ polytrope of only the pion condensate. The equation of state of the lepton is compared with this limit in ?? This figure is not dependent on the mass of the lepton.

In an intermediate range, however, the pressure of a heavy lepton will be suppressed by a factor $u_{\ell, 0}/u_0 A^{-5/3} \propto (m_\pi^{1/3} f_\pi^{2/3})^5 (m_\pi f_\pi)^{-2} m_\ell^{-1}$, which for $m_\ell \gg m_\pi$ and $m_\ell \gg f_\pi$ is $\ll 1$, and the pion contribution might be

dominant for a while. In this regime, the equation of state is still a polytrope with $\gamma = 2$, but the constant is changed due to the lepton contribution to the energy density. The pressure in the intermediate range is

$$p_i = \frac{1}{2} u_0 \epsilon^2, \quad (41)$$

and the equation of state is thus

$$p_i = K \left(\frac{u_{\text{nr}}}{u_0} \right)^2, \quad K = \frac{1}{8} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_\ell}{m_\pi} \right)^{-2}. \quad (42)$$

This is illustrated in ???. In this figure, both the intermediate limit and the non-relativistic limit is compared to the full equation of state. On the top is the system with electrons, and as $m_e < m_\pi$, the intermediate limit has no validity. For $p/u_0 < 10^{-10}$, we see that the non-relativistic limit is very good. On the bottom, we see that the intermediate limit has a range of applicability, around $p/u_0 = 1$ to $p/u_0 = 10^{-3}$. The equation of state is then very well approximated by the non-relativistic limit around $p/u_0 < 10^{-5}$.

0.7.1 corresponding pion star results

We see that the two different leptons affect the mass-radius relation in very different ways. The heavy muon results in a much *less* stiff equation of state, and thus smaller and lighter stars. In this case, the maximum mass is $1.08 M_\odot$, and the corresponding maximum radius is 6.66 km. As in the case with the electron, there is no upper limit to the radius as the central pressure decreases. This is because also here, the non-relativistic limit is a polytrope with $\gamma = \frac{5}{3}$. However, as we found in ???, there is an intermediate range where the equation of state behaves as a polytrope with $\gamma = 2$. Likewise, there is an intermediate range of the mass-radius relation, where it seems to approach a limiting mass, before the mass quickly starts to grow again. We can get a rough estimate for this “seeming” limit, by using the polytrope constant of the intermediate limit, which is $K^{-1} = 8(1 + \Delta_\ell)^2$, where

$$\Delta_\ell = \frac{4}{3} \frac{u_{\ell,0}}{u_0} A^{-1}. \quad (43)$$

Following our earlier analysis, this leads to the limiting radius

$$R = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{12}(1 + \Delta_\mu)} = 6.072 \text{ km}. \quad (44)$$

The results using both electrons and muons are compared to the results with only pions in ???.