Gravgård

Martin Kjøllesdal Johnsrud

March 8, 2022

Alt som ikke kom med...

0.1 Tree level pion star

We introduce the new dimensionless variable $1 + x^2 = \mu_I^2/\bar{m}^2$. This is reminicent of the dimensionless Fermi momentum $x_f = p_f/m$ in {section: cold fermi star}. By an argument using a right triangle, we can vertify that $\cos a = b$ implies $\sin a = \sqrt{1 - b^2}$. Substituting the dimensionless variable into the free energy density, we get

$$\mathcal{F} = -\frac{u_0}{2} \left(1 + x^2 + \frac{1}{1 + x^2} \right). \tag{1}$$

We have introduced the characteristic energy density $u_0 = \bar{m}^2 f^2$. As we found in {section: cold fermi star}, pressure is given by negative the free energy density, normalized to $\mu_I = \bar{m}$, or x = 0. We choose $p_0 = u_0$, so the dimensionless pressure can be written

$$\tilde{p} = -\frac{1}{u_0} (\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}_{x=0}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^4}{1 + x^2}.$$
(2)

The charge density corresponding to a chemical potential is given by minus the derivative of the free energy with respect to that chemical potential. We must, however, not assume any dependence of α on μ_I . The isospin density therefore is

$$n_I = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mu_I} = f^2 \mu_I \sin^2 \alpha = \frac{u_0}{\mu_I} \frac{2x^2 + x^4}{1 + x^2}.,$$
 (3)

With this, the dimensionless energy density

$$\tilde{u} = -\tilde{p} + \frac{1}{u_0} n_I \mu_I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4x^2 + x^4}{1 + x^4} \tag{4}$$

0.2 Feil analyse av three-flavor vakum

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left\{ \nabla_{\mu} \Sigma_{\alpha} \nabla^{\mu} \Sigma_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \alpha \left\{ \mu_I^2 \left[n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} (n_6^2 + n_7^2) \right] + \frac{1}{4} [\mu_I^2 + 3\mu_8^2] [n_4^2 + n_5^2] \right\}$$
 (5)

$$\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\chi\Sigma^{\dagger} + \Sigma\chi^{\dagger}\right\} = M_1^2 \cos\alpha \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{8}\sin^2\alpha \left[\mu_I^2 \left(4a^2 + b^2 + c^2\right) + 3\mu_8^2 (b^2 + c^2) + 2\sqrt{3}\mu_8\mu_I (b^2 - c^2)\right] + M_1^2\cos\alpha \tag{7}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8}\sin^2\alpha \left[4\mu_I^2 a^2 + (\mu_I + \sqrt{3}\mu_8)^2 b^2 + (\mu_I - \sqrt{3}\mu_8)^2 c^2\right]$$
 (8)

Choose, without loss of generality, $n_1 = n_4 = 0$, which leaves $n_2 = \cos \beta$, $n_5 = \sin \beta$, and thus

$$\Sigma = \exp\left\{i\alpha(\cos\beta\lambda_2 + \sin\beta\lambda_5)\right\} = \cos\alpha + i(\lambda_2\cos\beta + \lambda_5\sin\beta)\sin\alpha. \tag{9}$$

0.3 Feil three-flavor chpt beregning

We need to parametrize the ground state, as we did in subsection: parametrization, and define Let

$$\Sigma_{\alpha} = \exp\left\{i\alpha n_a \lambda_a\right\} = \cos\alpha + in_a \lambda_a \sin\alpha, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{f} \sqrt{\pi_a^0 \pi_a^0}, \quad n_a = \frac{\pi_a^0}{\sqrt{\pi_b^0 \pi_b^0}}.$$
 (10)

The relevant terms are then

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left\{ \nabla_{\mu} \Sigma_{\alpha} \nabla^{\mu} \Sigma_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \alpha \left[\mu_I^2 (n_1^2 + n_2^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\mu_I + 2\mu_s)^2 (n_4^2 + n_5^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\mu_I - 2\mu_s)^2 (n_6^2 + n_7^2) \right]$$
(11)

$$\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\chi\Sigma^{\dagger} + \Sigma\chi^{\dagger}\right\} = M_1^2 \cos\alpha \tag{12}$$

We notice that both terms are independent of μ_B . With this, the static Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} f^2 \sin^2 \alpha \left[\mu_I^2 a^2 + \mu_{K^{\pm}}^2 b^2 + \mu_{K^0}^2 c^2 \right] - f^2 M_1^2 \cos \alpha \tag{13}$$

We have defined the chemical potentials $\mu_{K^{\pm}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_I + 2\mu_S) = \mu_u - \mu_s$ and $\mu_{K^{\pm}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_I - 2\mu_S) = -\mu_d + \mu_s$, and

$$a^2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2$$
, $b^2 = n_4^2 + n_5^2$, $c^2 = n_6^2 + n_7^2$, $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1 - n_3^2 - n_8^2$. (14)

All the terms with a square chemical potential factors are positive definite, which means that the Hamiltonian will always be minimized by $n_3 = n_8 = 0$. Furthermore, we can without loss of generality chose $n_1 = n_4 = n_6 = 0$. This corresponds to changing basis of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$. Depending on the signs of μ_I and μ_S , we must have either b = 0 or c = 0 If $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu_I) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mu_S)$, then $\mu_{K^{\pm}} > \mu_{K^0}$, and c = 0. Likewise, if $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu_I) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\mu_S)$, then $\mu_{K^{\pm}} < \mu_{K^0}$, and b = 0. To begin with, we assume the former. Define $a^2 = \cos^2 \beta$, which implies $b^2 = \sin^2 \beta$. The Hamiltonian density is then

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} f^2 \left[\mu_I^2 \cos^2 \beta + \mu_{K^{\pm}}^2 \sin^2 \beta \right] \sin^2 \alpha - f^2 M_1^2 \cos \alpha. \tag{15}$$

The β parameter is set, as α , by minimizing \mathcal{H} . We have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_I^2 - \mu_{K^{\pm}}^2) f^2 \sin^2 \alpha \cos 2\beta, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}}{\partial \beta^2} = f^2 (\mu_I^2 - \mu_{K^{\pm}}^2) \sin^2 \alpha \sin 2\beta. \tag{16}$$

We see that, if we are in the pion condensate phase where $\alpha \neq 0$, the stationary points for β are 0 and $\pi/2$. However, which one these that is a minimum depends on the sign of $\mu_I^2 - \mu_{K^{\pm}}^2$, as this determines the sign of the second derivative. For $\mu_I^2 > \mu_{K^{\pm}}^2$, $\beta = 0$, while for $\mu_I^2 < \mu_{K^{\pm}}^2$ we have $\beta = \pi/2$. The analysis for $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu_I) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\mu_S)$ is the same, only with $\mu_{K^{\pm}}$ changed to μ_{K^0} . The different ground states are then

$$\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \Sigma_{\pi} = \exp\{i\alpha\lambda_2\}, \quad \Sigma_{K^{\pm}} = \exp\{i\alpha\lambda_5\}, \quad \Sigma_{K^0} = \exp\{i\alpha\lambda_7\}.$$
 (17)

As we found for two flavors, this corresponds to a transformation of the vacuum to a new ground state by, $\Sigma_0 \to A_\alpha \Sigma_0 A_\alpha$. We must therefore transform the excitations around ground state in the same way. However, now the transformation depend on which phase we are in. We therefore parametrize the fields as

$$\Sigma = A_{\alpha}^{i}[U(x)\Sigma_{0}U(x)]A_{\alpha}^{i}, \quad U(x) = \exp\left\{i\frac{\pi_{a}\lambda_{a}}{2f}\right\}, \quad A_{\alpha}^{i} = \exp\left\{i\alpha\lambda_{i}\right\}.$$
(18)

Here, there is no sum over i. Rather, i = 2, 5, or 7, dependent on if we are in the pion condensate, the charged kaon condensate or neutral kaon condensate.