

Evoman Generalist Statistical tests

Meena Kerolos

17 October, 2021

Separate experiments

We will consider training against enemy group 7_8 as a different experimental setup from training against enemy group 3_7_8. Therefore, training against one enemy group contains a set of experiments to compare between two EA instances. Each EA instance is tested against each of the 8 enemies. The experimental setup is factorial block design where the method is considered the treatment factor, the enemy is considered the block factor and the gain is the outcome.

Scheirer-Ray-Hare test will be used as it is a non-parametric test (doesn't have assumptions on data).

Enemy Group 3_7_8

```
results_3_7_8 = results[(results$enemy_group == '3_7_8'),]  
z = scheirerRayHare(gain ~ method + enemy, data = results_3_7_8); z
```

```
##  
## DV: gain  
## Observations: 1600  
## D: 0.993  
## MS total: 213467  
  
##           Df    Sum Sq    H p.value  
## method      1 6.98e+05     3  0.070  
## enemy       7 2.62e+08  1236  0.000  
## method:enemy 7 1.53e+06     7  0.409  
## Residuals  1584 7.47e+07
```

With a p-value of $0.409 > 0.05$, there is no significant interaction between method and enemy and we can look at the effect of each of them. It is known that enemy effect is significant and p-value of $0 < 0.05$ for the enemy effect supports it. However, a p-value of $0.07 > 0.05$ for the method effect is not significant. Therefore, GA and DE doesn't give a significantly different gain when trained on enemy group 3_7_8 and tested against all enemies.

Enemy Group 7_8

```
results_7_8 = results[(results$enemy_group == '7_8'),]  
z = scheirerRayHare(gain ~ method + enemy, data = results_7_8); z
```

```
##  
## DV: gain  
## Observations: 1600  
## D: 0.992  
## MS total: 213467  
  
##           Df    Sum Sq    H p.value  
## method      1 1.38e+06     7  0.0106  
## enemy       7 2.28e+08  1076  0.0000  
## method:enemy 7 1.99e+06     9  0.2247  
## Residuals  1584 1.07e+08
```

With a p-value of $0.225 > 0.05$, there is no significant interaction between method and enemy and we can look at the effect of each of them. It is known that enemy effect is significant and p-value of $0 < 0.05$ for the enemy effect supports it. A p-value of $0.011 < 0.05$ for the method effect is significant. Therefore, GA gives a higher gain than DE when trained against enemy group 7_8 and tested against all enemies.

Here are the mean and median estimators of the gain for each method:

- gain for GA method trained against enemy group 7_8: mean=-28.501, median=-50
- gain for DE method trained against enemy group 7_8: mean=-32.721, median=-50