

13.04.2016 Mathematical focus

continue proof:

Proof. We now show that axioms 1-4 imply (A),(B*),(C) for U_N .

(A) follows from axiom 1

(C) follows from axiom 4

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{NM}, \dots, \frac{1}{NM}}_{NM}\right) &= H\left(\frac{1}{N}U_M^{(1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}U_M^{(N)}\right) \\ &= H(U_N) + N \frac{1}{N} H(U_M) \end{aligned}$$

Now show (B*):

$$\begin{aligned} H(U_N) &\stackrel{\text{Claim ??}}{=} H\left(\frac{1}{N}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}}_{\frac{N-1}{N}}\right) \\ &= \underbrace{H\left(\frac{1}{N}, \frac{N-1}{N}\right)}_{\delta_N} + \underbrace{\frac{N-1}{N}}_{=1-\frac{1}{N}} H(U_{N-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$d_N = H(U_N) - H(U_{N-1}) = \delta_N - \frac{1}{N} H(U_{N-1})$$

Axiom 2 implies that

$$\delta_N \rightarrow H(0, 1) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_N &= d_N + \frac{1}{N} \left(H(U_{N-1}) - H(U_{N-2}) + H(U_{N-2}) - \dots - H(U_2) + H(U_2) - \underbrace{H(U_1)}_{=0} \right) \\ &= d_N + \frac{1}{N} (d_2 + d_3 + \dots + d_{N-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=2}^N n \delta_n = \sum_{n=2}^N n \left(d_n + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} d_k \right)}_{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=2}^N d_k - \frac{1}{N} d_n} \right) = \dots = N \sum_{k=2}^N d_k$$

$$N \leftrightarrow N-1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N}(d_2 + \dots + d_{N-1}) &= \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} n\delta_n \stackrel{\text{why?}}{\rightarrow} 0 \frac{1}{N}(d_2 + \dots + d_{N-1}) = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\delta_n \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\delta_n \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{2n}{N(N-1)} \delta_n \\
&\quad \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon} \frac{2n}{N(N-1)} \delta_n}_{\rightarrow 0} + \text{Rest}
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\text{Rest}| < \varepsilon$. For this convergence we use known arguments from analysis.
Sow (B^*) holds, $H(U_N) = \log_2(N)$.

Conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}
\log_2(N) &= H \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}}_K, \underbrace{\phantom{\frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}}}_{N-K} \right) \\
&= H \left(\frac{K}{N}, \frac{N-K}{N} \right) + \frac{K}{N} \underbrace{H(U_K)}_{\log_2(K)} + \frac{N-K}{N} \underbrace{H(U_{N-K})}_{\log_2(N-K)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H \left(\frac{K}{N}, \frac{N-K}{N} \right) &= -\frac{K}{N} (\log_2(N) - \log_2(K)) + \frac{N-K}{N} (\log_2(N) - \log_2(N-K)) \\
&= -\frac{K}{N} \log_2 \left(\frac{K}{N} \right) - \frac{N-K}{N} \log_2 \left(\frac{N-K}{N} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Axiom 2 implies

$$H(p_1, 1-p_1) = -p_1 \log_2 p_1 - (1-p_1) \log_2(1-p_1)$$

for all p_1 . Now use axiom 4 and induction. \square

Proof. of Lemma (2016-03-15, 1.2) fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$

$$f(N) = H(U_N)g(N) = f(N) - \frac{f(q) \log_2(N)}{\log_2(q)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_N = g(N+1) - g(N) &= \underbrace{f(n+1) - f(N)}_{\stackrel{(B^*)}{\rightarrow} 0} - \frac{f(q)}{\log_2(q)} \underbrace{(\log_2(N+1) - \log_2(N))}_{\rightarrow 0} \rightarrow 0 \\
g(q) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$g(q^k N) \stackrel{(C)}{=} g(q) + q(N) = g(N)$$

$$N' = \lfloor \frac{N}{q} \rfloor$$

$$N = N'q + r \quad 0 \leq r \leq q-1$$

$$g(N) - g(N') = g(N) - g(qN') = \underbrace{\sum_{j=qN'}^{N-1} \varepsilon_j}_{\text{at most } q-1}$$

$$N^{(k+1)} = (N^{(k)})'$$

$$N^{(0)} = N$$

$$N^{(k)} \leq \frac{N}{q^k}$$

$$k_N = \lfloor \log_q(N) \rfloor : N^{(k_N+1)} = 0$$

$$N^{(k+1)} = \lfloor \frac{N^{(k)}}{q} \rfloor$$

$$g(N) = g(N) - g(N^{(1)}) + g(N^{(1)}) - g(N^{(2)}) + \dots + g(N^{(k_N)}) - 0$$

$$= \sum_{\text{some } j} \varepsilon_j$$

How many: S_N at most $(q-1) \log_q(N)$.

$$\frac{1}{S_N} \sum_{\text{these } j} \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$$

$$\frac{1}{(q-1) \log_q(N)} \sum_{\text{these } j} \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{(q-1)(k_N+1)} \sum \varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$$

This tells us that

$$\frac{g(N)}{k_N} \rightarrow 0 \implies \frac{g(N)}{\log_2(N)} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus,

$$\frac{f(N)}{\log_2(N)} = \frac{g(N)}{\log_2(N)} + \frac{f(q)}{\log_2(q)} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(q)}{\log_2(q)} = \text{constant in } q = 1$$

□

Mixed session

Recall:

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_X(x) \underbrace{H(Y|X=x)}_{p(Y|X=x)(y) = \mathbb{P}[Y=y|X=x] = \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)}}$$

$$H(Y|X) = H(X,Y) - H(X)$$

Remark (Exercise). Write down the meaning of $H(X,Y|Z)$ and $H(Y|X,Z)$ and show that

$$H(X,Y|Z) = H(X|Z) + H(Y|X,Z).$$

Hint: Use $H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$.

Try to understand where this comes from.

0.1 Relative entropy and mutual information

Definition. Let $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ be two prob distr on \mathcal{X} . The relative entropy of Kullback-Leibler distance/divergence of p with respect to q is

$$D(p||q) = \sum_x p(x) \log_2 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$

If X is a RV with $p_X = p$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\log_2 \frac{p(X)}{q(X)} \right)$$

Convention:

$$0 \log_2 \frac{0}{b} := 0 \quad \forall b \geq 0$$

$$a \log_2 \frac{a}{0} := +\infty \quad \forall a > 0$$

in general: $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$

If $p = q$, then $D(p||p) = 0$.

We will see that $D(p||q) \geq 0$. And we will see that $D(p||q) = 0 \implies p = q$.