Numerical Computing II
Eigenvalues, ODE's, and Control
Marty Fuhry
March 24, 2010

Exercise 19.1

Since A is an upper triangular matrix, we can simply pull the eigenvalues off the diagonal. Then, the characteristic polynomial is

$$p_A(z) = (1-z)(2-z)(3-z).$$

Exercise 19.2

A is not upper triangular, so we need to solve

$$p_A(z) = det(A - zI)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 0.5 - z & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 - z & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 - z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (.5 - z)(2 - z)(3 - z) - (3 - z).$$

Exercise 19.3

Using

$$AV = VD$$
$$A = VDV^{-1},$$

we can write A^2 as

$$A^2 = (VDV^{-1})^2$$
$$= (VDV^{-1})(VDV^{-1}).$$

Recognizing that since $VV^{-1} = I$, we can simplify this expression as

$$A^2 = (VDV^{-1})(VDV^{-1})$$
$$= (VD)(V^{-1}V)(DV^{-1})$$
$$= (VD)(DV)$$
$$= VD^2V.$$

In general, $A^k = VD^kV$ when $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k < 0$, and A is nonsingular, then A^{-1} exists, and we can say,

$$A^{-1} = (VDV^{-1})^{-1}$$
$$= VD^{-1}V^{-1}$$
$$(A^{-1})^k = (VD^{-1}V^{-1})^k$$
$$A^{-k} = VD^{-k}V^{-1}.$$

Exercise 19.4

We'll again use the spectral factorization of A:

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

The main question here is, "Does $A^{1/2} = VD^{1/2}V^{-1}$?" Let's start by defining the n x n matrix M such that $A = MM$. Then,

$$Ax = \lambda x$$
$$MMx = \lambda x.$$

So A and MM have the same eigenvalues and eigenvectors. That means,

$$M = \hat{V}\hat{D}\hat{V}^{-1}$$
$$MM = \hat{V}\hat{D}^2\hat{V}^{-1} = VDV^{-1} = A.$$

Since MM and A have the same eigenvectors and eigenvalues, then $\hat{V} = V$ and $\hat{D}^2 = D$. This means, $MM = VDV^{-1}$. Now, to reduce this equation to just M, we need to consider only the expression $\hat{D}^2 = D$. Since $\hat{D}$ and D are both diagonal matrices, we can simply allow $\hat{D} = D^{1/2}$ and take the square root of each entry of D to form $\hat{D}$. Then, we have

$$MM = V\hat{D}^2V^{-1}$$
$$M = VD^{1/2}V^{-1}.$$

And since $M = A^{1/2}$, we finish with $A^{1/2} = VD^{1/2}V^{-1}$.
$\square$

Exercise 19.5

Let c be some scalar such that $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c \neq 0$. We'll start by using the fact that

$$Av = \lambda v.$$

We can create any nonzero multiple of v by simply multiplying it by c. Let $\hat{v}$ be a nonzero multiple of v. That is, let $\hat{v} = cv$ It necessarily follows that

$$Av = \lambda v$$
$$cAv = c\lambda v$$
$$A(cv) = \lambda(cv)$$
$$A\hat{v} = \lambda\hat{v}.$$

$\square$

Exercise 19.7

If $A = \text{diag}[1, 1, 3]$, then we can pull the eigenvalues of A off the diagonal by using the characteristic equation $p_A(z) = (1 - z)(1 - z)(1 - 3)$. Then, to verify that $\lambda = 1, 3$, we can show that $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$).

First, we'll verify that when $\lambda = 1$, $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$.

$$
det(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix})
$$

$$
= det(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix})
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

Next, let's verify that when $\lambda = 3$, $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$.

$$
det(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix})
$$

$$
= det(\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

Exercise 19.8

Again, this is an upper triangular matrix, so we can pull the eigenvalues off the diagonal. We see that $\lambda = 1.01, 1$. Using the eig() command from an Octave shell, we can get corresponding eigenvectors from A:

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.999987500234370 \\ 0.004999937501172 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can see that v_1 and v_2 are "nearly" linearly dependent, but they are, in fact, linearly independent. We can also observe that had the matrix A used the value 1 instead of 1.01, we would see linearly dependent v_1 and v_2.

We also note that v_1 and v_2 are nearly perpendicular. Observe the graph of v_1 and v_2.

Though, if we were to "negate" one of the eigenvectors, we would get a picture of nearly parallel vectors. Let's see what happens when we multiply v_2 by -1.

These are nearly on top of each other. However, if we were to examine very closely, we would see them diverge ever so slightly. They are NOT parallel, but very nearly.

Exercise 19.9

The magic matrix is shaped like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 16 & 2 & 3 & 13 \\ 5 & 11 & 10 & 8 \\ 9 & 7 & 6 & 12 \\ 4 & 14 & 15 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Looking at the eigenvectors through the eig() command in Octave, we see $\lambda = 34, \pm 8.94427, 0$. The only curious eigenvalue in the set is 34. We can add up each element of each row and obtain the value 34. Additionally, we can add up each element of each column and obtain the value 34. Clearly, 34 has some significance in this problem.

Let's examine our eigenvectors. These correspond to $\lambda = 34, 8.94427, -8.94427, 0$ in that order.

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -.5 \\ -.5 \\ -.5 \\ -.5 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.823607 \\ 0.423607 \\ 0.023607 \\ 0.376393 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.376393 \\ 0.023607 \\ 0.423607 \\ -0.823607 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.22361 \\ -0.67082 \\ 0.67082 \\ 0.22361 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvector v_1, which corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda = 34$ consists of identical entries. This is because of the identical nature of each row and column adding up to become the same number, which happens to be the λ value corresponding to this eigenvector.

The eigenvectors are all normalized to have a norm of 1. This is probably because of Octave. The eigenvectors could have any discernible norm greater than zero because there are infinite number of them. Octave just chose to normalize them before returning them. Or perhaps this normalization is inherent in the numerical method used to produce said eigenvectors. Regardless, the only "important" eigenvalue to take away from this problem is probably 34.

Exercise 19.10

The $\exp(n)$ function in Octave will compute the value e^n. The $\text{expm}(A)$ function in Octave will compute the exponential of a matrix A.

> help exp

Compute the exponential of X.

> help expm

Return the exponential of a matrix, defined as the infinite Taylor series:

$$\text{expm}(A) = I + a + a^2/2! + a^3/3! + \cdots$$

-From the Octave help command

7

Exercise 19.12

Solve $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{e^{\lambda_j t}\}$, where $\lambda_j < 0$, $\forall j$. Choose $\lambda^\star = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j$. We know $\lambda^\star < 0$ by hypothesis.

$$e^{\lambda^\star t} = \frac{1}{e^{-\lambda^\star t}}.$$

Clearly, $-\lambda^\star \geq 0$. Then,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{e^{-\lambda^\star t}} = 0.$$

So, as t increases without bound, $e^{\lambda^\star t}$ decreases to zero.

$\square$

Exercise 19.14

Using $\lambda = -1, -1, 0$, we can see that choosing $\lambda^\star = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \lambda_j = 0$. We then bound $||x||$ by $e^{\lambda^\star t} = e^0 t = 1$, which does not depend on t. $||x||$, then, may decrease due to do the other eigenvalues, but will certainly not increase without bound, for it is bounded by a constant value, which is 1. At worst, it will stay constant or oscillate with bounded amplitude $\forall t$.

Exercise 19.17

Since we've defined

$$x(t) := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{h}(t) \\ \frac{d\hat{h}}{dt}(t) \\ \hat{i}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

we can derive

$$\frac{d}{dt} x(t) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{h}(t) \\ \frac{d^2\hat{h}}{dt^2}(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}\hat{i}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, we construct the system of equations which relate $\frac{d}{dt}x(t)$ to $x(t)$. This has been done in the notes, so I'll just use

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can solve for the eigenvalues of A by using the eig() command in Octave, or we can derive them quite simply from the characteristic equation

$$p_A(z) = (-\lambda)(-\lambda)(-10 - \lambda) - (-10 - \lambda)$$
$$= -\lambda^3 - 10\lambda^2 + \lambda + 10.$$

Using Octave, we obtain eigenvectors v_1, v_2, v_3 corresponding to eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_1 = -10, \qquad \lambda_2 = -1, \qquad \lambda_3 = 1$$

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.01005 \\ 0.10049 \\ 0.99489 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.70711 \\ 0.70711 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70711 \\ 0.70711 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To solve our system of linear equations, we consider the solution to the homogeneous system of equations $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = Ax(t)$. We recognize the solution $x(t) = \eta e^{rt}$ such that $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = r\eta e^{rt}$, where η is some vector of constants and r is some constant. Then, we solve

$$Ax(t) = \frac{d}{dt}x(t)$$
$$Ax(t) - \frac{d}{dt}x(t) = 0$$
$$A\eta e^{rt} - r\eta e^{rt} = 0$$
$$(A - rI)\eta e^{rt} = 0.$$

We see that solutions to this equation are clearly when r is an eigenvalue and η is the corresponding eigenvalue of A.

Since we know three separate solutions to the equation $x(t)$:

$$x_1(t) \quad = \eta_1 e^{r_1 t} \quad = \begin{bmatrix} -0.01005 \\ 0.10049 \\ 0.99489 \end{bmatrix} e^{-10t}$$

$$x_2(t) \quad = \eta_2 e^{r_2 t} \quad = \begin{bmatrix} -0.70711 \\ 0.70711 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{-t}$$

$$x_3(t) \quad = \eta_3 e^{r_3 t} \quad = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70711 \\ 0.70711 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{t}$$

Our general solution is obtained by adding each particular solution and solving for the initial value x_0. We need to be sure the Wronskian of these

solutions is not zero.

$$W[x_1, x_2, x_3](t) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -0.70711e^{-t} & 0.70711e^t \\ 0 & 0.70711e^{-t} & 0.70711e^t \\ e^{-10t} & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (-0.70711e^{-t})(0.70711e^t)(e^{-10t})$$
$$- (e^{-10t})(0.70711e^{-t})(0.70711e^t)$$
$$= -2e^{-10t}(0.70711)^2.$$

$W[x_1, w_2, w_3](t)$ is never zero $\forall t$, so the solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 form a fundamental set of solutions. We'll use the method of undetermined coeffients to solve this Assume $x(t)$ is of the form $x(t) = ae^{10t} + be^{-t} + ce^t + d$ where $a, b, c,$ and d are constants to be determined. Then, $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = -10ae^{-10t} - be^{-t} + ce^t$.

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = Ax(t) \tag{1}$$

$$-10ae^{-10t} - be^{-t} + ce^t = A(ae^{-10t} + be^{-t} + ce^t + d) + g \tag{2}$$

We then determine coefficient vectors $a, b, c,$and d by matching up coefficients from 1.

$$-10 * a = Aa$$
$$-1 * b = Ab$$
$$1 * c = Ac$$
$$0 = Ad + g$$

Each of these solutions is the eigenvalue and eigenvector corresponding to the specific variables. Then $a = x_1$, $b = x_2$, $c = x_3$, and $Ad = -g$.

$$Ad = -g$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -10 \end{bmatrix} d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can solve for d quite simply through Octave by the command

```
d = A\g
```

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 \\ 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The general solution is determined by adding together the fundamental set of solutions with their appropriate coefficients.

$$x(t) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + d$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -0.01005 \\ 0.10049 \\ 0.99489 \end{bmatrix} e^{-10t} + \begin{bmatrix} -0.70711 \\ 0.70711 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{-t}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 0.70711 \\ 0.70711 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^t + \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 \\ 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can see that from our solutions, as t grows without bound, e^t will increase to infinity.

We should look at the solution of $y(t)$, which is just the first row of our solution $x(t)$.

$$y(t) = -.01005 * e^{-10t} - .70711 * e{-}t + .70711 * e^t + .9$$

We should be able to solve this a Numerical way, too. Namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) &= e^{At}x(0) \\
&= (Ve^{D}V^{-1})x(0) \\
&= (\begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-10t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1})x(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

This reduces to solving:

```
x = V * expm(D) * V^-1
```

Then, we line up our solution vectors, divide out the exponential terms (since our numerical method solved for when $t = 1$, we divide out e^{a} for the correct a value).

$$
x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.037936 \\ -0.052971 \\ 0.0000016 \end{bmatrix} e^{-10t} + \begin{bmatrix} 3.1945 \\ 4.1945 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{-t} + \begin{bmatrix} 33989 \\ 25886 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 \\ 0 \\ -0.1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

We have to remember that there are an infinite number of solutions to this problem due to the nature of the infinite solutions to eigenvalues of A. This solution doesn't look exactly the same as our previous solution from the previous method of solving it, but it should be just as correct.

Note: I also wonder about correctness of the solution:

```
x = V * expm(D)
```

. I really don't think it's necessary to multiply by the eigenvectors a second time. This is because each $v_i x_i$ already forms a fundamental set of solutions to the problem, so it should be sufficient to only multiply by V instead of both V and V^{-1}. Perhaps there is some interesting ODE property going on here (since my ODE book agrees with simply solving the method expressed in this note). However, there may be more than one "solution" to this problem depending on the nature of the initial value to this problem.

Exercise 19.18

We use

$$D - V^{-1}b\tilde{f}^T =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -10 \end{bmatrix} - V^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \approx$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -10 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0.45455 \\ 0.44444 \\ 1.00514 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \approx$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - .45455\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 - .44444\alpha & -1 & 0 \\ 0 - 1.00514\alpha & 0 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a lower triangular matrix, so we can read the eigenvalues off the diagonal. We have $\lambda_1 = -10, \lambda_2 = -1, \lambda_3 \approx 1 - .45455\alpha$. So if we smartly choose $\alpha < 1/.45455$, we will be able to force $\lambda_3 < 0$, which would bring our system under control.

Exercise 19.19

From above, we can choose some $\alpha \approx 1/.45455$ to assure we get a negative eigenvalue. Using Octave, we can confirm:

```
> eig(D - 1/.7*btilde*ftilde')
ans =
  -10.000000
  -1.000000
   0.081680

> eig(D - 1/.45455*btilde*ftilde')
ans =

  -10.00000
  -1.00000
  -0.41420
```

Then $\alpha = .7$ will not give us negative eigenvalues, but $\alpha = .45455$ will. We find that in practice, α can be near .6 to get a negative eigenvalue.

I used the following octave code to produce $\alpha = 1.55565000000364$ to about 5 degrees of accuracy.

```
1   A = [0  1  0;  1  0  −1;  0  0  −10];
2   b = [  0  1  1]';
3   [V D]    = eig(A);
4   ftilde  = [1  0  0];
5   btilde  = V^−1 * b;
6   v         = diag(D);
7
8   alpha  = 1;
9
10  while (v(1) >= 0) || (v(2) >= 0) || (v(3) >= 0)
11      v = eig(D − alpha*btilde*ftilde);
12      alpha = alpha + .00001;
13  end
```

This program is seriously flawed in that it starts incrementing α from 1 and will only increment a static amount each time. I obviously scaled the amounts to something that I knew wouldn't take an eon. Unfortunately, were this program to be presented with some particularly nasty eigenvalue problem, it would be fatally unable to perform the task.

Using $\alpha = 1.55565000000364$, we can solve for $\lambda_1 = -10, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 \approx -3.26644328629833e - 06$. Then, our set of solutions looks like

```
V*expm(diag(v))*V^-1
```

Which gives us the set of solutions corresponding to $t = 1$ in our system. The actual constant coefficients in front of each e^{λ_i} can be obtained by dividing that value out from each slot. However, we recognize that those specific coefficients aren't particularly important since we only really care about the behaviour of the solution as $t -> \infty$.

We can graph the solution using

```
for j = 1:100
    X = V*expm(diag(v*j))*V^-1;
    y = X(1,1) + X(1,2) + X(1,3);
    plot(j,y,'r*');
end
```

14

This obviously converges to some real number, and is quite well behaved as $t - > \infty$.