



Hashing

- Binary search tree retrieval have order O(log₂n)
- Need a different strategy to locate an item
- Consider a "magic box" as an address calculator
 - Place/retrieve item from that address in an array
 - Ideally to a unique number for each key

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

3



Hashing

- Hashing is a technique to convert a range of key values into a range of indexes of an array.
- Large keys are converted into small keys by using hash functions.
- The values are then stored in a data structure called **hash table**.




Hashing

- o Idea:
 - Distribute entries (key/value pairs) uniformly across an array.
 - Each element is assigned a key (converted key).
 - Using that key to access the element in O(1) time. (The hash function computes an index suggesting where an entry can be found or inserted.)

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

5






Hash Table

- A hash table is a data structure that is used to store keys/value pairs.
- It uses a hash function to compute an index into an array in which an element will be inserted or searched.

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

7





Hash Functions

- Hash function is any function that can be used to map/converts a key to an array index (integer value).
- The values returned by a hash function
 - hash values
 - hash codes
 - hash sums
 - hashes.

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

9



Some Hash Functions

- Possible functions
 - · Selecting digits
 - Folding
 - Modulo arithmetic
 - · Converting a character string to an integer
 - Use ASCII values
 - · Factor the results, Horner's rule






Some Hash Functions

- o Digit-selection:
 - Select some digits in the keys to create the hash value.
 - h(001364825) = 35
- Folding
 - h(001364825) = 0 + 0 + 1 + 3 + 6 + 4 + 8 + 2 + 5 = 29
 - h(**001**364**825**) = 001 + 364 + 825 = 1190
- Modulo arithmetic
 - h(Key) = Key mod 101
 - h(001364825) = 12


fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

---11









Separate Chaining

table

table

table contains a pointer to a linked chain

tableSize-1

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021




Separate Chaining

- Each hash location can accommodate more than one item
- Each location is a "bucket" or an array itself
- Alternatively, design the hash table as an array of linked chains ("separate chaining").

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

17






Open Addressing


- Probe for another available location
- Some techniques:
 - Linear probing
 - · Quadratic probing
 - · Double hashing


fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

0-**0**19

19









Separate Chaining

- Advantages:
 - Simple to implement.
 - Hash table never fills up, we can always add more elements to the chain.
 - Less sensitive to the hash function or load factors.
 - It is mostly used when it is unknown how many and how frequently keys may be inserted or deleted.

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021



23



Separate Chaining

- Disadvantages:
 - Cache performance of chaining is not good as keys are stored using a linked list. Wastage of space (Some parts of hash table are never used)
 - If the chain becomes long, then search time can become O(n) in the worst case.
 - Uses extra space for links.

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

-0-024



Open Addressing

- Removal requires specify state of an item
 - · Occupied, emptied, removed
- Clustering is a problem
- Double hashing can reduce clustering

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021



25



Open Addressing

- Linear probing has the best cache performance but suffers from clustering. One more advantage of Linear probing is easy to compute.
- Quadratic probing lies between the two in terms of cache performance and clustering.
- Double hashing has poor cache performance but no clustering. Double hashing requires more computation time as two hash functions need to be computed.





The Efficiency of Hashing

• Efficiency of hashing involves the load factor alpha (α) $\alpha = \frac{Current\ number\ of\ table\ items}{tableSize}$



The Efficiency of Hashing

 \circ Linear probing – average value for α

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \right]$$
 for a successful search, and

$$\frac{1}{2} \bigg[1 + \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)^2} \bigg] \qquad \text{for an unsuccessful search}$$

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021

29



The Efficiency of Hashing

O Quadratic probing and double hashing – efficiency for given α

$$\frac{-\log_e(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}$$

for a successful search, and

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$$

for an unsuccessful search






The Efficiency of Hashing

 \circ Separate chaining – efficiency for given α

$$1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$$
 for a successful search, and for an unsuccessful search

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021





Maintaining Hashing Performance

- \circ Collisions and their resolution typically cause the load factor α to increase
- \circ To maintain efficiency, restrict the size of α
 - $\alpha \le 0.5$ for open addressing
 - $\alpha \le 1.0$ for separate chaining
- If load factor exceeds these limits
 - Increase size of hash table
 - Rehash with new hashing function

fit@hcmus | DSA | 2021



33



Exercise

Given a hash table with m = 13 entries and the hash function

 $h(key) = key \mod m$

Insert the keys {10, 22, 31, 4, 15, 28, 17, 88, 59} in the given order (from left to right) to the hash table. If there is a collision, use each of the following open addressing resolving methods:

- A. Linear probing
- B. Quadratic probing
- C. Double hashing with h2 (key) = (key mod 7) + 1



