

Data manipulation with dplyr

Maruf Ahmed Bhuiyan

8/5/2020

```
library(dplyr)
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##   filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##   intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

```
counties = readRDS("counties.rds")
```

```
str(counties)
```

```
## tibble [3,138 x 40] (S3: spec_tbl_df/tbl_df/tbl/data.frame)
##  $ census_id      : chr [1:3138] "1001" "1003" "1005" "1007" ...
##  $ state          : chr [1:3138] "Alabama" "Alabama" "Alabama" "Alabama" ...
##  $ county         : chr [1:3138] "Autauga" "Baldwin" "Barbour" "Bibb" ...
##  $ region         : chr [1:3138] "South" "South" "South" "South" ...
##  $ metro          : chr [1:3138] "Metro" "Metro" "Nonmetro" "Metro" ...
##  $ population     : num [1:3138] 55221 195121 26932 22604 57710 ...
##  $ men            : num [1:3138] 26745 95314 14497 12073 28512 ...
##  $ women          : num [1:3138] 28476 99807 12435 10531 29198 ...
##  $ hispanic       : num [1:3138] 2.6 4.5 4.6 2.2 8.6 4.4 1.2 3.5 0.4 1.5 ...
##  $ white          : num [1:3138] 75.8 83.1 46.2 74.5 87.9 22.2 53.3 73 57.3 91.7 ...
##  $ black          : num [1:3138] 18.5 9.5 46.7 21.4 1.5 70.7 43.8 20.3 40.3 4.8 ...
##  $ native         : num [1:3138] 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.3 1.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.6 ...
##  $ asian          : num [1:3138] 1 0.7 0.4 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.9 0.8 0.3 ...
##  $ pacific        : num [1:3138] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##  $ citizens       : num [1:3138] 40725 147695 20714 17495 42345 ...
##  $ income         : num [1:3138] 51281 50254 32964 38678 45813 ...
##  $ income_err     : num [1:3138] 2391 1263 2973 3995 3141 ...
##  $ income_per_cap : num [1:3138] 24974 27317 16824 18431 20532 ...
##  $ income_per_cap_err: num [1:3138] 1080 711 798 1618 708 ...
##  $ poverty        : num [1:3138] 12.9 13.4 26.7 16.8 16.7 24.6 25.4 20.5 21.6 19.2 ...
##  $ child_poverty  : num [1:3138] 18.6 19.2 45.3 27.9 27.2 38.4 39.2 31.6 37.2 30.1 ...
##  $ professional   : num [1:3138] 33.2 33.1 26.8 21.5 28.5 18.8 27.5 27.3 23.3 29.3 ...
```

```
## $ service      : num [1:3138] 17 17.7 16.1 17.9 14.1 15 16.6 17.7 14.5 16 ...
## $ office       : num [1:3138] 24.2 27.1 23.1 17.8 23.9 19.7 21.9 24.2 26.3 19.5 ...
## $ construction : num [1:3138] 8.6 10.8 10.8 19 13.5 20.1 10.3 10.5 11.5 13.7 ...
## $ production   : num [1:3138] 17.1 11.2 23.1 23.7 19.9 26.4 23.7 20.4 24.4 21.5 ...
## $ drive        : num [1:3138] 87.5 84.7 83.8 83.2 84.9 74.9 84.5 85.3 85.1 83.9 ...
## $ carpool      : num [1:3138] 8.8 8.8 10.9 13.5 11.2 14.9 12.4 9.4 11.9 12.1 ...
## $ transit      : num [1:3138] 0.1 0.1 0.4 0.5 0.4 0.7 0 0.2 0.2 0.2 ...
## $ walk         : num [1:3138] 0.5 1 1.8 0.6 0.9 5 0.8 1.2 0.3 0.6 ...
## $ other_transp : num [1:3138] 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.5 0.4 1.7 0.6 1.2 0.4 0.7 ...
## $ work_at_home : num [1:3138] 1.8 3.9 1.6 0.7 2.3 2.8 1.7 2.7 2.1 2.5 ...
## $ mean_commute : num [1:3138] 26.5 26.4 24.1 28.8 34.9 27.5 24.6 24.1 25.1 27.4 ...
## $ employed     : num [1:3138] 23986 85953 8597 8294 22189 ...
## $ private_work  : num [1:3138] 73.6 81.5 71.8 76.8 82 79.5 77.4 74.1 85.1 73.1 ...
## $ public_work   : num [1:3138] 20.9 12.3 20.8 16.1 13.5 15.1 16.2 20.8 12.1 18.5 ...
## $ self_employed : num [1:3138] 5.5 5.8 7.3 6.7 4.2 5.4 6.2 5 2.8 7.9 ...
## $ family_work   : num [1:3138] 0 0.4 0.1 0.4 0.4 0 0.2 0.1 0 0.5 ...
## $ unemployment  : num [1:3138] 7.6 7.5 17.6 8.3 7.7 18 10.9 12.3 8.9 7.9 ...
## $ land_area     : num [1:3138] 594 1590 885 623 645 ...
```

```
counties %>% select(state, county, population, unemployment)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 4
##   state    county  population unemployment
##   <chr>   <chr>      <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga      55221           7.6
## 2 Alabama Baldwin    195121          7.5
## 3 Alabama Barbour    26932          17.6
## 4 Alabama Bibb       22604           8.3
## 5 Alabama Blount     57710           7.7
## 6 Alabama Bullock    10678            18
## 7 Alabama Butler     20354          10.9
## 8 Alabama Calhoun    116648          12.3
## 9 Alabama Chambers   34079           8.9
## 10 Alabama Cherokee  26008           7.9
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

Understanding your data Take a look at the counties dataset using the `glimpse()` function. What is the first value in the income variable?

```
glimpse(counties)
```

```
## Rows: 3,138
## Columns: 40
## $ census_id      <chr> "1001", "1003", "1005", "1007", "1009", "1011", ...
## $ state          <chr> "Alabama", "Alabama", "Alabama", "Alabama", "Ala...
## $ county         <chr> "Autauga", "Baldwin", "Barbour", "Bibb", "Blount...
## $ region         <chr> "South", "South", "South", "South", "South", "So...
## $ metro          <chr> "Metro", "Metro", "Nonmetro", "Metro", "Metro", ...
## $ population     <dbl> 55221, 195121, 26932, 22604, 57710, 10678, 20354...
## $ men            <dbl> 26745, 95314, 14497, 12073, 28512, 5660, 9502, 5...
## $ women          <dbl> 28476, 99807, 12435, 10531, 29198, 5018, 10852, ...
## $ hispanic       <dbl> 2.6, 4.5, 4.6, 2.2, 8.6, 4.4, 1.2, 3.5, 0.4, 1.5...
```

```
## $ white <dbl> 75.8, 83.1, 46.2, 74.5, 87.9, 22.2, 53.3, 73.0, ...
## $ black <dbl> 18.5, 9.5, 46.7, 21.4, 1.5, 70.7, 43.8, 20.3, 40...
## $ native <dbl> 0.4, 0.6, 0.2, 0.4, 0.3, 1.2, 0.1, 0.2, 0.2, 0.6...
## $ asian <dbl> 1.0, 0.7, 0.4, 0.1, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.9, 0.8, 0.3...
## $ pacific <dbl> 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0...
## $ citizens <dbl> 40725, 147695, 20714, 17495, 42345, 8057, 15581,...
## $ income <dbl> 51281, 50254, 32964, 38678, 45813, 31938, 32229,...
## $ income_err <dbl> 2391, 1263, 2973, 3995, 3141, 5884, 1793, 925, 2...
## $ income_per_cap <dbl> 24974, 27317, 16824, 18431, 20532, 17580, 18390,...
## $ income_per_cap_err <dbl> 1080, 711, 798, 1618, 708, 2055, 714, 489, 1366,...
## $ poverty <dbl> 12.9, 13.4, 26.7, 16.8, 16.7, 24.6, 25.4, 20.5, ...
## $ child_poverty <dbl> 18.6, 19.2, 45.3, 27.9, 27.2, 38.4, 39.2, 31.6, ...
## $ professional <dbl> 33.2, 33.1, 26.8, 21.5, 28.5, 18.8, 27.5, 27.3, ...
## $ service <dbl> 17.0, 17.7, 16.1, 17.9, 14.1, 15.0, 16.6, 17.7, ...
## $ office <dbl> 24.2, 27.1, 23.1, 17.8, 23.9, 19.7, 21.9, 24.2, ...
## $ construction <dbl> 8.6, 10.8, 10.8, 19.0, 13.5, 20.1, 10.3, 10.5, 1...
## $ production <dbl> 17.1, 11.2, 23.1, 23.7, 19.9, 26.4, 23.7, 20.4, ...
## $ drive <dbl> 87.5, 84.7, 83.8, 83.2, 84.9, 74.9, 84.5, 85.3, ...
## $ carpool <dbl> 8.8, 8.8, 10.9, 13.5, 11.2, 14.9, 12.4, 9.4, 11....
## $ transit <dbl> 0.1, 0.1, 0.4, 0.5, 0.4, 0.7, 0.0, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2...
## $ walk <dbl> 0.5, 1.0, 1.8, 0.6, 0.9, 5.0, 0.8, 1.2, 0.3, 0.6...
## $ other_transp <dbl> 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.5, 0.4, 1.7, 0.6, 1.2, 0.4, 0.7...
## $ work_at_home <dbl> 1.8, 3.9, 1.6, 0.7, 2.3, 2.8, 1.7, 2.7, 2.1, 2.5...
## $ mean_commute <dbl> 26.5, 26.4, 24.1, 28.8, 34.9, 27.5, 24.6, 24.1, ...
## $ employed <dbl> 23986, 85953, 8597, 8294, 22189, 3865, 7813, 474...
## $ private_work <dbl> 73.6, 81.5, 71.8, 76.8, 82.0, 79.5, 77.4, 74.1, ...
## $ public_work <dbl> 20.9, 12.3, 20.8, 16.1, 13.5, 15.1, 16.2, 20.8, ...
## $ self_employed <dbl> 5.5, 5.8, 7.3, 6.7, 4.2, 5.4, 6.2, 5.0, 2.8, 7.9...
## $ family_work <dbl> 0.0, 0.4, 0.1, 0.4, 0.4, 0.0, 0.2, 0.1, 0.0, 0.5...
## $ unemployment <dbl> 7.6, 7.5, 17.6, 8.3, 7.7, 18.0, 10.9, 12.3, 8.9,...
## $ land_area <dbl> 594.44, 1589.78, 884.88, 622.58, 644.78, 622.81,...
```

```
# Answer: 51281
```

Selecting columns Select the following four columns from the counties variable: [x] state [x] county [x] population [x] poverty

You don't need to save the result to a variable. Select the columns listed from the counties variable.

```
counties %>% select(state, county, population, poverty)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 4
##   state county population poverty
##   <chr> <chr>      <dbl>    <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga      55221     12.9
## 2 Alabama Baldwin    195121    13.4
## 3 Alabama Barbour     26932     26.7
## 4 Alabama Bibb        22604     16.8
## 5 Alabama Blount      57710     16.7
## 6 Alabama Bullock     10678     24.6
## 7 Alabama Butler      20354     25.4
## 8 Alabama Calhoun     116648    20.5
## 9 Alabama Chambers    34079     21.6
```

```
## 10 Alabama Cherokee      26008      19.2
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

```
counties_selected <-
  counties %>% select(state, county, population, unemployment)

counties_selected
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 4
##   state   county   population unemployment
##   <chr>   <chr>         <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga      55221           7.6
## 2 Alabama Baldwin    195121          7.5
## 3 Alabama Barbour     26932          17.6
## 4 Alabama Bibb        22604           8.3
## 5 Alabama Blount      57710           7.7
## 6 Alabama Bullock     10678           18
## 7 Alabama Butler      20354          10.9
## 8 Alabama Calhoun     116648          12.3
## 9 Alabama Chambers    34079           8.9
## 10 Alabama Cherokee   26008           7.9
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

```
counties_selected %>% arrange(population)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 4
##   state   county   population unemployment
##   <chr>   <chr>         <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Hawaii  Kalawao         85            0
## 2 Texas   King           267           5.1
## 3 Nebraska McPherson      433           0.9
## 4 Montana Petroleum    443           6.6
## 5 Nebraska Arthur      448            4
## 6 Nebraska Loup       548           0.7
## 7 Nebraska Blaine     551           0.7
## 8 New Mexico Harding   565            6
## 9 Texas   Kenedy         565            0
## 10 Colorado San Juan      606          13.8
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

```
counties_selected %>% arrange(-population)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 4
##   state   county   population unemployment
##   <chr>   <chr>         <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 California Los Angeles 10038388         10
## 2 Illinois   Cook      5236393         10.7
## 3 Texas      Harris    4356362           7.5
## 4 Arizona    Maricopa  4018143           7.7
## 5 California San Diego  3223096           8.7
## 6 California Orange    3116069           7.6
## 7 Florida    Miami-Dade 2639042          10
```

```
## 8 New York Kings 2595259 10
## 9 Texas Dallas 2485003 7.6
## 10 New York Queens 2301139 8.6
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

```
counties_selected %>% arrange(desc(population))
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 4
##   state county population unemployment
##   <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 California Los Angeles 10038388 10
## 2 Illinois Cook 5236393 10.7
## 3 Texas Harris 4356362 7.5
## 4 Arizona Maricopa 4018143 7.7
## 5 California San Diego 3223096 8.7
## 6 California Orange 3116069 7.6
## 7 Florida Miami-Dade 2639042 10
## 8 New York Kings 2595259 10
## 9 Texas Dallas 2485003 7.6
## 10 New York Queens 2301139 8.6
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

```
counties_selected %>%
  arrange(desc(population)) %>%
  filter(state == "New York")
```

```
## # A tibble: 62 x 4
##   state county population unemployment
##   <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 New York Kings 2595259 10
## 2 New York Queens 2301139 8.6
## 3 New York New York 1629507 7.5
## 4 New York Suffolk 1501373 6.4
## 5 New York Bronx 1428357 14
## 6 New York Nassau 1354612 6.4
## 7 New York Westchester 967315 7.6
## 8 New York Erie 921584 7
## 9 New York Monroe 749356 7.7
## 10 New York Richmond 472481 6.9
## # ... with 52 more rows
```

```
counties_selected %>%
  arrange(desc(population)) %>%
  filter(state == "New York") %>%
  filter(unemployment < 6)
```

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   state county population unemployment
##   <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 New York Tompkins 103855 5.9
## 2 New York Chemung 88267 5.4
## 3 New York Madison 72427 5.1
```

```
## 4 New York Livingston      64801      5.4
## 5 New York Seneca         35144      5.5
```

```
counties_selected %>%
  arrange(desc(population)) %>%
  filter(state == "New York", unemployment < 6)
```

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   state     county      population unemployment
##   <chr>    <chr>          <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 New York Tompkins    103855         5.9
## 2 New York Chemung     88267         5.4
## 3 New York Madison    72427         5.1
## 4 New York Livingston  64801         5.4
## 5 New York Seneca     35144         5.5
```

Arranging observations Here you see the `counties_selected` dataset with a few interesting variables selected. These variables: `private_work`, `public_work`, `self_employed` describe whether people work for the government, for private companies, or for themselves. In these exercises, you'll sort these observations to find the most interesting cases.

[x] Add a verb to sort the observations of the `public_work` variable in descending order.

```
counties_selected <- counties %>%
  select(state, county, population, private_work, public_work, self_employed)

# Add a verb to sort in descending order of public_work
counties_selected %>% arrange(desc(public_work))
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 6
##   state     county      population private_work public_work self_employed
##   <chr>    <chr>          <dbl>         <dbl>         <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Hawaii   Kalawao           85           25          64.1          10.9
## 2 Alaska   Yukon-Koyukuk Ce~ 5644          33.3          61.7           5.1
## 3 Wisconsin Menominee     4451          36.8          59.1           3.7
## 4 North Da~ Sioux      4380          32.9          56.8          10.2
## 5 South Da~ Todd       9942          34.4           55           9.8
## 6 Alaska   Lake and Peninsu~ 1474          42.2          51.6           6.1
## 7 Californ~ Lassen     32645          42.6          50.5           6.8
## 8 South Da~ Buffalo     2038          48.4          49.5           1.8
## 9 South Da~ Dewey      5579          34.9          49.2          14.7
## 10 Texas   Kenedy          565          51.9          48.1           0
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

Filtering for conditions You use the `filter()` verb to get only observations that match a particular condition, or match multiple conditions. [x] Find only the counties that have a population above one million (1000000). [x] Find only the counties in the state of California that also have a population above one million (1000000).

```
counties_selected <- counties %>%
  select(state, county, population)
```

```
# Filter for counties with a population above 1000000
counties_selected %>% filter(population > 1000000)
```

```
## # A tibble: 41 x 3
##   state      county      population
##   <chr>     <chr>         <dbl>
## 1 Arizona   Maricopa         4018143
## 2 California Alameda         1584983
## 3 California Contra Costa  1096068
## 4 California Los Angeles   10038388
## 5 California Orange        3116069
## 6 California Riverside    2298032
## 7 California Sacramento    1465832
## 8 California San Bernardino 2094769
## 9 California San Diego     3223096
## 10 California Santa Clara   1868149
## # ... with 31 more rows
```

```
# Filter for counties in the state of California that have a population above 1000000
counties_selected %>% filter(state == "California",
                             population > 1000000)
```

```
## # A tibble: 9 x 3
##   state      county      population
##   <chr>     <chr>         <dbl>
## 1 California Alameda         1584983
## 2 California Contra Costa  1096068
## 3 California Los Angeles   10038388
## 4 California Orange        3116069
## 5 California Riverside    2298032
## 6 California Sacramento    1465832
## 7 California San Bernardino 2094769
## 8 California San Diego     3223096
## 9 California Santa Clara   1868149
```

Filtering and arranging We're often interested in both filtering and sorting a dataset, to focus on observations of particular interest to you. Here, you'll find counties that are extreme examples of what fraction of the population works in the private sector.

[x] Filter for counties in the state of Texas that have more than ten thousand people (10000), and sort them in descending order of the percentage of people employed in private work.

```
counties_selected <- counties %>%
  select(state, county, population, private_work, public_work, self_employed)

# Filter for Texas and more than 10000 people; sort in descending order of private_work
counties_selected %>% filter(state == "Texas",
                             population > 10000) %>%
  arrange(-private_work)
```

```
## # A tibble: 169 x 6
##   state county population private_work public_work self_employed
```

```
##      <chr> <chr>          <dbl>          <dbl>          <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 Texas Gregg      123178      84.7      9.8      5.4
## 2 Texas Collin     862215      84.1     10      5.8
## 3 Texas Dallas    2485003      83.9      9.5      6.4
## 4 Texas Harris    4356362      83.4     10.1      6.3
## 5 Texas Andrews     16775      83.1      9.6      6.8
## 6 Texas Tarrant   1914526      83.1     11.4      5.4
## 7 Texas Titus      32553      82.5     10      7.4
## 8 Texas Denton     731851      82.2     11.9      5.7
## 9 Texas Ector     149557      82      11.2      6.7
## 10 Texas Moore     22281      82      11.7      5.9
## # ... with 159 more rows
```

```
counties_selected <- counties %>%
  select(state, county, population, unemployment)

counties_selected %>%
  mutate(unemployed_population = population * unemployment / 100)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 5
##   state   county   population unemployment unemployed_population
##   <chr>   <chr>         <dbl>          <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga     55221           7.6           4197.
## 2 Alabama Baldwin   195121           7.5          14634.
## 3 Alabama Barbour    26932          17.6           4740.
## 4 Alabama Bibb       22604           8.3           1876.
## 5 Alabama Blount     57710           7.7           4444.
## 6 Alabama Bullock    10678           18            1922.
## 7 Alabama Butler     20354          10.9           2219.
## 8 Alabama Calhoun    116648          12.3          14348.
## 9 Alabama Chambers   34079           8.9            3033.
## 10 Alabama Cherokee  26008           7.9            2055.
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

```
counties_selected %>%
  mutate(unemployed_population = population * unemployment / 100) %>%
  arrange(desc(unemployed_population))
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 5
##   state   county   population unemployment unemployed_population
##   <chr>   <chr>         <dbl>          <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 California Los Angeles 10038388         10          1003839.
## 2 Illinois   Cook      5236393        10.7          560294.
## 3 Texas      Harris    4356362         7.5          326727.
## 4 Arizona    Maricopa  4018143         7.7          309397.
## 5 California Riverside 2298032        12.9          296446.
## 6 California San Diego  3223096         8.7          280409.
## 7 Michigan   Wayne    1778969        14.9          265066.
## 8 California San Bernardino 2094769        12.6          263941.
## 9 Florida    Miami-Dade 2639042         10          263904.
## 10 New York   Kings     2595259         10          259526.
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```


Calculating the number of government employees In the video, you used the unemployment variable, which is a percentage, to calculate the number of unemployed people in each county. In this exercise, you'll do the same with another percentage variable: `public_work`. The code provided already selects the state, county, population, and `public_work` columns.

[x] Use `mutate()` to add a column called `public_workers` to the dataset, with the number of people employed in public (government) work. [x] Sort the new column in descending order.

```
counties_selected <- counties %>%
  select(state, county, population, public_work)

head(counties_selected)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 4
##   state   county  population public_work
##   <chr>   <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga    55221      20.9
## 2 Alabama Baldwin   195121     12.3
## 3 Alabama Barbour    26932     20.8
## 4 Alabama Bibb       22604     16.1
## 5 Alabama Blount     57710     13.5
## 6 Alabama Bullock    10678     15.1
```

```
# Add a new column public_workers with the number of people employed in public work
counties_selected %>%
  mutate(public_workers = population * public_work / 100)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 5
##   state   county  population public_work public_workers
##   <chr>   <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga    55221      20.9      11541.
## 2 Alabama Baldwin   195121     12.3      24000.
## 3 Alabama Barbour    26932     20.8       5602.
## 4 Alabama Bibb       22604     16.1       3639.
## 5 Alabama Blount     57710     13.5       7791.
## 6 Alabama Bullock    10678     15.1       1612.
## 7 Alabama Butler     20354     16.2       3297.
## 8 Alabama Calhoun    116648     20.8      24263.
## 9 Alabama Chambers   34079     12.1       4124.
## 10 Alabama Cherokee   26008     18.5       4811.
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

```
# Sort in descending order of the public_workers column
counties_selected %>%
  mutate(public_workers = population * public_work / 100) %>%
  arrange(-public_workers)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 5
##   state   county  population public_work public_workers
##   <chr>   <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 California Los Angeles  10038388    11.5    1154415.
## 2 Illinois   Cook       5236393    11.5     602185.
## 3 California San Diego   3223096    14.8     477018.
```

```
## 4 Arizona Maricopa 4018143 11.7 470123.
## 5 Texas Harris 4356362 10.1 439993.
## 6 New York Kings 2595259 14.4 373717.
## 7 California San Bernardino 2094769 16.7 349826.
## 8 California Riverside 2298032 14.9 342407.
## 9 California Sacramento 1465832 21.8 319551.
## 10 California Orange 3116069 10.2 317839.
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

Calculating the percentage of women in a county The dataset includes columns for the total number (not percentage) of men and women in each county. You could use this, along with the population variable, to compute the fraction of men (or women) within each county. In this exercise, you'll select the relevant columns yourself.

[x] Select the columns state, county, population, men, and women. [x] Add a new variable called proportion_women with the fraction of the county's population made up of women.

```
# Select the columns state, county, population, men, and women
counties_selected <- counties %>% select(state, county, population, men, women)
head(counties_selected)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 5
##   state county population men women
##   <chr> <chr>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga      55221 26745 28476
## 2 Alabama Baldwin    195121 95314 99807
## 3 Alabama Barbour     26932 14497 12435
## 4 Alabama Bibb        22604 12073 10531
## 5 Alabama Blount      57710 28512 29198
## 6 Alabama Bullock     10678  5660  5018
```

```
# Calculate proportion_women as the fraction of the population made up of women
counties_selected %>% mutate(proportion_women = women / population)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3,138 x 6
##   state county population men women proportion_women
##   <chr> <chr>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Alabama Autauga      55221 26745 28476      0.516
## 2 Alabama Baldwin    195121 95314 99807      0.512
## 3 Alabama Barbour     26932 14497 12435      0.462
## 4 Alabama Bibb        22604 12073 10531      0.466
## 5 Alabama Blount      57710 28512 29198      0.506
## 6 Alabama Bullock     10678  5660  5018      0.470
## 7 Alabama Butler      20354  9502 10852      0.533
## 8 Alabama Calhoun    116648 56274 60374      0.518
## 9 Alabama Chambers   34079 16258 17821      0.523
## 10 Alabama Cherokee   26008 12975 13033      0.501
## # ... with 3,128 more rows
```

Select, mutate, filter, and arrange In this exercise, you'll put together everything you've learned in this chapter (select(), mutate(), filter() and arrange()), to find the counties with the highest proportion of men.

[x] Select only the columns state, county, population, men, and women. [x] Add a variable proportion_men with the fraction of the county's population made up of men. [x] Filter for counties with a population of at least ten thousand (10000). [x] Arrange counties in descending order of their proportion of men.

```
counties %>%
  # Select the five columns
  select(state, county, population, men, women) %>%
  # Add the proportion_men variable
  mutate(proportion_men = men / population) %>%
  # Filter for population of at least 10,000
  filter(population >= 10000) %>%
  # Arrange proportion of men in descending order
  arrange(-proportion_men)
```

```
## # A tibble: 2,437 x 6
##   state      county      population    men women proportion_men
##   <chr>     <chr>          <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 Virginia  Sussex            11864   8130  3734            0.685
## 2 California Lassen           32645  21818 10827            0.668
## 3 Georgia   Chattahoochee     11914   7940  3974            0.666
## 4 Louisiana West Feliciana    15415  10228  5187            0.664
## 5 Florida   Union             15191   9830  5361            0.647
## 6 Texas     Jones             19978  12652  7326            0.633
## 7 Missouri  DeKalb            12782   8080  4702            0.632
## 8 Texas     Madison           13838   8648  5190            0.625
## 9 Virginia  Greensville       11760   7303  4457            0.621
## 10 Texas    Anderson          57915  35469 22446            0.612
## # ... with 2,427 more rows
```

```
# Sussex County in Virginia is more than two thirds male:
# this is because of two men's prisons in the county.
```