# ALEXANDROV SPACES, KANTOROVICH SINGULARITY, SOULS AND SPLITTING THEOREMS

#### J. H. MARTEL

### 1. Ruling by Maximal Minimizing Rays

Throughout this article (X,d) designates a noncompact, complete, connected finite-dimensional Alexandrov space. So X is a length space satisfying  $\kappa \geq 0$  sectional curvature conditions. In otherwords every quadruple (a,b,c,d) in X satisfies Toponogov's comparison condition, c.f. [Vil09, Ch.26, pp.738], [MT07, pp.53–55]. For a basepoint  $x_0 \in X$ , let  $M = M(x_0)$  be the set of all geodesics  $\lambda$  in X satisfying:

- (i) the geodesic  $\lambda$  passes through  $x_0$ ;
- (ii) the geodesic  $\lambda$  is distance minimizing over every compact subinterval;
- (iii) the geodesic is maximally nonextendible.

For every  $x_0 \in X$ , we abbreviate  $M^*(x_0) \subset M(x_0)$  as the subset of noncompact geodesics.

**Lemma 1.** If X is connected complete noncompact Alexandrov, then  $M^*(x_0)$  is nonempty for every  $x_0 \in X$ .

Proof. [MT07, Lemma 2.1] 
$$\Box$$

In otherwords there exists distance minimizing asymptotic geodesic rays. The set M of geodesics contains evidently three types: the geodesics  $\lambda$  are either

- (a) compact; or
- (b) noncompact and doubly-ended; or
- (c) noncompact and singly-ended.

It is necessary to emphasize that  $M^*(x_0)$  varies lower semicontinuously with respect to the choice of  $x_0$ . Lemma 1 says Alexandrov spaces X are "ruled" by maximal minimizing geodesics in  $M^*(x_0)$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ . For instance if  $x_0$  is a regular point on an infinite flat cone, then  $M^*(x_0)$  is a singleton, whereas if  $x_0 = v$  is the cone vertex, then  $M^*(v)$  is infinite and parameterized by an N-1-sphere on N-dimension cones.

Our purpose is to demonstrate how methods of Kantorovich Singularity and optimal semicouplings from [Mar] establishes two basic theorems of Alexandrov geometry nearly simultaneously. In case  $M^*$  contains a doubly-ended geodesic, then our arguments below will establish Gromoll-Cheeger's Splitting theorem [MT07, Thm.2.11,

Date: March 31, 2019.

pp.58]; otherwise we use the geodesics of  $M^*$  to establish the Cheeger-Gromoll-Perelman's Soul theorem [MT07, Thm.2.7, pp.56] for singular Alexandrov spaces.

For any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\lambda \in M^*(x_0)$ , let  $h_{\lambda} : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be the unique horofunction satisfying  $h_{\lambda}(x_0) = 0$ , and defined by the usual formula

$$h_{\lambda,x_0}(x) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} d(\lambda(t), x) - t.$$

We observe  $h_{\lambda,x_0}(x) \geq -d(x,x_0)$ , and  $h_{\lambda,x_0}$  diverges to  $-\infty$  along the geodesic  $\lambda$ . Our curvature hypothesis  $\kappa \geq 0$  implies  $h_{\lambda}$  is geodesically concave function and superlevel sets  $\{h_{\lambda,x_0} \geq T\}$  are totally convex subsets of X for all  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . (We remark that the same definition implies  $h_{\lambda}$  is convex in nonpositive curvature  $\kappa \leq 0$ ). If the geodesic  $\lambda$  is doubly-ended, then  $h_{\lambda}$  will be symmetric with respect to  $x_0$  and approaches values  $\pm \infty$  as arc-parameter  $\lambda(s)$  diverges to  $s \to \mp \infty$ .

For  $\lambda \in M^*$ , we choose real numbers  $t = t(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$  for which 0 < |t| is numerically small, say t = .00001.

**Lemma 2.** For every  $x_0 \in X$ , if the parameter  $t : M^*(x_0) \to \mathbb{R}$  is sufficiently small  $(t \approx 0^+)$ , then the excision

$$X_0 := X[t] = X - \bigcup_{\lambda \in M^*(x_0)} \{ h_{\lambda} \ge t(\lambda) \}$$

is a nontrivial compact totally convex subset of X.

Proof. We abbreviate  $H_{\lambda,t} = \{h_{\lambda} \geq t(\lambda)\}$ . The horofunctions  $h_{\lambda}$  are concave, therefore the excision  $X - H_{\lambda,t}$  is a totally convex subset of X. Therefore the intersection  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in M^*} X - H_{\lambda,t}$  is a totally convex subset. Moreover the completeness of X implies all the minimizing geodesics in  $X_0$  are compact and Lemma 1 implies  $X_0$  is a compact subset.

The excision  $X_0$  is a compact convex boundary  $\partial X_0$ . The boundary  $\partial X_0$  is "cellulated" by the boundaries  $\partial H_{\lambda,t}$  of the excised horoballs. Moreover one easily establishes that the homotopy types of  $X_0$ , X coincide.

**Lemma 3.** If the excision parameter t is sufficiently small, then the inclusion  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  is a homotopy-isomorphism, and there exists a continuous strong deformation retract  $X \leadsto X_0$ .

The above constructions lead us to our semicoupling program. The excision  $X_0$  has a canonical Hausdorff measure  $\sigma := \mathcal{H}_{X_0} = \mathcal{H}_{X_0}$ , and the excision boundary  $\partial X_0$  has canonical Hausdorff measure  $\tau := \mathcal{H}_{\partial X_0}$ . The measures  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  are designated the source and target measures, respectively.

We need determine cost. For pairs  $x, y \in X$ , we may compare d(x, y) to the signed distances between horospheres  $h_{\lambda}(x) - h_{\lambda}(y)$ , which we observe is independent of the basepoint  $x_0$  defining  $h_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda,x_0}$ . Concavity of  $h_{\lambda}$  implies the function

(1) 
$$b(x,y) := \inf_{\lambda} \{ h_{\lambda}(x) - h_{\lambda}(y) \}$$

is concave in the x-variable, for every choice of  $y \in X$ . If  $(x, y) \in X_0 \times \partial X_0$ , then  $b(x, y) \geq 0$  with equality if and only if  $x \in X_0$  and occupies the same horosphere component as y. Compactness of  $X_0$  implies the superlevels of  $\{x \in X_0 \mid b(x, y) \geq T\}$ , for fixed  $y \in \partial X_0$ ,  $T \geq 0$ , are compact convex subsets of  $X_0$ .

Furthermore the triangle inequality implies

(2) 
$$0 \le b(x,y) \le h_{\lambda}(x) - h_{\lambda}(y) \le d(x,y), \text{ for } (x,y) \in X_0 \partial X_0$$

with equality  $h_{\lambda}(x) - h_{\lambda}(y) = d(x, y)$  if and only if x, y lie on minimizing ray  $\lambda$ . Observe 0 < b(x, y) whenever  $x \in X_0 - \partial X_0$ .

The pairing  $b: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  defined by equation (1) is a possibly degenerate distance function. Throughout  $X_0 \times X_0$  we find  $b \geq 0$  satisfies a triangle inequality and is symmetric b(x,y) = b(y,x) if we add absolute-values to (1). However caution again needs be exercised since b is possibly degenerate, having b(x,y) = 0 for  $x \neq y$ . For instance if  $M^*$  is a singleton, then b(x,y) = 0 if x,y both occupy the same horosphere centred at  $\lambda$ .

The basic idea of this article is to treat b(x, y) as a type of distance on X, and restrict b to the subset  $X_0 \times \partial X_0$  defined earlier in Lemma 2. Having nominated a distance c = b, we next turn to distance maximizing transports. Indeed distance minimization appears less useful for our purposes given the nonnegativity (2), where equality is if and only if  $x \in \partial X_0$ .

**Definition 4.** Fix  $x_0$ ,  $M^*$ , definition of b (1). Let  $X_0$ ,  $\partial X_0$  be excisions with small parameter t. Let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  be the measures on  $X_0$ ,  $\partial X_0$ . Then let  $\Pi' = \Pi'_{x_0}$  be the set of maximizers of the following maximization program:

(3) 
$$\max_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu)} \int_{X_0 \times \partial X_0} b(x,y) d\pi(x,y).$$

Here  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  designates the set of couplings  $\pi$  from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$ .

The regularity properties of maximizing measures  $\pi \in \Pi_{x_0}$  will have strong dependance on the basepoint  $x_0$ . Indeed when b is degenerate, then  $\Pi'$  is not a singleton, and we need choice of "canonical" coupling  $\pi'$ . This canonical choice is achieved by a secondary variational problem, where we follow the ideas of [AP03], [AKP+04], [KP18]. Briefly, we select a canonical coupling  $\pi'$  by finding couplings  $\pi \in \Pi'$  which have minimal  $d^2/2$  transport cost. Our goal in this section is to prove the existence and uniqueness of this minimal coupling.

We begin by defining a family of auxiliary costs. For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

(4) 
$$c_{\epsilon}(x,y) := \epsilon d^2(x,y)/2 - b(x,y)$$

be defined for  $(x,y) \in X_0 \times \partial X_0$ . Consider the minimization program:

(5) 
$$\min_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu)} \int_{X_0 \times \partial X_0} c_{\epsilon}(x,y) d\pi(x,y).$$

If  $\pi_{\epsilon}$  is a  $c_{\epsilon}$ -optimal transport, then taking  $\epsilon \to 0^+$  we obtain limit transports  $\pi_0$ . These limit transports are (-b)-optimal transports, i.e.  $\pi_0 \in \Pi'$ , and in fact have minimal  $d^2/2$  cost among couplings in  $\Pi'$ . More formally, we first prove the program (5) has unique minimizers for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $X_0, \partial X_0$  be the excisions constructed in [ref] with a sufficiently small parameter t.

- (i) For  $y \in \partial X_0$ , the function  $x \mapsto d^2(x,y)/2$  is continuously differentiable function of  $x \in X_0$ .
- (ii) For  $\epsilon > 0$ , the cost  $c_{\epsilon} : X_0 \times \partial X_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (Twist) with respect to source variable  $x \in X_0$ . So for every  $y \in \partial X_0$ , the rule  $x \mapsto \nabla_x c_{\epsilon}(x, y)$  defines an injective  $map \ \nabla_x c_{\epsilon}(\cdot, y) : \partial X_0 \to T_x X_0$ .

If  $\mu, \nu$  are probability measures on  $X, \partial X$ , as above, then there exists unique  $c_{\epsilon}$ -optimal couplings from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\pi_e$  be the unique  $c_e$ -optimal couplings. Compactness of  $X, \partial X$  implies the family  $\pi_{\epsilon}$  is weak-\* compact, and there exists convergent subsequences of  $\pi_e$ . According to the (Twist) condition, we deduce the existence of Monge maps  $T_{\epsilon}: X \to \partial X$  satisfying  $T_e \# \mu = \nu$  and

$$\int c_e(x, T_e(x)) d\mu(x) = \min_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int c_e d\pi.$$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\pi_e$  be  $c_e$ -optimal couplings. Every accumulation point  $\pi_0$  of the family  $\pi_e$ , e > 0, is a c-optimal coupling, where  $c = c_0 = -b$  according to equation (4).

*Proof.* First we have  $c_e \geq c$  for all e > 0, with pointwise monotone convergence

$$\lim_{e \to 0^+} c_e = c.$$

The Monotone Convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{e\to 0^+} \int c_e d\pi = \int c d\pi$$

for all couplings  $\pi$ . If  $T_e: X \to \partial X$  is the Monge map describing the  $c_e$ -optimal transport from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$ , then every convergent subsequence of couplings  $\pi_e$  yields the  $\mu$ -a.e. pointwise convergence of the Monge maps  $T_e$  to a map

$$\lim_{e \to 0^+} T_e = T_0.$$

The pointwise convergence and the Dominated Convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{e \to 0^+} \int c_e(x, T_e(x)) d\mu(x) = \int c(x, T_0(x)) d\mu(x) = \int c d\pi_0.$$

If  $\pi$  is an arbitrary coupling, then

$$\int c_e d\pi \ge \int c_e(x, T_e(x)) d\mu = \int c_e d\pi_e$$

for all e > 0. Therefore

$$\liminf_{e \to 0^+} \int c_e d\pi \ge \liminf_{e \to 0^+} \int c_e d\pi_e = \int c d\pi_0.$$

The final equation implies the limit  $\pi_0$  is c-optimal.

**Proposition 7.** For every basepoint  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists a unique b-maximizing coupling  $\pi'' \in \Pi'_{x_0}$  which has minimal  $d^2/2$ -transport cost and  $\Pi''_{x_0} = \{\pi''\}$  is a nonempty singleton.

Proof.

2.

If  $\psi: \partial X_0 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is a potential, then the b-transform of  $\psi$  is defined

$$\psi^{b}(x) := \sup_{y \in \partial X_{0}} \{ \psi(y) + b(x, y) \}.$$

If  $\phi: X_0 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  is a potential on  $X_0$ , the b-transform of  $\phi$  is defined

$$\phi^b(y) := \inf_{x \in X_0} {\{\phi(x) - b(x, y)\}}.$$

A potential  $\psi$  is b-convex, and satisfying  $(\psi^b)^b = \psi$  if

$$\psi(\overline{y}) = \inf_{x \in X_0} \sup_{y \in \partial X_0} \{ \psi(y) + b(x, y) - b(x, \overline{y}) \}$$

for all  $\overline{y} \in \partial X_0$ .

The reader will observe that  $\psi(y) \leq \psi^{bb}(y)$  for arbitrary, possibly nonconvex functions  $\psi$ . The key observation is the inequality

$$\psi^b(x) - \psi(y) \ge b(x, y),$$

with equality if and only if  $x \in \partial^b \psi(y)$  for b-convex potentials  $\psi^{bb} = \psi$ . The following technical lemma is important to our results.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $\psi$  be a b-convex potential on  $\partial X_0$ ,  $\psi^{bb} = \psi$ . For all  $y \in spt(\psi) \subset \partial X_0$ , the b-subdifferential  $\partial^b \psi(y)$  is a totally convex subset of  $X_0$ .

*Proof.* This is consequence of the fact that b(x,y) is concave in x, for all  $y \in \partial X_0$ . The b-subdifferential  $\partial^b \psi(y)$  is a superlevel set of  $x \mapsto b(x,y)$ , and therefore totally concave.

Consequently if  $Z = Z(\mu, \nu, b)$  is the Kantorovich functor  $Z : 2^{\partial X_0} \to 2^X$ , then for all closed subsets  $Y_I$  of  $\partial X_I$ , the cell  $Z(Y_I) := \bigcap_{y \in Y_I} \partial^b \psi(y)$  is a totally convex subset of  $X_0$ .

Remark. Our thesis constructs deformation retracts via gradient flow towards the poles of a vector field denoted  $\eta(x, avg)$ . To apply the methods of [Mar] to singular Alexandrov spaces requires the definition of nonsmooth gradients and gradient projections. Petrunin-Perelman prove the existence of well-defined gradient flows in singular Alexandrov spaces [PP94].

#### 3. Splitting

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\mu$  be source measure on  $X_0$ , and  $\nu$  a target measure on  $\partial X_0$ , and with cost b as defined in (1) with respect to a basepoint  $x_0$ .

If  $\int_{X_0} d\mu / \int_{\partial X_0} d\nu \approx 1^+$ , then the active domain A of the "canonical" b-maximal semicoupling  $\pi^*$  defined in (5) is a strong deformation retract of  $X_0$ .

Moreover if  $M^*$  contains a doubly-ended minimizing ray, then the active domain  $A = Z_1$  splits isometrically  $A \simeq [-T, +T] \times Z_2$ , where  $Z_2$  consists of all source points  $x \in X_0$  such that  $\partial^b \psi^b(x) \geq 2$ .

 $\Box$  Incomplete.

The cross-difference  $||\nabla_x b_\Delta|| \neq 0$  is nonvanishing throughout X, and this implies  $b_\Delta: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a submersion in the topological sense, i.e. there exists a topological splitting  $X \simeq f^{-1}(pt) \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $f^{-1}(pt)$  is a generic fibre. However the usual Splitting Theorem of Cheeger-Gromoll requires the construction of Riemannian submersion, where we recall a Riemannian submersion  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function such that  $||\nabla_x f|| = 1$  throughout X. The existence of a Riemannian submersion f on X implies the existence of isometric splitting  $X \simeq f^{-1}(pt) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 4. Nonnegative Ricci Curvature

Let (X,g) be Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Then for every ray  $\lambda$  in X the horofunction  $h_{\lambda}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is superharmonic. This means the divergence of the gradient flow  $div(\nabla_x h_{\lambda}) \leq 0$  is nonpositive throughout X, and for every subdomain D of X, the restriction  $1_D \cdot h_{\lambda}$  achieves its absolute minimum along the boundary  $\partial D$ .

The important Splitting theorem of Cheeger-Gromoll [ref] is the following:

REFERENCES 7

**Theorem 10.** Let (X, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Suppose  $M^*(x_0)$  contains a doubly-ended minimizing ray for some basepoint  $x_0 \in X$ . Then there exists a totally convex subset Y of X and an isometric splitting  $X \simeq Y \times \mathbb{R}$ .

It's well-known that Toponogov proved the existence of isometric splittings when (X, g) is a smooth Alexandrov manifold [ref]. Our goal is to establish a splitting theorem for singular Alexandrov spaces using the Kantorovich Singularity functor.

## REFERENCES

- [AKP+04] Luigi Ambrosio, Bernd Kirchheim, Aldo Pratelli, et al. "Existence of optimal transport maps for crystalline norms". In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 125.2 (2004), pp. 207–241.
- [AP03] Luigi Ambrosio and Aldo Pratelli. "Existence and stability results in the L 1 theory of optimal transportation". In: *Optimal transportation and applications*. Springer, 2003, pp. 123–160.
- [KP18] Y.-H. Kim and B. Pass. "A Canonical Barycenter via Wasserstein Regularization". In: SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 50.2 (2018), pp. 1817–1828. DOI: 10.1137/17M1123055. URL: https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1123055.
- [Mar] J.H. Martel. "Applications of Optimal Transport to Algebraic Topology: How to Build Spines from Singularity". PhD thesis. University of Toronto. URL: https://github.com/marvinMLKUltra/thesis/blob/master/ut-thesis.pdf.
- [MT07] John W Morgan and Gang Tian. Ricci flow and the Poincaré conjecture. Vol. 3. American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
- [PP94] G Perelman and A Petrunin. "Quasigeodesics and gradient curves in Alexandrov spaces". In: *preprint* (1994).
- [Vil09] C. Villani. Optimal transport: old and new. Grundlehren der mathematischen wissenschaften Vol. 338. Springer-Verlag, 2009.