

DIABETES HEALTH METRICS IN EGYPT



Introduction

This project aims to analyze diabetes prevalence and treatment trends in Egypt using data analysis techniques. The project involves data cleaning, exploratory data analysis, visualization, and dashboard creation using Python.

The goal is to gain insights into diabetes trends, gender-based differences, and potential factors influencing treatment rates over time.



DATA DESCRIPTION



Basic Identifier Columns

Column Name	Explanation	Example
Country	The country being studied	"Egypt"
ISO	3-letter country code (standardized)	"EGY"
Sex	Gender category	"Men", "Women"
Year	The year of data recording	1990-2022

Diabetes Prevelence Metrics

Column Name	Explanation	Key Notes
Age-standardised prevalence of diabetes (18+ years)	% of adults with diabetes, adjusted for age distribution	Allows fair comparisons across years
Lower/Upper 95% uncertainty interval	Statistical confidence range for prevalence estimate	True value likely falls between these numbers
Crude prevalence of diabetes (18+ years)	Raw % without age adjustment	Shows actual disease burden

Diabetes Treatment Metrics

Column Name	Explanation	Why It Matters
Age-standardised proportion treated (30+ years)	% of diabetic adults receiving care (age-adjusted)	Measures healthcare system performance
Crude proportion treated (30+ years)	Raw % receiving treatment	Reflects real-world treatment gaps




Data Cleaning

1- using Mysql:

1-Checking for duplicates:

```
SELECT Country, ISO, Sex, Year, COUNT(*)  
      FROM refined_diabetes_data  
  GROUP BY Country, ISO, Sex, Year  
 HAVING COUNT(*) > 1;
```



The screenshot shows the MySQL Workbench interface with a results grid. The grid has columns labeled 'Country', 'ISO', 'Sex', 'Year', and 'COUNT(*)'. There are no data rows present in the grid.

	Country	ISO	Sex	Year	COUNT(*)

2-Checking for null values

```
SELECT *
FROM refined_diabetes_data
WHERE Country IS NULL
OR ISO IS NULL
OR Sex IS NULL
OR Year IS NULL
OR `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` IS NULL
OR `AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+` IS NULL
OR `AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+` IS NULL
OR `AgeStd_Treated_30+` IS NULL
OR `AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+` IS NULL
OR `AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+` IS NULL
OR `Crude_Diabetes_18+` IS NULL
OR `Crude_Diab_L95_18+` IS NULL
OR `Crude_Diab_U95_18+` IS NULL
OR `Crude_Treated_30+` IS NULL
OR `Crude_Treat_L95_30+` IS NULL
OR `Crude_Treat_U95_30+` IS NULL;
```

there is no null values

Export: Wrap Cell Content:

[AgeStd_Diabetes_18+](#) [AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+](#) [AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+](#) [AgeStd_Treated_30+](#) [AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+](#) [AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+](#) [Crude_Diabetes_18+](#)

3-Checking for negative values

```
SELECT * FROM cleaned_diabetes  
WHERE `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` < 0  
    OR `AgeStd_Treated_30+` < 0  
    OR `Crude_Diabetes_18+` < 0
```

	Export:	Wrap Cell Content:				
AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+	AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+	AgeStd_Treated_30+	AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+	AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+	Crude_Diabetes_

4-Normalized percentage columns:

```
UPDATE cleaned_diabetes
```

```
SET
```

```
`AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` = `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` * 100,
```

```
`AgeStd_Treated_30+` = `AgeStd_Treated_30+` * 100,
```

```
`Crude_Diabetes_18+` = `Crude_Diabetes_18+` * 100,
```

```
`AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+` = `AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+` * 100,
```

```
`AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+` = `AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+` * 100,
```

```
`AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+` = `AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+` * 100,
```

```
`AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+` = `AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+` * 100,
```

```
`Crude_Diab_L95_18+` = `Crude_Diab_L95_18+` * 100,
```

```
`Crude_Diab_U95_18+` = `Crude_Diab_U95_18+` * 100,
```

```
`Crude_Treated_30+` = `Crude_Treated_30+` * 100,
```

```
`Crude_Treat_L95_30+` = `Crude_Treat_L95_30+` * 100,
```

```
`Crude_Treat_U95_30+` = `Crude_Treat_U95_30+` * 100;
```

5-Checking incorrect confidence intervals(lower bound should be less than upper bound)

```
UPDATE cleaned_diabetes  
SET `AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+` = `AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+`  
WHERE `AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+` > `AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+`;
```

```
UPDATE cleaned_diabetes  
SET `AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+` = `AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+`  
WHERE `AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+` > `AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+`;
```

```
UPDATE cleaned_diabetes  
SET `Crude_Diab_L95_18+` = `Crude_Diab_U95_18+`  
WHERE `Crude_Diab_L95_18+` > `Crude_Diab_U95_18+`;
```

```
UPDATE cleaned_diabetes  
SET `Crude_Treat_L95_30+` = `Crude_Treat_U95_30+`  
WHERE `Crude_Treat_L95_30+` > `Crude_Treat_U95_30+`;
```

Data Cleaning using Python

Checking if there are any missing (null) values in the DataFrame

	print(dd.isnull().sum())
Country	0
ISO	0
Sex	0
Year	0
AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	0
AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+	0
AgeStd_Diab_U95_18+	0
AgeStd_Treated_30+	0
AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+	0
AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+	0
Crude_Diabetes_18+	0
Crude_Diab_L95_18+	0
Crude_Diab_U95_18+	0
Crude_Treated_30+	0
Crude_Treat_L95_30+	0
Crude_Treat_U95_30+	0

Fills all missing values in the numeric columns of the DataFrame with the median of those columns.

```
dd.fillna(dd.median(numeric_only=True), inplace=True)
```

Deletes columns from the DataFrame that have more than 40% missing values.

```
dd.dropna(axis=1, thresh=len(dd) * 0.6, inplace=True)
```

Ensures that a specific set of columns in the dataset are correctly formatted as numeric values

```
num_cols = ['AgeStd_Diabetes_18+', 'AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+', 'AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+',  
            'AgeStd_Treated_30+', 'AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+', 'AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+',  
            'Crude_Diabetes_18+', 'Crude_Diab_L95_18+', 'Crude_Diab_U95_18+',  
            'Crude_Treated_30+', 'Crude_Treat_L95_30+', 'Crude_Treat_U95_30+']  
  
existing_num_cols = [col for col in num_cols if col in dd.columns]  
  
if existing_num_cols:  
    dd[existing_num_cols] = dd[existing_num_cols].apply(pd.to_numeric, errors='coerce')  
  
print(dd.dtypes)  
print(dd.head())
```

Ensures that the Year column in the dataset is treated as an integer data type

```
[ ] dd['Year'] = dd['Year'].astype(int)
```

Removes any duplicate rows from the dataset


```
[ ] dd.drop_duplicates(inplace=True)
```

Replaces 'Man' with 'M' and 'Women' with 'F'

```
] dd['Sex'] = dd['Sex'].replace({'Man': 'M', 'Women': 'F'})
```

Creates a histogram plot with a KDE (Kernel Density Estimation) curve for a numeric column in the data.

```
| plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))  
| sns.histplot(dd.select_dtypes(include=['number']).iloc[:, 0], bins=30, kde=True)  
| plt.title("Distribution of First Numeric Column")  
| plt.show()
```



SQL QUERY AND INSIGHTS

```
SELECT OrderH.invoiceNo, OrderH.invoiceDate, OrderH.customerCode, OrderD.itemCode, I.itemName, OrderD.qty, OrderD.netPrice
FROM OrderHeader AS OrderH
INNER JOIN Customer AS Cust ON OrderH.customerCode = Cust.customerCode
INNER JOIN OrderDetail AS OrderD ON OrderD.orderHeaderId = OrderH.orderHeaderId
INNER JOIN Item AS I ON OrderD.itemCode = I.itemCode
WHERE OrderD.netPrice > 1000
GROUP BY OrderH.invoiceNo, OrderH.invoiceDate, OrderH.customerCode, OrderD.itemCode, I.itemName
```

1 How has the age-standardized prevalence of diabetes (AgeStd_Diabetes_18+) in Egypt changed from 1990 to 2022, and what are the differences between men and women??

```
3 • select year, sex,`AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` as diabetes_prevalence  
4   from cleaned_diabetes  
5   where country = 'Egypt'  
6   order by year and Sex;  
7
```

output

	year	sex	diabetes_prevalence
▶	1990	Men	1036529040
	1990	Women	1088099880
	1991	Men	1047191930.0000001
	1991	Women	1126530870
	1992	Men	1060035129.9999999
	1992	Women	1165667890.0000002
	1993	Men	1074169800
	1993	Women	1205256610
	1994	Men	1089965710.0000002
	1994	Women	1244865310
	1995	Men	1107145590
	1995	Women	1284669920
	1996	Men	1126130080
	1996	Women	1324050639.9999998
	1997	Men	1147245120
	1997	Women	1362742130.0000002
	1998	Men	1170533340
	1999	Women	1400840340

Insight:

Diabetes prevalence has steadily increased over time.

Women consistently show higher prevalence rates than men across all years.

2 What are the differences in diabetes prevalence (AgeStd_Diabetes_18+) and treatment rates (AgeStd_Treated_30+) between men and women in Egypt from 1990 to 2022?

```
10 •   SELECT Year, Sex, `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+`, `AgeStd_Treated_30+`  
11     FROM cleaned_diabetes  
12    WHERE Year BETWEEN 1990 AND 2022  
13    ORDER BY Year, Sex;
```

output

Result Grid				
	Year	Sex	AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	AgeStd_Treated_30+
▶	1990	Men	1036529040	39720646.9
	1990	Women	1088099880	39015169.900000006
	1991	Men	1047191930.0000001	40498989.5
	1991	Women	1126530870	39544217.699999996
	1992	Men	1060035129.9999999	41270558.699999996
	1992	Women	1165667890.0000002	40072010.400000006
	1993	Men	1074169800	42028477.1
	1993	Women	1205256610	40598495.400000006
	1994	Men	1089965710.0000002	42774636.7
	1994	Women	1244865310	41116967.9
	1995	Men	1107145590	43497742.4
	1995	Women	1284669920	41631714.1
	1996	Men	1126130080	44208220
	1996	Women	1324050639.9999998	42149536.6
	1997	Men	1147245120	44900620.6
	1997	Women	1362742130.0000002	42651646.300000004
	1998	Men	1170533340	45576195.800000004
	1999	Women	1400849240	42144124.6

Insight:

Both prevalence and treatment rates increased initially. Women had higher prevalence, but received less treatment compared to men.

Treatment rates started to decline after around 2005.

3

What proportion of people with diabetes in Egypt remain untreated, and how has this proportion changed from 2000 to 2021?

```
16  
17 •  SELECT Year, Sex, `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+`, `AgeStd_Treated_30+`,  
18    (1 - (`AgeStd_Treated_30+` / `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+`)) * 100 AS Untreated_Percentage  
19    FROM cleaned_diabetes  
20   WHERE Year BETWEEN 2000 AND 2021  
21   ORDER BY Year, Sex;  
22
```

output

	Year	Sex	AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	AgeStd_Treated_30+	Untreated_Percentage
▶	2000	Men	1225443900	46861764.900000006	96.17593552018171
	2000	Women	1474906790.0000005	44091834.400000006	97.01053417755301
	2001	Men	1257994070	47457675.4	96.22751199455178
	2001	Women	1512080329.9999998	44531436.7	97.05495562527422
	2002	Men	1294240070	48020931.300000004	96.28964267038958
	2002	Women	1549680389.9999998	44957199.9	97.09893729119202
	2003	Men	1334700149.9999998	48547514.8	96.36266506750599
	2003	Women	1589399950	45359848.4	97.1461023136436
	2004	Men	1380548820	49010021.5	96.44996100174133
	2004	Women	1632086310.0000002	45744534.7	97.19717429037193
	2005	Men	1432869290	49399019.8	96.5524406067772
	2005	Women	1679311719.9999995	46090641.1	97.25538501571346
	2006	Men	1491590560.0000002	49703392.5	96.66775897938105
	2006	Women	1731435460.0000002	46395886.300000004	97.32038026413066
	2007	Men	1556834770	49922438.599999994	96.79333738158995
	2007	Women	1788883539.9999998	46668890.300000004	97.39117224478458
	2008	Men	1628308059.9999998	50072209.5	96.92489334604166
	2009	Women	1852744220	46011055.6	97.45700000700405

Insight:

The proportion of untreated diabetes cases has steadily increased, indicating widening healthcare gaps. Women had slightly higher untreated rates than men.

4

How has the uncertainty in diabetes prevalence estimates (AgeStd_Diabetes_18+) changed over time in Egypt, and are there significant differences between men and women?

```

25 •   SELECT Year, Sex, `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+`, `AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+` AS Lower_Bound,
26     `AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+` AS Upper_Bound,
27     (`AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+` - `AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+`) AS Uncertainty_Range
28   FROM cleaned_diabetes
29   WHERE Year BETWEEN 1990 AND 2022
30   ORDER BY Year, Sex;

```

output

	Year	Sex	AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	Lower_Bound	Upper_Bound	Uncertainty_Range
▶	1990	Men	1036529040	6136349.100000001	15804437.299999997	9668088.199999996
	1990	Women	1088099880	5952616.199999999	17074136.700000003	11121520.500000004
	1991	Men	1047191930.0000001	6415638.899999995	15779379.9	9363741.000000002
	1991	Women	1126530870	6515948.300000002	17115224.3	10599276
	1992	Men	1060035129.999999	6701342.100000001	15556948.000000002	8855605.900000002
	1992	Women	1165667890.0000002	7000121.300000001	17294656.799999997	10294535.499999996
	1993	Men	1074169800	6984862.699999999	15424119.5	8439256.8
	1993	Women	1205256610	7470823.399999999	17581713.800000004	10110890.400000006
	1994	Men	1089965710.0000002	7176152.7	15443774.299999999	8267621.599999999
	1994	Women	1244865310	7971603.200000001	17828047.2	9856443.999999998
	1995	Men	1107145590	7385031.899999995	15417996.699999997	8032964.799999999
	1995	Women	1284669920	8480805.5	18078866.099999998	9598060.599999998
	1996	Men	1126130080	7642182.000000001	15474015.6	7831833.599999999
	1996	Women	1324050639.9999998	8903420.3	18421579.7	9518159.399999999
	1997	Men	1147245120	7861447.899999999	15671665.600000001	7810217.700000002
	1997	Women	1362742130.0000002	9393791.899999999	18647162.999999996	9253371.099999998
	1998	Men	1170533340	8181833.4	15821571.4	7639738
	1999	Women	1400940240	8007700.1	10000100.4	9007471.700000000

Result 17 ×

Insight:

Uncertainty intervals became narrower between 1990 and mid-2000s, indicating improved data accuracy. However, they widened again after 2010, suggesting data collection challenges.

5

How do crude diabetes prevalence rates (Crude_Diabetes_18+) compare to age-standardized rates (AgeStd_Diabetes_18+) in Egypt from 1990 to 2022?

```

34 •   SELECT Year, `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+`, `Crude_Diabetes_18+`,
35     (`AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` - `Crude_Diabetes_18+`) AS Difference
36   FROM cleaned_diabetes
37 WHERE Year BETWEEN 1990 AND 2022
38 ORDER BY Year;
39

```

output

	Year	AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	Crude_Diabetes_18+	Difference
▶	1990	1036529040	7922620.3	1028606419.7
	1990	1088099880	9013465.3	1079086414.7
	1991	1047191930.0000001	7994627.7	1039197302.3000001
	1991	1126530870	9306073.900000002	1117224796.1
	1992	1060035129.999999	8105793.89999999	1051929336.0999999
	1992	1165667890.0000002	9621152.400000002	1156046737.6000001
	1993	1074169800	8231585.800000001	1065938214.2
	1993	1205256610	9940656.7	1195315953.3
	1994	1089965710.0000002	8376609.79999998	1081589100.2000003
	1994	1244865310	10262071.600000001	1234603238.4
	1995	1107145590	8534527.39999999	1098611062.6
	1995	1284669920	10586388.6	1274083531.4
	1996	1126130080	8711745.2	1117418334.8
	1996	1324050639.9999998	10912416.100000001	1313138223.8999999
	1997	1147245120	8912166.2	1138332953.8
	1997	1362742130.0000002	11237804.39999999	1351504325.6000001
	1998	1170533340	9130102.29999999	1161403237.7
	1999	1400840340	11556068.2	1280202271.7

Insight:

Age-standardized prevalence is consistently higher than crude prevalence, reflecting the higher risk of diabetes in older age groups.

Crude prevalence gives the actual disease burden in the population.

6

What percentage of people with diabetes in Egypt receive treatment (AgeStd_Treated_30+), and how has this percentage changed from 1990 to 2022?

```
SELECT Year, (`AgeStd_Treated_30+` / `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+`) * 100 AS Treatment_Percentage  
FROM Cleaned_Diabetes  
WHERE Year BETWEEN 1990 AND 2022  
ORDER BY Year;
```

output

Year	Treatment_Percentage
1990	3.8320823987719623
1990	3.5856239502572143
1991	3.8673893810468916
1991	3.510264898466563
1992	3.8933199034639543
1992	3.437686732539231
1993	3.912647432463657
1993	3.3684524161207463
1994	3.924402052978345
1994	3.3029250288932865
1995	3.928818647961195
1995	3.2406545410512924
1996	3.9256761527940007
1996	3.1833779862075375
1997	3.91377743232414
1997	3.129839854587896
1998	3.8936264557829685
1999	3.0708532981426925

Insight:


The percentage of people receiving treatment initially increased, but began declining after 2010.

This trend suggests possible issues with healthcare access or treatment adherence, especially among women.

year with the highest and lowest diabetes prevalence.

```
(SELECT `Year`, `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` AS `Prevalence`, 'Highest' AS `Type`
FROM `cleaned_diabetes`
ORDER BY `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` DESC
LIMIT 1)
UNION ALL
(SELECT `Year`, `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` AS `Prevalence`, 'Lowest' AS `Type`
FROM `cleaned_diabetes`
ORDER BY `AgeStd_Diabetes_18+` ASC
LIMIT 1
);
```

output



The screenshot shows a MySQL query results window. At the top, there are tabs for "Result Grid" and "Filter Rows:" with a search bar. Below the tabs is a toolbar with icons for copy, paste, and export. The main area displays a table with three columns: "Year", "Prevalence", and "Type". There are two rows of data:

	Year	Prevalence	Type
▶	2022	2879249250.0000005	Highest
	1990	1036529040	Lowest

At the bottom left, it says "Result 24" and there is a close button "X".

Insight:

- Highest: 2022 – 2879.25 per 100,000 people
- Lowest: 1990 – 1036.53 per 100,000 people

Compare treatment rates over the years

```
• SELECT `Year`, AVG(`Crude_Treated_30+`) AS `Avg_Treatment_Rate`  
  FROM `cleaned_diabetes`  
 GROUP BY `Year`  
 ORDER BY `Year`;
```

output

Result Grid		
	Year	Avg_Treatment_Rate
▶	1990	39118132.2
	1991	39626505
	1992	40145469.2
	1993	40659482.75
	1994	41166545.75
	1995	41665622.5
	1996	42171512.55
	1997	42673112.349999994
	1998	43166086
	1999	43644960.8
	2000	44108777.4
	2001	44554080.650000006
	2002	44987211.150000006
	2003	45397342.55
	2004	45768124.2
	2005	46084017.05
	2006	46344930.7
	2007	46557044.45

Insight:

Treatment rates followed an upward trend initially but began declining post-2010. The gap between prevalence and treatment has widened over the years.

9

Check if treatment rates increase when prevalence is higher

```
SELECT `Year`, AVG(`Crude_Diabetes_18+`) AS `Avg_Diabetes_Prevalence`, AVG(`Crude_Treated_30+`) AS `Avg_Treatment_Rate`  
FROM `cleaned_diabetes`  
GROUP BY `Year`  
ORDER BY `Year`;
```

output

Year	Avg_Diabetes_Prevalence	Avg_Treatment_Rate
1990	8468042.8	39118132.2
1991	8650350.8	39626505
1992	8863473.15	40145469.2
1993	9086121.25	40659482.75
1994	9319340.7	41166545.75
1995	9560458	41665622.5
1996	9812080.65	42171512.55
1997	10074985.299999999	42673112.349999994
1998	10343535.3	43166086
1999	10619718.75	43644960.8
2000	10911026.45	44108777.4
2001	11221241.55	44554080.650000006
2002	11553821.350000001	44987211.150000006
2003	11918214.35	45397342.55
2004	12323630.4	45768124.2
2005	12784898.5	46084017.05
2006	13308310.4	46344930.7
2007	13001500.2	46557044.45

Insight:

A moderate positive correlation (0.50) exists between diabetes prevalence and treatment rates. The correlation is stronger among women (0.79) than men (0.21).

Predict future diabetes prevalence based on past trends.

```
SELECT `Year`, AVG(`Crude_Diabetes_18+`)  
OVER  
(ORDER BY `Year` ROWS BETWEEN 4 PRECEDING AND CURRENT ROW) AS `Moving_Avg_  
FROM `cleaned_diabetes`  
ORDER BY `Year`;
```

output

Result Grid		
	Year	Moving_Avg_Prediction
▶	1990	7922620.3
	1990	8468042.8
	1991	8310237.766666667
	1991	8559196.8
	1992	8468516.22
	1992	8808222.64
	1993	8651846.74
	1993	9041052.540000001
	1994	8855159.719999999
	1994	9286415.260000002
	1995	9069090.26
	1995	9540050.82
	1996	9294268.52
	1996	9801429.78
	1997	9531448.7
	1997	10072104.099999998
	1998	9780846.84
	1999	10240001.450000000

Insight:


If current trends continue, diabetes prevalence in Egypt is expected to keep rising, signaling the need for urgent public health interventions.

DATA VISUALIZATION



	0
Country	0.0
ISO	0.0
Sex	0.0
Year	0.0
AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	0.0
AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+	0.0
AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+	0.0
AgeStd_Treated_30+	0.0
AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+	0.0
AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+	0.0
Crude_Diabetes_18+	0.0
Crude_Diab_L95_18+	0.0
Crude_Diab_U95_18+	0.0
Crude_Treated_30+	0.0
Crude_Treat_L95_30+	0.0
Crude_Treat_U95_30+	0.0

dtype: float64



```
#duplicated
df1.duplicated().sum()


0
```

no missing and no duplicate



	count	mean	std	min	25%	50%	75%	max
Year	66.0	2006.000000	9.594870	1990.000000	1998.000000	2006.000000	2014.000000	2022.000000
AgeStd_Diabetes_18+	66.0	1751.859534	547.889596	1036.529040	1264.663033	1630.197185	2214.462208	2879.249250
AgeStd_Diab_L95_18+	66.0	12.994183	4.510211	5.952616	8.775115	12.867067	17.175021	19.959260
AgeStd_Diab_L95_v2_18+	66.0	22.617216	6.716619	15.417997	17.335021	20.156436	26.464334	40.231389
AgeStd_Treated_30+	66.0	45.882367	3.011998	39.015170	44.120931	46.816190	47.671807	50.171416
AgeStd_Treat_L95_30+	66.0	34.532382	5.136334	23.018557	30.808964	35.543696	38.716687	41.627865
AgeStd_Treat_U95_30+	66.0	57.423373	1.845119	54.757226	55.432588	57.651367	58.897114	62.043200
Crude_Diabetes_18+	66.0	15.000591	5.529359	7.922620	10.266597	13.526945	19.409313	26.733137
Crude_Diab_L95_18+	66.0	10.960903	4.351620	4.538051	6.910314	10.515684	15.222261	17.846227
Crude_Diab_U95_18+	66.0	19.643543	6.993125	12.220487	14.449807	16.928717	23.566113	37.962187
Crude_Treated_30+	66.0	44.384895	2.470025	38.988317	42.584010	45.126291	46.202507	47.826786
Crude_Treat_L95_30+	66.0	33.309091	4.744979	22.642864	30.016246	34.177280	37.552864	39.748838
Crude_Treat_U95_30+	66.0	55.756455	1.543721	53.930791	54.416643	55.364339	57.082639	60.394216

statistical measure


What are the distributions of the numerical variables in the dataset?



no outlier




How has diabetes prevalence changed over the years?





The graph shows a consistent and significant increase in the prevalence of diabetes in Egypt from 1990 to 2022, indicating that more people have been affected by the disease over time.

What is the trend in diabetes treatment over time?




The graph shows that diabetes treatment rates in Egypt increased steadily until 2010, then declined, which may indicate issues with healthcare access and treatment follow-up.

Do men and women have different diabetes prevalence rates?




The graph shows a steady rise in diabetes prevalence in Egypt from 1990 to 2022, with women having a higher rate than men. This suggests possible factors like lifestyle, biology, or socioeconomic factors affecting diabetes risk.

Has the gender gap in diabetes prevalence changed over time?




The graph shows that diabetes is more common in women than men in Egypt from 1990 to 2022, with the gap growing over time, especially after 2005.

Density Distribution of Age-Standardized vs. Crude Prevalence




The graph shows that the crude prevalence of diabetes in Egypt is concentrated around lower values, while the age-standardized prevalence is more spread out, indicating that diabetes increases with age.

Age-Standardized vs. Crude Prevalence




The violin and box plots show that age-standardized diabetes prevalence has a wider range and higher rates, suggesting that older populations have a greater risk of diabetes. The crude prevalence is lower, indicating younger groups have fewer cases.

What percentage of people with diabetes receive treatment?




The graph shows a steady decrease in diabetes treatment rates in Egypt from 1990 to 2022, suggesting problems like less access to healthcare or people not following treatment.

Is there a correlation between prevalence and treatment?




The heatmap shows a moderate positive correlation (0.50) between diabetes prevalence and treatment rates in Egypt.

How has the age-standardized prevalence of diabetes (AgeStd_Diabetes_18+) in Egypt changed from 1990 to 2022, and what are the differences between men and women?




Diabetes prevalence in Egypt has steadily increased from 1990 to 2022 for both men and women, with women consistently having higher rates.

What are the differences in diabetes prevalence (AgeStd_Diabetes_18+) and treatment rates (AgeStd_Treated_30+) between men and women in Egypt from 1990 to 2022?




The charts show that diabetes prevalence in Egypt has increased for both men and women, with women having higher rates. However, treatment rates rose until around 2005-

What proportion of people with diabetes in Egypt remain untreated, and how has this proportion changed from 2000 to 2021?




The chart shows that the proportion of untreated diabetes cases in Egypt increased steadily from 2000 to 2021 for both men and women, with women having slightly higher rates. This suggests a growing gap in diabetes care over time.

How has the uncertainty in diabetes prevalence estimates (AgeStd_Diabetes_18+) changed over time in Egypt, and are there significant differences between men and women?




The chart shows the uncertainty in diabetes prevalence estimates for adults (18+) in Egypt from 1990 to 2022. Uncertainty decreased from the early 1990s to the mid-2000s, then rose sharply after 2010, suggesting growing challenges in getting accurate data on diabetes rates.

Crude vs Age standardized diabetes prevalence in egypt



The chart compares crude and age-standardized diabetes prevalence in Egypt from 1990 to 2022. Both men and women show a consistent increase in age-standardized prevalence, with women having higher rates.

What percentage of people with diabetes in Egypt receive treatment (AgeStd_Treated_30+), and how has this percentage changed from 1990 to 2022?



The chart shows the percentage of people with diabetes getting treatment in Egypt from 1990 to 2022, broken down by gender. At first, men had slightly higher treatment rates than women, but both groups declined over time. The gap between genders shows that women received less treatment, possibly due to healthcare or financial challenges.





Diabetes Health Metrics in Egypt



pie chart


bar chart

question 1


question 2

Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Year and Sex

Sex ● Men ● Women




Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Sex



Sum of AgeStdTreated30 and Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Period1

● Sum of AgeStdTreated30 ● Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18



Sex

All

Year

1990

2022


Period1

1990-2005


2006-2020

Sum of AgeStdTreated30 by Year and Sex


Sex ● Men ● Women




Sum of AgeStdTreated30 by Sex



TreatmentCoverage by Sex



TreatmentCoverage by Year





Diabetes Health Metrics in Egypt



pie chart


bar chart

question 1

question 2


Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Year and Sex

Sex ● Men ● Women




Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Sex

Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18




Sum of AgeStdTreated30 and Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Period1

● Sum of AgeStdTreated30 ● Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18




Sum of AgeStdTreated30 by Year and Sex

Sex ● Men ● Women



Sum of AgeStdTreated30 by Sex


Sum of AgeStdTreated30



TreatmentCoverage by Sex

0.03

0.02
0.01
0.00



Sex

All

Year

1990

2022

Period1

1990-2005

2006-2020

TreatmentCoverage by Year

0.04

0.03
0.02
0.01
0.00





Diabetes Health Metrics in Egypt



pie chart


bar chart

question 1

question 2


Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Year and Sex

Sex ● Men ● Women




Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Sex

Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18



Sum of AgeStdTreated30 and Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Period1

● Sum of AgeStdTreated30 ● Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18



Sex

All

Year

1990

2022

Period1

1990–2005

2006–2020

How has the age-standardized diabetes rate among adults (18+) changed over time for males and females?

what percentage of treated diabetes cases vary by sex ?

How does the average diabetes rate ,treatment from 1990–2005 compare to the rate from 2006–2020?

TreatmentCoverage by Year

0.04

0.03

0.02

2000

2020

Year



Diabetes Health Metrics in Egypt



pie chart

bar chart


question 1

question 2

How has the age-standardized treatment rate (AgeStdTreated30) changed over time for men and women?

Sum of AgeStdTreated30 by Year and Sex

Sex ● Men ● Women



which sex has a higher number of diabetes cases?

Sum of AgeStdTreated30 by Sex

2,000

1,500

1,000

500

0



what percentage of treated diabetes cases by year ?


TreatmentCoverage by Sex

0.03

0.02

0.01

0.00



Sex

All

Year

1990

2022

Which sex has a higher treatment?

TreatmentCoverage by Year

0.04

0.03

0.02

0.01

0.00

0.00

2000

2020

Year




Diabetes Health Metrics in Egypt




Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Year and Sex

Sex ● Men ● Women




Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Sex





Sum of AgeStdTreated30 by Sex

Sex ● Men ● Women




Sum of Crude_Diabetes_18+ and Sum of Crude_Treated_30+ by Year

● Sum of Crude_Diabetes_18+ ● Sum of Crude_Tre...



Sum of AgeStdTreated30 and Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18 by Period1

● Sum of AgeStdTreated30 ● Sum of AgeStdDiabetes18



Sex

All

Year

1990


2022

Period1

1990–2005


2006–2020

TreatmentCoverage by Sex



TreatmentCoverage by Year

TreatmentCoverage



SUMMARY



- Rising Prevalence: Diabetes prevalence in Egypt has steadily increased, with women having higher rates than men. In 2022, prevalence is 2631.99 for men and 2879.25 for women.
- Declining Treatment Rates: Treatment rates rose initially but started declining after 2010.
- Key Trends:
 - Highest prevalence: 2022 (2879.25 per 100,000 people)
 - Lowest prevalence: 1990 (1036.53 per 100,000 people)
 - Declining treatment coverage: From 3.5–3.8% in 1990 to 1.63–1.69% in 2022.



A hand is holding a smartphone horizontally, displaying a vibrant, abstract pattern of overlapping circles in shades of blue, green, and pink against a black background. The phone's screen is the central focus, with the rest of the image being a dark, blurred background.

RECOMMENDATION

Improve Public Awareness & Prevention

- Launch national campaigns to promote healthy living, exercise, and early screening.
- Encourage healthier diets and obesity management programs.

Expand Access to Diabetes Treatment

- Improve healthcare systems to make treatment more available.
- Offer affordable treatment plans.
- Expand outreach programs to rural and low-income areas.

Implementing stricter regulations on food outlets and manufacturers that produce products with excessive sugar content.

Clear labeling, limits on added sugar—especially in products targeting children—and promoting healthier alternatives should be mandatory.

Address Gender-Based Disparities

- Create healthcare policies that ensure fair treatment for both men and women.
- Run awareness campaigns for women, focusing on early diagnosis and treatment.

Enhance Data Accuracy & Monitoring

- Improve methods for collecting data to make diabetes estimates more accurate.
- Invest in digital health records and AI tools to track diabetes better.

Developing a free mobile application for patients that follows up on tests and appointments.

Comprehensive Strategies for Combating Diabetes Nationally







Nancy Nabil

Ganna Allah Saleh

Seif Nour

Marwan Ahmed

Ahmed Hatem



THANK YOU!

