Marwin Machay Indio do Brasil do Carmo

The network of dysfunctional beliefs about sleep: a structural re-analysis of the DBAS-16

Dissertação apresentada à Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo para obtenção do título de Mestre em Ciências.

Orientadora: Profa. Dra. Renatha El Rafihi Ferreira

SÃO PAULO 2023

Marwin Machay Indio do Brasil do Carmo

The network of dysfunctional beliefs about sleep: a structural re-analysis of the DBAS-16/ Marwin Machay Indio do Brasil do Carmo. – SÃO PAULO, 2023-

26p. : il. (algumas color.) ; 30 cm.

Orientadora: Profa. Dra. Renatha El Rafihi Ferreira

Dissertação (Mestrado) – UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO INSTITUTO DE PSIQUIATRIA, 2023.

1. Palavra-chave
1. 2. Palavra-chave 2. 2. Palavra-chave 3. I. Orientador. II. Universidade xxx. III. Faculdade de xxx. IV. Título

Marwin Machay Indio do Brasil do Carmo

The network	of	dysfunctional	beliefs	about	sleep:	a s	tructu	ral
		re-analysis o	f the D	BAS-1	6			

Dissertação apresentada à Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo para obtenção do título de Mestre em Ciências.

Trabalho aprovado em:

Banca Examinadora

Profa. Dra. Renatha El Rafihi FerreiraUniversidade de São Paulo
Orientadora

Dra. Ila Marques Porto Linares Universidade Federal De São Paulo

Dr. Altay Alves Lino de Souza Universidade Federal De São Paulo

RESUMO

A insônia é um problema comum que afeta uma parcela significativa da população. Crenças e atitudes disfuncionais sobre o sono contribuem para o desenvolvimento e a manutenção da insônia. Este estudo desenvolveu uma versão em português brasileiro da escala Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep (DBAS-16) e realizou uma avaliação psicométrica abrangente usando técnicas de modelagem de variáveis latentes e redes psicométricas. Os participantes (N = 1.386) tinham entre 18 e 59 anos, com e sem queixas de insônia. Usando Análise Fatorial Confirmatória (AFC) com índices de ajuste dinâmico, a estrutura original do DBAS-16 foi replicada nesta amostra com qualidade de ajuste moderada. Houve também suporte para invariância longitudinal (14 dias) configural, métrica e escalar, mas não para invariância métrica entre grupos de bons e maus dormidores. A Unique Variable Analysis aplicada a metade dos dados da amostra (n = 693) identificou três itens redundantes adequados para exclusão (1. Necessidade de 8 horas de sono, 3. Consequências da insônia para a saúde e 15. Medicação como solução). Além disso, a Análise Exploratória de Grafos (EGA) identificou duas dimensões com excelente estabilidade estrutural, replicada quando a EGA foi aplicada à outra metade da amostra. Usando AFC, foi encontrado que o modelo obtido por EGA se ajustava significativamente melhor do que o modelo teórico proposto, endossando uma dimensionalidade alternativa da DBAS. Esses achados apoiam o uso do DBAS-16 com uma população de língua portuguesa brasileira. Além disso, após a exclusão de variáveis localmente dependentes, duas dimensões representaram melhor as crenças e atitudes disfuncionais sobre o sono.

Palavras-chave: Distúrbios do Início e da Manutenção do Sono, Psicometria, Testes Psicológicos, Modelo de Crenças de Saúde, Reprodutibilidade dos Testes, Avaliação da Pesquisa em Saúde.

ABSTRACT

Insomnia is a common problem that affects a significant portion of the population. Dysfunctional beliefs and attitudes about sleep contribute to developing and maintaining insomnia. This study developed a Brazilian-Portuguese version of the dysfunctional beliefs and attitudes about sleep scale (DBAS-16) and conducted a comprehensive psychometric evaluation using latent variable and psychometric network frameworks. Participants (N = 1,386) were between 18 and 59 years old, with and without insomnia complaints. Using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) with dynamic fit indices, the original DBAS-16 structure was replicated in this sample with moderate fit quality. There was also support for configural, metric, and scalar longitudinal invariance (14 days) but not for metric invariance across groups of good and bad sleepers. Unique Variable Analysis applied to half of the sample data (n = 693) identified three redundant items suitable for exclusion (1. Need 8 hours of sleep, 3. Consequences of insomnia on health, and 15. Medication as a solution). Additionally, Exploratory Graph Analysis (EGA) identified two dimensions with excellent structural stability, replicated when EGA was applied to the other half of the sample. Using CFA, it was found that the EGA model fit significantly better than the proposed theoretical model, endorsing an alternative DBAS dimensionality. These findings support the use of the DBAS-16 with a Brazilian-Portuguese-speaking population. Further, after excluding locally dependent variables, two dimensions better represent dysfunctional beliefs and attitudes about sleep.

Keywords: Sleep Initiation and Maintenance Disorders, Psychometrics, Psychological Tests, Health Belief Model, Reproducibility of Results, Health Research Evaluation.

LISTA DE FIGURAS

LISTA DE QUADROS

LISTA DE TABELAS

SUMÁRIO

1	Introduction	17
1.1	Constructs and Their Relations	17
1.2	Measurement of dysfunctional beliefs and attitudes about sleep	17
1.3	The Present Study	18
2	Methods	19
2.1	Study design and setting	19
2.2	Sample size	19
2.3	Participants	19
2.4	Material	20
2.4.1	Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes About Sleep Scale (DBAS-16) $\ \ldots \ \ldots$	20
	REFERÊNCIAS	21

1 INTRODUCTION

Insomnia is a disorder characterized by dissatisfaction with sleep duration or quality [1]. Several cognitive and behavioral models of insomnia emphasize the role of sleep-related thoughts in perpetuating the disorder [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The frequently referenced model of A. G. Harvey [3] proposes that negative thoughts and behaviors about sleep can trigger arousal and distress, leading to distorted perceptions of sleep and increased worry. These beliefs may also exacerbate cognitive activity and prevent sleep self-correction. The Microanalytic model [8] is also based on similar beliefs and is popular among experts studying insomnia processes [9].

Current evidence suggests that beliefs and attitudes about sleep play a role in perpetuating insomnia [10, 11, 12, 13], although some studies do not support this association [14]. The Microanalytic model [5] proposes that insomnia maintenance involves a cyclic process of arousal, dysfunctional cognitions, maladaptive habits, and consequences. Arousal refers to excessive emotional, cognitive, or physiological activity, which can create core beliefs that guide information processing [9]. Consequences may include unrealistic expectations, rigid beliefs about sleep requirements, and increased worry about the causes and consequences of sleep disturbances. Subsequent unhealthy sleep practices may include daytime napping, excessive time in bed, or indiscriminate use of sleep medication. Real or perceived consequences are linked to diminished performance during the day [15].

1.1 Constructs and Their Relations

Individuals with higher insomnia symptoms are typically strong endorsers of dysfunctional beliefs about sleep [16, 17, 18]. Cognitive-behavioral treatments target modifying such unhelpful beliefs and habits about sleep, leading to objective and subjective sleep quality improvements [19, 20, 21]. CBT-I has been shown to significantly improve beliefs and attitudes about sleep compared to controls, although the evidence quality is low [22].

Insomnia severity is a risk factor for anxiety [23] and depression [24, 25], but some suggest the relationship may be reversed [26, 27]. A link between anxiety, depression, and dysfunctional beliefs about sleep is also expected. Beck's [28] cognitive model for depression emphasizes inaccurate beliefs and maladaptive information processing. Unpleasant memories from negative experiences can cause anxiety [29]. Thus, unrealistic attributions and expectations about sleep can lead to anxiety-provoking thoughts. There is also evidence that dysfunctional beliefs about sleep are an indirect pathway between insomnia and depression [30].

1.2 Measurement of dysfunctional beliefs and attitudes about sleep

The Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes About Sleep Scale [DBAS, 8] is one of the earliest tools to evaluate sleep-related beliefs and attitudes. It is often used in research studies that examine sleep-related thoughts, particularly the 16-question version [31]. Originally a 30-

item self-report measure rated on a 100-mm scale of agreement/disagreement, it was shortened to 16 items and rated on an 11-point scale ranging from 0 (strongly disagree) to 10 (strongly agree) [32]. The 16 items were selected based on response distribution, item-total correlations, and exploratory oblique factor analysis.

A Confirmatory Factor Analysis was used to fit a 4-factor structure to the 16 items, with factors labeled (a) consequences of insomnia, (b) worry about sleep, (c) sleep expectations, (d) medication, and a fifth second-order general factor. Morin, Vallières e Ivers [32] have reported acceptable fit indices for the DBAS-16 but highlighted that items 10 ("sleep is unpredictable") and 13 ("insomnia resulting from chemical imbalance") with very low item-total correlations and factor loadings were kept because of their clinical relevance. Researchers have translated and validated the DBAS-16 across various cultures [e.g., 33, 34, 35], reporting good validity evidence overall.

Before the development of the DBAS-16, alternative versions of the scale were proposed. Espie et al. [36] created a 10-item version based on the item's statistically significant score change after cognitive-behavioral therapy for insomnia. However, Edinger and Wohlgemuth's [37] replication study did not fully reproduce the 3-factor structure of this version. Moreover, Chung, Ho e Yeung [38] found that the DBAS-16 outperformed 30- and 10-item versions in reproducibility, internal consistency, concurrent validity, and sensitivity to change.

Recently, Castillo et al. [39] analyzed the DBAS-16 using Item Response Theory (IRT) with university students. They discovered that the "medication" and "expectations" factors had the lowest test information, while the "worry/helplessness" and "consequences" subscales were highly informative in measuring the latent construct. Furthermore, the authors shortened the test by removing items 10, 13, and 16 to improve model fit.

Clemente et al. [40] proposed a 16-item version (DBAS-SF-16) of Morin's DBAS-30 after refining the scale items through sequential psychometric analysis. Exploratory factor analysis revealed two factors: "Consequences and Helplessness" and "Medication and Hopelessness." Four items from Morin's DBAS-16 did not meet the criteria for inclusion in DBAS-SF-16.

1.3 The Present Study

In the study and treatment of insomnia, it is important to consider dysfunctional beliefs about sleep. The Dysfunctional Beliefs About Sleep questionnaire is essential to assess this. Examining the psychometric properties of any measure used to evaluate unobservable constructs is crucial to ensure precision [41]. There have been discrepancies among studies regarding the DBAS items and factors' accuracy in representing the underlying construct, indicating a need for additional psychometric research on this measurement tool.

The present study has two primary aims: 1) to create a Brazilian-Portuguese adaptation of the Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep Scale (DBAS-16). Using latent variable

modeling, we will analyze its factorial structure, reliability, and construct validity. 2) To conduct an exploratory analysis using a psychometric network perspective. This approach models psychopathology as a network of causal interactions among symptoms rather than originating from a root cause, such as latent variable models, and has gained popularity in psychiatry and psychology [42, 43, 44].

2 METHODS

2.1 Study design and setting

The current study is linked to a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of behavioral treatment for insomnia (Clinical trial: NCT04866914). The study was approved by the research ethics committee of the General Hospital of the University of São Paulo, School of Medicine (HC-FMUSP), São Paulo, Brazil (CAAE: 46284821.1.0000.0068). All participants signed a consent form prior to their inclusion. Participants then completed an online survey using REDCap electronic data capture tools [45], including the Brazilian-Portuguese version of DBAS-16 and other auxiliary instruments. In order to test temporal stability, the same participants were emailed and asked to complete the same measures again 14 days later. This study was not preregistered. The R code, dataset, and RMarkdown file containing the manuscript text used in this paper can be accessed at osf.io/qcbwn.

2.2 Sample size

To estimate an adequate sample size, we used MacCallum et al.'s [46] root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA) tests of close and not-close fit for the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). We performed these tests in R 4.3.0 [47] using *semTools* version 0.5.6 [48]. Morin [32] previously reported an RMSEA of 0.059 for DBAS-16 in a CFA. Using this value as a starting point, we calculated the sample sizes needed to reject the test of not-close fit with an RMSEA value greater than 0.08 and the test of close fit with an RMSEA value less than 0.05, both with a power of 0.80 and a significance level of 0.05. Our calculations indicated that a minimum of 216 subjects were necessary to reject the not-close fit test, and 924 participants were required to reject the close fit test. Based on this information, our target sample size was set at a minimum of 924 participants.

2.3 Participants

Participants with and without insomnia were recruited from March 2021 to July 2022 through social media. The first group consisted of individuals already enrolled in behavioral treatment for insomnia. To include participants without insomnia, we requested volunteers who believed they had no sleeping issues. Interested individuals accessed the REDCap database plat-

form and responded to an initial screening. The inclusion criteria were age between 18 and 59 years and had no reported difficulties reading or writing in Portuguese.

Bad sleepers were categorized based on their complaints of insomnia. This includes experiencing difficulty falling asleep or staying asleep, as per the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [1] criteria. Additionally, participants' total score on the Insomnia Severity Index should not exceed 7 points [49]. The participants were classified as good sleepers if none of these criteria was met.

After removing those who did not complete a single item of DBAS-16 on the first administration, the final sample consisted of 1389 participants, of which 80.78% were female, and 73.51% reported insomnia symptoms. The mean age was 38.39 years (SD = 9.79, range: 18-59). Among those who reported race, there were 71.78% Whites, 23.83% Blacks, and 3.46% Asians. Most had a university degree (77.75%) and were active workers (76.96%).

2.4 Material

2.4.1 Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes About Sleep Scale (DBAS-16)

To develop a Brazilian-Portuguese version of the DBAS-16, we mainly based our methods on Beaton's [50] recommendations with additions from Borsa, Damásio e Bandeira [51]. The original English version was translated by three independent translators, two familiar with the instrument constructs and one an English teacher with no medical background. A committee of two clinical psychologists experts in insomnia synthesized the translated versions documenting their decisions in a form. Then, two native speakers of the source language back-translated the synthesized version to English for review by the first author of the original questionnaire. Next, we conducted a cognitive debriefing with 15 participants from different regions and educational levels to test the pre-final version. Of the participants, 12 were female, and the mean age was 43 years (range: 19–57). Overall, the participants understood the test items and instructions well, and only one term required alteration for better readability in the target language. The final translation is available as supplementary material, and all intermediate versions are available at osf.io/av45i.

REFERÊNCIAS

- 1. American Psychiatric Association, ed. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5. 5th ed. Washington, D.C: American Psychiatric Association, 2013. 947 pp.
- Espie CA, Broomfield NM, MacMahon KMA, Macphee LM e Taylor LM. The Attention-Intention-Effort Pathway in the Development of Psychophysiologic Insomnia: A Theoretical Review. Sleep Medicine Reviews. 2006 Aug; 10:215–45. DOI: 10.1016/j.smrv.2006. 03.002. pmid: 16809056
- 3. Harvey A. A Cognitive Model of Insomnia. Behaviour Research and Therapy. 2002 Aug; 40:869–93. DOI: 10/fwxq35. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0005796701000614 [Accessed on: 2021 Aug 5]
- 4. Lundh LG. The Role of Acceptance and Mindfulness in the Treatment of Insomnia. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy. 2005 Mar; 19:29–39. DOI: 10.1891/jcop.19.1.29.66331. Available from: http://connect.springerpub.com/lookup/doi/10.1891/jcop.19.1.29.66331 [Accessed on: 2020 Dec 4]
- 5. Morin CM, Stone J, Trinkle D, Mercer J e Remsberg S. Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep among Older Adults with and without Insomnia Complaints. Psychology and Aging. 1993 Sep; 8:463–7. DOI: 10/frwwvp. pmid: 8216967
- 6. Ong JC, Ulmer CS e Manber R. Improving Sleep with Mindfulness and Acceptance: A Metacognitive Model of Insomnia. Behaviour Research and Therapy. 2012 Nov; 50:651–60. DOI: 10/f4fczt. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0005796712001210 [Accessed on: 2021 Aug 3]
- 7. Perlis ML, Giles DE, Mendelson WB, Bootzin RR e Wyatt JK. Psychophysiological Insomnia: The Behavioural Model and a Neurocognitive Perspective. Journal of Sleep Research. 1997; 6:179–88. DOI: 10/fcrnvj. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1046/j.1365-2869.1997.00045.x [Accessed on: 2021 Dec 9]
- 8. Morin CM. Insomnia: Psychological assessment and management. Guilford press, 1993
- 9. Marques DR, Allen Gomes A, Clemente V, Santos JM e Castelo-Branco M. Hyperarousal and Failure to Inhibit Wakefulness in Primary Insomnia: ""Birds of a feather"? Sleep and Biological Rhythms. 2015 Jul 1; 13:219–28. DOI: 10.1111/sbr.12115. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/sbr.12115 [Accessed on: 2021 Jul 2]
- Akram U, Gardani M, Riemann D, Akram A, Allen SF, Lazuras L e Johann AF. Dysfunctional Sleep-Related Cognition and Anxiety Mediate the Relationship between Multidimensional Perfectionism and Insomnia Symptoms. Cognitive Processing. 2020 Feb 1;

- 21:141–8. DOI: 10.1007/s10339-019-00937-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10339-019-00937-8 [Accessed on: 2021 Jun 10]
- Chow PI, Ingersoll KS, Thorndike FP, Lord HR, Gonder-Frederick L, Morin CM e Ritterband LM. Cognitive Mechanisms of Sleep Outcomes in a Randomized Clinical Trial of Internet-Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia. Sleep Medicine. 2018 Jul; 47:77–85. DOI: 10.1016/j.sleep.2017.11.1140. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1389945717315915 [Accessed on: 2021 Jul 7]
- 12. Harvey AG, Dong L, Bélanger L e Morin CM. Mediators and Treatment Matching in Behavior Therapy, Cognitive Therapy and Cognitive Behavior Therapy for Chronic Insomnia. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2017; 85:975–87. DOI: 10.1037/ccp0000244
- 13. Lancee J, Effting M, van der Zweerde T, van Daal L, van Straten A e Kamphuis JH. Cognitive Processes Mediate the Effects of Insomnia Treatment: Evidence from a Randomized Wait-List Controlled Trial. Sleep Medicine. 2019 Feb 1; 54:86–93. DOI: 10.1016/j. sleep.2018.09.029. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1389945718302740 [Accessed on: 2021 Jul 2]
- 14. Norell-Clarke A, Hagström M e Jansson-Fröjmark M. Sleep-Related Cognitive Processes and the Incidence of Insomnia Over Time: Does Anxiety and Depression Impact the Relationship? Frontiers in Psychology. 2021; 12. DOI: 10/gk8x3v. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.677538/full [Accessed on: 2021 Jul 26]
- 15. Sullivan DP, Martin PR, Boschen MJ e Bandarian-Balooch S. Dysfunctional Sleep Beliefs and Behaviours: Psychological Factors in Sleep-Related Headaches. Behaviour Research and Therapy 2022 Jun; 153:104094. DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2022.104094. [Accessed on: 2022 Apr 23]
- 16. Carney CE e Edinger JD. Identifying Critical Beliefs About Sleep in Primary Insomnia. Sleep. 2006 Mar; 29:342–50. DOI: 10.1093/sleep/29.3.342. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/sleep/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/sleep/29.3.342 [Accessed on: 2020 Dec 4]
- Crönlein T, Wagner S, Langguth B, Geisler P, Eichhammer P e Wetter TC. Are Dysfunctional Attitudes and Beliefs about Sleep Unique to Primary Insomnia? Sleep Medicine.
 Dec 1; 15:1463–7. DOI: 10/gn3m9k. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1389945714003323 [Accessed on: 2022 Jan 11]
- 18. Eidelman P, Talbot L, Ivers H, $B\tilde{A}f\hat{A}$ ©langer L, Morin CM e Harvey AG. Change in Dysfunctional Beliefs About Sleep in Behavior Therapy, Cognitive Therapy, and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia. Behavior Therapy. 2016 Jan 1; 47:102–15. DOI: 10.

- 1016/j.beth.2015.10.002. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005789415001124 [Accessed on: 2020 Sep 26]
- 19. Harvey AG, Bélanger L, Talbot L, Eidelman P, Beaulieu-Bonneau S, Fortier-Brochu É, Ivers H, Lamy M, Hein K, Soehner AM, Mérette C e Morin CM. Comparative Efficacy of Behavior Therapy, Cognitive Therapy, and Cognitive Behavior Therapy for Chronic Insomnia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 2014 Aug; 82:670–83. DOI: 10.1037/a0036606
- 20. Sánchez-Ortuño MM e Edinger JD. A Penny for Your Thoughts: Patterns of Sleep-Related Beliefs, Insomnia Symptoms and Treatment Outcome. Behaviour Research and Therapy 2010 Feb; 48:125–33. DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2009.10.003
- 21. Belanger L, Savard J e Morin CM. Clinical Management of Insomnia Using Cognitive Therapy. Behavioral Sleep Medicine. 2006; 4:179–98. DOI: 10.1207/s15402010bsm0403_4. pmid: 16879081
- 22. Edinger JD, Arnedt JT, Bertisch SM, Carney CE, Harrington JJ, Lichstein KL, Sateia MJ, Troxel WM, Zhou ES, Kazmi U, L. HJ e L. MJ. Behavioral and Psychological Treatments for Chronic Insomnia Disorder in Adults: An American Academy of Sleep Medicine Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and GRADE Assessment. Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine. 2021; 17:263–98. DOI: 10.5664/jcsm.8988. Available from: https://jcsm.aasm.org/doi/10.5664/jcsm.8988 [Accessed on: 2021 May 6]
- 23. Neckelmann D, Mykletun A e Dahl AA. Chronic Insomnia as a Risk Factor for Developing Anxiety and Depression. Sleep. 2007 Jul 1; 30:873–80. DOI: 10/gf26g2. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/30.7.873 [Accessed on: 2022 Jan 18]
- 24. Blanken TF, Borsboom D, Penninx BW e Van Someren EJ. Network Outcome Analysis Identifies Difficulty Initiating Sleep as a Primary Target for Prevention of Depression: A 6-Year Prospective Study. Sleep. 2020 May 12; 43:1–6. DOI: 10/gghm2s. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsz288 [Accessed on: 2022 Jan 18]
- 25. Li L, Wu C, Gan Y, Qu X e Lu Z. Insomnia and the Risk of Depression: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. BMC Psychiatry. 2016 Nov 5; 16:375. DOI: 10/f9bsxr. pmid: 27816065. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5097837/ [Accessed on: 2022 Jan 18]
- 26. Chen P, Huang CLC, Weng SF, Wu MP, Ho CH, Wang JJ, Tsai WC e Hsu YW. Relapse Insomnia Increases Greater Risk of Anxiety and Depression: Evidence from a Population-Based 4-Year Cohort Study. Sleep Medicine. 2017 Oct 1; 38:122–9. DOI: 10.1016/j. sleep.2017.07.016. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1389945717303143 [Accessed on: 2022 May 17]

- 27. Jansson-Fröjmark M e Lindblom K. A Bidirectional Relationship between Anxiety and Depression, and Insomnia? A Prospective Study in the General Population. Journal of Psychosomatic Research. 2008 Apr 1; 64:443–9. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2007.10.016. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022399907004114 [Accessed on: 2022 May 17]
- 28. Beck AT. Cognitive therapy of depression. Guilford press, 1979
- 29. Brewin CR. Theoretical foundations of cognitive-behavior therapy for anxiety and depression. Annual review of psychology 1996; 47:33–57
- 30. Sadler P, McLaren S e Jenkins M. A Psychological Pathway from Insomnia to Depression among Older Adults. International Psychogeriatrics. 2013 Aug; 25:1375–83. DOI: 10. 1017/S1041610213000616. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1041610213000616/type/journal_article [Accessed on: 2021 Jun 10]
- 31. Thakral M, Von Korff M, McCurry SM, Morin CM e Vitiello MV. Changes in Dysfunctional Beliefs about Sleep after Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. Sleep Medicine Reviews. 2020 Feb; 49:101230. DOI: 10.1016/j.smrv.2019.101230. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1087079219301984 [Accessed on: 2021 Jul 7]
- 32. Morin CM, Vallières A e Ivers H. Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep (DBAS): Validation of a Brief Version (DBAS-16). Sleep 2007 Nov; 30:1547–54. DOI: 10.1093/sleep/30.11.1547
- 33. Boysan M, Merey Z, Kalafat T e Kağan M. Validation of a Brief Version of the Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep Scale in Turkish Sample. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences. WCPCG 2010 2010 Jan; 5:314–7. DOI: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.07.095
- 34. Dhyani M, Rajput R e Gupta R. Hindi Translation and Validation of Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep (DBAS 16). Industrial Psychiatry Journal 2013; 22:80–5. DOI: 10.4103/0972-6748.123639
- 35. Lang C, Brand S, Holsboer-Trachsler E, Pühse U, Colledge F e Gerber M. Validation of the German Version of the Short Form of the Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep Scale (DBAS-16). Neurological Sciences 2017 Jun; 38:1047–58. DOI: 10.1007/s10072-017-2921-x
- 36. Espie CA, Inglis SJ, Harvey L e Tessier S. Insomniacs' Attributions: Psychometric Properties of the Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep Scale and the Sleep Disturbance Questionnaire. Journal of Psychosomatic Research 2000 Feb; 48:141–8. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3999(99)00090-2. [Accessed on: 2020 Sep 26]

- 37. Edinger JD e Wohlgemuth WK. Psychometric Comparisons of the Standard and Abbreviated DBAS-10 Versions of the Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep Questionnaire. Sleep Medicine 2001 Nov; 2:493–500. DOI: 10.1016/S1389-9457(01)00078-8. [Accessed on: 2020 Sep 26]
- 38. Chung K, Ho F e Yeung W. Psychometric Comparison of the Full and Abbreviated Versions of the Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep Scale. Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine. 2016; 12:821–8. DOI: 10.5664/jcsm.5878. Available from: https://jcsm.aasm.org/doi/full/10.5664/jcsm.5878 [Accessed on: 2020 Sep 26]
- 39. Castillo LIR, Hadjistavropoulos T, Tan LO e MacNab YC. Item Response Theory Analysis of the Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep-16 (DBAS-16) Scale in a University Student Sample. PLOS ONE 2023 Feb; 18:e0281364. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0281364. [Accessed on: 2023 Feb 7]
- 40. Clemente V, Marques DR, Miller-Mendes M, Morin CM, Serra J e Allen Gomes A. The Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes about Sleep Scale: Dimensions of the European Portuguese DBAS-30 and Development of a New Short Version (DBAS-SF-16). Sleep Medicine 2023 Mar :S1389945723001168. DOI: 10.1016/j.sleep.2023.03.021. [Accessed on: 2023 Mar 29]
- 41. McNeish D. Limitations of the Sum-and-Alpha Approach to Measurement in Behavioral Research. Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences 2022 Oct; 9:196–203. DOI: 10.1177/23727322221117144
- 42. Borsboom D. Psychometric Perspectives on Diagnostic Systems. Journal of Clinical Psychology 2008 Sep; 64:1089–108. DOI: 10.1002/jclp.20503
- 43. Borsboom D e Cramer AO. Network Analysis: An Integrative Approach to the Structure of Psychopathology. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology 2013 Mar; 9:91–121. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185608
- 44. Bringmann LF, Albers C, Bockting C, Borsboom D, Ceulemans E, Cramer A, Epskamp S, Eronen MI, Hamaker E, Kuppens P, Lutz W, McNally RJ, Molenaar P, Tio P, Voelkle MC e Wichers M. Psychopathological Networks: Theory, Methods and Practice. Behaviour Research and Therapy 2022 Feb; 149:104011. DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2021.104011
- 45. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, McLeod L, Delacqua G, Delacqua F, Kirby J et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. Journal of biomedical informatics 2019; 95:103208
- 46. MacCallum RC, Browne MW e Sugawara HM. Power Analysis and Determination of Sample Size for Covariance Structure Modeling. Psychological Methods 1996; 1:130–49. DOI: 10.1037/1082-989X.1.2.130

- 47. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria, 2023. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/
- 48. Jorgensen TD, Pornprasertmanit S, Schoemann AM e Rosseel Y. semTools: Useful tools for structural equation modeling. R package version 0.5-6. 2021. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semTools
- 49. Bastien CH, Vallières A e Morin CM. Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an Outcome Measure for Insomnia Research. Sleep Medicine 2001 Jul; 2:297–307. DOI: 10.1016/s1389-9457(00)00065-4
- 50. Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F e Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the Process of Cross-Cultural Adaptation of Self-Report Measures: Spine 2000 Dec; 25:3186–91. DOI: 10. 1097/00007632-200012150-00014
- 51. Borsa JC, Damásio BF e Bandeira DR. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of psychological instruments: some considerations. Paidéia (Ribeirão Preto) 2012 Dec; 22:423–32. DOI: 10.1590/S0103-863X2012000300014