Homework 3

Multiple Regression

YOUR NAME

Due February 3rd, 2023

Contents

$\operatorname{Question} 1$	1
Part a) [1 pt.]	2
Part b) [1.5 pt.]	2
Part c) [0.5 pt.]	3
Part d) [1 pt.]	4
$\operatorname{Question} 2$	4
Part a) [1 pt.]	4
Part b) [1 pt.]	5
Part c) [1 pt.]	5
Part d) [1 pt.]	5
Question 3	5
Part a) [1 pt.]	5
Part b) [1 pt.]	6
Extra Credit [3 pts.]	6

For this assignment, there are two datasets that you will use: hw2data.csv and motivation.Rdata If you do the extra credit, you will also use the arh_hw3.Rdata dataset.

Question 1

Use the hw2 data to answer this question. The data come from the study below:

Kim, S. E., Kim, H. N., Cho, J., Kwon, M. J., Chang, Y., et al. (2016) Correction: Direct and indirect effects of five factor personality and gender on depressive symptoms mediated by perceived stress. *PLOS ONE*, 11: e0157204.

The hw2 file contains the following variables:

- Stress: Total perceived stress score from self-reported stress questionnaire
- CESD: Total depression score for the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale
- N: Total score on neuroticism from the Revised NEO Personality Inventory
- E: Total score on extraversion from the Revised NEO Personality Inventory
- 0: Total score on openness to Experience from the Revised NEO Personality Inventory
- A: Total score on agreeableness from the Revised NEO Personality Inventory
- C: Total score on conscientiousness from the Revised NEO Personality Inventory
- sex: Binary variable representing biological sex (0 = male; 1 = female)

Part a) [1 pt.]

Fit a linear model using Openness (0) and conscientiousness (C) to predict Depression (CESD), write the regression equation, and interpret each of the parameters found in the multiple regression model. Round all numbers to two decimal places.

```
mod1 \leftarrow lm(CESD \sim 0 + C, data = hw2)
summary(mod1)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = CESD \sim 0 + C, data = hw2)
##
## Residuals:
##
                 1Q Median
                                  ЗQ
       Min
                                         Max
##
  -14.574 -3.543 -1.278
                              2.191 36.636
##
## Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 14.869830
                            0.630851 23.571 < 2e-16 ***
                0.037636
                            0.008959
                                        4.201 2.72e-05 ***
                -0.120927
                            0.010991 -11.003 < 2e-16 ***
## C
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 6.422 on 3947 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.03225,
                                      Adjusted R-squared: 0.03176
## F-statistic: 65.77 on 2 and 3947 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
                  \tilde{\text{CESD}}_i = 14.87 + 0.038 \times Openness_i - 0.12 \times Conscientiousness_i
```

Interpretations

- **Intercept**: The predicted level of Total depression when Openness and Conscientiousness are both equal zero is 14.87.
- Estimate of 0: There is a predicted increase of 0.038 points in depression scores for every one-unit increase in Openness, when holding Conscientiousness constant.
- Estimate of C: There is a predicted decrease of -0.12 points in depression scores for every one-unit increase in Conscientiousness, when holding Openness constant.

Part b) [1.5 pt.]

Repeat the multiple regression model from Part a, but with *standardized* predictors. Write the regression equation and interpret the slopes of the two predictors.

Based on this analysis is Openness (0) or Conscientiousness (C) a better predictor of Depression (CESD)? Explain your reasoning.

```
hw2_std <- hw2 |>
  dplyr::mutate(
    dplyr::across(N:C, ~ scale(.x))
)

mod2 <- lm(CESD ~ 0 + C, data = hw2_std)
summary(mod2)</pre>
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = CESD ~ 0 + C, data = hw2_std)
##
## Residuals:
##
                1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
## -14.574 -3.543 -1.278
                             2.191
                                    36.636
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 11.5342
                            0.1022 112.877 < 2e-16 ***
                 0.4310
                            0.1026
                                     4.201 2.72e-05 ***
## C
                -1.1289
                            0.1026 -11.003 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 6.422 on 3947 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.03225,
                                    Adjusted R-squared: 0.03176
## F-statistic: 65.77 on 2 and 3947 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
                 CESD_i = 11.53 + 0.43 \times Openness_i - 1.13 \times Conscientiousness_i
```

Interpretations

Intercept: 11.53Estimate of 0: 0.43Estimate of C: -1.13

• Better predictor: Consciousness

Part c) [0.5 pt.]

Add N as another standardized predictor to the model created in Part b. Write the regression equation, and identify what the best predictor of depression (CESD) is in the model.

```
mod3 <- lm(CESD ~ 0 + C + N, data = hw2_std)
summary(mod3)
##
## Call:</pre>
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = CESD \sim 0 + C + N, data = hw2_std)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
## -16.2243 -3.4145 -0.5357
                                2.5046 30.4171
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                           0.09459 121.937 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) 11.53418
                                     2.971 0.00299 **
## 0
               0.28269
                           0.09515
## C
               0.26280
                           0.10934
                                     2.404 0.01628 *
## N
               2.79808
                           0.10891 25.691 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 5.945 on 3946 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.1709, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1703
```

F-statistic: 271.2 on 3 and 3946 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

 $\widehat{\text{CESD}}_i = 11.53 + 0.28 \times Openness_i + 0.26 \times Conscientiousness_i + 2.80 \times Neuroticism_i$

The best predictor of CESD is:

• Neuroticism

Part d) [1 pt.]

Create a table summarizing the results of your models. The table does not have to be perfectly compliant with APA formatting, but it should be presentable (see the tables in Lab for expectations). The table should have all numbers rounded to 2 decimal places, names for the models, and should include confidence intervals.

stargazer(mod1, mod2, mod3, type = "latex", header = FALSE, title = "Multiple regression model prediction." column.labels = c("Raw predictors", "Standardized predictors", "Mod 3"))

Table 1: Multiple regression model predicting Depression scores from Openness, Conscientiousness (1 and 2), and Neuroticism (3).

	Raw predictors	Standardized predictors	Mod 3	
	(1)	(1) (2)	(2)	(3)
O	0.04***	0.43***	0.28***	
	(0.02,0.06)	(0.23, 0.63)	(0.10, 0.47)	
С	-0.12***	-1.13***	0.26**	
	(-0.14, -0.10)	(-1.33, -0.93)	(0.05, 0.48)	
N			2.80***	
			(2.58, 3.01)	
Constant	14.87***	11.53***	11.53***	
	(13.63, 16.11)	(11.33, 11.73)	(11.35, 11.72)	
Observations	3,950	3,950	3,950	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.03	0.03	0.17	
Adjusted R ²	0.03	0.03	0.17	
Residual Std. Error	6.42 (df = 3947)	6.42 (df = 3947)	5.94 (df = 3946)	
F Statistic	$65.77^{***} (df = 2; 3947)$	$65.77^{***} (df = 2; 3947)$	$271.18^{***} (df = 3; 3946)$	

Question 2

Part a) [1 pt.]

Using the hw2 data, predict Stress from the following independent variables, in a series of regression models:

- Model 1: Stress predicted by Openness (0)
- Model 2: Stress predicted by sex
- Model 3: Stress predicted by Openness (0) plus sex
- Model 4: Stress predicted by Openness (0), sex, and the interaction between Openness (0) and sex

Standardize all the appropriate variables in the analyses and present the results of the analyses in a table.

Part b) [1 pt.]

Write the estimated equations from the regression in Model 4 for when sex = 0 (males) and when sex = 1 (females). Make sure to simplify the equations.

You may find equations 1-3 in this paper useful.

$$\widehat{\text{Stress}}_i = ??$$
, when $\text{sex} = 0$

$$\widehat{\text{Stress}}_i = ??$$
, when $\text{sex} = 1$

Part c) [1 pt.]

Create a scatter plot depicting the interaction analysis above. Make sure that data points and regression line for males and females is clearly labeled, and that the differences between males and females are apparent. You made need to try different combinations of colors and/or panels to make a nice graph.

Part d) [1 pt.]

Answer the following questions:

- i) On its own, which variable had a bigger effect on Stress: Openness (0) or sex?
- ii) In which group was there a stronger association between Openness (0) and Stress: males or females?

Answers:

- WRITE ANSWER TO QUESTION 1 HERE
- WRITE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 HERE

Question 3

Part a) [1 pt.]

Using the motivation dataset, run a regression with motivation predicted by difficulty and write the regression equation. Then, create a quadratic model with motivation predicted by difficulty and write the regression equation. Do not standardize difficulty, but make sure it is mean-centered. You can do this using the scale() function and setting scale = FALSE, e.g.,

```
# example of mean-centering the variable `x`
x <- 1:10
mean_centered_x <- scale(x, center = TRUE, scale = FALSE)
mean(x)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 5.5
```

```
mean(mean_centered_x)
```

```
## [1] 0
```

```
# first model
```

$$\widehat{\mathrm{motiv}}_i = ??$$

second model

$$\widehat{\text{motiv}}_i = ??$$

Which model accounts for more variance in motivation (i.e., which model has a higher R^2)?

Answer: WRITE ANSWER HERE

Part b) [1 pt.]

For the quadratic model, provide how you would interpret the following:

- Intercept: WRITE ANSWER HERE
- Estimate of difficulty (not the square of difficulty):

Extra Credit [3 pts.]

Using two of the three variables in arh_hw3, create a regression model in which suppression occurs. The variables should be standardized in the regression model. You may need to test out different combinations of independent variables, dependent variables, and covariates.

Remember to examine the necessary R^2 values to confirm that suppression has occurred. Once you have identified a model that leads to suppression, report the regression equation below, and identify: the type of suppression that is occurring, the suppressor variable, and the supressed variable. You may include a brief explanation if you would like.

Regression Model

$$\widehat{\text{fdeath}}_i = ??$$

Type of Suppression Occurring * WRITE ANSWER HERE

The Suppressor Variable * WRITE ANSWER HERE

The Suppressed Variable * WRITE ANSWER HERE

Explanation: * WRITE ANSWER HERE