## Problem Set 3

## Mark Xavier (xaviem01)

March 31, 2019

1. Constraint 1: Any set  $W_i \in W$  where  $W_i$  is also in Y, the union of all such  $W_i$  should include any atom of U exactly once. In other words,  $\forall a \in U$ , if  $a \in Y$  then it is only in Y once.

Constraint 2: For the problem to actually be satisfiable, the union of all sets  $W_i \in W$  must include every  $a \in U$  at least once. If this constraint is not met, the problem is unsatisfiable as no collection of  $W_i$  will include every atom in S.

- 2. Problem 2:
  - (a) Parent(Anne, Ted)
  - $(b) \ \forall_{x,y} \ \mathtt{Parent}(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) \Rightarrow \mathtt{Earlier}(\mathtt{Birth}(\mathtt{p}),\mathtt{Birth}(\mathtt{q}))$
  - $(c) \ \forall_{p,q}, \mathtt{Living}(\mathtt{p},\mathtt{t}) \Leftrightarrow \mathtt{Earlier}(\mathtt{Birth}(\mathtt{p}),\mathtt{t}) \land \mathtt{Earlier}(\mathtt{t},\mathtt{Death}(\mathtt{p}))$
  - (d)  $\forall_{ta,tb}$  Earlier(ta,tb)  $\Rightarrow \neg$ Earlier(tb,ta) (I use implies instead of iff to account for the case where ta == tb)
  - $(e) \ \forall_{ta,tb,tc} \ \texttt{Earlier}(\texttt{ta},\texttt{tb}) \land \texttt{Earlier}(\texttt{tb},\texttt{tc}) \Rightarrow \texttt{Earlier}(\texttt{ta},\texttt{tc})$
  - (f)  $\forall_p \exists_t \text{ s.t.Living}(p, t)$
  - (g) ¬Living(Ted, Born(Anne))
  - (h)  $\forall_p$  Earlier(Born(p), Death(p))
- 3. After conversion to CNF, we are left with the following clauses:
  - a. Parent(Anne, Ted)
  - b.  $\neg Parent(x, y) \lor Earlier(Birth(x), Birth(y))$
  - c1.  $\neg$ Living(p,t)  $\lor$  Earlier(Birth(p),t)
  - c2.  $\neg$ Living(p,t)  $\lor$  Earlier(t, Death(p))
  - c3.  $\neg \text{Earlier}(\text{Birth}(p), t) \lor \neg \text{Earlier}(t, \text{Death}(p)) \lor \text{Living}(p, t)$
  - d.  $\neg Earlier(ta, tb) \lor \neg Earlier(tb, ta)$

Now assume Living(Ted, Born(Anne)). Given (a), we know Earlier(Birth(Anne), Birth(Ted)). Then we know from (c1) that for Living(Ted, Born(Anne)) we need Earlier(Birth(Ted), Birth(Anne)).

This leads to Earlier(Birth(Anne), Birth(Ted)) from (a), but also Earlier(Birth(Ted), Birth(Anne)) from (c1), and per (d), we have a contradiction. Therefore we prove by contradiction that ¬ Living(Ted, Born(Anne)).

- 4. After conversion to CNF, we are left with the following clauses:
  - c1.  $\neg$ Living(p,t)  $\lor$  Earlier(Birth(p),t)
  - c2.  $\neg Living(p, t) \lor Earlier(t, Death(p))$
  - c3.  $\neg \text{Earlier}(\text{Birth}(p), t) \lor \neg \text{Earlier}(t, \text{Death}(p)) \lor \text{Living}(p, t)$
  - e.  $\neg$ Earlier(ta, tb)  $\vee \neg$ Earlier(tb, tc)  $\vee$  Earlier(ta, tc)
  - f. Living(p,  $\lambda$ (p))

Now assume  $\neg \text{Earlier}(\text{Born}(p), \text{Death}(p))$ . Then from (f), we have that p is living at time  $\lambda(p)$ . From (c1), we have  $\text{Earlier}(\text{Birth}(p), \lambda(p))$  and (c2) gives  $\text{Earlier}(\lambda(p), \text{Death}(p))$ . However, at (e), we get that  $\text{Earlier}(\text{Birth}(p), \lambda(p)) \wedge \text{Earlier}(\lambda(p), \text{Death}(p))$  leads to Earlier(Birth(p), Death(p)). However, this is in direct contradiction to our starting assumption, meaning our assumption was wrong and (h) is proven.