

Führer Biden and his eugenics program

Part 1: In light of recent events:

Though it is the most obvious as well as the most intuitively obvious matter in the world, there is a great deal of resistance, even in supposedly socialist spaces to acknowledge and address the cynical, callous and calculated tactics that the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie have employed to consolidate their power in the midst of the pandemic. These moves are the genesis of a valid "conspiracy theory" commonly referred to as The Great Reset and no one has borne the consequences of this deliberate mismanagement more so than the working class all over the world (including workers in the imperial core). The cosmopolitan bourgeoisie attempt to force the general public to gamble with their health regarding the vaccine mandates. It is a known fact that one is actually safer from COVID being unvaccinated than if they were to be injected with the Pfizer vaccine.

Without mincing words, if one lives in the imperial core and they are forced to take a vaccine that invariably compromises their health along with being cut off from reasonable alternative vaccines, refusing to take the vaccine is the appropriate response. It becomes our duty as the advocates of the working class to support them when these neoliberal states demand that they take their vaccines on threat of losing their livelihoods, assets and basic necessities. These are matters of principle that should not be up for debate to any serious socialist, but we are still faced with the blight upon the working class which we should forever refer to as the leftwaffe. As we can see in recent cases such as the Freedom Convoy in Canada, the leftwaffe threw tantrums about it not being a true working class movement and derided it as a petty bourgeois cause. That is, when they were not complaining about the minority of "white nationalists" partaking in the protests.

These idiots and degenerates naturally and practically choose the side of the finance imperialists and cosmopolitan bourgeoisie in a conflict between the former and service workers/petty bourgeoisie. This is a recent event and we have all bore witness to the way that the fascist Trudeau dismantled these peaceful protests and watched the imperialist media posture regarding "non-violent dangers". He then, under the pretense of protecting the Canadian state, threatened to use an emergency act to seize the assets and freeze the bank accounts of men who have already lost their jobs due to his heavy handed post-COVID mandates. Yet still, the leftwaffe fails to understand that these measures set new precedents. Were the fascist states in service to the

cosmopolitan bourgeoisie to follow Trudeau's example, workers would be forced to receive handouts from the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie, they would not be able to work unless allowing the state to make personal decisions for them and if they were to protest it in any form, they would be imprisoned with not a copper left to their name.

This is clearly an instance of the bourgeoisie exploiting either the pandemic or material conditions created by the pandemic to expand the power of the state. However, what should not be discounted in any case is the long game that they are playing. While the actions of a fascist state concerning the Freedom Convoys are overt and recent, there have been other egregious offenses covertly committed by the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie since the pandemic began. Every sentient human being is wise to the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie's love for Malthusian bunk. It is a way they can use the fear of overpopulation to hoard resources like the US hijacking masks on the tarmac.^[1] At this point, it should be no surprise to anyone that said Malthusian bunk has resulted in their hoarding of medical supplies, in the midst of a worldwide pandemic and that these actions have been legitimized first by Trump, then by the senile warmonger, Biden, who happens to be the leader of the neoliberal bloc.

Before said elderly war criminal took office, he took measures to legitimize a field attempting to square medicine and ethical philosophy known as bioethics. At the surface, it appears similar to what would be encountered in any university classroom where ethics is being taught. In such environments, the intelligentsia, due to having far too much time on hand, dream up these complex and elaborate scenarios that are meant to test one's morality. Naturally, like the intelligentsia themselves, these scenarios are, more often than not, entirely divorced from material reality and anyone sitting in these classrooms would understand that these discussions could only yield value under the framework of fiction. Once you cross this intellectual masturbation with practical biology and medicine in particular, you are more or less discussing science fiction.

Part 2: Ezekiel Emmanuel

The moment you realize that this field is legitimized by the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie, you realize that you are dealing with a potential dystopian future which is inspired by the ruling class's love for fascism, metaphysical thought and general degeneracy. It is absolutely vital that we recognize both the correlations and the common causation between bioethics and eugenics just as we acknowledge what is so obviously similar between the neoliberal bloc and their "classical" fascist progenitors. For that reason, every person reading this should be more than a little concerned that the bioethicist Ezekiel Emmanuel was appointed by Joe Biden to a coronavirus task force. As for those of us who know anything about his endeavors prior to achieving relevance, we understand that the circumstances are extremely grim. Emmanuel is well-connected among the fascist regime of the US. His brother is Rahn Emmanuel, chief of staff under former US president Barack Obama and he himself helped craft the Affordable Care act. More importantly, throughout his career, he has advocated reforms that have principles firmly rooted in eugenics.

Emmanuel asserts that the Hippocratic Oath is obsolete and ought to be discarded. For those who do not know, the Hippocratic Oath is in fact, the central dogma of all medical practices. Because of it, doctors are obligated to treat their patients. In simpler terms, the Hippocratic Oath mandates that the doctor "do no harm" or let the patient die to address "matters of higher priority". We are not speculating that Ezekiel Emmanuel wishes to authorize doctors to let their patients die. We know that to a certainty because of the words that have left his own mouth. In addition, he wishes for Malthusian bunk to be so pervasive that the population volunteers itself to be euthanized by the age of 75, as to reduce the burden that the elderly have on society.

In addition, he pushes the narrative of objective scarcity as it concerns medical supplies and medicine. Bioethicists in general and Emmanuel in particular constantly advocate for the "rationing of healthcare" and argue that this "rationing" is already in practice. They will liken it to cases where there is a shortage of organ donors which necessarily means that some people in need of organs will perish. They then go on to claim that medical supplies such as ventilators, hospital beds, medication etc. are/would be in short supply in extreme circumstances and as such doctors would need guidelines to decide who lives and dies.

This is, of course, completely false, since scarcity is in this level of production, the manifestation of a lack of willingness to produce, and deindustrialization more than anything else, as proven by Hungary that designed its own indigenous ventilator that is

world class, and managed to have a surplus even during the worst height of the pandemic. Furthermore all countries built up capacities to produce protective equipment, disinfectants, and various antiviral drugs in short order. This lie of scarcity is particularly egregious as we have seen the ways that the neoliberal bloc has addressed the pandemic as opposed to the approach taken by the PRC, Vietnam, Laos and the Russian Federation, just to name a few examples.

For example, the US has been attempting to strongarm small island countries such as Trinidad and Tobago into taking their third rate vaccines such as Pfizer and Moderna. In one transaction, the US sent only 200 vials for the whole country whereas the PRC gave them 50,000 vaccines, free of cost. Scarcity of anything to mitigate the pandemic is a blatant lie. In reality, the neoliberal bloc wishes to prevent countries from protecting their interests, so they may pick the bones. They do this by manufacturing scarcity, allowing the public health crisis to deteriorate and then overcharge for things that China or Russia would have provided for free out of business and friendship.

It is of vital importance that we note that a man appointed by the current US president, discussed his preferred policy in a pandemic-like situation and is currently responsible for crafting policy relevant to how hospitals proceed during the pandemic. In this false dilemma concocted by the bioethicists/neo-eugenicists, there is a need for the state to form an “independent” body to decide who receives medical care and who is left untreated. In other words, they are manufacturing consent for the establishment of death panels.

As one should correctly surmise, this is the exact rationale and method that the Nazis used in the sterilization and/or extermination of the physically crippled, mentally retarded and elderly. They too declared that diseased people cause precious resources to be wasted and they too formed death panels to determine who was to live and die under their regime. Call a spade a spade. It doesn’t matter if the tone is docile or if it is marketed with rainbows and sparkles. We are, in fact, dealing with death panels by a regime that is flirting with eugenicists. The only differences are that the new way is framed as “cost effective” and that the state is not directly striking the killing blow.

In either case, the state should be held responsible. For the utterly idiotic leftwaffe who will read this and accuse us of being alarmists, this is not a theoretical matter. It is not only that the neo-eugenicist Ezekiel Emmanuel advocates the “rationing of healthcare” and just happens to also be on a COVID taskforce. It is that he advocates for his neo-eugenics to inspire medical procedure during the pandemic and has specifically implored medical staff to “ration health care” during COVID. One can easily

determine, from his own words, according to policy he advocates, that if a patient is elderly, already suffering from respiratory illness or generally more likely to die, medical care could legally be denied in the absence of the Hippocratic Oath in favor of prioritizing the healthier patients.

Part 3: Bioethics is Eugenics.

As is common concerning left flank imperialist apologia, a liberal and/or leftwaffer will likely refuse to be even a little bit skeptical, performing much mental gymnastics to protect their perception of their benefactors. No matter the level of doubt, they will demand to know to a certainty and their faith in any given narrative will remain steadfast no matter how much evidence precedes the proof. Regarding what is written here, valid arguments proving both correlation and causation between bioethics and eugenics have been stated. Yet still they would doubt it unless all was stated explicitly. We did not forget this. Lest any of you have any doubts, there is definitive proof that the very field of bioethics is intrinsically attached to eugenics.

There is a group of researchers known as “The Hastings Center” which, according to their website, was instrumental in establishing the field of bioethics. This is interesting because the founding director of the Hastings Center was Theodosius Dobzhansky who was also the chairman of the American Eugenics Society from 1969-1975. Additionally, the co-founder of the organization, Daniel Callahan, was the director of the very same organization from 1987-1992. The only difference is that in his tenure, it was renamed to the Society for the Study of Social Biology. Needless to say, this nominal difference does not change the fact that two of the founders of the field of bioethics are unabashed eugenicists. On top of all of this, there is a well-documented history between the Hastings Center and the Rockefellers who were known proponents of eugenics. The Hastings Center received much of its funding from the Rockefeller-controlled Population Council. The latter’s existence was dedicated to rebranding eugenics as bioethics and shifting assertions regarding euthanasia and death panels to seemingly abstract hypotheticals.

As previously stated and as we are all currently witnessing, the moment the conditions of these previously abstract conversations materialize in reality, the result is invariably the same as state-enforced eugenics. As the Rockefellers and cosmopolitan bourgeoisie openly supported eugenics in Nazi Germany, they imported the remnants, founded both the neo-eugenics movement and organizations to promote it and simply renamed the field to bioethics. If the liberal apologists for imperialism were to “follow the money” as they so often and so obnoxiously demand, they would find that Rockefeller money is present in the first wave of American eugenics, the Kaiser Wilhelm eugenics institutes of Nazi Germany and finally in the Population Council and Hastings Center among others that operate today. The previously mentioned neo-eugenicist, Ezekiel Emmanuel is a fellow of the Hastings Center whose entire career began upon his proclaiming that individuals who are not and cannot ever be

"participating citizens", should not be guaranteed medical services. He specifically cited patients with dementia as an "obvious" example.

It is also important to note Ezekiel Emmanuel advocates for infanticide. In the same way his malthusian bunk inspires him to advocate euthanizing the elderly, he vehemently asserts that mentally retarded and/or crippled infants are not worthy of life. This comes back to what he had previously said regarding people afflicted with dementia, positing that they are incapable of ever becoming "contributing" members of society. While he attempts to soften the blow of his neo-nazi eugenicist bunk, it does not change the overall meaning of the things he says. To a parasitic leftoid and/or citizen of the imperial core, he simply talks about medical care for sick individuals "not being guaranteed", those of us with basic common sense know better. We would implore the second coming of Josef Mengele to admit that he wishes to murder infants and the elderly, whatever his twisted reasoning may be. It makes little difference that he swaps out the term 'euthanasia' for "rationing of healthcare". It is equally inconsequential that he would shun the genocidal actions of the nazi regime in favor of simply allowing nature to take its course while denying healthcare.

As previously stated, he is in favor of condemning the demented to death because they will never be able to "justify their existence". He uses this same rationale specifically in the cases of infants born with down syndrome or any given debilitating condition. This bleeding heart humanist, in his infinite wisdom, has confirmed that he sees infanticide as a kindness in certain cases and likens it to certain instances of abortion. To provide a frame of reference, he is referring to cases in which a fetus is almost certainly going to be stillborn and is at the point of endangering the life of a pregnant woman. Him and other neo-eugenicists (bioethicists) like to mask this proactive infanticide and euthanasia as something more "humane" by calling it "post-term abortion". The parallels to nazism could not possibly be more apparent. If anyone speaks on liberals' wishing to murder infants and the elderly, they are sincere and truthful. [2]

Part 4: A recent example in the COVID-era.

“Cases where the newborn has the potential to have an (at least) acceptable life, but the well-being of the family is at risk.”

This was not just a phenomenon exclusive to the US. Back during the start of the Coronavirus pandemic in the United Kingdom, one of the harder hit and more locked-down countries, a lot of the public discourse and state propaganda was aimed at “Saving the NHS”. The NHS is the public health service of the country, a rarity amongst bourgeois states, and a concession wrested from the bourgeoisie after the Second World War. As expected from a capitalist state, state expenses that do not go directly into their donor's pockets, and god forbid, shrink their markets are frowned upon, so various strategies, both soft and hard, “experts” and politicians, were deployed to degrade this institution, to create more and more opportunities for privatized healthcare to flourish and fleece the sick. The money invested into the NHS was syphoned out by bureaucrats and “water-head” (a leadership that takes too much money and does too little work), leaving it generally understaffed and lacking both in actual manpower and needed infrastructure.

As such, the need to prevent the system from collapsing dominated public discourse, and thanks to the bourgeoisie framing the debate within the limits acceptable to their class interests, the topics of arguments were lockdowns, restrictions and mandates (which increased the scope of the state power, and as thus the capacity of the bourgeoisie to prevent worker organization). Not letting a good crisis go to waste (to quote their beloved Churchill), the eugenicists made their move. Llynfi Surgery for example, and other general practices sent out forms which asked chronically ill people to sign away their right to be resuscitated, should they reach that stage. To repeat, they asked their patients to ask them to be left dying in a ditch. In case there were doubts about their connection to this eugenicist train of thought, they told these sick people that they wouldn't be offered ventilator beds anyways, and used specific wording such as “young and fit” to positively discriminate those they actively wanted to save. [3][4]

While these letters were retracted, and public apologies were sent against the outrage, it is obvious to everyone that it was just a probe of a concept our cosmopolitan enemies are still keen on introducing and making a norm. Without addressing the root

cause, and destroying the people who keep pushing eugenics in this age, as well as the interest groups behind them, the battle can not be won.

Part 5: Closing words.

It must be understood that it is not simply some conspiracy for the sake of evil, or something moral, or even for the sake of the immoral. The reason that this malthusian bunk exists, and crops up in various sections of our ruling class is a simple product of material interests.

The capitalist system, having reached the limits of extensive production, and is ramping up the development of intensive production, reached the point where the human is no longer needed. Everywhere in industries, machines, digitalization, computer control, have replaced the labourer. Previously, the increase of revenue, and thus the growth of the capitalist's power and wealth, depended on the increase of workforce, the availability of more and more miners, factory workers, cooks, and the whole support staff built around these processes, as well as the control structures (controlled media, bread, circus, the entirety of labour aristocracy, etc.) that kept everyone in line. But now by the same logic, the growth of capitalist power demands these expenses on the aforementioned people to be reduced, and thus demands the reduction of the working population.

-Written jointly by Aarif Firaas and Imre Monokli



If a MAC line is expressed, then it will be clarified. If not, the reader should consider the work expressing the views of the writer

References:

[1]

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/02/global-battle-coronavirus-equipment-masks-tests>

[2] Turns out, the great humanists in Maryland were working to prepare the groundwork for it.

<https://www.lifenews.com/2022/03/08/maryland-legislature-considers-sill-that-could-allow-infanticide-up-to-28-days-after-birth/>

[3]

<https://news.sky.com/story/coronavirus-gp-surgery-apologises-for-sending-do-not-resuscitate-form-to-patients-11966979>

[4]

<https://twitter.com/BenClaimant/status/1245113756037898240>

Additional source: <https://www.corbettreport.com/bioethics>