Ovarian Aging Economic Analysis Report

Analysis Date: October 20, 2025 Discount Rate: 3% Time Horizon: 6 years of benefit

Executive Summary

This report presents a comprehensive economic analysis of delaying menopause by 5 years. The analysis evaluates both health outcomes and associated economic impacts across multiple disease categories.

Key Finding: Delaying menopause by 5 years would generate a net economic benefit of \$60.9 million per 100,000 women (equivalent to \$609.2 million per million women) through reduced disease incidence and associated treatment costs.

Methodology

Data Sources

- Combined Menopause Data Risk ratios for early menopause across health outcomes
- 2. Baseline Incidence Rates Disease incidence per 100,000 women in general population
- 3. Disease Treatment Costs Annual treatment costs per disease (USD)

Calculation Approach

- 1. Baseline Rate: Disease incidence in normal menopause population
- 2. Risk Ratio: Relative risk for early menopause vs. normal timing
- 3. Early Menopause Rate: Baseline rate \times Risk ratio
- 4. Cases Prevented: Early rate Baseline rate (cases avoided by delaying menopause)
- 5. Present Value Factor: $PV = [1 (1+r)^{-(-years)}] / r$
- 6. Economic Value: Cases prevented \times Annual cost \times PV factor

Results: Positive Effects (Benefits)

- 1. Coronary Heart Disease (CHD)
 - Baseline Incidence: 350 per 100,000 women
 - **Risk Ratio:** 1.45
 - Cases Prevented: 158 per 100,000 women

- Annual Treatment Cost: \$18,953
- Economic Value: \$16,170,845
- Impact: Largest contributor in terms of cases prevented

2. Stroke

- Baseline Incidence: 150 per 100,000 women
- Risk Ratio: 1.58 (highest risk ratio)
- Cases Prevented: 87 per 100,000 women
- Annual Treatment Cost: \$30,000
- Economic Value: \$14,138,870
- Impact: Second-highest economic benefit

3. Osteoporotic Fracture

- Baseline Incidence: 400 per 100,000 women (most common condition)
- Risk Ratio: 1.23
- Cases Prevented: 92 per 100,000 women
- Annual Treatment Cost: \$37,544 (highest treatment cost)
- Economic Value: \$18,711,239
- Impact: Highest economic benefit due to high prevalence and treatment costs

4. Dementia (any type)

- Baseline Incidence: 40 per 100,000 women
- Risk Ratio: 1.37
- Cases Prevented: 15 per 100,000 women
- Annual Treatment Cost: \$64,745 (most expensive per case)
- **Economic Value:** \$5,190,894
- Impact: Lower impact due to relatively low incidence rate

5. Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)

- Baseline Incidence: 550 per 100,000 women (most prevalent)
- Risk Ratio: 1.15 (lowest risk ratio)
- Cases Prevented: 82 per 100,000 women
- Annual Treatment Cost: \$15,000
- Economic Value: \$6,703,774
- Impact: Moderate benefit despite high prevalence

Results: Negative Effects (Risks)

Note: Currently no risk factors are included in this analysis. Future iterations should include: - Breast Cancer risk - Endometrial Cancer risk - Other hormone-related conditions

Financial Summary

Category	Value (per 100K women)
Total Benefits	\$60,915,622
Total Costs	\$0
Net Benefit	\$60,915,622

Scaled Projections

Population Size	Net Economic Benefit
100,000 women 500,000 women	\$60.9 million \$304.6 million
1,000,000 women	\$609.2 million
10,000,000 women	\$6.09 billion

Benefit Distribution Analysis

Economic Value by Disease Category

Disease	Economic Value	% of Total Benefits
Osteoporotic Fracture	\$18,711,239	30.7%
CHD	\$16,170,845	26.5%
Stroke	\$14,138,870	23.2%
Type 2 Diabetes	\$6,703,774	11.0%
Dementia	\$5,190,894	8.5%

Key Insight: The top 3 conditions (Osteoporotic Fracture, CHD, and Stroke) account for 80.4% of total economic benefits.

Risk Factor Analysis

Highest Risk Ratios (Most Impacted by Early Menopause)

- 1. **Stroke** 1.58x increased risk
- 2. CHD 1.45x increased risk
- 3. **Dementia** 1.37x increased risk

Highest Baseline Incidence

- 1. **Type 2 Diabetes** 550 per 100K
- 2. Osteoporotic Fracture 400 per 100K
- 3. **CHD** 350 per 100K

Highest Treatment Costs

- 1. **Dementia** \$64,745/year
- 2. Osteoporotic Fracture \$37,544/year
- 3. **Stroke** \$30,000/year

Limitations and Considerations

- 1. **Incomplete Risk Profile**: Current analysis does not include breast cancer or other potential negative outcomes of delayed menopause
- 2. **Time Horizon**: 6-year benefit window may be conservative or optimistic depending on intervention type
- 3. **Discount Rate**: 3% discount rate reflects standard health economic evaluations but may vary by context
- 4. **Treatment Costs**: Based on current healthcare costs; may vary by country and healthcare system
- 5. **Lifetime Costs**: Analysis uses annualized costs; lifetime disease burden may differ
- 6. Quality of Life: Economic analysis does not capture QALYs (Quality-Adjusted Life Years) or patient well-being
- 7. **Prevention vs. Delay**: Analysis assumes disease prevention; actual benefit may be disease delay rather than prevention

Recommendations

For Research

- 1. Add Breast Cancer Data: Critical to complete risk-benefit analysis
- 2. Expand Time Horizons: Evaluate 10, 15, and 20-year benefit periods
- 3. Include QALY Analysis: Incorporate quality of life metrics
- 4. **Sensitivity Analysis**: Test varying discount rates (0%, 5%, 7%)
- Stratify by Age Groups: Different benefit profiles for various age cohorts

For Policy Makers

1. Cost-Effectiveness Threshold: Compare net benefit to intervention costs

- 2. **Population Targeting**: Identify high-risk groups for maximum impact
- 3. Healthcare Planning: Allocate resources based on benefit distribution
- 4. Long-term Budgeting: Plan for reduced disease burden over time

For Clinical Practice

- 1. Risk Assessment: Identify patients at highest risk from early menopause
- 2. Treatment Selection: Consider economic value alongside clinical outcomes
- 3. **Patient Education**: Communicate both benefits and risks of delaying menopause
- 4. Monitoring Protocols: Track long-term outcomes to validate projections

Conclusions

Delaying menopause by 5 years shows substantial economic benefits, primarily through reduced cardiovascular disease and osteoporotic fractures. The analysis demonstrates potential savings of \$609 million per million women over a 6-year period.

However, this analysis is **incomplete without breast cancer risk data**. The true net benefit could be significantly lower once all risk factors are included. Further research is needed to provide a complete risk-benefit profile before clinical or policy recommendations can be made.

The strongest benefits are observed for: - Conditions with high treatment costs (osteoporotic fracture, dementia) - Diseases with high early menopause risk ratios (stroke, CHD) - Prevalent conditions (diabetes, fractures)

Technical Notes

Present Value Calculation

The present value factor accounts for the time value of healthcare savings:

```
PV = [1 - (1 + discount_rate)^(-years)] / discount_rate

PV = [1 - (1.03)^(-6)] / 0.03

PV = 5.417
```

This factor converts future annual savings into present value terms, accounting for the fact that savings realized in future years are worth less in today's dollars.

Data Quality

• All source data verified from Excel datasets

- Disease name mappings implemented to handle nomenclature variations
- Calculations reviewed and validated against source methodology

Report Generated by: Ovarian Aging Calculator v1.0 Code Repository: calculator.py Contact: For questions about methodology or data sources, please refer to the source datasets