Quantifying the Value of Delayed Ovarian Aging

Project: Female Longevity Track - HackAging Challenge

Team: OAV

Participant: Maria Fomina **Date:** October 20, 2025

Task Description

OBJECTIVE

To quantify the health and economic value of delaying menopause by 5 years, with focus on women experiencing earlier-than-average transition, and provide data-driven justification for increased investment in ovarian aging research.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Detailed report
- Visualization
- Report on the 1st part

Early menopause is not just a reproductive endpoint — it is a systemic health crisis that accelerates cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, and cognitive decline. This analysis quantifies what the field has long suspected but never proven: delaying menopause by just 5 years could prevent 4,340 cases of serious disease per million women and generate \$609 million in economic value over 6 years.

Our health-economic model reveals that the top three conditions—osteoporotic fractures (30.7%), coronary heart disease (26.5%), and stroke (23.2%) — account for 80% of the total benefit. Women experiencing early menopause face up to $1.58\times$ higher risk for stroke and $1.45\times$ higher risk for heart disease compared to those with normal timing.

At a population scale, interventions targeting ovarian aging could unlock over \$100 billion in healthcare savings across the United States alone. This analysis provides quantitative evidence to support increased research investment in

ovarian aging — a critically underfunded area with substantial health and economic implications.

Multi-Agent System

- 1. PubMed search agent: [agent.py]
- 2. Data extraction agent 1: [create dataset.pv]
- 3. Data extraction agent 2: [create osteoporosis data.py]
- 4. Incidence & costs search agent: [data-collector.md]
- 5. Calculation agent: [calculator.py]

Datasets

- combined menopause data.xls: Filtered studies to retain only high-quality evidence with Quality Score ≥8 and validation flag TRUE.
- baseline incidence rates.xls. Selected age group 50-54 years as reference point, representing women with normal menopause timing (≥51 years).
- disease treatment costs.xls. Selected one primary cost type per disease based on calculation methodology (annual for chronic, initial for acute events).

Papers

- Muka T, et al. Association of Age at Onset of Menopause and Time Since Onset of Menopause With Cardiovascular Outcomes, Intermediate Vascular Traits, and All-Cause Mortality. JAMA Cardiology. 2016;1(7):767-776.
- 2. <u>Long G, et al. Predictors of osteoporotic fracture in postmenopausal women: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*. 2023;18(1):574.</u>
- 3. <u>Karamani E, et al. Early menopause and premature ovarian insufficiency are associated with increased risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Maturitas. 2023;176:10792.</u>
- 4. <u>Anagnostis P, et al. Early menopause and premature ovarian insufficiency are associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2019;180(1):41-50.</u>

The risk of sarcopenia (decreased muscle mass), also associated with early menopause, was not included in the quantitative model due to the <u>lack of data in OR/RR/HR format</u>.

CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

The Model: How We Calculate Economic Value

Input Data

- **Risk Ratios**: How much early menopause increases disease risk (from meta-analyses)
- **Baseline Rates**: Disease incidence per 100,000 women age 50-54 (CDC data)
- **Treatment Costs**: Annual per-patient healthcare costs (HCUP database)

Step 1: Calculate Early Menopause Risk

Early Menopause Rate = Baseline Rate × Risk Ratio

Example (CHD):

• Baseline: 350 per 100K women

• Risk Ratio: 1.45×

• Early Menopause Rate: 350 × 1.45 = 508 per 100K

Step 2: Calculate Cases Prevented

Cases Prevented = Early Menopause Rate - Baseline Rate

Example (CHD):

Cases Prevented: 508 - 350 = 158 per 100K women

Step 3: Calculate Economic Value

Economic Value = Cases Prevented \times Annual Cost \times PV Factor

Present Value Factor (for 6 years at 3% discount rate):

PV Factor = $[1 - (1.03)^{-6}] / 0.03 = 5.417$

*Why 3%? Standard rate used by WHO, CDC, and health economic evaluations

Example (CHD):

Cases: 158 per 100KAnnual Cost: \$18,953

• PV Factor: 5.417

• Economic Value: $158 \times $18,953 \times 5.417 = $16.2M$ per 100K women

Step 4: Sum Across All Diseases

Net Benefit = Σ (Benefits) - Σ (Risks)

- Benefits: CHD, Stroke, Osteoporotic Fractures, Dementia, Type 2 Diabetes
- Risks: Breast Cancer, Endometrial Cancer (not yet included in current model)

Result: \$60.9M net economic benefit per 100,000 women

Visual Formula

```
Economic Value = (Baseline × RR - Baseline) × Cost × PV
```

Where:

RR = Risk Ratio $(1.15 - 1.58 \times)$

Cost = Annual treatment cost (\$15K - \$65K)

PV = Present Value Factor (5.417 for 6 years @ 3%)

Key Assumptions

- 1. Population cohorts: "Normal menopause" (50-54 years) vs. "early menopause" (<45 years), analyzed per 100,000 women
- 2. Time horizon: 6-year benefit period for all diseases (conservative estimate of immediate post-menopause impact)
- 3. Discount rate: 3% annually (U.S. health economics standard); Present Value Factor = 5.417

- 4. Data sources: Baseline incidence from CDC/ARIC Study; treatment costs from HCUP; risk ratios from published meta-analyses
- 5. Risk metrics: Relative Risk (RR) and Odds Ratio (OR) used interchangeably valid approximation for baseline incidence <5%)
- 6. Geographic scope: U.S. healthcare system (costs, baseline rates)
- 7. Disease modeling: Five major conditions included; breast/endometrial cancer risks not yet incorporated
- 8. Intervention timing: Preventive model assumes intervention before menopause transition, not post-menopause treatment
- Independence assumption: Diseases modeled independently; comorbidities not accounted for
- 10. Cost stability: Annual treatment costs held constant over 6-year period

SCOPE LIMITATIONS: WHAT'S NOT INCLUDED

This analysis focuses exclusively on direct medical costs. The following components are not captured in the \$609M figure, meaning the true economic and social value is likely substantially higher:

Indirect Economic Costs

- Lost productivity due to illness and disability
- Caregiver burden and family member work loss
- Premature mortality (years of potential life lost)
- Reduced workforce participation

Quality of Life Impacts

- Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) not quantified
- Patient suffering and reduced wellbeing
- Mental health and psychological effects
- Social and relationship impacts

Long-Term Effects

- Analysis limited to 6-year time horizon
- Lifetime disease burden not captured
- True benefit likely 3-5× higher over full lifespan
- Downstream health complications not modeled

Cancer Risks

- Breast cancer risk from prolonged estrogen exposure
- Endometrial and ovarian cancer considerations
- Net cancer risk-benefit analysis pending

Including these factors would significantly increase the estimated value but requires additional data sources and modeling approaches beyond the scope of this initial analysis.