

Today's Lecture

Sub-Gaussian

(Adapted from Sash Rakhlin's

Lecture notes

what random variables behave similar to Gaussians?

we saw in the last lecture:

$$\Pr [ z > t ] \approx O\left(\frac{1}{t} e^{-t^2/2}\right)$$

Sub-Gaussian random variables mimic the same behavior.

\* We say  $X$  is a sub-Gaussian random variable with variance proxy (a.k.a. variance factor or sub-Gaussianity parameter)  $K^2$  iff

$$\Pr [ |X| \geq t ] \leq 2 \exp(-t^2/K^2)$$

our notation:  $X \in \text{subG}(K)$

The following properties are equivalent.

$k_i$ 's appearing below differ from each other by at most an absolute constant factor.

1) for all  $t \geq 0$  (tail)

$$\Pr[|X| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp(-t^2/k_2^2)$$

2) for all  $p \geq 1$  (moment)

$$\|X\|_{L^p} = (\mathbb{E}[|X|^p])^{1/p} \leq k_2 \sqrt{p}$$

3)  $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{k_3 \lambda^2 X^2}$  MGF of  $X^2$   
 $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq e^{k_3 \lambda^2}$

4)  $\mathbb{E}[e^{X^2/k_4^2}] \leq 2$  //

5)  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  (if  $X$  is zero mean) MGF of  $X$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \leq e^{K_5^2 t^2}$$

which one is the main one? all are correct.

In the literature, you may see various versions.  
We stick to the definition in the Vershynin's book.

When we say  $X \in \text{SubG}(k^2)$  we mean:  
 $X \in \text{SubG}(\Theta(k^2))$

Examples

+  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$

$$\Pr[|Z| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-t^2/2}$$

$$Z \in \text{SubG}(\Theta(1))$$

$$Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \text{SubG}(\Theta(\sigma^2))$$

## \* Bernoulli / Radamacher

$$X = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \frac{1}{2} e^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda} \stackrel{?}{\leq} e$$

we hope to show

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} + \frac{(-\lambda)^k}{k!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda \frac{\lambda^{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2)^k}{2^k k!} = e^{\lambda^2/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq e^{\lambda^2/2}$$

$\Rightarrow X \in \text{subG}(1)$

by (5)

\* bounded variables  $a \leq b$

$$\text{first : } Y := \begin{cases} a & \frac{Y}{2} \\ b & \frac{Y}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{centered } Y' := Y - E[Y] = \begin{cases} \frac{b-a}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{b-a}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

by rescaling  $Y' = \frac{(b-a)}{2} X$  (like a Bern)

$$\Rightarrow Y' \in \text{SubG}\left(\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}\right)$$

---

In fact for any bounded r.v.  $Z$  in

$$\left[-\frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}\right]. \text{ if } E[Z]=0, \text{ we have:}$$

$$Z \in \text{SubG}\left(\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}\right)$$

## Hoeffding Lemma

Suppose  $X$  is a zero-mean r.v in  $[a, b]$

$$E[\lambda X] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(b-a)^2}{8}\right) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

$\Rightarrow X$  is in  $\text{Sub} G\left(\frac{(b-a)^2}{8}\right)$

(with a slightly more complicated proof that we omit here.)

+ for two independent  $X_1 \in \text{SubG}(\sigma_1)$  and  $X_2 \in \text{SubG}(\sigma_2)$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 + X_2 \in \text{SubG}(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

(prove it for the problem set)

+ Also,  $X_1, \dots, X_n \quad X_i \in \text{SubG}(\sigma_i^2)$

$$\Rightarrow \sum X_i \in \text{SubG}(\sum \sigma_i^2)$$

+  $X_i$  are zero-mean r.v. in  $[a, b]$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ is in } \text{SubG}\left(n \cdot \overbrace{(b-a)}^8\right)$$

by Hoeffding lemma.  $\uparrow$

## Hoeffding bound

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be n i.i.d

r.v. in range  $[a, b]$

$$\Pr \left[ \left| \frac{\sum x_i - E[x_i]}{n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq 2e^{-\Theta(\frac{\varepsilon^2 n}{(b-a)^2})}$$

proof.  $Y_i = x_i - E[x_i]$  is zero-mean

in  $[a - E[x_i], b - E[x_i]]$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \text{sub } G \left( n \cdot \frac{(b-a)}{8} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr \left[ \left| \frac{\sum x_i - E[x_i]}{n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] = \Pr \left[ |\sum Y_i| \geq \varepsilon n \right]$$

$$\leq 2 \exp \left( - \frac{8 \varepsilon^2 n}{(b-a)^2} \right)$$

Some proofs regarding the definitions

Integral identity of expectation for non-negative random variables,  $Y \geq 0$

$$E[Y] = \int_0^\infty \Pr[Y > t] dt$$

①  $\Rightarrow$  ②

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^P] = \int_0^\infty \Pr[|X|^P > t] dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \Pr[|X| \geq \sqrt[P]{t}] dt$$

change of variable  $u = \sqrt[P]{t} \Rightarrow t = u^P \Rightarrow \frac{dt}{du} = P u^{P-1}$

$$= \int_0^\infty \Pr[|X| \geq u] \cdot P u^{P-1} du$$

by ①

$$\leq \int_0^\infty 2e^{-\frac{u^2}{k_1^2}} \cdot p \cdot u^{p-1} \cdot du$$

another change of variable

$$z := \frac{u^2}{k_1^2} \Rightarrow k_1 \sqrt{z} = u \Rightarrow \frac{du}{dz} = \frac{k_1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty 2e^{-z} \cdot p \cdot (k_1 \sqrt{z})^{p-1} \frac{k_1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} dz$$

$$= k_1^p \int_0^\infty e^{-z} p(\sqrt{z})^{p-2} dz$$

$$= p k_1^p \int_0^\infty e^{-z} (z)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} dz$$

$$= p k_1^p \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) \leq p k_1^p \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{p/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(E[|X|^p]\right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{p}{\sqrt{2}} k_1 \sqrt{p} < 1.06 k_1 \sqrt{p}$$

for  $\lambda > 0$

⑤  $\Rightarrow$  ①

$$\Pr [X \geq t] = \Pr [e^{\lambda X} \geq e^{t\lambda}]$$

$$\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} e^{-t\lambda} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$$

markov

$$\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} e^{k_5 \lambda^2 - t\lambda} = e^{-t^2 / 4k_5}$$
$$\lambda = \frac{t}{2k_5}$$

For the rest of proofs see Vershynin's book.

Is sub-Gaussian tail always describe the behavior of a r.v. well?

$$X = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{1}{k^2} \\ +k & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2k^2} \\ -k & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2k^2} \end{cases} \quad \text{for some large } k$$


$$E[X] = 0, \quad \text{Var}[X] = k^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2k^2} + k^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2k^2} = 1$$

Suppose we have n i.i.d copy of  $X$ :

$$\Pr[X_1 = \dots = X_n = 0] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)^n \approx e^{-\frac{n}{k^2}}$$

or  $\approx 1 - \frac{n}{k^2}$

for any  $t > 0$

$$\Pr[|\sum X_i| \geq t] \leq \Pr[\exists i: X_i \neq 0]$$

$$\leq 1 - \Pr[X_1 = \dots = X_n] \approx \frac{n}{k^2} = \frac{1}{k}$$

almost 0  
for  $n=k$

using sub-Gaussianity of bounded random variables:

$$X \in \text{Sub } G(\Theta(k))$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr [ |\sum X_i| > t ] \leq \exp(-\Theta(\frac{t^2}{n \cdot k^2}))$$

for  $n=k$   $\leq \exp(-\Theta(\frac{t^2}{k}))$

for small  $t$ , this is almost 1.

→ Hoeffding gives us a very bad upper bound on the probability.

Is every random variable sub-G( $k$ )

for some large  $k$ ? Nope.

Let  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$

Consider  $Z^2$

The MGF of  $Z^2 - 1$  is centered

$$E[e^{\lambda(z^2-1)}] =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda(z^2-1)} \cdot e^{-z^2/2} dz$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-z^2/2(1-2\lambda)} dz$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{1-2\lambda}} & \lambda \geq 0 \\ \infty & \lambda < 0 \end{cases} \leq e^{\lambda^2/2} \leq e^{-\lambda^2/4} \quad \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  unbounded : (

for  $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{4}$  :  $z^2$  is behaving like  
a sub-Gaussian