

# Homework 2

*Due: Thursday 2/7/19 by 12:00pm (noon)*

## An Overview of Level- $\alpha$ Tests

This homework is going to ask you to conduct a level- $\alpha$  tests of a null hypothesis, which requires that you combine a few bits of information from class.

Let's call the null hypothesis  $H$  and the alternative hypothesis  $K$ . Suppose we have a test statistic  $\hat{t}$ , that is a function of the data, and that we know either exactly or approximately what the distribution of  $\hat{t}$  is under the null  $H$ . Then we can construct a level- $\alpha$  test of the null hypothesis  $H$  by comparing  $\hat{t}$  to the  $1 - \alpha/2$  and  $\alpha/2$  quantiles of the distribution of  $\hat{t}$  under the null  $H$ . If  $\hat{t}$  is within those quantiles, we **accept** the null hypothesis  $H$ , otherwise we reject it.

This general idea is something that I expect have seen in your previous statistics classes, and we referenced it in our review of linear regression when we talked about testing the null hypothesis  $H$  that  $\hat{\beta}_j$  is exactly equal to a specific value for some  $j$ . Consider testing the null hypothesis  $H : \beta_1 = 0$  against the alternative  $K : \beta_1 \neq 0$ . For such a problem, our test statistic is

$$\hat{t} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{s_w \sqrt{(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})_{11}^{-1}}},$$

and we know that  $\hat{t} \sim \mathcal{T}_{n-q}$  under  $H$ , where  $q$  is the number of covariates we have in our regression model (number of columns of  $\mathbf{Z}$ ). We perform a level- $\alpha$  test of  $H$  by comparing  $\hat{t}$  to the  $1 - \alpha/2$  and  $\alpha/2$  quantiles of a  $\mathcal{T}_{n-q}$  distribution. If  $\hat{t}$  is within these quantiles, we accept  $H : \beta_1 = 0$ , otherwise we reject it.

To apply this idea to these problems, ask yourself: What is a sample quantity that we can calculate for a time series  $\mathbf{x}$  and use as a test statistic  $\hat{t}$  that:

- We talked about in class;
- Is relevant to testing a hypothesis about  $\rho_x(1)$ ;
- We know the approximate or exact distribution of under the null that  $\mathbf{x}$  is a white noise time series, with  $\rho_x(1) = 0$ ?

## The AR(1) Model

1. This problem will ask you to work with the autoregressive (AR) model.
  - (a) Describe what R returns when you run `x <- arima.sim(n = 100, list(ar=1), sd = 1)`, and why this occurs.
  - (b) Simulate 1,000 **AR**(1) time series of length  $n = 100$  with  $\sigma_w^2 = 1$  for values of  $\phi_1 = \{-0.5, -0.25, -0.125, 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5\}$ . For each value of  $\phi_1$ , compute the percent of simulations in which a level-0.05 test of the null hypothesis that the time series is white noise, with  $\rho_x(1) = 0$ , rejects the null, using  $\hat{\rho}_x(1)$  from class and 3.(h) in Homework 1. Plot the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis rejects the null against  $\phi_1$ .
  - (c) When  $\phi_1 \neq 0$ , the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis that  $\rho_x(1) = 0$  rejects the null estimates the **power** of the test. When  $\phi_1 = 0$ , the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis that  $\rho_x(1) = 0$  rejects the null estimates the **level** of the test. Is the estimated level 0.05, as we would expect from a level-0.05 test? If not, why not?
  - (d) Describe in at most two sentences how the power of the test relates to the true value  $\phi_1$ . Intuitively, does this make sense?

## The MA(1) Model

2. This problem will ask you to work with the moving average (MA) model.
- (a) Without using the `arima.sim` function or any other third party function for simulating an MA time series, simulate a length-100 time series  $\mathbf{x}$  according to the MA model:

$$x_t = 0.5w_{t-1} + w_t, w_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- (b) Using the code you wrote in (a) or `arima.sim`, simulate 1,000 **MA**(1) time series of length  $n = 100$  with  $\sigma_w^2 = 1$  for values of  $\theta_1 = \{-1, -0.268, -0.127, 0, 0.127, 0.268, 1\}$ . For each value of  $\theta_1$ , compute the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis that the time series is white noise, with  $\rho_x(1) = 0$ , rejects the null, using  $\hat{\rho}_x(1)$  from class and 3.(h) in Homework 1. Plot the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis rejects the null against  $\theta_1$ .
- (c) When  $\theta_1 \neq 0$ , the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis that  $\rho_x(1) = 0$  rejects the null estimates the **power** of the test. When  $\theta_1 = 0$ , the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis that  $\rho_x(1) = 0$  rejects the null estimates the **level** of the test. Is the estimated level 0.05? If not, why not?
- (d) Describe in at most two sentences how the power of the test relates to the true value  $\theta_1$ . Intuitively, does this make sense?

## Comparing AR(1) and MA(1) Models

3. This problem asks you to compare what you observed in 1. (b)-(d) to what you observed in 2. (b)-(d).
- (a) Compute the true lag-one autocorrelation  $\rho_x(1)$  under for an **AR**(1) model with  $\phi_1 = \{-0.5, -0.25, -0.125, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5\}$ .
- (b) Compute the true lag-one autocorrelation  $\rho_x(1)$  under for an **MA**(1) model with  $\theta_1 = \{-1, -0.268, -0.127, 0, 0.127, 0.268, 1\}$ .
- (c) Plot the the percent of simulations in which a test of the null hypothesis rejects the null against the true autocorrelation  $\rho_x(1)$  for both the **AR**(1) and **MA**(1) simulations on a single plot. You should have two lines or sets of points, one for the **AR**(1) simulations and one for the **MA**(1) simulations.
- (d) In one sentence, interpret what you observe in (c), taking what you find in (a) and (b) into account.