

$$\begin{aligned} SSTO &= SSR(X_1) + SSE(X_1) \\ &= SSR(X_1, X_2) + SSE(X_1, X_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow SSTO = SSR(X_1) + SSR(X_2|X_1) + SSE(X_1, X_2)$$

\uparrow total variability in y
 \uparrow variability attributable to X_1
 \uparrow residual variability after accounting for X_1 that is attributable to X_2
 \uparrow remainder after X_1, X_2 have been accounted for

$$SSTO = SSR(X_1) + SSR(X_2|X_1) + SSR(X_3|X_2, X_1) + SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$

where

$$SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2) = SSR(X_3, X_2, X_1) - SSR(X_1, X_2)$$

Decomposition of SSR into extra sums of squares

Given X_1 , $SSTO = SSR(X_1) + SSE(X_1)$

Given X_2 as well, $SSTO = SSR(X_1) + SSR(X_2|X_1) + SSE(X_1, X_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} SSTO &= SSR(X_1, X_2) + SSE(X_1, X_2) \\ &= SSR(X_2) + SSR(X_1|X_2) + SSE(X_1, X_2) \end{aligned}$$

Recall... in ANOVA conversations previously, we talked about $\begin{matrix} SSTO \\ MSTO \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} SSR \\ MSR \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} SSE \\ MSE \end{matrix}$... but that was in the context of simple linear regression

In multiple linear regression, an ANOVA table usually contains decompositions of the regression sum of squares into extra sums of squares

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^3 \beta_k X_{ik} + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

ANOVA Table for fitting this model

Sums of Squares

If we add these up they are $SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)$, regression sum of squares

$SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ $SSR(X_1)$ $SSR(X_2 X_1)$ $SSR(X_3 X_2, X_1)$ $SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$	usually in order they entered model
---	-------------------------------------

Degrees of Freedom

3

1

1

1

$n - 4$

Mean Squares

$MSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)$

$MSR(X_1)$

$MSR(X_2|X_1)$

$MSR(X_3|X_1, X_2)$

$MSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$

Use of Extra Sums of Squares for Testing Hypotheses about β_k

Context: Assume we have Y, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1} , we're assuming

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} X_{ik} \beta_k + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

*Testing whether a single $\beta_k = 0$

Null, $H_0: \beta_k = 0$
Alternative, $H_a: \beta_k \neq 0$

we already know how to do a t-test for this, where we compare $t^* = \frac{b_k}{s\{b_k\}}$ to quantiles of a t-distribution with $n-p$ d.f.

Consider $k=3$

H_0 corresponds to the reduced model,

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \sum_{k=4}^{p-1} \beta_k X_{ik} + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Fit both models or, make an ANOVA table for the full model and get $SSR(X_3 | X_1, X_2, X_4, \dots, X_{p-1})$ and $SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})$

Then we can construct $F^* = \left(\frac{SSR(X_3 | X_1, X_2, X_4, \dots, X_{p-1})}{1} \right) \div \left(\frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n-p} \right)$

Under H_0 , $F^* \sim F_{1, n-p}$

Use of Extra Sums of Squares for Testing Hypotheses about β_k

Context: Assume we have Y, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1} , we're assuming

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} X_{ik} \beta_k + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

* Testing whether a single $\beta_k = 0$

Null, $H_0: \beta_k = 0$

Alternative, $H_a: \beta_k \neq 0$

Compute

$$F^* = \left(\frac{SSR(X_k | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{p-1})}{1} \right) \div \left(\frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n-p} \right)$$

Decision Rule for a level α -test will be:

* If $F^* \leq F(1-\alpha; 1, n-p)$ conclude $H_0: \beta_k = 0$

* If $F^* > F(1-\alpha; 1, n-p)$ conclude $H_a: \beta_k \neq 0$

p-value given by $\Pr(F \geq F^*)$, where $F \sim F_{1, n-p}$

Use of Extra Sums of Squares for Testing Hypotheses about β_k

Context: Assume we have Y, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1} , we're assuming $Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} X_{ik} \tilde{\beta}_k + \varepsilon_i$, $\varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$

* Testing whether multiple β_k, β_l $k \neq l$ are jointly equal to 0

Null, $H_0: \beta_k = \beta_l = 0$
Alternative, $H_a: \beta_k \neq 0$ or $\beta_l \neq 0$

Compute

$$F^* = \left(\frac{SSR(X_k, X_l | X_m, m \neq k, l)}{2} \right) \div \left(\frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})}{n-p} \right)$$

Decision Rule for a level α -test will be:

* If $F^* \leq F(1-\alpha; 2, n-p)$ conclude H_0

* If $F^* > F(1-\alpha; 2, n-p)$ conclude H_a

p -value given by $\Pr(F \geq F^*)$, where $F \sim F_{2, n-p}$

Use of Extra Sums of Squares for Testing Hypotheses about

Context: Assume we have $Y, \tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_{p-1}$, we're assuming

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \varepsilon_i \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

* Testing whether multiple β_2, β_3 are jointly equal to 0

$$\text{Null, } H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$$

$$\text{Alternative, } H_a: \beta_2 \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_3 \neq 0$$

Compute

$$F^* = \left(\frac{SSR(X_2, X_3 | \cdot, X_1)}{2} \right) \div \left(\frac{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}{n-4} \right)$$

Decision Rule for a level α -test will be:

* If $F^* \leq F(1-\alpha; 2, n-4)$ conclude H_0

* If $F^* > F(1-\alpha; 2, n-4)$ conclude H_a

p-value given by $\Pr(F \geq F^*)$, where $F \sim F_{2, n-4}$