



This repository Search

Explore Features Enterprise Blog

Sign up

Sign in

neo4j / neo4j

Watch 238

Star 1,885

Fork 730

# Merge neo4j-logging into kernel and add documentation



Closed

cleishm wants to merge 2 commits into neo4j:2.3 from cleishm:logging-updates

Conversation 6

Commits 2

Files changed 210

+460 -1,000



cleishm commented Apr 24, 2015

Owner

Implementations are moved into an impl package for now, as they depend on currently undocumented classes like `org.neo4j.function.Supplier` (a placeholder until building on Java 8) and are only used in kernel and server.



cleishm added kernel 2.3 labels Apr 24, 2015



lutovich commented Apr 26, 2015

Collaborator

@cleishm could you please add some description why neo4j-logging should be in kernel?



cleishm commented Apr 27, 2015

Owner

@lutovich As it stands currently, it's not useful as an independent library, due to the use of classes like `org.neo4j.function.Supplier`. We should change that after we build with Java8.



digitalstain self-assigned this Apr 27, 2015



tinwelint commented Apr 27, 2015

Collaborator

Seems like an unnecessary change. `org.neo4j.function.Supplier` lives in `primitive-collections` btw.

The next case where we'd need logging w/o kernel we'd have to break it out again. If it's a matter of visibility we can change the package w/o folding into kernel.



cleishm commented Apr 27, 2015

Owner

The packages remain the same, simply the module has changed. I could leave the interfaces in a separate module, but that is rather pointless given nothing needs them without also needing the kernel.

As for moving away from a monolithic design: doing so via maven modules is still a point of discussion, and there are strong arguments against that. Doing so via packages is sensible, and the logging interfaces remain in a separate package, so that's good.



cleishm added some commits Apr 24, 2015



Merge neo4j-logging into neo4j-kernel ...

2f3e877



Add neo4j-logging docs

d7aa488



digitalstain commented Apr 29, 2015

Collaborator

@cleishm There is no clear need to merge logging into kernel as far as i can tell and i tend to agree that this direction, as we have things configured now, is the reverse of what we are aiming for. How about we resolve the discussion about modularity first and then see if this merge is necessary?

Labels

2.3

kernel

Milestone

No milestone


Assignee

No one assigned

4 participants



---


 **digitalstain** removed their assignment Apr 29, 2015



**cleishm** commented Apr 30, 2015

Owner

Will reopen after [#4554](#)

 **cleishm** closed this Apr 30, 2015

---

[Sign up for free](#) to join this conversation on GitHub. Already have an account? [Sign in to comment](#)

