## schema index not being used

7 posts by 3 authors (a) (S+1)



# **Clark Richey**



Hello

When I run EXPLAIN on this query I can see that the index isn't being used. See below. However, when I execute the schema command I can see that that index is on-line. (ON :Place(\_geocoded) ONLINE)

Why isn't the query performing a nodeIndexSeek?

---

Clark Richey clark....@gmail.com



## Michael Hunger

Apr 15

Good question, perhaps it decided that the index selectivity was too low as it is a boolean index?

You can force it and see how it changes:

explain match (p:Place) using index p:Place(\_geocoded) where p.\_geocoded = "true" return count(p); - show quoted text -- show quoted text -<fg-logo.png> Clark D. Richey, Jr. CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER

240.252.7507 CLARK@FACTGEM.COM WWW.FACTGEM.COM

Clark Richey clark....@gmail.com

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Neo4j" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to neo4j+un...@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.



### Clark Richey



Node[425]{uniqueID:"Place:a1447d21-1fb2-426f-bba0-e91ddb98ee7b",\_type:"Place",confidenceScore:1, dateModified:1428639760183,source:"Ohio Secretary of State",state:"OH",street1:"P.O. Box 494",city:"West Union",zip:"45693",lat:38.7945166,lon:-83.5451934,formattedAddress:"West Union, OH 45693, USA",\_geocoded:"true"}

There are some values that are 'true' and 'false' (as Strings) but there are other values as well. How does neo determine what type of index to create?

Results forcing the index are below with changing count to returning nodes shows the index being used.

```
neo4j-sh (?)$ explain match (p:Place) using index p:Place( geocoded) where p. geocoded = "true" return p;;
| No data returned, and nothing was changed. |
65 ms
Compiler CYPHER 2.2
Planner COST
NodeIndexSeek
+-----+
   Operator | EstimatedRows | Identifiers | Other |
+-----+
| NodeIndexSeek | 2535411 | p | :Place(_geocoded) | +-----+
However, if I do a count it still doesn't use the index:
neo4j-sh (?)$ explain match (p:Place) using index p:Place( geocoded) where p. geocoded = "true" return
count(p);
| No data returned, and nothing was changed. |
+-----+
1 ms
Compiler CYPHER 2.2
Planner COST
EagerAggregation
 +NodeIndexSeek
| Operator | EstimatedRows | Identifiers | Other |
+-----+
| EagerAggregation | 1592 | count(p) | | | | | | | NodeIndexSeek | 2535411 | p | :Place(_geocoded) | +-----+
Clark Richev
```

clark....@gmail.com



Am 15.04.2015 um 22:47 schrieb Clark Richey <clark....@gmail.com>:

It's actually supposed to be a String index. See node value below

Node[425]{uniqueID:"Place:a1447d21-1fb2-426f-bba0-e91ddb98ee7b",\_type:"Place", confidenceScore:1,dateModified:1428639760183,source:"Ohio Secretary of State",state:"OH",street1:"P.O. Box 494",city:"West Union",zip:"45693",lat:38. 7945166,lon:-83.5451934,formattedAddress:"West Union, OH 45693, USA", geocoded:"true"}

There are some values that are 'true' and 'false' (as Strings) but there are other values as well. How does not determine what type of index to create?

It creates the string index, but afaik it gets information from the index how selective it is, e.g. if it rather stores 4 different values or 4M.

Results forcing the index are below with changing count to returning nodes shows the index being used.

-> still a lot of rows which would explain that it chose the label-scan

```
neo4j-sh (?)$ explain match (p:Place) using index p:Place(_geocoded) where p._geocoded =
"true" return p;;
+-------+
| No data returned, and nothing was changed. |
+------+
65 ms

Compiler CYPHER 2.2

Planner COST

NodeIndexSeek
+----------+
| Operator | EstimatedRows | Identifiers | Other |
+---------+
| NodeIndexSeek | 2535411 | p | :Place(_geocoded) |
+---------+
```

However, if I do a count it still doesn't use the index:

<sup>-&</sup>gt; what do you mean? It clearly says NodeIndexSeek?

<sup>-</sup> show quoted text -





Apr 15

#### Thanks

Yes it did the directIndex. Reading is hard sometimes.

### Sent from my iPhone

- show quoted text -



## **Andres Taylor**

Apr 15 🦱



Hi there!

Index seeks are slow, compared to label scans. So when a lookup is expected to return a large portion of the nodes with a label, doing a label scan is cheaper. You can see in estimatedRows that Neo4j estimated that 2.5M nodes will be returned by an index seek, which sounds like a lot. How many nodes have the

### Andrés

- show quoted text -



## **Andres Taylor**



...hit send way too soon there...

I was about to say - how many nodes have the :Place label on them? And, have you run the query using PROFILE to see how many rows are actually returned?

Andrés